
This is a reproduction of a library book that was digitized by Google as part of an ongoing effort to preserve the information in books and make it universally accessible.

GoogleTM books

<http://books.google.com>



Harvard Divinity School



**ANDOVER-HARVARD THEOLOGICAL
LIBRARY**

MDCCCX

CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS

THE
GREEK
ECCLESIASTICAL HISTORIANS
OF
THE FIRST SIX CENTURIES OF
THE CHRISTIAN ERA.

IN SIX VOLUMES.

CONTAINING—

- I. EUSEBIUS'S LIFE OF CONSTANTINE, ORATION, ETC.
- II. EUSEBIUS'S ECCLESIASTICAL HISTORY, TO 324 A.D.
- III. SOCRATES SCHOLASTICUS'S HISTORY, FROM ABOUT 305 TO 445 A.D.
- IV. SOZOMEN'S NARRATIVE, 324 TO ABOUT 440 A.D.
- V. THEODORET'S ECCLESIASTICAL HISTORY, FROM 322 TO 428 A.D.
- VI. EVAGRIUS'S ECCLESIASTICAL HISTORY, FROM 431 TO 594 A.D.



ΕΝ ΑΛΛΗΛΗΓΕΝΤΙ ΕΚΔΟΤΑΙ, ΠΡΟΣ ΤΗΝ ΕΛΕΥΘΕΡΙΟΝ.

LONDON:
SAMUEL BAGSTER AND SONS;
WAREHOUSE FOR BIBLES, NEW TESTAMENTS, PRAYER-BOOKS, LEXICONS,
GRAMMARS, CONCORDANCES, AND PSALTERS, IN ANCIENT
AND MODERN LANGUAGES;
PATERNOSTER ROW.

M.DCCC.XLIV.

LONDON.
PRINTED BY JOHN WERTHEIMER AND CO.,
FINCHBURY CIRCUS.

ECCLESIASTICAL HISTORY.

A

HISTORY OF THE CHURCH

IN SEVEN BOOKS,

FROM THE ACCESSION OF CONSTANTINE, A.D. 305, TO
THE 38TH YEAR OF THEODOSIUS II., INCLUDING
A PERIOD OF 140 YEARS.

BY

Socrates Scholasticus

SOCRATES,

SURNAMED SCHOLASTICUS, OR THE ADVOCATE.

TRANSLATED FROM THE GREEK:

WITH SOME ACCOUNT OF

THE LIFE AND WRITINGS OF THE AUTHOR.



ΠΟΛΛΑΙ ΜΕΤ' ΕΡΕΥΡΑΣ ΓΑΟΥΤΑΙ, ΜΕΤ' ΕΛΕΥΘΕΡΙΑΣ.

LONDON:

SAMUEL BAGSTER AND SONS;

WAREHOUSE FOR BIBLES, NEW TESTAMENTS, PRAYER-BOOKS, LEXICONS,
GRAMMARS, CONCORDANCES, AND PSALTERS, IN ANCIENT
AND MODERN LANGUAGES;

PATERNOSTER ROW.

M.DCCC.XLIV.

Ref.
BR
160
.A1
G7
v.3

THE
LIFE OF SOCRATES,
AND
AN ACCOUNT OF HIS WRITINGS.

SOCRATES, our historian, was a native of Constantinople ; for he himself states that he was born and educated in that city, and that for this reason he has detailed principally events which occurred there. In his youth his philological studies were prosecuted under the direction of the grammarians Helladius and Ammonius, both of whom were idolaters ; who having withdrawn from Alexandria about this time, had taken up their abode at Constantinople. The reasons which induced them to migrate from Alexandria, are thus explained by Socrates himself.*—When the Pagan temples had been pulled down, by the zeal and exertion of Theophilus bishop of that city, Helladius and Ammonius (one of whom had been a priest of Jupiter at Alexandria, and the other of Simius), grieved at the contempt which was cast upon their gods, quitted the scene of what they considered sacrilege, and retired to Constantinople. These transactions took place during the consulship of Tamasius and Promotus, according to the “ Chronicon ” of Marcellinus, which was the eleventh year of the Emperor Theodosius. It would therefore appear that Socrates was born about the commencement of his reign, inasmuch as boys were generally placed under the tuition of grammarians at ten years of age : but some date his birth in the year 380. He afterwards studied rhetoric

* Book v. chap. 16.

under Troilus, a celebrated teacher of philosophy and eloquence at Constantinople. This however is rather inferred from his frequent and honourable mention of Troilus, than from any direct statement of the fact. He speaks of Side in Pamphilia as the country of Troilus, and names Eusebius, and the bishops Silvanus and Alabius, as among the number of his distinguished pupils; and finally* declares that the Prætorian præfect Anthemius, who during the minority of Theodosius guided the administration, was greatly influenced by his counsels: to which he adds this eulogy of him: "Who in addition to his philosophical attainments, was not inferior to Anthemius in political sagacity." On these grounds therefore it is concluded that Troilus taught Socrates rhetoric.

Our author's first appearance in public life was in the Forum at Constantinople, as a special pleader: it was from this circumstance that the cognomen "Scholasticus" was applied to him; which indeed was the general appellation for advocates on their leaving the schools of the rhetoricians to devote themselves to the duties of their profession. When at length he resigned his legal practice, his attention was directed to the compilation of a "History of the Church," in seven books, from the year 309 where Eusebius ends, to the year 445; in which he has displayed singular judgment, and accurate as well as laborious research. He has carefully marked the periods of remarkable events, by giving the Consulates and Olympiads; and has invested his matter with authority by having drawn his information from the most authentic sources to which he could obtain access, such as public records, pastoral and episcopal letters, acts of Synods, and the works of other ecclesiastical writers. In the com-

* Book vii. page 388.

position of his "History," he has studiously adopted and maintained simplicity and plainness of style, to the rigorous exclusion of all oratorical ornament, in order that he might be the more readily understood by all classes of persons, as he himself declares at the commencement of his first and third books.

His first two books were originally composed on the entire credit of Rufinus; but having afterwards discovered, from the works of Athanasius, that the principal circumstances of the persecution, which that noble defender of the divinity of Christ suffered, had been omitted, he subsequently amended them.

He however confounds Maximian with Maximin, which is surprising, considering that he chiefly lived at Constantinople. He errs also in stating that five bishops were condemned in the council of Nice for refusing to approve the confession of faith there made; for a letter of the council shows that there were but two, viz. Theonas and Secundus. Theognis and Eusebius were indeed exiled by command of the Emperor Constantine; but it was at another time, and for a different reason than that assigned by Socrates, as Jerome and Philostorgus testify. His allusion to the council of Sirmium is full of obscurity; and he was evidently under the mistake of supposing that the three confessions there promulgated at three several councils, were set forth on one and the same occasion.

Socrates, moreover, in speaking of the council held at Antioch by the Arians in the year 341, seems to attach too much of *authority* to the usage which early prevailed of inviting the bishop of Rome to all ecclesiastical conventions in the West. As if he believed there was a *law* which forbade any decision in the church without that prelate's sanction.

But Julius himself, who was neither ignorant of his privileges, nor disposed to relinquish any right which pertained to his see, far from pretending to pre-eminence among his brethren, disclaimed everything beyond the courtesy of being invited to attend, and being consulted in common with the other bishops of Italy. And although the *primacy* of that episcopate was recognised, both before and after the council of Nice, a *preference of judgment in the first instance* was neither claimed nor allowed, as the example of the council of Antioch, where Paul of Samosata was condemned without the participation of Dionysius bishop of Rome, clearly shews. In fact the language of the bishops of Italy to those of the East, complaining of their decision in the case of Maximus and Nectarius without allowing them to take cognizance of the affair, puts the matter in a very distinct light: “*Non prærogativam vindicamus examinis,*” said they, “*sed consortium tamen debuit esse communis arbitrii.*”

With regard to his religious sentiments, Cardinal Baronius in his “*Annals,*” and Philip Labbæus in his book “*De Scriptoribus Ecclesiasticis,*” assert that Socrates was of the Novatian sect. Nicephorus also expresses the same opinion in the preface to his “*Ecclesiastical History:*” his words are, “*Socrates had indeed the appellation Catharus, (i. e. pure,) but his principles were not so.*” It must not be understood from this that his cognomen was *Catharus*, but simply that he was a Novatian; for the Novatians were accustomed to designate themselves *Cathari*, as the eighth canon of the Nicene council informs us. The same writer (book xi. chap. 14) speaks thus of him: “*Socrates (who from this passage clearly owns that he was not opposed to the doctrines of the Novatians) says that these things were related to him by a certain old man,*” &c. But the reasons why Socrates was by very

many considered a Novatian, are neither few nor slight. For in the first place he carefully enumerates the series of Novatian prelates who governed their church at Constantinople from the times of Constantine, noticing also the Consulates in which they severally died. In the next place he passes the highest encomiums on each of them, especially Agelius and Sisinnius, Chrysanthus and Paul, and even avers that by the prayers of the latter a miracle was performed at Constantinople. In short he enters into all things relating to the sect of the Novatians with so much interest and fidelity, as to seem at least extremely favourable to them. Yet if any one will candidly examine the subject, he will find no conclusive evidence of his having himself been a Novatian. For with equal diligence he enumerates the Arian prelates who had the administration of their church at Constantinople: he is not however on that account said to have been an Arian. In fact he has entered as fully into all the circumstances connected with the Arians, Eunomians, and Macedonians at Constantinople, as with the Novatians. He has accounted for this in book iv. chap. 24: where he states that his object more particularly was to record those things which took place at Constantinople; as well because he himself resided in that city, in which he had been born and educated, as that the transactions there were of greater importance, and more worthy of record. But if any one should object that the Arian bishops are less commended by Socrates than those of the Novatians, the ready answer is—that the former were in every respect inferior to the latter; for the Novatian church was not only sound in doctrines, but at that time abounded with the most eminent clergy. It must notwithstanding be confessed that our author generally favours the Novatians: as when he

numbers the founder of that sect among the martyrs; says that the Novatians were attached to the catholics by the strongest affection, and united with them in public prayer; and commends the discourse of Sisinnius in reprobation of the expression of Chrysostom, "Even if thou hast repented a thousand times, approach." But it is one thing to favour the Novatians, and another to be a Novatian. Socrates might have been favourable to them, either from being on terms of familiar intercourse with the most distinguished among them, or because he approved of their discipline and abstinence: for we may gather from his writings that he was rather disposed to austerity of habit. Still had he identified himself with that body, he surely would not (book ii. chap. 38) have distinctly called the catholics τοὺς τῆς ἐκκλησίας, *those of the church*, and opposed them to the Novatians, thereby acknowledging the Novatians to be without the pale of the church. Moreover (book vi. chap. 20 and 23), he classes the Novatians among the heretics, with Arians, Macedonians, and Eunomians; while he styles the church simply and absolutely the catholic church, so discriminating it from the churches of the various sects. Again he censures in no ambiguous terms the abolition of a *Penitentiary Presbyter*, on the recommendation of Nectarius: for by this means, he observes, licence was given to transgressors, since there was no one whose duty it was to reprove them—which is not the language of a Novatian; for that sect did not admit of repentance after baptism, as Socrates himself testifies. Theodore Lector, who lived in the same city, and almost at the same period as Socrates, viz:—in the reign of Anastasius, in an epistle prefixed to his Ecclesiastical History, denominates Socrates, Sozomen, and Theodoret, ἄνδρας θεοφιλεῖς, *men beloved of God*. Finally, Peter Hal-

loxius, in his notes on the life of Irenæus (page 664), vindicates him from the charge of Baronius, who wrote (A. D. 159) thus respecting him:—"These things Socrates the Novatian, he himself also celebrating the passover with the Jews on the 14th day of the month," &c. For he remarks that, "whereas Socrates is called a Novatian, it may be understood in two senses: in one that he sometimes favoured the Novatians, which Bellarminus also affirms in his treatise '*De Scriptoribus Ecclesiasticis*' (A. D. 440); in the other that he had adopted their heretical opinions. But in the chapter referred to he clearly shows that he is neither a Novatian, nor favourable to their views: on the contrary he censures them, and exposes their dissensions and vices in the character of an enemy rather than a friend, or perhaps that which most became him as an historian, neither, but simply a narrator of truth."

But while we are bound to exonerate him from actual identification with a sect whom he himself (book vi. chap. 20 and 23) reckons among the schismatics, we cannot so easily justify all that he has advanced respecting the Novatians; for he seems misinformed as to the state of their schism and errors. Moreover he confounds Novatian, a presbyter of the Roman church, who really first broke the unity of the church, with Novatus, a person that was either among the presbytery, or as some say was bishop of Africa, and who merely favoured that division, but was not the author of it. Cyprian, from his personal knowledge of the latter, represents him as "an unruly spirit, the enemy of peace, fond of novelties, of insatiable avarice, and inflated with insufferable pride." He further accuses him of having cast the seeds of discord among the faithful of Carthage, of having robbed the widows and orphans, and of having appropriated to his own use the

property of the church and of the poor which had been deposited in his hands. He also charges him with having suffered his father to die of hunger, and then neglected to give him the honour of sepulture, with other gross enormities. And finally, he adds that apprehending the deposition and excommunication he had merited, he anticipated his condemnation by flight, and going to Rome, joined himself to Novatian, and committed there greater crimes than he had been guilty of at Carthage. One would not wonder so much that Socrates has not distinguished these two men, since other Greek authors have not done so, who had little need of information on Oriental affairs; had not Eusebius in book vi. of his History inserted a letter of Cornelius containing a description of the occasion of the separation of the Novatians, so very unlike his own.* This difference can only be attributed to the too great readiness with which he listened to one of these heretics at Constantinople; who so artfully disguised the circumstances connected with the origin of the schism, as to lead him to suspect the credibility of Cornelius, as of an interested party. It is under the influence of the same principle, without doubt, that he sometimes passes such extravagant encomiums on the exterior austerity of their conduct, and the apparent sanctity of their life.

* Socrates takes no notice whatever of the declaration of Cornelius that Novatian separated from ecclesiastical communion through jealousy, because he had not been elected bishop: that he managed to get himself ordained by three prelates whose reason had been clouded by the fumes of wine: and that the pardon granted to those who had sacrificed to idols during the persecution excited by Decius against the church, was but a pretext for his schism.

THE TABLE OF CONTENTS.

THE HISTORY.—BOOK I. *Pages 1—110.*

	PAGE
CHAPTER I. Preface to the entire work	1
Chap. II. By what means the emperor Constantine became a Christian	2
Chap. III. While Constantine favours the Christians, Licinius, who shared with him the imperial dignity, persecutes them	4
Chap. IV. War arises between Constantine and Licinius on account of the Christians	6
Chap. V. The dispute of Arius with Alexander his bishop . . .	7
Chap. VI. Division begins in the church from this controversy; and Alexander, bishop of Alexandria, excommunicates Arius and his adherents	8
Chap. VII. The emperor Constantine, being grieved at the disturbance of the churches, sends Hosius, a Spaniard, to Alexandria, exhorting the bishop and Arius to unanimity	17
Chap. VIII. Of the Synod which was held at Nice in Bithynia, and the faith there promulgated	22
Chap. IX. The epistle of the Synod, relative to its decisions; and the condemnation of Arius, with all those who held his opinions	35
Chap. X. The emperor summons to the Synod Acesius also bishop of the Novatians	52
Chap. XI. Of the bishop Paphnutius	53
Chap. XII. Of Spyridon, bishop of the Cyprians	55
Chap. XIII. Of Eutychian the monk	56
Chap. XIV. Eusebius bishop of Nicomedia, and Theognis bishop of Nice, who had been banished on account of their concurring in opinion with Arius, having published their recantation, and agreed to the exposition of the faith, are reinstated in their sees	59
Chap. XV. After the Synod, on the death of Alexander, Athanasius is constituted bishop of Alexandria	61
Chap. XVI. The emperor Constantine having enlarged the ancient Byzantium, calls it Constantinople	62
Chap. XVII. The emperor's mother Helen having arrived at Jerusalem, finds the cross of Christ which she had long sought, and builds a church	63

	PAGE
Chapter XVIII. The emperor Constantine abolishes Paganism, and erects many churches in different places - - -	66
Chap. XIX. By what means, in the time of Constantine, the nations in the interior of India were Christianized - - -	69
Chap. XX. By what means the Iberians were converted to Christianity - - -	72
Chap. XXI. Of Antony the monk - - -	76
Chap. XXII. Of Manes the ringleader of the Manichæan heresy, and whence his origin - - -	76
Chap. XXIII. Eusebius bishop of Nicomedia, and Theognis bishop of Nice, having resumed courage, endeavour to subvert the Nicene creed, by plotting against Athanasius -	80
Chap. XXIV. Of the Synod held at Antioch, which deposed Eustathius bishop of Antioch, on whose account a sedition was excited which almost ruined the city - - -	82
Chap. XXV. Of the presbyter who exerted himself that Arius might be recalled - - -	84
Chap. XXVI. Arius on being recalled, presents his recantation to the emperor, and pretends to acknowledge the Nicene creed - - -	87
Chap. XXVII. Arius having returned to Alexandria with the emperor's consent, and not being received by Athanasius, the partisans of Eusebius lay many charges before the emperor against Athanasius - - -	88
Chap. XXVIII. On account of the charges against Athanasius, the emperor directs a Synod of bishops to be held at Tyre -	93
Chap. XXIX. Of Arsenius, and his hand which was said to have been cut off - - -	94
Chap. XXX. The accusers betake themselves to flight, when Athanasius is found innocent of what was first laid to his charge - - -	95
Chap. XXXI. When the bishops will not admit his defence on the second charge, Athanasius flees to the emperor - -	96
Chap. XXXII. On the departure of Athanasius, those who composed the Synod vote his deposition - - -	97
Chap. XXXIII. The Synod proceed from Tyre to Jerusalem, and having kept the feast of dedication of the "New Jerusalem," receive Arius and his followers again into communion -	98
Chap. XXXIV. The emperor summons the Synod to himself by letter, in order that the charges against Athanasius might be minutely investigated before him - - -	99
Chap. XXXV. The Synod not having come to the emperor, the partisans of Eusebius accuse Athanasius of having threatened to withhold the corn which is supplied to Constantinople from Alexandria: on which account the emperor being exasperated, sends Athanasius away into exile, ordering him to remain in the Gallias - - -	102

CONTENTS.

XV

PAGE

Chap. XXXVI.	Of Marcellus bishop of Ancyra, and Asterius the sophist	103
Ch. XXXVII.	After the banishment of Athanasius, Arius having been sent for from Alexandria by the emperor, excites commotions against Alexander bishop of Constantinople	104
Ch. XXXVIII.	The death of Arius	106
Chap. XXXIX.	The emperor having fallen into disease, dies	108
Chap. XL.	The funeral obsequies of the emperor Constantine	109

THE HISTORY.—BOOK II. Pages 111—233.

CHAPTER I.	The Preface, in which the reason is assigned for the Author's revision of his first and second books	111
Chap. II.	Eusebius bishop of Nicomedia and his party, by again endeavouring to introduce the Arian heresy, create disturbances in the churches	112
Chap. III.	Athanasius confiding in the letter of Constantine the younger, returns to Alexandria	114
Chap. IV.	On the death of Eusebius Pamphilus, Acacius succeeds to the bishopric of Cæsarea	116
Chap. V.	The death of Constantine the younger	116
Chap. VI.	Alexander bishop of Constantinople at his death, proposes the election either of Paul or Macedonius as his successor	116
Chap. VII.	The emperor Constantius ejects Paul after his elevation to the prelacy, and sending for Eusebius of Nicomedia, invests him with the bishopric of Constantinople	118
Chap. VIII.	Eusebius, having convened another Synod at Antioch in Syria, causes another form of faith to be promulgated	118
Chap. IX.	Of Eusebius Emisenus	120
Chap. X.	The bishops assembled at Antioch, on the refusal of Eusebius Emisenus to accept the bishopric of Alexandria, ordain Gregory, and change the expression of the Nicene Creed	121
Chap. XI.	On the arrival of Gregory at Alexandria, guarded by a military force, Athanasius flees	125
Chap. XII.	The people of Constantinople restore Paul to his see after the death of Eusebius, while the Arians elect Macedonius	126
Chap. XIII.	Paul is again ejected from the church by Constantius, in consequence of the slaughter of Hermogenes his general	127
Chap. XIV.	The Arians remove Gregory from the see of Alexandria, and appoint George in his place	128
Chap. XV.	Athanasius and Paul going to Rome, and being fortified by the letters of Julius bishop of Rome, recover their respective dioceses	129

	PAGE
Chapter XVI. The emperor Constantius sends an order to Philip the Prætorian Præfect, that Paul should be exiled, and Macedonius installed in his see - - - - -	131
Chap. XVII. Athanasius afraid of the emperor's menaces, returns to Rome again - - - - -	134
Chap. XVIII. The emperor of the West requests his brother to send to him such persons as could give an account of the deposition of Athanasius and Paul. Those who are sent publish another form of the creed - - -	136
Chap. XIX. An elaborate exposition of the faith - - - - -	138
Chap. XX. Of the Synod at Sardica - - - - -	144
Chap. XXI. Defence of Eusebius Pamphilus - - - - -	147
Chap. XXII. The Synod of Sardica restore Paul and Athanasius to their sees; and on the Eastern emperor's refusal to admit them, the emperor of the West threatens him with war - - - - -	151
Chap. XXIII. Constantius being afraid of his brother's menaces, by letter recalls Athanasius, and sends him to Alexandria	153
Chap. XXIV. Athanasius, passing through Jerusalem on his return to Alexandria, is received into communion by Maximus; and a Synod of bishops being convened in that city, the Nicene creed is confirmed - - - - -	163
Chap. XXV. Of the tyrants Magnentius and Vetrano - - - - -	165
Chap. XXVI. After the death of Constans the Western emperor, Paul and Athanasius are again ejected from their sees: the former after being carried into exile is slain; but the latter escapes by flight - - - - -	166
Chap. XXVII. Macedonius having possessed himself of the see of Constantinople, does much mischief to those who differ from him in opinion - - - - -	168
Chap. XXVIII. Athanasius's account of the violences committed at Alexandria by George the Arian - - - - -	169
Chap. XXIX. Of the Heresiarch Photinus - - - - -	173
Chap. XXX. Forms of the creed published at Sirmium, in presence of the emperor Constantius - - - - -	174
Chap. XXXI. Of Hosius bishop of Cordova - - - - -	181
Chap. XXXII. Overthrow of the tyrant Magnentius - - - - -	182
Chap. XXXIII. Of the Jews inhabiting Dio Cæsarea in Palestine - - - - -	184
Chap. XXXIV. Of Gallus Cæsar - - - - -	184
Chap. XXXV. Of Aëtius the Syrian, master of Eunomius - - - - -	185
Chap. XXXVI. Of the Synod at Milan - - - - -	188
Ch. XXXVII. Of the Synod at Rimini, and the creed there published - - - - -	189
Ch. XXXVIII. Cruelty of Macedonius, and tumults raised by him - - - - -	203
Chap. XXXIX. Of the Synod at Seleucia, a city of Isauria - - - - -	209
Chap. XL. Acacius bishop of Cæsarea dictates another form of the creed in the Synod at Seleucia - - - - -	212

CONTENTS.

xvii

PAGE

Chapter XLI.	On the emperor's return from the West, the Acacians are convened at Constantinople, and confirm the creed brought forward at Rimini, after making some additions to it - - - - -	220
Chap. XLII.	On the deposition of Macedonius, Eudoxius obtains the bishopric of Constantinople - - - - -	223
Chap. XLIII.	Of Eustathius bishop of Sebaatia - - - - -	224
Chap. XLIV.	Of Meletius bishop of Antioch - - - - -	227
Chap. XLV.	The heresy of Macedonius - - - - -	228
Chap. XLVI.	Of the Apollinaristæ, and their heresy - - - - -	231
Chap. XLVII.	Death of the emperor Constantius - - - - -	232

THE HISTORY.—BOOK III. Pages 234—296.

CHAPTER I.	Of Julian, his lineage, and education: his apostasy to Paganism after his elevation to the imperial dignity -	234
Chap. II.	Of the sedition excited at Alexandria, and how George was slain - - - - -	242
Chap. III.	The emperor indignant at the murder of George, severely censures the Alexandrians by letter - - - - -	243
Chap. IV.	On the death of George, Athanasius returns to Alexandria, and is re-established in his see - - - - -	247
Chap. V.	Of Lucifer and Eusebius - - - - -	248
Chap. VI.	Lucifer goes to Antioch and ordains Paulinus - - - - -	248
Chap. VII.	By the co-operation of Eusebius and Athanasius a Synod is convened at Alexandria, wherein the Trinity is declared to be consubstantial - - - - -	249
Chap. VIII.	Quotations from Athanasius's apology for his flight -	252
Chap. IX.	After the Synod of Alexandria, composed of the supporters of the doctrine of Consubstantiality, Eusebius proceeding to Antioch, finds the Catholics at variance on account of Paulinus's ordination, and having exerted himself in vain to reconcile them, he departs - -	258
Chap. X.	Of Hilary bishop of Poitiers - - - - -	259
Chap. XI.	The emperor Julian exacts money from the Christians -	261
Chap. XII.	Of Maris bishop of Chalcedon - - - - -	262
Chap. XIII.	Of the tumult excited by the Pagans against the Christians - - - - -	263
Chap. XIV.	Flight of Athanasius - - - - -	265
Chap. XV.	Martyrs at Merus in Phrygia, under the reign of Julian -	266
Chap. XVI.	On the emperor's prohibiting Christians being instructed in Greek literature, the two Apollinares compose books in that language - - - - -	268
Chap. XVII.	The emperor preparing an expedition against the Persians, arrives at Antioch, where having provoked the ridicule of the inhabitants, he retorts on them by a satirical publication entitled " Misopögön," i. e. The Beard-hater	272

	PAGE
Chap. XVIII. The emperor consulting an oracle, the demon gives no response, being awed by the proximity of Babylas the martyr - - - - -	274
Chap. XIX. Wrath of the emperor, and firmness of Theodore the confessor - - - - -	274
Chap. XX. The Jews being instigated by the emperor to rebuild their temple, are frustrated in their attempt by miraculous interposition - - - - -	276
Chap. XXI. The emperor's irruption into Persia, and death - - -	278
Chap. XXII. Jovian is proclaimed emperor - - - - -	280
Chap. XXIII. Refutation of the statements of Libanius the sophist concerning Julian - - - - -	282
Chap. XXIV. Anxiety of the bishops to induce Jovian to favour their own creed - - - - -	291
Chap. XXV. The Macedonians and Acacians convene at Antioch, and declare their assent to the Nicene Creed - - - - -	292
Chap. XXVI. Death of the emperor Jovian - - - - -	295

THE HISTORY.—BOOK IV. *Pages 297—363.*

CHAPTER I. After Jovian's death, Valentinian is proclaimed emperor, who makes his brother Valens his colleague in the empire; Valentinian holding the orthodox faith, but Valens being an Arian - - - - -	297
Chap. II. Valentinian goes into the West, leaving Valens at Constantinople, who accedes to the request of the Macedonians that a Synod might be convened, but persecutes the Homoeousians - - - - -	299
Chap. III. While Valens persecutes the orthodox Christians in the East, a tyrant arises at Constantinople named Procopius: and at the same time an earthquake and inundation take place - - - - -	300
Chap. IV. The Macedonians convene a Synod at Lampsacus, during a period of both secular and ecclesiastical agitation; and after confirming the Antiochian Creed, and anathematizing that promulgated at Rimini, they again ratify the deposition of Acacius and Eudoxius - - - - -	300
Chap. V. Engagement between Valens and Procopius near Nacolia in Phrygia; after which the tyrant is betrayed by his chief officers, and with them put to death - - - - -	301
Chap. VI. After the tyrant's death, Valens constrains those who composed the Synod, and all Christians, to profess Arian tenets - - - - -	302
Chap. VII. Eunomius supersedes Eleusius in the see of Cyzicum. His origin, and imitation of Aëtius, whose amanuensis he had been - - - - -	303

CONTENTS.

xix

PAGE

Chapter VIII.	Of the oracle found inscribed on a stone, when the walls of Chalcedon were demolished by order of the emperor Valens - - - - -	305
Chap. IX.	Valens persecutes the Novatians, because of their holding the orthodox faith - - - - -	307
Chap. X.	The emperor Valentinian begets a son, who is named after his father: Gratian having been born before his accession to the imperial dignity - - - - -	309
Chap. XI.	Hail of extraordinary size: and earthquakes in Bithynia and the Hellespont - - - - -	309
Chap. XII.	The Macedonians pressed by the emperor's violence toward them, send a deputation to Liberius bishop of Rome, and subscribe the Homocousian Creed - - - - -	310
Chap. XIII.	Eunomius separates from Eudoxius; through whom a disturbance being raised at Alexandria, Athanasius secretes himself again, until by virtue of the emperor's letters, he is re-established - - - - -	318
Chap. XIV.	The Arians ordain Demophilus after the decease of Eudoxius at Constantinople; but the orthodox party constitute Evagrius his successor - - - - -	319
Chap. XV.	The Homocousians are persecuted by the Arians, after the banishment of Evagrius and Eustathius - - - - -	320
Chap. XVI.	Ecclesiastics burnt in a ship by order of Valens. Famine in Phrygia - - - - -	321
Chap. XVII.	The emperor Valens while at Antioch, again persecutes the Homocousians - - - - -	322
Chap. XVIII.	Transactions at Edessa: constancy of the devout citizens, and courage of a pious female - - - - -	323
Chap. XIX.	Slaughter of many persons by Valens on account of their names, by reason of a heathen prediction - - - - -	324
Chap. XX.	Death of Athanasius, and elevation of Peter to his see - - - - -	325
Chap. XXI.	The Arians induce the emperor to set Lucius over the see of Alexandria, and Peter is imprisoned - - - - -	326
Chap. XXII.	Flight of Peter to Rome. Massacre of the Solitaries at the instigation of the Arians - - - - -	327
Chap. XXIII.	A list of holy persons who devoted themselves to a solitary life - - - - -	328
Chap. XXIV.	Assault upon the monks, and banishment of their superiors, who exhibit miraculous power - - - - -	337
Chap. XXV.	Of Didymus the blind man - - - - -	340
Chap. XXVI.	Of Basil bishop of Cæsarea, and Gregory of Nazianzen - - - - -	342
Chap. XXVII.	Of Gregory Thaumaturgus - - - - -	345
Chap. XXVIII.	Of Novatus and his followers. The Novatians of Phrygia alter the time of keeping Easter - - - - -	347
Chap. XXIX.	Damasus ordained bishop of Rome. Sedition and loss of life caused by the rivalry of Ursinus - - - - -	350

	PAGE
Chapter XXX. Dissension about a successor to Auxentius bishop of Milan. Ambrosius governor of the province, going to appease the tumult, is by general consent, the emperor Valentinian also sanctioning it, elected to preside over that see - - - - -	351
Chap. XXXI. Death of Valentinian - - - - -	352
Chap. XXXII. The emperor Valens, appeased by the oration of Themistus the philosopher, mitigates his persecution of the Christians - - - - -	355
Chap. XXXIII. The Goths, under the reign of Valens, embrace Christianity	356
Chap. XXXIV. Admission of the fugitive Goths into the Roman territories, which caused the emperor's overthrow, and eventually the subversion of the Roman empire - - -	357
Chap. XXXV. Remission of persecution against the Christians because of the war with the Goths - - - - -	358
Chap. XXXVI. The Saracens under Mavia their queen, embrace Christianity; and Moses, a pious monk, is ordained their bishop - - - - -	359
Ch. XXXVII. After the departure of Valens from Antioch, the Alexandrians eject Lucius, and restore Peter - - -	361
Ch. XXXVIII. The emperor Valens is slain in an engagement with the Goths near Adrianople - - - - -	361

THE HISTORY.—BOOK V. *Pages 364—417.*

	The Preface to Book V. - - - - -	364
CHAPTER I.	The Goths again attack Constantinople, and are repulsed by the citizens, aided by some Saracen auxiliaries -	365
Chap. II.	The emperor Gratian recalls the orthodox bishops, and expels the heretics from the churches. He takes Theodosius as his imperial colleague - - -	366
Chap. III.	The principal bishops who flourished at that time -	367
Chap. IV.	The Macedonians who had subscribed the Homoousian doctrine, return to their former error - - -	368
Chap. V.	Transactions at Antioch in connection with Paulinus and Meletius - - - - -	368
Chap. VI.	Gregory of Nazianzen is translated to the see of Constantinople. The emperor Theodosius falling sick at Thessalonica, is there baptized by Ascholius the bishop	370
Chap. VII.	Gregory abdicates the episcopate of Constantinople. The emperor orders Demophilus the Arian bishop either to assent to the Homoousian faith, or leave the city -	371
Chap. VIII.	A Synod convened at Constantinople. Ordination of Nectarius - - - - -	373
Chap. IX.	The body of Paul bishop of Constantinople is honourably transferred from his place of exile. Death of Meletius	375

CONTENTS.

xxi

PAGE

Chapter	X.	The emperor causes a Synod to be convened composed of all the various sects. Arcadius is proclaimed Augustus. The Novatians permitted to hold their assemblies in the city of Constantinople - - - - -	376
Chap.	XI.	The emperor Gratian is slain by the treachery of the tyrant Maximus. Justina ceases from persecuting Ambrose - - - - -	381
Chap.	XII.	While the emperor Theodosius is engaged in military preparations against the tyrant, his son Honorius is born. He then proceeds to Milan in order to encounter Maximus - - - - -	382
Chap.	XIII.	The Arians excite a tumult at Constantinople - - - - -	384
Chap.	XIV.	Overthrow and death of the tyrant Maximus - - - - -	385
Chap.	XV.	Of Flavian bishop of Antioch - - - - -	386
Chap.	XVI.	Demolition of the idolatrous temples at Alexandria; and conflict between the Pagans and Christians - - - - -	388
Chap.	XVII.	Of the hieroglyphics found in the Temple of Serapis - - - - -	390
Chap.	XVIII.	Reformation of abuses at Rome by the emperor Theodosius - - - - -	391
Chap.	XIX.	The office of Penitentiary Presbyter abolished - - - - -	393
Chap.	XX.	Divisions among the Arians and other Heretics - - - - -	395
Chap.	XXI.	Peculiar schism among the Novatians - - - - -	396
Chap.	XXII.	The Author's views respecting the celebration of Easter; with observations on Baptism, Fasting, Marriage, the Eucharist, and other ecclesiastical rites - - - - -	399
Chap.	XXIII.	Further dissensions among the Arians at Constantinople - - - - -	410
Chap.	XXIV.	The Eunomians divide into several factions - - - - -	412
Chap.	XXV.	The tyrant Eugenius compasses the death of Valentinian Junior - - - - -	414
Chap.	XXVI.	Death of the emperor Theodosius - - - - -	416

THE HISTORY.—BOOK VI. Pages 417—463.

		The Preface to Book VI. - - - - -	417
CHAPTER	I.	Theodosius's two sons divide the empire. Rufinus is slain at the feet of Arcadius - - - - -	419
Chap.	II.	Death of Nectarius, and ordination of John - - - - -	420
Chap.	III.	Birth and education of John bishop of Constantinople - - - - -	421
Chap.	IV.	John renders himself odious to his clergy. Of Serapion - - - - -	423
Chap.	V.	John draws down upon himself the displeasure of many persons of rank and power. Of the eunuch Eutropius - - - - -	425
Chap.	VI.	Gainas the Goth attempts to usurp the sovereign power, and after filling Constantinople with disorder, is slain - - - - -	427
Chap.	VII.	Dissension between Theophilus bishop of Alexandria and the Monks. Condemnation of Origen's books - - - - -	432

	PAGE
Chapter VIII. The Arians and Homoousians practise nocturnal alternative hymns, a species of composition ascribed to Ignatius, surnamed Theophorus. Conflict between the two parties - - - - -	436
Chap. IX. Theophilus bishop of Alexandria endeavours to depose John bishop of Constantinople - - - - -	438
Chap. X. Epiphanius bishop of Cyprus convenes a Synod to condemn the books of Origen - - - - -	439
Chap. XI. Of Severian and Antiochus: their disagreement with John - - - - -	441
Chap. XII. Epiphanius performs ordinations at Constantinople without John's permission - - - - -	445
Chap. XIII. The author's defence of Origen - - - - -	446
Chap. XIV. Epiphanius admonished by John concerning his anticanonical proceedings, leaves Constantinople - - - - -	448
Chap. XV. John is ejected from his church on account of his dispraise of women - - - - -	449
Chap. XVI. Sedition on account of John Chrysostom's banishment. He is recalled - - - - -	451
Chap. XVII. Conflict between the Constantinopolitans and Alexandrians. Flight of Theophilus and the bishops of his party - - - - -	453
Chap. XVIII. Of Eudoxia's silver statue. John is exiled a second time	455
Chap. XIX. Ordination of Arsacius as John's successor. Indisposition of Cyrin bishop of Chalcedon - - - - -	457
Chap. XX. Death of Arsacius, and ordination of Atticus - - - - -	458
Chap. XXI. John dies in exile - - - - -	459
Chap. XXII. Of Sisinnius bishop of the Novatians. His readiness at repartee - - - - -	460
Chap. XXIII. Death of the emperor Arcadius - - - - -	462

THE HISTORY.—BOOK VII. *Pages 462—534.*

CHAPTER I. Anthemius the Prætorian Præfect administers the government of the East, in behalf of young Theodosius -	463
Chap. II. Character and conduct of Atticus bishop of Constantinople	464
Chap. III. Of Theodosius and Agapetus bishops of Synada -	465
Chap. IV. A paralytic Jew healed by Atticus in baptism -	467
Chap. V. The Presbyter Sabbatius, formerly a Jew, separates from the Novatians - - - - -	468
Chap. VI. Bishops of the Arian heresy - - - - -	469
Chap. VII. Cyril succeeds Theophilus bishop of Alexandria -	470
Chap. VIII. Propagation of Christianity among the Persians -	471
Chap. IX. Bishops of Antioch and Rome - - - - -	473
Chap. X. Rome taken and sacked by Alaric - - - - -	474
Chap. XI. Bishops of Rome - - - - -	475

CONTENTS.

xxiii

	PAGE
Chapter XII. Of Chrysanthus bishop of the Novatians at Constantinople - - - - -	476
Chap. XIII. Conflict between the Christians and Jews at Alexandria : and breach between Cyril the bishop and Orestes the præfect - - - - -	478
Chap. XIV. Sedition of the monks against the præfect of Alexandria -	480
Chap. XV. Of Hypatia the female philosopher - - - - -	482
Chap. XVI. The Jews commit another outrage upon the Christians -	483
Chap. XVII. Miracle at the baptism of a Jewish impostor - - - - -	484
Chap. XVIII. Renewal of hostilities between the Romans and Persians after the death of Isdigerdes - - - - -	486
Chap. XIX. Of Palladius the courier - - - - -	489
Chap. XX. A second overthrow of the Persians by the Romans -	490
Chap. XXI. Singular charity of Acacius bishop of Amida toward the Persian captives - - - - -	492
Chap. XXII. Virtues of the emperor Theodosius Junior - - - - -	494
Chap. XXIII. Tyranny of John after the death of the emperor Honorius. He is destroyed through the prayers of Theodosius Junior - - - - -	497
Chap. XXIV. Valentinian proclaimed emperor - - - - -	499
Chap. XXV. Christian benevolence of Atticus bishop of Constantinople. His foreknowledge of his own death - - -	500
Chap. XXVI. Sisinnius is chosen to succeed Atticus - - - - -	503
Chap. XXVII. Voluminous productions of Philip, a presbyter born at Side - - - - -	504
Chap. XXVIII. Proclus ordained bishop of Cyzicum by Sisinnius, but rejected by the people - - - - -	506
Chap. XXIX. Nestorius promoted to the see of Constantinople. His persecution of the heretics - - - - -	507
Chap. XXX. The Burgundians embrace Christianity - - - - -	509
Chap. XXXI. Nestorius harasses the Macedonians - - - - -	510
Chap. XXXII. Of the presbyter Anastasius, by whom the faith of Nestorius was perverted - - - - -	511
Chap. XXXIII. Desecration of the altar of the great church - - - - -	514
Chap. XXXIV. Synod at Ephesus against Nestorius. His deposition -	515
Chap. XXXV. Election of Maximian to the episcopate of Constantinople	517
Chap. XXXVI. The author's opinion of the validity of translations from one See to another - - - - -	518
Ch. XXXVII. Miracle performed by Silvanus bishop of Troas - - - - -	520
Ch. XXXVIII. Many of the Jews in Crete embrace the Christian faith -	522
Chap. XXXIX. Preservation of the church of the Novatians from fire -	524
Chap. XL. Proclus succeeds Maximian bishop of Constantinople -	525
Chap. XLI. Excellent qualities of Proclus - - - - -	526
Chap. XLII. Eulogium of the Emperor Theodosius Junior - - - - -	527
Chap. XLIII. Calamities of the barbarians who had been the tyrant John's auxiliaries - - - - -	527

	PAGE
Chapter XLIV. Marriage of the emperor Valentinian with Eudoxia the daughter of Theodosius - - - - -	529
Chap. XLV. The body of John Chrysostom transferred to Constantinople - - - - -	529
Chap. XLVI. Death of Paul bishop of the Novatians, and election of Marcian as his successor - - - - -	530
Chap. XLVII. The empress Eudocia goes to Jerusalem - - -	532
Chap. XLVIII. Thalassius is ordained bishop of Cæsarea in Cappadocia -	533

THE
ECCLESIASTICAL HISTORY,
BY
SOCRATES SCHOLASTICUS.

BOOK I.—CHAPTER I.

PREFACE TO THE ENTIRE WORK.

EUSEBIUS, surnamed Pamphilus, (i. e. universally beloved) has composed a History of the Church in ten books, brought down to the time of the emperor Constantine, when the persecution ceased which Diocletian had commenced against the Christians. But, in writing the life of Constantine, this author has very slightly treated of the Arian controversy, being evidently more intent on a highly wrought eulogium of the emperor, than an accurate statement of facts. We therefore propose to write at large the details of what has taken place in the Churches, beginning with a relation of those particulars which he has passed over, and bringing down subsequent events to our own times: nor shall we be very solicitous to display an empty parade of words, but to lay faithfully before the reader what we have been able to collect from the best authenticated records, and such information as has been communicated to us by those who were themselves identified with the transactions to which they bear testimony. And since it has an

important bearing on the matter in hand, it will be proper to enter into some account of Constantine's conversion to Christianity.

CHAPTER II.

BY WHAT MEANS THE EMPEROR CONSTANTINE BECAME
A CHRISTIAN.

WHEN Diocletian and Maximian, surnamed Herculius, had by mutual consent laid aside the imperial dignity, and retired into private life, Maximian, surnamed Galerius, who had been a sharer with them in the government, came into Italy and appointed two Cæsars, Maximin in the eastern division of the empire, and Severus in the Italian or western. In Britain however Constantine was proclaimed emperor, instead of his father Constantius, who died in the first year of the two hundred and seventy-first Olympiad, on the 25th of July. But at Rome Maxentius, the son of Maximian Herculius, was raised by the Prætorian soldiers to be a tyrant rather than an emperor. In this state of things Herculius, impelled by an eager desire of regaining the sovereign power, attempted to destroy his son Maxentius: but this he was prevented by the soldiery from effecting, and he soon afterwards died at Tarsus in Cilicia. Severus Cæsar was sent to Rome by Galerius Maximian, in order to sieze Maxentius, but his own soldiers having betrayed him, he was slain. At length Galerius Maximian, who as senior Augustus had exercised the chief authority, also died, having previously appointed as his successor, his old friend and companion in arms,

Licinius, a Dacian by birth. Meanwhile Maxentius tyrannically trampled on the rights and liberties of the Roman people, shamelessly violating the wives of the nobles, putting many innocent persons to death, and perpetrating other atrocities. The emperor Constantine being informed of these things, exerted himself to free the Romans from the slavery under which they were groaning; and began immediately to consider by what means he might overwhelm the tyrant. While his mind was occupied on this subject, and he was hesitating what divinity's aid he should invoke for the successful conduct of the war, it occurred to him that Diocletian had profited but little by the Pagan deities, whom he had so sedulously sought to propitiate; but that his own father Constantius, who had renounced the idolatrous worship of the Greeks, had passed through life far more prosperously. In this state of uncertainty, a preternatural vision, which transcends all description, appeared to him as he was marching at the head of his troops: he saw, about that part of the day when the sun after passing the meridian begins to decline towards the west, a pillar of light in the heavens, in the form of a cross, on which were inscribed these words, BY THIS CONQUER.* Struck with amazement at the appearance of this sign, and scarcely believing his own eyes, the emperor asked those around him if they beheld the same spectacle; and they all declaring that they did, the emperor's mind was strengthened by this divine and extraordinary apparition. In his slumbers on the following night he saw Christ, who directed him to prepare a standard according to the pattern of that

* Ἐν τούτῳ νίκα.

which had been seen; and to use it against his enemies as an assured trophy of victory. In obedience to this divine oracle, he caused a standard in the form of a cross to be prepared, which is preserved in the palace even to the present time: and proceeding in his measures with greater confidence, he attacked the enemy and vanquished him before the gates of Rome, near the Milvian bridge, Maxentius himself being drowned in the river. This victory was achieved in the seventh year of the conqueror's reign. After this, while Licinius, who shared the government with him, and was his brother-in-law, having married his sister Constantia, was residing in the East, the emperor Constantine offered grateful thanksgivings to God as his benefactor, for the signal blessings he had received, by such actions as these:—he relieved the Christians from persecution, recalled those who were in exile, liberated such as were imprisoned, and caused the confiscated property of the proscribed to be restored to them; he moreover rebuilt the churches, and performed all these things with the greatest ardour. About this time Diocletian, who had abdicated the imperial authority, died at Salona in Dalmatia.

CHAPTER III.

WHILE CONSTANTINE FAVOURS THE CHRISTIANS, LICINIUS,
WHO SHARED WITH HIM THE IMPERIAL DIGNITY, PER-
SECUTES THEM.

THE emperor Constantine, having thus embraced Christianity, conducted himself in a manner worthy

of his profession, building churches, and enriching them with splendid offerings: he also either closed or destroyed the idolatrous temples, and exposed the images which were in them to popular contempt. But his colleague Licinius, retaining his Pagan superstitions, hated Christians; and although for a while, from dread of Constantine, he avoided exciting persecution openly, yet he managed to plot against them covertly, and at length proceeded to acts of undisguised malevolence. This persecution, however, was local, not extending beyond those districts where Licinius himself was: but these and other public outrages could not long remain concealed from Constantine, and knowing that he was indignant at his conduct, Licinius had recourse to an apology. Having by this obsequiousness propitiated him, he entered into a specious league of friendship, pledging himself by many oaths, neither to act again tyrannically, nor to persecute Christians. Notwithstanding the solemn obligations under which he had bound himself, his perjury soon became apparent; for he ceased not to prejudice in every possible way the interests of Constantine, and to exercise the greatest severities on Christians. He even prohibited the bishops by law from visiting the unconverted Pagans, lest it should be made a pretext for proselyting them to the Christian faith. Hence while in word he concealed the bitterness of his hostility, the reality of it was too keenly felt to be screened from the public eye; for those who were exposed to his persecution, suffered most severely both in their persons and property.

CHAPTER IV.

WAR ARISES BETWEEN CONSTANTINE AND LICINIUS ON
ACCOUNT OF THE CHRISTIANS.

By this perfidy he drew upon himself the emperor Constantine's heaviest displeasure; and the pretended treaty of friendship having been so flagrantly violated, it was not long before they took up arms against each other as declared enemies. After several engagements both by sea and land, Licinius was at last utterly defeated near Chrysopolis in Bithynia, a port of the Chalcedonians, and surrendered himself to Constantine; who having taken him alive, treated him with the utmost humanity, and would by no means put him to death, but ordered him to take up his abode and live in tranquillity at Thessalonica. He could not however remain inactive; and having in a short time managed to collect some barbarian mercenaries, he made an effort to repair his late disaster by a fresh appeal to arms: and the emperor being made acquainted with his proceedings, directed that he should be slain. On this being carried into effect, Constantine became possessed of the sole dominion, and was accordingly proclaimed sovereign Autocrat; a circumstance which secured to Christians the peaceful profession of their faith,—this monarch seeking still, in a variety of ways, to promote their welfare. But unhappily this state of repose was of short duration, owing to dissensions among themselves, the nature and origin of which I shall now endeavour to describe.

CHAPTER V.

THE DISPUTE OF ARIUS WITH ALEXANDER HIS BISHOP.

AFTER Peter bishop of Alexandria had suffered martyrdom under Diocletian, Achilles was installed in the episcopal office, whom Alexander succeeded, during the period of peace above referred to. He in the fearless exercise of his functions for the instruction and government of the Church, attempted one day in the presence of the presbytery and the rest of his clergy, to explain, with perhaps too philosophical minuteness, that great theological mystery—the *UNITY of the Holy Trinity*. A certain one of the presbyters under his jurisdiction, whose name was Arius, possessed of no inconsiderable logical acumen, imagining that the bishop entertained the same view of this subject as Sabellius the Libyan, controverted his statements with excessive pertinacity, advancing another error which was directly opposed indeed to that which he supposed himself called upon to refute. “If,” said he, “the Father begat the Son, he that was begotten had a beginning of existence: and from this it is evident, that there was a time when the Son was not in being. It therefore necessarily follows, that he had his existence* from nothing.”

* Ὑπόστασιν.

CHAPTER VI.

DIVISION BEGINS IN THE CHURCH FROM THIS CONTROVERSY; AND ALEXANDER BISHOP OF ALEXANDRIA EXCOMMUNICATES ARIUS AND HIS ADHERENTS.

HAVING drawn this inference from his novel train of reasoning, he excited many to a consideration of the question; and thus from a little spark a large fire was kindled: for the evil which commenced in the Church at Alexandria, ran throughout all Egypt, Libya, and the upper Thebes, and at length diffused itself over the rest of the provinces and cities. Many others also adopted the opinion of Arius; but Eusebius in particular was a zealous defender of it: not he of Cæsarea, but the one who had before been bishop of the church at Berytus, and was then in the surreptitious possession of the bishopric of Nicomedia in Bithynia. When Alexander became conscious of the spread of this leaven, both from his own observation and report, being exasperated to the highest degree, he convened a council of many prelates; and having excommunicated Arius and the abettors of his heresy, he wrote as follows to the bishops constituted in the several cities.

THE EPISTLE OF ALEXANDER BISHOP OF ALEXANDRIA.

“To our beloved and most honoured fellow-Ministers of the Catholic Church everywhere, Alexander sends greeting in the Lord.

“Inasmuch as the Catholic Church is one body, and we are commanded in the holy Scriptures to

maintain the bond of unanimity and peace; it consequently becomes us to write, and mutually acquaint one another with the condition of things among each of us, in order that if one member suffers or rejoices, we may either sympathise with each other, or rejoice together. Know therefore that there have recently arisen in our diocese lawless and anti-christian men, teaching apostasy such as one may justly consider and denominate the forerunner of Antichrist. I wished indeed to consign this disorder to silence, that if possible the evil might be confined to the apostates alone; and lest going forth into other districts, it should contaminate the ears of some of the simple. But since Eusebius, who after deserting his charge at Berytus, and assuming with impunity the episcopal authority over the church at Nicomedia, seems to imagine that the affairs of the church are under his control, has undertaken the patronage of these apostates, daring even to send commendatory letters in all directions concerning them, if by any means he might inveigle some of the ignorant into this most impious and anti-christian heresy; I felt imperatively called on to be silent no longer, knowing what is written in the law, but to inform you all of these things, that ye might understand both who the apostates are, and also the execrable character of their heresy. I am constrained at the same time to warn you to pay no attention to his communications, if Eusebius should write to you; for now wishing to renew his former malevolence, which seemed to have been buried in oblivion by time, he affects to write in their behalf; while the fact itself plainly shows that he does this for the promotion of his own purposes. These then are those who have

become apostates:—Arius, Achillas, Aithales, and Carpones, another Arius, Sarmates, Euzoïus, Lucius, Julian, Menas, Helladius, and Gaius; with these also must be reckoned Secundus and Theonas, who once were called bishops. The dogmas they assert in utter contrariety to the Scriptures, and wholly of their own devising, are these:—that God was not always a father, but that there was a period when he was not a father; that the Word of God was not from eternity, but was made out of nothing;* for that the ever-existing God (*the I AM*—the eternal One) made him who did not previously exist, out of nothing. Thus they conclude there was a time when he did not exist, inasmuch as, according to their philosophy, the Son is a creature and a work; that he is neither like the Father *as it regards his essence*, nor is *by nature* either the Father's true Word, or true Wisdom, but indeed one of his works and creatures, being erroneously called Word and Wisdom, since he was himself made by God's own Word and the Wisdom which is in God, whereby God both made all things and him also. 'Wherefore,' say they, 'he is as to his nature mutable and susceptible of change, as all other rational creatures are: hence the Word is alien to and other than the essence of God; and the Father is inexplicable by the Son, and invisible to him, for neither does the Son perfectly and accurately know the Father, neither can he distinctly see him. The Son knows not the nature of his own essence: for he was made on our account, in order that God might create us by him, as by an instrument; nor would he ever have existed, unless God had wished

* Ἐξ οὐκ ὄντων γέγονεν.

to create us.' Some one accordingly asked them whether the Word of God could be changed, as the devil has been? and they feared not to say, 'Yes, he could; for being begotten and created, he is susceptible of change.' We then with the bishops of Egypt and Libya, being assembled together to the number of nearly a hundred, have anathematised Arius for his shameless avowal of these heresies, together with all such as have countenanced them. Yet the partisans of Eusebius have received them; endeavouring to blend falsehood with truth, and that which is impious with what is sacred. But they shall not prevail, for the truth must triumph; and light has no fellowship with darkness, nor has Christ any concord with Belial. Who ever heard such blasphemies? or what man of any piety is there now hearing them that is not horror-struck, and stops his ears, lest the filth of these expressions should pollute his sense of hearing? Who that hears John saying, '*In the beginning was the Word,*' does not condemn those that dare affirm there was a period when the Word was not? or who hearing in the gospel of '*the only-begotten Son,*' and that '*all things were made by him,*' will not abhor those that pronounce the Son to be one of the things made? But how can He be put on a level with, or regarded as one of the things which were made by himself? Or how can he be the only-begotten, if he is reckoned among created things? And how could he have had his existence from non-entities, since the Father has said, '*My heart has indited a good matter*' (Ps. xlv. 1); and '*I begat thee out of my bosom before the dawn*'* (Ps. cx. 3; see

* 'Εωσφόρου, the morning-star.

LXX. quoted from Ps. lxxii). Or how is he unlike the Father in essence, who is '*his perfect image*' (Col. i. 15), and '*the brightness of his glory*' (Heb. i. 3); he himself also declaring, '*He that hath seen me, hath seen the Father*'? Again, how is the Son the Word and Wisdom of God, if there was a period when he did not exist? for that is equivalent to their saying, that God was once destitute both of Word and Wisdom. How can he be mutable and susceptible of change, who says of himself, '*I am in the Father, and the Father in me*' (John xiv. 10); and '*I and the Father are one*' (John x. 30); and again by the Prophet (Mal. iii. 6), '*Behold me because I am, and have not changed*'? But if any one may also apply the expression to the Father himself, yet would it now be even more fitly said of the Word; because he was not changed by having become man, but as the Apostle says (Heb. xiii. 8), '*Jesus Christ, the same yesterday, to-day, and for ever.*' But what could persuade them to say that he was made on our account, when Paul has expressly declared (Heb. ii. 10), that '*all things are for him, and by him*'? One need not wonder then indeed at their blasphemous assertion, that the Son does not perfectly know the Father; for having once determined to fight against Christ, they reject even the words of the Lord himself, when he says (John x. 15), '*As the Father knows me, even so know I the Father.*' If therefore the Father but partially knows the Son, it is manifest that the Son also knows the Father but in part. But if it would be impious to affirm this, and it be admitted that the Father perfectly knows the Son, it is evident that as the Father knows his own Word, so also does the

Word know his own Father, whose Word he is. And we by stating these things, and unfolding the divine Scriptures, have often confuted them: but again as chameleons they were changed, striving to apply to themselves that which is written (Pro. xviii. 3; LXX.) '*When the ungodly has reached the depths of iniquity, he becomes contemptuous.*' Many heresies have arisen before these, which exceeding all bounds in impious daring, have lapsed into complete infatuation: but these persons, by attempting in all their discourses to subvert the Divinity of THE WORD, as having made a nearer approach to Antichrist, have comparatively lessened the odium of former heresies. Wherefore they have been publicly repudiated by the Church, and anathematized. We are indeed grieved on account of the perdition of these persons, and especially so because after having been previously instructed in the doctrines of the Church, they have now apostatized from them. Nevertheless we are not greatly surprised at this, for Hymenæus and Philetus (2 Tim. ii. 17, 18) fell in like manner; and before them Judas, who though he had been a follower of the Saviour, yet afterwards deserted him and became his betrayer. Nor were we without premonition respecting these very persons: for the Lord himself forewarned us (Mat. xxiv. 4), '*Take heed that no man deceive you: for many shall come in my name, saying, I am Christ: and shall deceive many*' (Luke xxi. 8); and '*the time is at hand; Go ye not therefore after them.*' And Paul having learned these things from the Saviour, wrote (1 Tim. iv. 1), '*That in the latter times some should apostatize from the faith, giving heed to deceiving spirits, and doctrines of devils,*' who pervert

the truth. Seeing then that our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ has himself enjoined this, and has also by the apostle given us intimation respecting such men, we having ourselves heard their impiety, have in consequence anathematized them, as we before said, and declared them to be alienated from the Catholic Church and faith. Moreover we have intimated this to your piety, beloved and most honoured fellow-Ministers, in order that ye might neither receive any of them, if they should presume to come to you, nor be induced to put confidence in Eusebius, or any other who may write to you about them. For it is incumbent on us who are Christians, to withdraw ourselves from all those who speak or entertain a thought against Christ, as from those who are resisting God, and are destroyers of the souls of men: neither does it become us even '*to salute such men*' (2 John 10, 11), as the blessed Apostle has prohibited, '*lest we should at any time be made partakers of their sins.*' Greet the brethren which are with you: those who are with us salute you."

By Alexander's thus addressing the bishops in every city, the evil only became worse; for those to whom he made this communication were thereby excited to contention, some fully concurring in and subscribing to the sentiments expressed in this letter, while others did the reverse. But Eusebius bishop of Nicomedia, was beyond all others incited to controversy, inasmuch as Alexander had in his letter made a personal and censorious allusion to him. Now at this juncture Eusebius possessed great influence, because the Emperor resided at Nicomedia, Dio-

cletian having a short time previously built a palace there. On this account therefore many of the bishops paid their court to Eusebius: and he himself was incessantly writing both to Alexander, that he might set aside the discussion which had been excited, and again receive Arius and his adherents into communion; and also to the bishops in each city, that they might not concur in the proceedings of Alexander. By these means confusion every where prevailed: for one saw not only the prelates of the churches engaged in contention, but the people also divided, some siding with one party, and some with the other. To so disgraceful an extent was this affair carried, that Christianity became a subject of popular ridicule, even in the very theatres. Those who were at Alexandria sharply disputed about the highest points of doctrine, and sent deputations to the bishops of the several dioceses; while those who were of the opposite faction created a similar disturbance.

With the Arians the Melitians mingled themselves, who a little while before had been separated from the Church: but who these Melitians are must now be stated.

By Peter bishop of Alexandria, who in the reign of Diocletian suffered martyrdom, an individual named Melitius, a bishop of one of the cities in Egypt, was degraded in consequence of many other charges indeed, but on this account more especially, that during the persecution he had denied the faith and sacrificed. This person after being stripped of his dignity, had nevertheless many followers, and became the leader of the heresy of those who are now

called from him Melitians throughout Egypt. And as there was no rational excuse for his separation from the Church, he pretended that he as an innocent man had been unjustly dealt with, loading Peter with calumnious reproaches. After the martyrdom of Peter, he transferred his abuse first to Achillas, who succeeded Peter in the bishopric, and afterwards again to Alexander, the successor of Achillas. In this state of things among them, the discussion in relation to Arius arose; and Melitius with his adherents took part with Arius, entering into a conspiracy against the bishop: but as many as regarded the opinion of Arius as untenable, justified Alexander's decision against him, and thought that those who favoured his views were justly condemned. Meanwhile Eusebius of Nicomedia and his partisans, with such as embraced the sentiments of Arius, demanded by letter that the sentence of excommunication which had been pronounced against him should be rescinded; and that those who had been excluded should be readmitted into the Church, as they held no unsound doctrine. Thus letters from the opposite parties were sent to the bishop of Alexandria; and Arius made a collection of those which were favourable to himself, while Alexander did the same with those which were adverse. This therefore afforded a plausible opportunity of defence to the sects, which are now so very numerous, of the Arians, Eunomians, and such as receive their name from Macedonius; who severally make use of these epistles in vindication of their heresies.

CHAPTER VII.

THE EMPEROR CONSTANTINE BEING GRIEVED AT THE
DISTURBANCE OF THE CHURCHES, SENDS HOSIUS A
SPANIARD TO ALEXANDRIA, EXHORTING THE BISHOP
AND ARIUS TO UNANIMITY.

WHEN the Emperor was made acquainted with these disorders, he was very deeply grieved; and regarding the matter as his own misfortune, immediately exerted himself to extinguish the conflagration which had been kindled. To this end he sent a letter to Alexander and Arius by a trustworthy person named Hosius, who was bishop of Cordova in Spain, and whom the emperor greatly loved and held in the highest estimation. It will not be out of place to introduce here a portion of this letter, the whole of which is given in the life of Constantine by Eusebius.

VICTOR CONSTANTINE MAXIMUS AUGUSTUS, TO
ALEXANDER AND ARIUS.

“Your present controversy, I am informed, originated thus. When you, Alexander, inquired of your Presbyters what were the sentiments of each on a certain inexplicable passage of *the written Word*, thereby mooting a subject improper for discussion; you, Arius, rashly gave expression to a view of the matter such as ought either never to have been conceived, or if indeed it had been suggested to your mind, it became you to bury in silence. Dissension having thus been excited among you, communion*

* Συνόδος.

has been denied; and the most holy people being rent into two factions, have departed from the harmony of the common body. Wherefore let each reciprocally pardoning the other, listen to the impartial exhortation of your fellow-servant. And what counsel does he offer? It was neither prudent at first to agitate such a question, nor to reply to such a question when proposed: for the claim of no law demands the investigation of such subjects, but the disputatious cavilling of ill-employed leisure puts them forward. And even admitting them to be calculated to exercise our natural abilities, yet ought we to confine them to our own consideration, and not incautiously bring them forth in public assemblies, nor thoughtlessly confide them to the ears of everybody. Indeed how few are capable either of adequately expounding, or even accurately understanding the import of matters so vast and profound! And if any one should imagine that he can satisfactorily accomplish this, how large a portion of the people would he succeed in convincing? Or who can grapple with the subtleties of such investigations without danger of lapsing into excessive error? It becomes us therefore on such topics to check loquacity, lest either on account of the impotence of our nature we should be incompetent to explain the subject proposed; or the dull understanding of the audience should incapacitate them for clearly apprehending what is attempted to be taught: for in the case of one or the other of these failures, the people must be necessarily involved either in blasphemy or schism. Wherefore let an unguarded question, and an inconsiderate answer, on the part of each of you, procure equal forgiveness

from one another. No cause of difference has been started by you bearing on any important precept contained in the Law; nor has any new heresy been introduced by you in connection with the worship of God; but ye both hold one and the same judgment on these points, so that nothing exists to hinder association in communion. Moreover while you thus pertinaciously contend with one another about matters of small or scarcely the least importance, and especially with such virulence of feeling, it is unsuitable for you to have charge of so many people of God: and not only is it unbecoming, but it is also believed to be altogether unlawful.

“Permit me further to remind you of your duty by an example of an inferior kind. You are well aware that even the philosophers themselves, while all confederated under one Sect, yet often disagree with each other on some parts of their theories: but although they may differ in their views on the very highest branches of science, yet in order to maintain the unity of their body, they still agree to coalesce. Now if this is done amongst them, how much more equitable will it be for you, who have been constituted ministers of the Most High God, to become unanimous with one another in the same religious profession. But let us examine with closer consideration, and deeper attention, what has been already stated. Is it right on account of insignificant and vain contentions between you about words, that Brethren should be set in opposition against Brethren; and that the venerable Assembly should be distracted by unhallowed dissension, through your striving with one another respecting things so unimportant, and by no means

essential? These quarrels are indeed derogatory to your character, being rather consistent with puerile thoughtlessness, than suitable to the intelligence of Priests and prudent men. We should spontaneously turn aside from the temptations of the devil. The great God and Saviour of us all, has extended to all the common light. Under his providence, allow me, his servant, to bring this effort of mine to a successful issue; that by my exhortation, ministry, and earnest admonition, I may lead you, his people, back to unity* of assembly. For since, as I have observed, there is but one faith among you, and one sentiment respecting religion;† and since the precept of the Law, in all its parts, combines all in one purpose of soul, let not this diversity of opinion, which has excited among you mutual dissension, by any means cause discord and schism, inasmuch as the cause of it touches not the force of any law. I say these things, not as compelling you all to see exactly alike on the subject of this controversy, of small moment as it is; since the dignity‡ of the general assembly may be preserved unaffected, and the same communion with all be retained, although there should exist among you some dissimilarity of sentiment on unimportant matters. For we do not all desire the same thing in every respect; nor is there one unvarying nature, or standard of judgment in us. Therefore in regard to Divine providence, let there be one faith, one sentiment, and one covenant of the Godhead:§ but respecting those minute investigations which ye enter

* Κοινωνίαν.

† Αἰδέσεως σύνεσις, understanding of heresy.

‡ Τίμιον.

§ Τοῦ κρείττονος.

into among yourselves with so much nicety, even if ye should not concur in one judgment, it becomes you to confine them to your own reflection, and to keep them in the secret recesses of the mind. Let then an ineffable and select bond of general friendship, with faith in the truth, reverence for God, and a devout observance of his law, remain unshaken among you. Resume the exercise of mutual friendship and grace; restore to the whole people their accustomed familiar embraces; and do ye yourselves, having purified your own souls, again recognise one another: for friendship often becomes sweeter after the removal of animosity. Return again therefore to a state of reconciliation; and by so doing give back to me tranquil days, and nights free from care; that to me also there may be some pleasure in the pure light, and that a cheerful serenity may be preserved to me during the rest of my life. But if this should not be effected, I must necessarily groan, and be wholly suffused with tears; neither will the remaining period of my earthly existence be peacefully sustained: for while the people of God (I speak of my fellow-servants) are dissevered by so unworthy and injurious a contest with one another, how is it possible for me to maintain my usual equanimity? But in order that you may have some idea of my excessive grief on account of this unhappy difference, listen to what I am about to state. On my recent arrival at the city of Nicomedia, it was my intention immediately after to proceed into the East: but while I was hastening toward you, and had advanced a considerable distance on my way, intelligence of this affair altogether reversed my purpose, lest I should

be obliged to see with my own eyes a condition of things such as I could scarcely bear the report of. Open to me therefore by your unanimity henceforth, the way into the East, which ye have obstructed by your contentions against one another: and permit me speedily to behold both you and all the rest of the people rejoicing together; and to express my due thanks to the Divine Being, because of the general unanimity and liberty of all parties, accompanied by the cordial utterance of your praise."

CHAPTER VIII.

OF THE SYNOD WHICH WAS HELD AT NICE* IN BITHYNIA,
AND THE FAITH THERE PROMULGATED.

SUCH was the admirable and wise counsel contained in the emperor's letter. But the evil had become so inveterate, that neither the exhortations of the emperor, nor the authority of him who was the bearer of his letter, availed anything: for neither was Alexander nor Arius softened by this appeal; and moreover there was incessant strife and tumult among the people. But another source of disquietude had pre-existed there, which served to trouble the churches, though it was confined to the eastern parts. This arose from some desiring to keep the Feast of the Passover, or Easter, more in accordance with the custom of the Jews; while others preferred its mode of celebration by Christians in general throughout the world. This difference however did not interfere with their communion, although their mutual joy was

* Νικαία.

necessarily hindered. When therefore the emperor beheld the Church agitated by both of these causes, he convoked a General Council,* summoning all the bishops by letter to meet him at Nice in Bithynia. Accordingly the bishops assembled out of the various provinces and cities; respecting whom Eusebius Pamphilus thus writes, in his third book of the life of Constantine:—

“Wherefore the most eminent of the ministers of God in all the churches which have filled Europe, Africa, and Asia, were convened. And one sacred edifice, dilated as it were by God, contained within it on the same occasion both Syrians and Cilicians, Arabs and Palestinians, and in addition to these, Egyptians, Thebans, Libyans, and those who came from Mesopotamia. At this Synod a Persian bishop was also present, neither was the Scythian absent from this assemblage. Pontus also and Galatia, Pamphylia, Cappadocia, Asia, and Phrygia, supplied those who were most distinguished among them. Besides there met there Thracians and Macedonians, Achaïans and Epirots, and even those who dwelt still more distant than these. Hosius, the most celebrated of the Spaniards, took his seat among the rest. The prelate of the imperial city was absent through age; but his presbyters were present, and filled his place. Such a crown, composed as a bond of peace, the emperor Constantine alone has ever dedicated to Christ his Saviour, as a thank-offering worthy of God for victory over his enemies, having appointed this convocation among us in imitation of the Apostolic Assembly.† For among them

* Οἰκουμενικὴν, this was called the First Œcumenical Synod.

† Acts ii. 5.

it is said were convened 'devout men of every nation under heaven; Parthians, Medes, Elamites, and those who dwelt in Mesopotamia, Judæa, Cappadocia, Pontus, Asia, Phrygia, Pamphylia, Egypt, and the parts of Libya, strangers from Rome also, both Jews and proselytes, with Cretans and Arabs.' That congregation however was inferior in this respect, that all present were not ministers of God: whereas in this assembly the number of bishops exceeded three hundred; while the number of the presbyters, deacons, and acolyths (or young priests) who attended them was almost incalculable. Some of these ministers of God were eminent for their wisdom, some for the strictness of their life, and patient endurance of persecution, and others united in themselves all these distinguished characteristics: some were venerable from their advanced age, others were conspicuous for their youth and vigour of mind, and others had but recently entered on their ministerial career. For all these the emperor appointed an abundant supply of daily food to be provided." Such is Eusebius's account of those who met on this occasion. The emperor having completed the festal solemnization of his triumph over Licinius, came also in person to Nice.

There were among the bishops two of extraordinary celebrity, Paphnutius, bishop of Upper Thebes, and Spyridon, bishop of Cyprus: why I have so particularly referred to these two individuals, I shall state hereafter. Many of the laity were also present, who were practised in the art of reasoning, and each prepared to advocate the cause of his own party. Eusebius bishop of Nicomedia, as was before said, supported the opinion of Arius, together with The-

ognis bishop of Nice, and Maris bishop of Chalcedon in Bithynia. These were powerfully opposed by Athanasius, a deacon of the Alexandrian church, who was highly esteemed by Alexander his bishop, and on that account was much envied, as will be seen hereafter. For a short time previous to the general assembling of the bishops, the disputants engaged in preparatory logical contests with various opponents: and when many were attracted by the interest of their discourse, one of the laity who was a man of unsophisticated understanding, and had stood the test of persecution* in his confession of faith, reproved these reasoners; telling them that Christ and his Apostles did not teach us the Dialectic art, nor vain subtilties, but simple-mindedness, which is preserved by faith and good works. All present admired the speaker, and assented to the justice of his remarks; and the disputants themselves, after hearing his ingenuous statement of the truth, exercised a far greater degree of moderation: thus then was the disturbance caused by these logical debates suppressed.

On the following day all the bishops were assembled together in one place; the emperor arrived soon after, and on his entrance stood in their midst, declining to take his place, until the bishops by bowing intimated their desire that he should be seated: such was the respect and reverence which the emperor entertained for these men. When a silence suitable to the occasion had been observed, the emperor from his seat began to address them, entreating each to lay aside all private pique, and exhorting

* Εἰς τις τῶν ὁμολογητῶν.

them to unanimity and concord. For several of them had brought accusations against one another, and many had even presented petitions to the emperor the day before. But he directing their attention to the matter before them, and on account of which they were assembled, ordered these petitions to be burnt; merely observing that Christ enjoins him who is anxious to obtain forgiveness, to forgive his brother. When therefore he had strongly insisted on the maintenance of harmony and peace, he then sanctioned their purpose of more closely investigating the questions at issue. But it may be well to hear what Eusebius says on this subject, in his third book of the Life of Constantine. His words are these:—

“ A variety of topics having been introduced by each party, and much controversy being excited from the very commencement, the emperor listened to all with patient attention, deliberately and impartially considering whatever was advanced. He in part supported the statements which were made on both sides, and gradually softened the asperity of those who contentiously opposed each other, conciliating each by his mildness and affability. Addressing them in the Greek language, with which he was well acquainted, in a manner at once interesting and persuasive, he wrought conviction on the minds of some, and prevailed on others by entreaty. Those who spoke well he applauded, and incited all to unanimity; until at length he succeeded in bringing them into similarity of judgment, and conformity of opinion on all the controverted points: so that there was not only unity in the confession of faith, but also a general agreement as to the time for the celebration

of the salutary feast of Easter. Moreover the doctrines which had thus the common consent, were confirmed by the signature of each individual."

Such is the testimony respecting these things which Eusebius has left us; and which it was thought might not unfitly be introduced here, as an authority for the fidelity of this history. With this end also in view, that if any one should condemn as erroneous the faith professed at this council of Nice, we might be unaffected by it, and put no confidence in Sabinus the Macedonian, who calls all those that were convened there idiots and simpletons. For this Sabinus, who was bishop of the Macedonians at Heraclea in Thrace, having made a collection of the canons published by various Synods of bishops, has treated those who composed the Nicene council in particular with contempt and derision; not perceiving that he thereby charges Eusebius himself with folly, who made a like confession after the closest scrutiny. Some things he has wilfully passed over, others he has perverted, and on all he has put a construction favorable to his own views. Yet he commends Eusebius Pamphilus as a witness worthy of credit, and praises the emperor as capable in stating Christian doctrines: but he still brands the faith which was declared at Nice, as having been set forth by idiots, and such as had no intelligence in the matter. Thus he voluntarily contemns the testimony of a man whom he himself pronounces a wise and true witness: for Eusebius declares, that of the ministers of God who were present at the Nicene Synod, some were eminent for the word of wisdom, others for the strictness of their life; and that the emperor himself

being present, leading all into unanimity, established unity of judgment, and conformity of opinion among them. Of Sabinus however we shall make further mention as occasion may require. But the agreement of faith, assented to with loud acclamation at the great council of Nice is this:—

“We believe in one God, the Father Almighty, Maker of all things visible and invisible:—and in our Lord Jesus Christ, the Son of God, the only begotten of the Father, that is of the substance* of the Father; God of God and Light of light; true God of true God; begotten, not made, consubstantial† with the Father: by whom all things were made, both which are in heaven and on earth: who for the sake of us men, and on account of our salvation, descended, became incarnate, and was made man; suffered, arose again the third day, and ascended into the heavens, and will come again to judge the living and the dead. We also believe in the Holy Spirit. But the holy Catholic and Apostolic church anathematizes those who say that there was a time when the Son of God was not, and that he was not before he was begotten, and that he was made from that which did not exist; or who assert that he is of other substance or essence than the Father, or that he was created, or is susceptible of change.”

This creed was recognised and acquiesced in by three hundred and eighteen bishops; and being, as Eusebius says, unanimous in expression and sentiment, they subscribed it. Five only would not receive it, objecting to the term *ὁμοούσιος*, of the same essence, or *consubstantial*: these were Eusebius bishop of Nicomedia,

* Οὐσίας.

† Ὁμοούσιον.

Theognis of Nice, Maris of Chalcedon, Thomas of Marmarica, and Secundus of Ptolemais. “For,” said they, “that is *consubstantial* which is from another either by partition, derivation or germination; by germination, as a shoot from the roots; by derivation, as children from their parents; by division, as two or three vessels of gold from a mass.” But they contended that the Son is from the Father by none of these modes: wherefore they declared themselves unable to assent to this creed; and having scoffed at the word *consubstantial*, they would not subscribe to the condemnation of Arius. Upon this the Synod anathematized Arius, and all who adhered to his opinions, prohibiting him at the same time from entering into Alexandria. By an edict of the emperor also, Arius himself was sent into exile, together with Eusebius and Theognis; but the two latter, a short time after their banishment, tendered a written declaration of their change of sentiment, and concurrence in the faith of the *consubstantiality* of the Son with the Father, as we shall show as we proceed. At the same time Eusebius surnamed Pamphilus, bishop of Cæsarea in Palestine, who had withheld his assent in the Synod, after mature consideration whether he ought to receive this form* of faith, at length acquiesced in it, and subscribed it with all the rest: he also sent to the people under his charge a copy of the Creed, with an explanation of the word *ὁμοούσιος*, that no one might impugn his motives on account of his previous hesitation. His address to them was as follows:—“You have probably had some intimation, beloved, of the transactions of the great council convened at Nice, in

* Ὅρον.

relation to the faith of the Church, inasmuch as rumour generally outruns an accurate statement of that which has really taken place. But lest from such report alone you might form an incorrect estimate of the matter, we have deemed it necessary to submit to you, in the first place, an exposition of the faith propounded by us; and then a second which has been promulgated, consisting of certain additions to the expression of ours. The declaration of faith set forth by us, and which when read in the presence of our most pious emperor, seemed to meet with universal approbation, was thus expressed:—

“ ‘ According as we received from the bishops who preceded us, both at our initiation* into the knowledge of the truth, and when we were baptized; as also we have ourselves learned from the sacred Scriptures; and in accordance with what we have both believed and taught while discharging the duties of presbyter and the episcopal office itself, so now believing, we present to you the distinct avowal of our faith. It is this:—

“ ‘ We believe in one God, the Father Almighty, Maker of all things visible and invisible:—and in one Lord, Jesus Christ, the Word of God, God of God, Light of light, Life of life, the only-begotten Son, born before all creation,† begotten of God the Father, before all ages; by whom also all things were made; who on account of our salvation became incarnate, and lived among men; and who having suffered and risen again on the third day, ascended to the Father, and shall come again in glory to judge the living and the dead. We believe also in one Holy Spirit. We

* Κατηχῆσαι.

† Πρωτότοκον πάσης κτίσεως.

believe in the existence and subsistence of each of these persons: that the Father is truly Father, the Son truly Son, and the Holy Spirit truly Holy Spirit; even as our Lord also, when he sent forth his disciples to preach the Gospel, said (Mat. xxviii. 19), ‘Go and teach* all nations, baptizing them in the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Spirit.’ These doctrines we thus steadfastly maintain, and avow our full confidence in the truth of; such also have been our sentiments hitherto, and such we shall continue to hold until death: and in an unshaken adherence to this faith, we anathematize every impious heresy. In the presence of God Almighty, and of our Lord Jesus Christ we testify, that thus we have believed and thought from our heart and soul, since we were capable of forming a judgment on the matter, and have possessed a right estimate of ourselves; and that we now think and speak what is perfectly in accordance with the truth. We are moreover prepared to prove to you by undeniable evidences, and to convince you that in time past we have thus believed, and so preached.’

“When these articles of faith were proposed, they were received without opposition: nay, our most pious emperor himself was the first to admit that they were perfectly orthodox, and that he precisely concurred in the sentiments contained in them; exhorting all present to give them their assent, and subscribe to these very articles, thus agreeing in an unanimous profession of them. It was suggested however that the word *ὁμοούσιος* (consubstantial) should be introduced, an expression which the emperor himself explained, as

* *Μαθητεύσατε*, disciple.

not indicating corporeal affections or properties; and consequently that the Son did not subsist from the Father either by division or abscision: for, said he, a nature which is immaterial and incorporeal cannot possibly be subject to any corporeal affection; hence our apprehension of such things can only be expressed in divine and mysterious terms. Such was the philosophical view of the subject taken by our most wise and pious sovereign; and the bishops on account of the word *ὁμοούσιος*, drew up this formula of faith.

“ THE CREED.*

“ We believe in one God, the Father Almighty, Maker of all things visible and invisible:—and in one Lord Jesus Christ, the Son of God, the only-begotten of the Father, that is of the substance† of the Father; God of God, Light of light, true God of true God; begotten not made, consubstantial‡ with the Father; by whom all things were made both which are in heaven and on earth; who for the sake of us men, and on account of our salvation, descended, became incarnate, suffered and rose again on the third day; he ascended into the heavens, and will come to judge the living and the dead. We believe also in the Holy Spirit. But those who say that there was a time when he was not, or that he did not exist before he was begotten, or that he was made of nothing, or assert that he is of other substance or essence than the Father, or that the Son of God is created, or mutable,§ or susceptible of change, the catholic and apostolic church of God anathematizes.’

* *Μάθημα.*

† *Οὐσία.*

‡ *Ὁμοούσιον.*

§ *Τρεπτόν.*

“In forming this declaration of faith, we did not neglect to investigate the distinct sense of the expressions *of the substance of the Father*, and *consubstantial with the Father*. Whereupon much discussion arose, and the meaning of these terms was clearly defined; when it was generally admitted that *οὐσίας* (of the essence or substance) simply implied that the Son is of the Father indeed, but not as a part of the Father. To this interpretation of the sacred doctrine which declares that the Son is of the Father, but is not a part of his substance, it seemed right to us to assent. We ourselves therefore concurred in this exposition; nor do we cavil at the word *ὁμοούσιος*, having regard as well to peace, as dreading lest we should lose a right understanding of the matter. On the same grounds we admitted also the expression *begotten, not made* :* ‘for *made*,’ said they, ‘is a term which is applied to all the creatures which were made by the Son, to whom the Son has no resemblance. Consequently he is no creature like those which were made by him, but is of a substance far excelling any creature; which substance the sacred Oracles teach us was begotten of the Father by such a mode of generation as can neither be apprehended nor explained by any creature.’ Thus also the declaration that *the Son is consubstantial with the Father* having been discussed, it was agreed that this must not be understood in a corporeal sense, or in any way analogous to mortal creatures; inasmuch as it is neither by division of substance, nor by abscission, nor by any change of the Father’s substance and power, since the underived nature of the Father is

* Γεννηθέντα οὐ ποιηθέντα.

inconsistent with all these things. That he is consubstantial with the Father then simply implies, that the Son of God has no resemblance to created things, but is in every respect like the Father only who begat him; and that he is of no other substance or essence but of the Father. To which doctrine, explained in this way, it appeared right to assent, especially since we knew that some eminent bishops and learned writers among the ancients have used the term *ὁμοούσιος* in their theological discourses concerning the nature of the Father and the Son. Such is what I have to state to you in reference to the articles of faith which have been recently promulgated; and in which we have all concurred, not without due examination, but according to the senses assigned, which were investigated in the presence of our most religious emperor, and for the reasons mentioned approved. We have also unhesitatingly acquiesced in the anathema pronounced by them after the declaration of faith; because it prohibits the use of terms which do not occur in Scripture, and from which almost all the distraction and commotion of the churches have arisen. Accordingly, since no divinely-inspired* Scripture contains the expressions, *of things which do not exist, and there was a time when he was not*, and such other phrases as are therein subjoined, it seemed unwarrantable to utter and teach them: and moreover this decision received our sanction the rather from the consideration that we have never heretofore been accustomed to employ these terms. We deemed it incumbent on us beloved to acquaint you with the caution which has charac-

* Θεογενέστατον.

terized our examination of these things, as well as with what deliberateness our assent has been given, and on what justifiable grounds we resisted the introduction of certain objectionable expressions; and finally, that it was only after mature consideration of the full import of some points to which we demurred at first, that we were induced to withdraw our opposition, perceiving them in fact to be quite accordant with what we had originally proposed as a sound confession of faith."

Such was the letter addressed by Eusebius Pamphilus to the Christians at Cæsarea in Palestine. The Synod itself also, with one accord, wrote the following epistle to the church of the Alexandrians, and to believers in Egypt, Libya, and Pentapolis.

CHAPTER IX.

THE EPISTLE OF THE SYNOD, RELATIVE TO ITS DECISIONS: AND THE CONDEMNATION OF ARIUS, WITH ALL THOSE WHO HELD HIS OPINIONS.

"To the holy, by the grace of God, and great church of the Alexandrians, and to our beloved brethren throughout Egypt, Libya, and Pentapolis, the bishops assembled at Nice, constituting the great and holy Synod, send greeting in the Lord.

"Since, by the grace of God, a great and holy Synod has been convened at Nice, our most pious sovereign Constantine having summoned us out of various cities and provinces for that purpose, it appeared to us indispensably necessary that a letter

should be written to you on the part of the sacred Synod; in order that ye may know what subjects were brought under consideration, what rigidly investigated, and also what was eventually determined on and decreed. In the first place then the impiety and guilt of Arius and his adherents were examined into, in the presence of our most religious emperor Constantine: and it was unanimously decided that his impious opinion should be anathematized, with all the blasphemous expressions he has uttered, in affirming that *the Son of God sprang from nothing*, and that *there was a time when he was not*; saying moreover that *the Son of God was possessed of free-will, so as to be capable either of vice or virtue*; and calling him a creature and a work. All these sentiments the holy Synod has anathematized, having scarcely patience to endure the hearing of such an impious or rather bewildered opinion and such abominable blasphemies. But the conclusion of our proceedings against him you must either have been informed of already or will soon be apprised of; for we would not seem to trample on a man who has received the chastisement which his crime deserved. Yet so contagious has his pestilential error proved, as to involve in the same perdition Theonas bishop of Marmarica, and Secundus of Ptolemais; for they have suffered the same condemnation as himself. But when, by the grace of God, we were delivered from those execrable dogmas, with all their impiety and blasphemy, and from those persons who had dared to cause discord and division among a people previously at peace, there still remained the contumacy of Melitius to be dealt with, and those who had been ordained by

him; and we shall now state to you, beloved brethren, what resolution the Synod came to on this point. Acting with more clemency towards Melitius, although strictly speaking he was wholly undeserving of favour, the council permitted him to remain in his own city, but decreed that he should exercise no authority either to ordain or nominate for ordination; and that he should appear in no other district or city on this pretence, but simply retain a nominal dignity. That those who had received appointments from him, after having been confirmed by a more legitimate ordination, should be admitted to communion on these conditions:—that they should continue to hold their rank and ministry, but regard themselves as inferior in every respect to all those who had been previously ordained and established in each place and church by our most-honoured fellow-minister Alexander. In addition to these things, they shall have no authority to propose or nominate whom they please, or to do any thing at all without the concurrence of some bishop of the catholic church who is one of Alexander's suffragans. Let such as by the grace of God and your prayers have been found in no schism, but have continued in the catholic church blameless, have authority to nominate and ordain those who are worthy of the sacred office,* and to act in all things according to ecclesiastical law and usage. When it may happen that any of those holding preferments in the church die, then let such as have been recently admitted into Orders be preferred to the dignity of the deceased, provided that they should appear worthy, and that the people

* Κλήρον.

should elect them, the bishop of Alexandria also ratifying their choice. This privilege is conceded to all the others indeed, but to Melitius personally we by no means grant the same licence, on account of his former disorderly conduct; and because of the rashness and levity of his character, he is deprived of all authority and jurisdiction, as a man liable again to create similar disturbances. These are the things which specially affect Egypt, and the most holy church of the Alexandrians: and if any other canon or ordinance should be established, our lord* and most-honoured fellow-minister and brother Alexander being present with us, will on his return to you enter into more minute details, inasmuch as he is not only a participator in whatever is transacted, but has the principal direction of it. We have also gratifying intelligence to communicate to you relative to unity of judgment on the subject of the most holy feast† of Easter: for this point also has been happily settled through your prayers; so that all the brethren in the East who have heretofore kept this festival when the Jews did, will henceforth conform to the Romans and to us, and to all who from the earliest time have observed our period of celebrating Easter. Rejoicing therefore in this most desirable conclusion, and in the general unanimity and peace, as well as in the extirpation of all heresy, receive with the greater honour and more abundant love our fellow-minister and your bishop Alexander; who has greatly delighted us by his presence, and even at his advanced age has undergone extraordinary exertions in order that peace might be re-established among you. Pray

* Κυρίου.

† Πάσχα.

on behalf of us all, that the decisions to which we have so justly come may be inviolably maintained through Almighty God, and our Lord Jesus Christ, together with the Holy Spirit; to whom be glory for ever. Amen."

From this epistle of the Synod it is manifest, that they not only anathematized Arius and his adherents, but the very expressions of his tenets; and that having agreed among themselves respecting the celebration of Easter, they readmitted the schismatic Melitius into communion, suffering him to retain his episcopal rank, but divesting him of all authority to act as a bishop. It is for this reason I suppose that even at the present time the Melitians in Egypt are separated from the church, because the Synod deprived Melitius of all power. It should be observed moreover that Arius had written a treatise on his own opinion which he entitled *Thalia*; but the character of the book was loose and dissolute, its style and metres not being very unlike the songs of Sotades the obscene Maronite. This production also the Synod condemned at the same time. Nor was it a matter of anxiety to the Synod only that letters should be written to the churches announcing the restoration of peace, but the emperor Constantine himself also wrote to the same effect, and sent the following address to the church of the Alexandrians.

THE EMPEROR'S LETTER.

"Constantine Augustus, to the Catholic church of the Alexandrians. Beloved brethren, hail! We have received from Divine Providence the inestimable

blessing of being relieved from all error, and united in the acknowledgment of one and the same faith. The devil will no longer have any power against us, since all that which he had malignantly devised for our destruction has been entirely overthrown. The splendour of truth has dissipated at the command of God those dissensions, schisms, tumults, and so to speak, deadly poisons of discord. Wherefore we all worship the one true God, and believe that he is. But in order that this might be done, by divine admonition I assembled at the city of Nice most of the bishops; with whom I myself also, who am but one of you, and who rejoice exceedingly in being your fellow-servant, undertook the investigation of the truth. Accordingly all points which seemed in consequence of ambiguity to furnish any pretext for dissension, have been discussed and accurately examined. And may the Divine Majesty pardon the fearful enormity of the blasphemies which some have shamelessly uttered concerning the mighty Saviour, our life and hope; declaring and confessing that they believe things contrary to the divinely-inspired Scriptures. While more than three hundred bishops remarkable for their moderation and intellectual superiority, were unanimous in their confirmation of one and the same faith, which according to the truth and legitimate construction of the law of God can only be *the* faith; Arius, beguiled by the subtlety of the devil, was regarded as the sole disseminator of this mischief, first among you, and afterwards with unhallowed purposes among others also. Let us therefore embrace that doctrine which the Almighty has presented to us: let us return to our beloved

brethren from whom this irreverent agent of the devil has separated us: let us go with all speed to the common body and our own natural members. For this is becoming your penetration, faith and sanctity; that since he has been convicted of error who has been proved to be an enemy to the truth, ye should return to the Divine favour. For that which has commended itself to the judgment of three hundred bishops cannot be other than the doctrine of God; seeing that the Holy Spirit dwelling in the minds of so many dignified persons has effectually enlightened them respecting the Divine will. Wherefore let no one vacillate or linger, but let all with alacrity return to the undoubted path of duty; that when I shall arrive among you, which will be as soon as possible, I may with you return due thanks to God, the inspector of all things, because having revealed the pure faith, he has also restored to you that love for which ye have prayed. May God protect you, beloved brethren."

Thus wrote the emperor to the Christians of Alexandria, to assure them that the exposition of the faith was neither made rashly nor inconsiderately, but that it was dictated with much research, and after strict investigation: and not that some things were spoken of, while others were suppressed in silence; but that whatever could be fittingly advanced in support of any opinion was fully stated. That nothing indeed was precipitately determined, but all was previously discussed with minute accuracy; so that every point which seemed to furnish a pretext for ambiguity of meaning, or difference of opinion,

was thoroughly sifted, and its difficulties removed. In short he terms the decision of all those who were assembled there the will of God; and does not doubt that the unanimity of so many eminent bishops was effected by the Holy Spirit. Sabinus however, the chief of the Macedonian heresy, wilfully rejects these authorities, and calls those who were convened there simpletons and illiterate persons; nay he almost accuses Eusebius of Cæsarea himself of ignorance: nor does he reflect, that even if those who constituted that Synod were idiots, yet as being illuminated by God, and the grace of the Holy Spirit, they were utterly unable to err from the truth. Nevertheless hear farther what the emperor decreed both against Arius and those who held his opinions, sending in all directions to the bishops and people.

ANOTHER EPISTLE OF CONSTANTINE.

“Victor Constantine Maximus Augustus, to the Bishops and people. — Since Arius has imitated wicked and impious persons, it is just that he should undergo the like ignominy. Wherefore as Porphyry that enemy of piety, for having composed licentious treatises against religion, found a suitable recompence, and such as thenceforth branded him with infamy, overwhelming him with deserved reproach, his impious writings also having been destroyed; so now it seems fit both that Arius and such as hold his sentiments should be denominated Porphyrians, that they may take their appellation from those whose conduct they have imitated. And in addition to this, if any treatise composed by Arius should be discovered, let it be consigned to the flames, in order that not only

his depraved doctrine may be suppressed, but also that no memorial of him may be by any means left. This therefore I decree, that if any one shall be detected in concealing a book compiled by Arius, and shall not instantly bring it forward and burn it, the penalty for this offence shall be death; for immediately after conviction the criminal shall suffer capital punishment. May God preserve you!"

ANOTHER EPISTLE.

"Constantine Augustus, to the Churches.

"Having experienced from the flourishing condition of public affairs, how great has been the grace of divine power, I judged this to be an object above all things claiming my care, that one faith, sincere love, and uniform piety toward Almighty God should be maintained amongst the most blessed assemblies of the Catholic Church. But I perceived this could not be firmly and permanently established, unless all, or at least the greatest part of the bishops could be convened in the same place, and every point of our most holy religion should be discussed by them in council. For this reason as many as possible were assembled, and I myself also as one of you was present; for I will not deny what I especially rejoice in, that I am your fellow-servant. All points were then minutely investigated, until a decision acceptable to Him who is the inspector of all things, was published for the promotion of uniformity of judgment and practice; so that nothing might be henceforth left for dissension or controversy in matters of faith. There also the question having been considered relative to the most holy day of Easter, it was deter-

mined by common consent that it would be proper that all should celebrate it on one and the same day everywhere. For what can be more appropriate, or what more solemn, than that this feast from which we have received the hope of immortality, should be invariably kept in one order, and for an obvious reason among all? And in the first place it seemed very unsuitable in the celebration of this sacred feast, that we should follow the custom of the Jews; a people who having imbrued their hands in a most heinous outrage, and thus polluted their souls, are deservedly blind. Having therefore cast aside their usage, it becomes us to take care that the celebration of this observance should occur in future in the more correct order which we have kept from the first day of the Passion until the present time. Let us then have nothing in common with that most hostile people the Jews. We have received from the Saviour another way; for there is set before us both a legitimate and accurate course in our holy religion: unanimously pursuing this, let us, most honoured brethren, withdraw ourselves from that detestable association. How truly absurd it is for them to boast that we are incapable of rightly observing these things without their instruction. For on what subject will they themselves be competent to form a correct judgment, who after that murder of their Lord, having been bereft of their senses, are led not by any rational motive, but by an ungovernable impulse, wherever their innate fury may drive them? Thence it is therefore, that even in this particular they do not perceive the truth, so that they constantly erring in the utmost degree, instead of making

a suitable correction, celebrate the Feast of Passover a second time in the same year. Why then should we follow the example of those who are acknowledged to be infected with grievous error? Surely we should never suffer Easter to be kept twice in one and the same year! But even if these considerations were not laid before you, it became your prudence at all times to take heed, both by diligence and prayer, that the purity of your soul should in nothing have communion, or seem to have accordance with the customs of men so utterly depraved. Moreover this should also be considered, that in a matter so important and of such religious significancy, the slightest disagreement is to be deprecated. For our Saviour left us but one day to be observed in commemoration of our deliverance, that is the day of his most holy Passion: he also wished his Catholic Church to be one; the members of which, however much they may be scattered in various places, are notwithstanding cherished by one Spirit, that is by the will of God. Let the prudence consistent with your sacred character consider how grievous and indecorous it is, that on the same days some should be observant of fasts, while others are celebrating feasts; and especially that this should be the case on the days immediately after Easter. On this account therefore Divine Providence directed that an appropriate correction should be effected, and uniformity of practice established, as I suppose you are all aware.

“ Since then it was desirable that this should be so amended that we should have nothing in common with that nation of parricides, and of those who slew their Lord; and since the order is a becoming

one which is observed by all the churches of the western, southern, and northern parts, and by some also in the eastern; from these considerations all have on the present occasion thought it to be expedient, and I pledged myself that it would be satisfactory to your prudent penetration, that what is observed with such general unanimity of sentiment in the city of Rome, throughout Italy, Africa, all Egypt, Spain, France, Britain, Libya, the whole of Greece, and the dioceses of Asia, Pontus, and Cilicia, your intelligence also would readily concur in. Reflect too that not only is there a greater number of churches in the places before-mentioned, but also that this in particular is a most sacred obligation, that all should in common desire whatever strict reason seems to demand, and which has no communion with the perjury of the Jews. But to sum up matters briefly, it was determined by common consent that the most holy festival of Easter should be solemnized on one and the same day; for in such a hallowed solemnity any difference is unseemly: and it is more commendable to adopt that opinion in which there will be no intermixture of strange error, or deviation from what is right. These things therefore being thus ordered, do you gladly receive this heavenly and truly divine command: for whatever is done in the sacred assemblies of the bishops is referable to the Divine will. Wherefore when ye have indicated the things which have been prescribed to all our beloved brethren, it behoves you both to assent to the reasoning which has been adduced, and to establish this observance of the most holy day: that when I arrive at the long and earnestly desired

view of your order, I may be able to celebrate the sacred festival with you on one and the same day; and may rejoice with you for all things, in seeing Satanic cruelty frustrated by Divine power through our efforts, while your faith, peace and concord, are everywhere flourishing. May God preserve you, beloved brethren."

ANOTHER EPISTLE TO EUSEBIUS.*

"Victor Constantine Maximus Augustus, to Eusebius.

"Since an impious purpose and tyranny have even to the present time persecuted the servants of God our Saviour, I have been credibly informed and am fully persuaded, most beloved brother, that all our sacred edifices have either by neglect gone to decay, or from dread of impending danger have not been adorned with becoming dignity. But now that liberty has been restored, and that persecuting dragon Licinius has by the providence of the Most High God, and our instrumentality, been removed from the administration of public affairs, I imagine that the divine power has been made manifest to all; and trust that those who either through fear or unbelief fell into any sins, having acknowledged the living God, will come to the true and right course of life. Wherefore enjoin the churches over which you yourself preside, as well as the other bishops presiding in various places, together with the presbyters and deacons whom you know, to be diligent about the sacred edifices, either by repairing those which remain

* Valesius considers this letter misplaced, as having been written before the council of Nice.

standing, or enlarging them, or by erecting new ones wherever it may be requisite. And do you yourself ask, and the rest through you, the necessary supplies both from the governors of the provinces, and the officers of the Prætorian Prefecture: for directions have been given to them to be strictly attentive to the orders of your holiness. May God preserve you, beloved brother."

Similar instructions, concerning the building of churches were sent by the emperor to the bishops in every province: but what he wrote to Eusebius of Palestine respecting the preparation of some copies of the Scriptures, we may ascertain from the letters themselves:—

"Victor Constantine Maximus Augustus, to Eusebius of Cæsarea.

"In the city which derives its name from us, a very great multitude of persons, through the assisting providence of our Saviour God, have united themselves to the most holy Church, so that it has received much increase there. It is therefore requisite that more churches should be provided in that place: wherefore do you most cordially enter into the purpose which I have conceived. I have thought fit to intimate this to your prudence, that you should order to be transcribed on well prepared parchment, by competent writers accurately acquainted with their art, fifty copies of the Sacred Scriptures, both legibly described, and of a portable size, the provision and use of which you know to be needful for the instruction of the Church. Letters have also been despatched

from our clemency, to the Rationalist* of the Diocess, in order that he may take care to provide all things necessary for the preparation of them. Let this task be your responsibility, that these copies may be got ready as quickly as possible: and you are authorised, on the warrant of this our letter, to use two of the public carriages for their conveyance; for thus the copies which are most satisfactorily transcribed, may be easily conveyed for our inspection. Charge one of the deacons of your church with this commission, who when he has reached us shall experience our bounty. May God preserve you, beloved brother."

ANOTHER EPISTLE TO MACARIUS.

"Victor Constantine Maximus Augustus, to Macarius of Jerusalem.—Such is the grace of our Saviour, that no supply of words seems to be adequate to the expression of its present manifestation. For that the monument† of his most holy passion, long since hidden under the earth, should have lain concealed for a period of so many years, until, through the destruction of the common enemy of all, it should shine forth to his own servants after their having regained their freedom, exceeds all admiration. Surely if all those who throughout the whole habitable earth are accounted wise, should be convened in one and the same place, desiring to say something worthy of this miracle, they would fall infinitely short of the least part of it; for the apprehension of this wonder as far transcends every nature capable of human reasoning, as heavenly things are mightier than human. Hence therefore this is

* Διοικήσεως καθολικόν.

† Γνώρισμα—Our Saviour's sepulchre is here meant.

- . always my especial aim, that as the credibility of the truth daily demonstrates itself by fresh miracles, so the souls of us all should become more anxious respecting the holy law, with modesty and unanimous ardour. But I desire that you should be fully aware of what I conceive is pretty generally known, that it is now my chief care, that we should adorn with magnificent structures that hallowed spot, which by God's appointment I have disencumbered of a most disgusting appendage* of an idol, as of some grievous burden; which was consecrated indeed from the beginning in the purpose of God, but has been more manifestly sanctified since he has brought to light the evidence of the Saviour's passion. Wherefore it is becoming your prudence both to make such arrangements, and provision of every thing necessary, that not only the Church† itself may be superior to any elsewhere, but that the rest of its parts also may be such that all the most splendid edifices in every city may be excelled by this. With regard to the workmanship and chaste execution of the walls, know that we have entrusted the care of these things to our friend Dracilian, deputy‡ to the most excellent the prefects of the prætorium, and to the governor of the province: for our piety has ordered that artificers and workmen, and whatever other things they may be informed from your sagacity to be necessary for the structure, shall through their care be immediately sent. Respecting the columns or the marbles, and whatever you may judge to be more precious and useful, do you yourself after having

* A temple of Venus, built on Mount Calvary by Adrian.

† Βασιλικήν.

‡ Διέποντι τὰ μέρη.

inspected the model* take care to write to us; that when we shall understand from your letter how many things and of what kind there may be need of, these may be conveyed to you from all quarters: for it is but reasonable that the most wonderful place in the world, should be adorned in accordance with its dignity. But I wish to know from you, whether you consider that the inner roof of the Temple should be arched, or constructed on some other plan: for if it is to be arched, it can also be decorated with gold. It remains that your holiness should inform the officers before-mentioned as soon as possible, how many workmen and artificers, and what money for expences you will want: and hasten to report to me speedily, not only concerning the marbles and columns, but also concerning the arched roof, if indeed you should decide this to be the more beautiful. May God preserve you, beloved brother."

The emperor having also written other letters of a more oratorical character against Arius and his adherents, caused them to be everywhere published throughout the cities, exposing him to ridicule, and taunting him with the keenest irony. Moreover writing to the Nicomedians against Eusebius and Theognis, he censures the misconduct of Eusebius, not only on account of his Arianism, but because also having formerly been well-affected to the tyrant, he had traitorously conspired against his affairs. He then exhorts them to elect another bishop instead of him. But I thought it would be superfluous to insert here the letters respecting these things, because of

* Συρόψεως.

their length: those who may wish to see them, will be readily able to find them elsewhere and give them a perusal. This is sufficient notice of these transactions.

CHAPTER X.

THE EMPEROR SUMMONS TO THE SYNOD ACESIUS ALSO,
BISHOP OF THE NOVATIANS.

THE emperor's diligence induces me to mention another circumstance expressive of his mind, and serving to show how much he desired peace: for aiming at ecclesiastical harmony, he summoned to the council Acesius also, a bishop of the Novatian sect. When therefore the Synod had written out and subscribed a declaration of faith, the emperor asked Acesius whether he would also assent to this creed and acquiesce in the settlement of the day on which Easter should be observed. He replied, "The Synod has determined nothing new, my prince: for thus heretofore, even from the commencement and times of the apostles, I traditionally received the definition* of the faith, and the time of the celebration of Easter." When therefore the emperor further asked him, "For what reason then do you separate yourself from communion with the rest of the church?" he related what had taken place during the persecution under Decius; and referred to the rigidity of that austere canon which declares, that it is right to account unworthy of participation in the divine mysteries persons who after baptism have committed a sin, which the sacred Scriptures denominate "*a sin unto death*" † (1 John v. 16):

* Ὁρον.

† Ἀμαρτίαν πρὸς θάνατον, deadly sin.

that they should indeed be exhorted to repentance, but were not to expect remission from the priests, but from God, who is alone able and has authority to forgive sins. When Acesius had thus spoken, the emperor said to him, "Place a ladder, Acesius, and climb alone into heaven." Neither Eusebius Pamphilus nor any other has ever mentioned these things: but I heard them from a man who was by no means prone to falsehood, and who simply stated what had taken place in the council in his presence. From which I conjecture that those who have passed by this occurrence in silence, were actuated by motives which have influenced many other historians: for they frequently suppress important facts, either from prejudice against some, or partiality towards others.

CHAPTER XI.

OF THE BISHOP PAPHNUTIUS.

As we have before pledged ourselves to make some mention of Paphnutius and Spyridon, it will be seasonable to speak of them here. Paphnutius then was bishop of one of the cities in Upper Thebes: he was a man of such eminent piety,* that extraordinary miracles were done by him. In the time of the persecution he had been deprived of one of his eyes. The emperor honoured this man exceedingly, and often sent for him to the palace, and kissed the part where the eye had been torn out. So devout was the emperor Constantine. Having noticed this circumstance respecting Paphnutius, I shall explain

* Θεοφιλής.

another thing which was wisely ordered in consequence of his advice, both for the good of the church and the honour of the clergy.* It seemed fit to the bishops to introduce a new law into the church, that those who were in holy orders, I speak of bishops, presbyters, and deacons, should have no conjugal intercourse with the wives which they had married prior to their ordination. And when it was proposed to deliberate on this matter, Paphnutius having arisen in the midst of the assembly of bishops, earnestly entreated them not to impose so heavy a yoke on the ministers of religion: asserting that "marriage is honourable among all, and the nuptial bed undefiled;" so that they ought not to injure the church by too stringent restrictions. "For all men," said he, "cannot bear the practice of rigid continence;† neither perhaps would the chastity‡ of each of their wives be preserved." He termed the intercourse of a man with his lawful wife chastity. It would be sufficient, he thought, that such as had previously entered on their sacred calling should abjure matrimony, according to the ancient tradition of the church: but that none should be separated from her to whom, while yet unordained, he had been legally united. And these sentiments he expressed, although himself without experience of marriage, and, to speak plainly, without ever having known a woman: for from a boy he had been brought up in a monastery,§ and was specially renowned above all men for his chastity. The whole assembly of the clergy assented to the reasoning of Paphnutius: wherefore they silenced all further debate on this point, leaving it to those

* *Ἱερωμένων.* † *Ἀπαθεία.* ‡ *Σωφροσύνη.* § *Ἀσκητηρίῳ.*

who were husbands to exercise their own discretion in reference to their wives.

CHAPTER XII.

OF SPYRIDON, BISHOP OF THE CYPRIANS.

WITH respect to Spyridon, so great was his sanctity while a shepherd, that he was thought worthy of being made a Pastor of men: and having been assigned the bishopric of one of the cities in Cyprus named Trimithuntis, on account of his extreme humility he continued to feed his sheep during his prelacy. Many extraordinary things are related of him: I shall however record but one or two, lest I should seem to wander from my subject. Once about midnight, thieves having clandestinely entered his sheepfold attempted to carry off the sheep. But God who protected the shepherd preserved his sheep also; for the thieves were by an invisible power bound to the folds. At day-break, when he came to the sheep and found the men with their hands tied behind them, he understood what was done: and after having prayed he liberated the thieves, earnestly admonishing and exhorting them to support themselves by honest labour, and not to take anything unjustly. He then gave them a ram, and sent them away, jocosely adding, "that ye may not appear to have watched all night in vain." This is one of Spyridon's miracles. Another was of this kind. He had a virgin daughter named Irene, who was a partaker of her father's piety. An acquaintance entrusted to her keeping an ornament of considerable value: she, to guard it more securely, hid

what had been deposited with her in the ground, and soon afterwards died. Subsequently the owner of the property came to claim it; and not finding the virgin, he implicated the father in the transaction, sometimes accusing him of an attempt to defraud him, and then again beseeching him to restore the deposit. The old man regarding this person's loss as his own misfortune, went to the tomb of his daughter, and called upon God to show him anticipatively the promised resurrection. Nor was he disappointed in his hope: for the virgin again reviving appears to her father, and having pointed out to him the spot where she had hidden the ornament, she once more departed. Such characters as these adorned the church in the time of the emperor Constantine. These details were communicated to me by many of the inhabitants of Cyprus; and moreover I found them recorded in a treatise composed in Latin by the presbyter Rufinus, from which I have collected these and some other things which will be hereafter adduced.

CHAPTER XIII.

OF EUTYCHIAN THE MONK.

I HAVE heard extraordinary things also of Eutychian, a devout person who flourished about the same time; who although of the Novatian church, yet was venerated for the performance of miracles similar to those just mentioned. I shall unequivocally state my authority for this narrative, nor will I attempt to conceal it, though I expect it will give umbrage to some parties. It was Auxanon, a very aged pres-

byter of the Novatian church; who when quite a youth accompanied Acesius to the Synod at Nice, and related to me what I have said concerning him. His life extended from that period to the reign of Theodosius the younger; and while I was a mere stripling he recounted to me the acts of Eutychian, enlarging much on the divine grace which was manifested in him: but one circumstance he alluded to, which occurred in the reign of Constantine, peculiarly worthy of mention. One of those military attendants,* whom the emperor calls his domestic or body guards, having been suspected of treasonable practices, sought his safety in flight. The indignant monarch ordered that he should be put to death, wherever he might be found: who having been arrested on the Bithynian Olympus, was heavily† ironed and incarcerated near those parts of Olympus where Eutychian was leading a solitary life, and healing both the bodies and souls of many. The venerable Auxanon being then very young was with him, and was initiated by him into the discipline of the monastic life. Many persons came to this Eutychian, entreating him to procure the release of the prisoner by interceding for him with the emperor, who had been informed of the miracles done by Eutychian. The saint readily promised to go to his sovereign; but as the chains inflicted intolerable suffering, those who interested themselves on his behalf declared that it was to be feared death accelerated by the effect of his chains would both anticipate the emperor's vengeance, and render nugatory any intercession that might be made for the prisoner. Accordingly

* Δορυφόρων, spearmen or lancers.

† Βαρνύρατοι σιδήροις.

Eutychian sent to the jailors, requesting them to release the man; but they having answered that they should bring themselves into danger by liberating a criminal, he went himself to the prison attended by Auxanon; and on their refusal to admit him, the grace which rested on Eutychian was rendered more conspicuous: for the gates of the prison opened of their own accord, while the jailors had the keys in their custody. As soon as Eutychian together with Auxanon had entered the prison, to the great astonishment of all then present the fetters spontaneously fell from the prisoner's limbs. He then proceeded with Auxanon to the city which was anciently called Byzantium but afterwards Constantinople, where having been ushered into the Imperial palace, he obtained remission of the sentence of death for the prisoner; for the emperor, entertaining great veneration for Eutychian, readily granted his request. This indeed occurred some time after the period to which this part of our history refers.

The bishops who were convened at the council of Nice, after having drawn up and enrolled certain other ecclesiastical regulations which they are accustomed to term canons, again departed to their respective cities: and as I conceive it will be appreciated by lovers of history, I shall here subjoin the names of such as were present, as far as I have been able to ascertain them, with the province and city over which they severally presided, and likewise the date at which this assembly took place. Hosius was I believe bishop of Cordova in Spain, as I have before stated. Vito and Vicentius presbyters of Rome, Alexander bishop of Egypt, Eustathius of Antiochia

Magna, Macarius of Jerusalem, and Harpocraton of Cynopolis: the names of the rest are fully reported in *The Synodicon* of Athanasius bishop of Alexandria. This Synod was convened (as we have discovered from the notation of the date prefixed to the record of the Synod) in the consulate of Paulinus and Julian, on the 20th day of May, and in the 636th year from the reign of Alexander the Macedonian. And when the council was dissolved, the emperor went into the western parts of the empire.

CHAPTER XIV.

EUSEBIUS BISHOP OF NICOMEDIA, AND THEOGNIS BISHOP OF NICE, WHO HAD BEEN BANISHED ON ACCOUNT OF THEIR CONCURRING IN OPINION WITH ARIUS, HAVING PUBLISHED THEIR RECANTATION, AND AGREED TO THE EXPOSITION OF THE FAITH, ARE REINSTATED IN THEIR SEES.

EUSEBIUS* and Theognis having sent a penitential confession to the principal bishops, were by an imperial edict recalled from exile and restored to their own churches, those who had been ordained in their places being removed; Eusebius displacing Amphion, and Theognis Chrestus. This is a copy of their written retraction:—

“ We having been sometime since condemned by your piety, without our cause having been pleaded, ought to bear in silence the decisions of your sacred adjudication. But since it is unreasonable that we by silence should countenance calumniators against ourselves, we on this account declare that we entirely

* Chronological order has been disregarded here; for this occurred in 328.

concur with you in the faith; and also that, after having closely considered the import of the term *consubstantial*, we have been wholly studious of peace, having never followed any heresy. After suggesting whatever entered our thought for the security of the churches, and fully assuring those under our influence, we subscribed the declaration of faith, but did not subscribe the anathematizing; not as objecting to the creed, but as disbelieving the party accused to be such as was represented, having been satisfied on this point, both from his own letters to us, as well as from his discourses in our presence. But if your holy council was convinced, we not opposing but concurring in your decisions, by this statement give them our full assent and confirmation: and this we do not as wearied with our exile, but to avoid the suspicion of heresy. If therefore ye should now think fit to restore us to your presence, ye will have us on all points conformable, and acquiescent in your decrees. For since it has seemed good to your piety to deal tenderly with and recall even him who was primarily accused; it would be absurd for us to be silent, and thus submit to presumptive evidence against ourselves, when the one who was arraigned has been permitted to clear himself from the charges brought against him. Vouchsafe then, as is consistent with that piety of yours, dear to Christ, to remind our most religious emperor, to present our petitions, and to determine speedily concerning us in a way becoming yourselves."

Such was the language of the recantation* of Eusebius and Theognis; from which I infer that they had

* Παλινοφῆδίας βιβλίον.

subscribed the articles of faith which had been set forth, but would not become parties to the condemnation of Arius. It appears also that Arius was recalled before them; but, although this may be true, yet he had been forbidden to enter Alexandria. This is evident from the fact that he afterwards devised a way of return for himself, both into the church and into Alexandria, by having made a fictitious repentance,* as we shall show in its proper place.

CHAPTER XV.

AFTER THE SYNOD, ON THE DEATH OF ALEXANDER, ATHANASIUS IS CONSTITUTED BISHOP OF ALEXANDRIA.

ALEXANDER bishop of Alexandria having died a little after this, Athanasius was immediately set over that church. Rufinus relates, that this person when quite a boy, played with others of his own age at a sacred game: this was an imitation of the priesthood and the order of consecrated persons. In this game therefore Athanasius was allotted the episcopal dignity, and each of the other lads personated either a presbyter or a deacon. The children engaged in this sport on the day in which the memory of the martyr and bishop Peter was celebrated; and at that time Alexander bishop of Alexandria happening to pass by, observed the play in which they were engaged, and having sent for the children, enquired from them the part each had been assigned in the game, conceiving that something might be portended by that which had been done. He then gave directions that the

* *Μεταβολά.*

children should be educated for the church, and instructed in learning, but especially Athanasius; and having afterwards ordained him deacon on his becoming of adult age, he brought him to Nice to assist him in the disputations there when the Synod was convened. Rufinus in his writings has given this account of Athanasius; nor is it improbable that it took place, for many transactions of this kind have often occurred.

CHAPTER XVI.

THE EMPEROR CONSTANTINE HAVING ENLARGED THE ANCIENT BYZANTIUM, CALLS IT CONSTANTINOPLE.

AFTER the Synod the emperor spent some time in recreation, and after the public celebration of his Vicennialia (i. e. the completion of the twentieth year of his reign), he immediately devoted himself to the reparation of the churches. This he carried into effect in other cities as well as in the city named after him, which being previously called Byzantium, he enlarged, surrounded with massive walls, and adorned with various edifices; and having rendered it equal to imperial Rome, he named it Constantinople, establishing by law that it should be designated New Rome. This law was engraven on a pillar of stone erected in public view in the Strategium,* near the emperor's equestrian statue.† He built also in the same city two churches, one of which he named *Irene*,‡ and the other *The Apostles*. Nor did he only improve the affairs of the Christians, as I have said, but he also destroyed

* A public edifice for the two principal magistrates.

† The city was solemnly dedicated as the seat of empire in 330.

‡ *Ειρήνη*, peace.

the superstitions of the heathens;* for he brought forth their images into public view to ornament the city of Constantinople, and set up the Delphic tripods publicly in the Hippodrome. It seems now indeed superfluous to mention these things, since they are seen before they are heard of. But at that time the Christian cause received its greatest augmentation; for Divine Providence reserved this among other things for the times of the emperor Constantine. Eusebius Pamphilus has in magnificent terms recorded the praises of the emperor;† and I considered it would not be ill-timed to advert thus to them as concisely as possible.

CHAPTER XVII.

THE EMPEROR'S MOTHER HELEN HAVING ARRIVED AT JERUSALEM, FINDS THE CROSS OF CHRIST WHICH SHE HAD LONG SOUGHT, AND BUILDS A CHURCH.

HELEN the emperor's mother (from whose name Drepanum once a village, having been made a city by the emperor was called Helenopolis), being divinely directed by dreams went to Jerusalem. Finding that which was once Jerusalem, desolate *as a Preserve‡ for autumnal fruits*, according to the prophet, she sought carefully the sepulchre of Christ, from which he arose after his burial; and after much difficulty, by God's help she discovered it. What the cause of the

* Ἑλλήνων.

† See the Life of Constantine, by Eusebius, recently translated : a Volume of this Series.

‡ Ὀπωροφυλάκιον, to which ἐν σικυηράτῳ is added in LXX., which in our version is "a lodge in a garden of cucumbers," according to the Hebrew.

difficulty was I will explain in few words. Those who embraced the Christian faith, after the period of his passion, greatly venerated this tomb; but those who hated Christianity, having covered the spot with a mound of earth, erected on it a temple to Venus, and set up her image* there, endeavouring to abolish the recollection of the place. This succeeded for a long time; but it at length became known to the emperor's mother, who having caused the statue† to be thrown down, the earth to be removed, and the ground entirely cleared, found three crosses in the sepulchre: one of these was that blessed cross on which Christ had hung, the other two were those on which the two thieves that were crucified with him had died. With these was also found the tablet‡ of Pilate, on which he had inscribed in various characters, that the Christ who was crucified was king of the Jews. Since however it was doubtful which was the cross they were in search of, the emperor's mother was not a little distressed; but from this trouble she was shortly relieved by Macarius bishop of Jerusalem, whose faith solved the doubt, for he sought a sign from God and obtained it. The sign was this:—a certain woman of the neighbourhood, who had been long afflicted with disease, was now just at the point of death; the bishop therefore ordered that each of the crosses should be applied to the dying woman, believing that she would be healed on being touched by the precious cross. Nor was he disappointed in his expectation: for the two crosses having been applied which were not the Lord's, the woman still continued in a dying state; but when the third, which was the

* "Αγαλμα.

† Στάνον (from ξεω, to polish).

‡ Σανίς.

true cross, touched her, she was immediately healed, and recovered her former strength. In this manner then was the genuine cross discovered. The emperor's mother erected over the place of the sepulchre a magnificent church,* and named it *New Jerusalem*, having built it opposite to that old and deserted city. There she left a portion of the cross, enclosed in a silver case, as a memorial† to those who might wish to see it: the other part she sent to the emperor, who being persuaded that the city would be perfectly secure where that relic should be preserved, privately enclosed it in his own Statue,‡ which stands on a large column of porphyry in the forum called Constantine's at Constantinople. I have written this from report indeed; but almost all the inhabitants of Constantinople affirm that it is true. Moreover Constantine caused the nails with which Christ's hands were fastened to the cross (for his mother having found these also in the sepulchre had sent them) to be converted into bridle-bits and a helmet, which he used in his military expeditions. The emperor supplied all materials for the construction of the churches, and wrote to Macarius the bishop to expedite these edifices. When the emperor's mother had completed the *New Jerusalem*, she reared another church not at all inferior, over the cave at Bethlehem where Christ was born according to the flesh: nor did she stop here, but built a third on the mount of his Ascension. So devoutly was she affected in these matters, that she would pray in the company of women; and inviting the virgins enrolled in the register§ of the churches to a repast, serving

* Οἶκον εὐκτήριον. † Μνημόσυνον. ‡ Ἀνδριάντι. § Κατόνι.

them herself, she brought the dishes to table. She was also very munificent to the churches and to the poor; and having completed a life of piety, she died when about eighty years old. Her remains were conveyed to New Rome, and deposited in the imperial sepulchres.

CHAPTER XVIII.

THE EMPEROR CONSTANTINE ABOLISHES PAGANISM AND ERECTS MANY CHURCHES IN DIFFERENT PLACES.

AFTER this the emperor became increasingly attentive to the interests of Christianity, and turned with disgust from the heathen superstitions. He abolished the combats of the gladiators, and set up his own statues in the temples. And as the heathens affirmed that it was Serapis who brought up the Nile for the purpose of irrigating Egypt, because a cubit was usually carried into his temple, he directed Alexander to transfer the cubit to the church. It was then asserted that the Nile would not overflow because of the displeasure of Serapis; nevertheless there was an inundation in the following year, and has been every subsequent one: thus it was proved by fact that the rising of the Nile was not in consequence of their superstition, but by reason of the decrees of Providence. About the same time those barbarians the Sarmatians and Goths made incursions on the Roman territory; yet the emperor's earnestness respecting the churches was by no means abated, but he made suitable provision for both these matters. Placing

his confidence in the Christian banner,* he completely vanquished his enemies, so as even to cast off the tribute of gold which preceding emperors were accustomed to pay the barbarians: while they themselves, being terror-struck at their unexpected defeat, then for the first time embraced the Christian religion, by means of which Constantine had been protected. Again he built other churches, one of which was erected near the Oak of Mamre, under which the sacred oracles declare that Abraham entertained angels. For the emperor having been informed that altars had been reared under that oak, and that pagan sacrifices were performed there, severely censured by letter Eusebius bishop of Cæsarea, and ordered that the altars should be demolished, and a house of prayer† erected beside the oak. He also directed that another church should be constructed in Helio-
polis in Phœnicia, for this reason. Who originally legislated for the inhabitants of this city I am unable to state, but his character and morals may be judged of from the practice of that city; for the laws of the country ordered the women among them to be common, and therefore the children born there were of doubtful descent, so that there was no distinction of fathers and their offspring. Their virgins also were presented for prostitution to the strangers who resorted thither. The emperor undertook the correction of these impure and disgraceful customs which had long prevailed among them, by the establishment of a solemn law of chastity, which provided for the mutual recognition of families: and when churches had been built there, he took care that a bishop and

* Τροπαίω.

† Οἶκον εὐκτήριον.

sacred clergy should be ordained, by whose means the corrupt manners of the people of Heliopolis might be reformed. He likewise demolished the temple of Venus at Aphaca on Mount Libanus, and abolished the obscene mysteries which were there celebrated. Why need I describe his expulsion of the Pythonic demon from Cilicia, by commanding the mansion in which he was lurking to be razed from its foundations? So great was the emperor's devotion to Christianity, that when he was about to enter on a war with Persia, he prepared a tabernacle formed of embroidered linen on the model* of a church, just as Moses had done in the wilderness; and this he adapted to conveyance from place to place, in order that he might have a house of prayer even in the most desert regions. But the war was suppressed at that time, being prevented through dread of the emperor. It would, I conceive, be out of place here to describe the emperor's diligence in rebuilding cities and converting many villages into cities; as for example Drepane, to which he gave his mother's name, and Constantia in Palestine, so called from his sister: for my purpose is to confine my narration of the emperor's actions chiefly to such as are connected with Christianity, and especially those which relate to the churches. Wherefore I leave to others more competent to detail such matters, the emperor's glorious achievements, inasmuch as they belong to a different subject, and require a distinct treatise. But I myself should have been silent, if the church had remained undisturbed by divisions: for where the subject does not supply matter for relation, there is no necessity for a narrator.

* Τύπον.

Since however the apostolic faith of Christianity has been disturbed and at the same time frittered away by a vain and subtile mode of disputation, I thought it desirable to record these things, in order that the transactions of the churches might not be lost in obscurity. Accurate information on these points, while it procures celebrity among the many, renders him who is acquainted with them more secure from error, and instructs him not to be agitated by any empty sound of sophistical argumentation which he may chance to hear.

CHAPTER XIX.

BY WHAT MEANS, IN THE TIME OF CONSTANTINE, THE NATIONS IN THE INTERIOR OF INDIA WERE CHRISTIANIZED.

WE must now mention by what means the profession of Christianity was extended in this emperor's reign: for it was in his time that the nations both of the Indians in the interior, and of the Iberians first embraced the Christian faith. But it may be needful briefly to explain why the expression *in the interior* is appended. When the Apostles went forth by lot among the nations, Thomas received the apostleship of the Parthians; Matthew was allotted Ethiopia; and Bartholomew the part of India contiguous to that country: but the interior of India, which was inhabited by many barbarous nations using different languages, was not enlightened by Christian doctrine before the time of Constantine. I now come to speak of the cause which led them to become converts to

Christianity. Meropius, a Tyrian philosopher, determined to visit the country of the Indians, being stimulated to this by the example of the philosopher Metrodorus, who had previously travelled through that region. Having taken with him therefore two youths to whom he was related, who were by no means ignorant of the Greek language, Meropius arrived at that country by ship; and when he had inspected whatever he wished, he touched at a certain place which had a safe harbour, for the purpose of procuring some necessaries. It so happened that the treaty between the Romans and Indians had been violated a little before his arrival. The Indians therefore having seized the philosopher and those who sailed with him, killed them all except his two young kinsmen; but sparing them from compassion for their tender age, they sent them as a gift to the king of the Indians. He being pleased with the personal appearance of the youths, constituted one of them, whose name was Edesius, cup-bearer at his table; to the other, named Frumentius, he entrusted the care of the royal records. The king dying soon after, left them free, the government devolving on his wife and infant son; and the queen seeing her son thus left in his minority, begged the young men to undertake the charge of him, until he should become of adult age. They therefore accepted this commission, and entered on the administration of the kingdom; but the chief authority was in the hands of Frumentius, who began anxiously to enquire whether among the Roman merchants trafficking with that country, there were any Christians to be found: and having discovered some, he informed

them who he was, and exhorted them to select some appropriate places for the celebration of Christian worship. In the course of a little while he built a house of prayer; and having instructed some of the Indians in the principles of Christianity, they were admitted to participation in the worship. On the young king's reaching maturity, Frumentius resigned to him the administration of public affairs, in the management of which he had honourably acquitted himself, and besought permission to return to his own country. Both the king and his mother entreated him to remain; but he being desirous of revisiting his native place, could not be prevailed on, and consequently they both departed. Edesius hastened to Tyre to see his parents and kindred: but Frumentius arriving at Alexandria, relates his whole story to Athanasius the bishop, who had but recently been invested with that dignity; and acquainting him with the particulars of his residence abroad, expressed a hope that measures would be taken to convert the Indians to Christianity. He also begged him to send a bishop and clergy there, and by no means to neglect those who might thus be brought to the knowledge of salvation. Athanasius having considered how this could be most profitably effected, requested Frumentius himself to accept the bishopric, declaring that he could appoint no one more suitable than he. He was accordingly ordained, and again returning to India with episcopal authority, became there a preacher of the gospel, and built several *Oratories* :* being aided also by divine grace, he performed various miracles, healing diseases both of

* Εὐκτήρια.

the souls and bodies of many. Rufinus assures us that he heard these facts from Edesius, who was afterwards inducted into the sacred office at Tyre.

CHAPTER XX.

BY WHAT MEANS THE IBERIANS WERE CONVERTED TO
CHRISTIANITY.

IT is now proper to relate how the Iberians about the same time became proselytes to the faith. A certain woman distinguished by her devout and chaste life, was, in the providential ordering of God, taken captive by the Iberians, who dwell near the Euxine sea, and are a colony of the Iberians of Spain. She accordingly in her captivity exercised* herself among the barbarians in the practice of virtue: for she not only maintained the most rigid continence, but spent much time in fastings and prayers; which extraordinary conduct the barbarians observing, were very greatly astonished at. The king's son then a mere babe, happening to be attacked with disease, the queen, according to the custom of the country, sent the child to other women to be cured, in the hope that their experience would supply a remedy. After the infant had been carried around by its nurse without obtaining relief from any of the women, he was at length brought to this captive. She having no knowledge of the medical art, applied no material remedy; but taking the child and laying it on her bed which was made of horse-cloth, in the presence of other females, she simply said,

* *Ἐφελούσθαι* (this sense was adopted by later writers).

“Christ who healed many, will heal this child also:” then having prayed in addition to this expression of faith, and called upon God, the boy was immediately restored, and continued well from that period. The report of this miracle spread itself far and wide among the barbarian women, and soon reached the queen, so that the captive became very celebrated. Not long afterwards the queen herself having fallen sick, sent for this woman, who being a person of modest and retiring manners excused herself from going; on which the queen was conveyed to her, and received relief in like manner as her son had, for the disease was at once removed. But when the queen thanked the stranger, she replied, “this work is not mine, but Christ’s, who is the Son of God that made the world:” she therefore exhorted her to call upon him, and acknowledge the true God. Amazed at his wife’s sudden restoration to health, the king of the Iberians wished to requite her with gifts whom he had understood to be the means of effecting these cures: she however declined their acceptance, telling him that she needed not riches, inasmuch as she possessed abundance in the consolations of religion; but that she would regard as the greatest present he could offer her, his recognition of the God whom she worshipped and declared. This answer the king treasured up in his mind, and going forth to the chase the next day, the following circumstance occurred: a mist and thick darkness covered the mountain tops and forests where he was hunting, so that their sport was embarrassed, and their path became inextricable. In this perplexity the prince earnestly invoked the gods whom he worshipped, but finding that it profited

him nothing, he at last determined to implore the assistance of the captive's God; when scarcely had he begun to pray, ere the darkness arising from the mist was completely dissipated. Wondering at that which was done, he returned to his palace rejoicing; and relating to his wife what had happened, he immediately sent for the captive stranger, and begged her to inform him who that God was whom she adored. The woman on her arrival caused the king of the Iberians to become a preacher of the Gospel: for having believed in Christ through the faithfulness of this devoted woman, he convened all the Iberians who were under his authority; and when he had declared to them what had taken place in reference to the cure of his wife and child, as well as the circumstances connected with the chase, he exhorted them to worship the God of the captive. Thus therefore both the king and queen were made preachers of Christ, the one addressing their male, and the other their female subjects. Moreover the king having ascertained from his prisoner the plan on which churches were constructed among the Romans, ordered an Oratory to be built, providing all things necessary for its immediate erection; and the edifice was accordingly commenced. But when they came to set up the pillars, Divine Providence interposed for the confirmation of the inhabitants in the faith, for one of the columns remained immovable; and the workmen disheartened by the fracture of their ropes and machinery, at length gave up all further effort. Then was proved the reality of the captive's faith in the following manner: going to the place at night without the knowledge of any one, she spent the whole time in prayer; and the power of

God was manifested by the pillar's being raised, and caused to stand erect in the air above its base, yet so as not to touch it. At day-break the king, who was an intelligent person, came himself to inspect the work, and seeing the pillar suspended in this position without support, both he and his attendants were amazed; but shortly after, while they stood gazing on this wonder, the pillar descended on its own pedestal, and there remained fixed. Upon this the people shouted, attesting the truth of the king's faith, and hymning the praise of the God of the captive. Their belief being thus established, the rest of the columns were easily reared, and the whole building was soon completed. An embassy was afterwards sent to the emperor Constantine, requesting that henceforth they might be in alliance with the Romans, and receive from them a bishop and consecrated clergy, since they sincerely believed in Christ. Rufinus says that he learnt these facts from Bacurius, formerly one of the petty princes* of the Iberians, who subsequently went over to the Romans, and was made a captain of the military force in Palestine: being at length entrusted with the supreme command in the war against the tyrant Maximus, he greatly assisted the emperor Theodosius. In this way then, during the reign of Constantine, were the Iberians converted to Christianity.

* Βασιλίσκος.

CHAPTER XXI.

OF ANTONY THE MONK.

WHAT sort of a character the monk Antony was, who lived in the same age, in the Egyptian desert, it would be superfluous for us to describe; and how he openly contended with devils, clearly detecting their devices, and wily modes of warfare; or to enumerate the many miracles he did: for Athanasius bishop of Alexandria has anticipated us, having devoted an entire book to his biography. The mention of his name among others, will however serve to show the abundance of good men that flourished contemporaneously with the emperor Constantine.

CHAPTER XXII.

OF MANES THE RINGLEADER OF THE MANICHEAN
HERESY, AND WHENCE HIS ORIGIN.

BUT amidst the good corn, tares are accustomed to spring up; for Satan's envy loves to plot insidiously against the good. Hence it was that a little while before the time of Constantine, a species of heathenish Christianity made its appearance together with that which was real: just as false prophets and false apostles heretofore insinuated themselves amongst those who were constituted of God. For at that time a dogma of Empedocles the heathen philosopher, was by Manichæus attempted to be amalgamated with Christian doctrine. Eusebius Pamphilus indeed has

mentioned this person in the seventh book of his Ecclesiastical History; but since he did not enter into minute details concerning him, I deem it incumbent on me to supply some particulars which he has left unnoticed: thus it will be known who this Manichæus was, whence he came, and what was the nature of his presumptuous daring.

A Saracen named Scythian having married a captive from the Upper Thebes, dwelt on her account in Egypt, where after studying the learning of the Egyptians, he introduced the theory of Empedocles and Pythagoras among the doctrines of the Christian faith. Asserting that there were two natures, a good and an evil one, he termed, as Empedocles had done, the latter *Discord*, and the former *Friendship*. Of this Scythian, Buddas who had been previously called Terebinthus, became a disciple; and he having proceeded to Babylon, which the Persians inhabit, made many extravagant statements respecting himself, declaring that he was born of a virgin, and brought up in the mountains. The same man afterwards composed four books, one he entitled *The Mysteries*, another *The Gospel*, a third *The Treasure*, and the fourth *Heads*: but pretending to perform some mystic rites, he was hurled down a precipice by the devil, and so perished. He was buried by a woman at whose house he had lodged, who taking possession of his property, bought a boy about seven years old whose name was Cubricus: this lad she enfranchised, and having given him a liberal education, she soon after died, leaving him all that belonged to Terebinthus, including the books he had written on the principles inculcated by Scythian. Cubricus, now free, taking these things

with him travelled into Persia, where he changed his name, calling himself Manes; and disseminated the books of Buddas or Terebinthus among his deluded followers, as his own. Now the contents of these treatises are apparently accordant with Christianity in expression, but thoroughly Pagan in sentiment: for Manichæus being an impious person, incited his disciples to acknowledge a plurality of gods, and taught them to worship the sun. He also introduced *Fatalism*,* taking away human† free-will; and distinctly affirmed a transmutation‡ of bodies, a notion which closely approximates to, and was doubtless borrowed from the opinions of Empedocles, Pythagoras, and the Egyptians, respecting the transmigrations§ of souls. He denied that Christ existed in the flesh, asserting that he was an unsubstantial apparition;|| and rejected moreover the Law and the Prophets, calling himself the *Comforter*:¶—all of which dogmas are totally repugnant to the orthodox faith of the church. In his epistles he even dared to assume the title of Apostle; but a pretension so unfounded brought upon him merited retribution in the following manner. The son of the Persian monarch having been attacked with disease, his father became anxious for his recovery, and left no means** untried in order to effect it; and as he had heard of the specious†† deceptions of Manichæus, under the impression that these miracles were real, he sent for him as an apostle, trusting that through him his son might be restored. The impostor accordingly presented himself at court, and with well-

* Εἰμαρμένην.

† Τὸ ἐφ' ἡμῖν.

‡ Μετενσωμάτων.

§ Μετεμψύχωσις.

|| Φάντασμα.

¶ Παράκλητον.

** Πάντα λίθον ἐκίνει.

†† Τερατείας.

dissembled* mysticism of manner undertook the cure of the young prince: the child however died under his hands, and the king seeing his hope thus painfully frustrated, shut up the deceiver in prison, with intent to put him to death. Manichæus contriving to escape, fled into Mesopotamia, and so for a time saved himself; but the king of Persia having discovered where he was secreted, caused him to be brought thence by force, and after having flayed him alive, he stuffed his skin with chaff, and suspended it in front of the gate of the city. These are no fabrications of ours, but facts which we collected from a book entitled "The disputation of Archelaus bishop of Cascharum," (one of the cities of Mesopotamia); in which the author states that he disputed with Manichæus face to face, and mentions the circumstances connected with his life to which we have now alluded. The envy of Satan thus delights, as we before remarked, to be insidiously at work in the midst of a prosperous condition of affairs. But for what reason the goodness of God permits this to be done, whether he wishes thereby to bring into activity the excellence of the principles of the church, and to utterly break down the self-importance which is wont to unite itself with faith; or for what other cause, is too difficult a question for present discussion. Nor would it be consistent with the object here proposed, which is neither to examine the soundness of doctrinal views, nor to analyse the mysterious purposes of the providential arrangements of God; but to detail as faithfully as possible the transactions which have taken place in the churches. Having then described the

* 'Επιπλάστου σχήματος.

way in which the corrupt superstition of the Manichæans sprang up a little before the time of Constantine, we will return to the series of events which are the proper subjects of this history.

CHAPTER XXIII.

EUSEBIUS BISHOP OF NICOMEDIA, AND THEOGNIS BISHOP OF NICE, HAVING RESUMED COURAGE, ENDEAVOUR TO SUBVERT THE NICENE CREED, BY PLOTTING AGAINST ATHANASIUS.

ON the return of Eusebius and Theognis from their exile, they were reinstated in their churches, having expelled, as we observed, those who had been ordained in their stead. Moreover they came into great consideration with the emperor, who honoured them exceedingly, as those who had returned from damnable error to the orthodox faith. They however abused the licence thus afforded them, by exciting greater commotions in the world than they had done before; being instigated to this by two causes—the Arian heresy with which they had been previously infected on the one hand, and bitter animosity against Athanasius on the other, because he had so vigorously withstood them in the Synod while the articles of faith were under discussion. And in the first place they objected to his ordination, as a person unworthy of the prelacy, alleging that he had been elected by disqualified persons. But when Athanasius had shown himself superior to this calumny, and possessing the confidence of the Church of Alexandria, ardently contended for the Nicene Creed, then Eusebius exerted

himself to the utmost in insidious plots against him, and efforts to bring Arius back to Alexandria: for he thought that thus only could the doctrine of consubstantiality be eradicated, and Arianism introduced. Eusebius therefore wrote to Athanasius, desiring him to re-admit Arius and his adherents into the church: the tone of his letter indeed being that of entreaty, while openly he menaced him. When Athanasius would by no means accede to this, he endeavoured to induce the emperor to give Arius an audience, and then permit him to return to Alexandria: but by what means he attained his object, I shall mention in its proper place. Before however this was effected, another commotion was raised in the church, her peace being again disturbed by her own children. Eusebius Pamphilus says, that immediately after the Synod, Egypt became agitated by intestine divisions: but as he does not assign the reason for this, some have accused him of disingenuousness, and have even attributed his avoiding to specify the causes of these dissensions, to a determination on his part not to give his sanction to the proceedings at Nice. Yet as we ourselves have discovered from various letters which the bishops wrote to one another after the Synod, the term *ὁμοούσιος* troubled some of them. But while they occupied themselves in a too minute investigation of its import, the discussion assumed a polemical character, though it seemed not unlike a contest in the dark; for neither party appeared to understand distinctly the grounds on which they calumniated one another. Those who objected to the word *consubstantial*, conceived that those who approved it, favoured the opinion of Sabellius and

Montanus; they therefore called them blasphemers, as subverters of the existence of the Son of God. And again the advocates of this term, charging their opponents with polytheism,* inveighed against them as introducers of heathen superstitions. Eustathius bishop of Antioch, accuses Eusebius Pamphilus of perverting the Nicene Creed: but Eusebius denies that he violates that exposition of the faith, and recriminates, saying that Eustathius was a defender of the opinion of Sabellius. In consequence of these misunderstandings, each of them wrote volumes as if contending against adversaries: and although it was admitted on both sides that the Son of God has a distinct person and existence, and all acknowledged that there is one God in a Trinity of Persons, yet from what cause I am unable to divine, they could not agree among themselves, and therefore were never at peace.

CHAPTER XXIV.

OF THE SYNOD HELD AT ANTIOCH, WHICH DEPOSED EUSTATHIUS BISHOP OF ANTIOCH, ON WHOSE ACCOUNT A SEDITION WAS EXCITED WHICH ALMOST RUINED THE CITY.

HAVING therefore convened a Synod at Antioch, they degrade Eustathius, as a supporter of the Sabellian heresy, rather than of the tenets which had been recognised at the council of Nice. There are some who affirm that his deposition arose from less justifiable motives, though none other have been openly assigned: but this is a matter of common

* Πολυθεϊαν.

occurrence, for the bishops frequently load with opprobrious epithets, and pronounce impious those whom they depose, without explaining their warrant for so doing. George bishop of Laodicea in Syria, one of the number of those who abominated the term *consubstantial*, assures us in his *Encomium of Eusebius Emisenus*, that they deposed Eustathius as a favourer of Sabellianism, on the impeachment of Cyrus bishop of Berœa. Of Eusebius Emisenus we shall speak elsewhere in due order: but there seems to be something contradictory in the report George has given of Eustathius; for after asserting that he was accused by Cyrus of maintaining the heresy of Sabellius, he tells us again that Cyrus himself was convicted of the same error, and degraded for it. Now how could it happen that Cyrus should be the accuser of Eustathius as a Sabellian, when he entertained similar opinions? It appears likely therefore that Eustathius must have been condemned on other grounds. That circumstance however gave rise to a dangerous sedition at Antioch: for when they proceeded to the election of a successor, so fierce a dissension was kindled, as to threaten the whole city with destruction. The populace was divided into two factions, one of which vehemently contended for the translation of Eusebius Pamphilus from Cæsarea in Palestine to Antioch; the other equally insisted on the reinstatement of Eustathius. And as all the citizens were infected with the spirit of partisanship in this quarrel among the Christians, a military force was arrayed on both sides with hostile intent, so that a bloody collision would have taken place, had not God and the dread of the emperor

repressed the violence of the multitude. But the emperor's letters, together with the refusal of Eusebius to accept the bishopric, served to allay the ferment: on which account that prelate was exceedingly admired by the emperor, who wrote to him commending his prudent determination, and congratulating him as one who was considered worthy of being bishop not of one city merely, but of almost the whole world. It is said that the episcopal chair* of the church at Antioch was vacant for eight years after this period; but at length by the exertions of those who aimed at the subversion of the Nicene creed, Euphronius was duly installed. This is the amount of my information respecting the Synod held at Antioch on account of Eustathius. Immediately after these events Eusebius, who had long before left Berytus, and was at that time presiding over the church at Nicomedia, strenuously exerted himself in connection with those of his party, to bring back Arius to Alexandria. But how they managed to effect this, and by what means the emperor was prevailed on to admit both Arius and Euzoïus into his presence must now be related.

CHAPTER XXV.

OF THE PRESBYTER WHO EXERTED HIMSELF THAT ARIUS
MIGHT BE RECALLED.

THE emperor Constantine had a sister named Constantia, formerly the wife of Licinius who, after having for some time shared the imperial dignity with Constantine, was put to death in consequence

* *Θρόνος.*

of his tyranny and ambition. This princess maintained in her household establishment a certain confidential presbyter, tinctured with the dogmas of Arianism; who being prompted by Eusebius and others, took occasion in his familiar conversations with Constantia, to insinuate that the Synod had done Arius injustice, and that his sentiments were greatly misrepresented. Constantia gave full credence to the presbyter's assertions, but durst not report them to the emperor; until at length she became dangerously ill, which caused her brother to visit her daily. When the disease had reduced her to such a state that her speedy dissolution seemed inevitable, she commended this presbyter to the emperor, testifying to his diligence and piety, as well as his devoted loyalty to his sovereign. On her death, which occurred soon after, the presbyter became one of the most confidential persons about the emperor; and having gradually increased in freedom of speech, he repeated to the emperor what he had before stated to his sister, affirming that the opinions of Arius were perfectly accordant with the sentiments avowed by the Synod; and that if he were admitted to the imperial presence, he would give his full assent to what the Synod had decreed: he added moreover that he had been falsely accused without the slightest reason. The emperor was astonished at the presbyter's discourse, and replied, "If Arius subscribes to the Synod's determination, and his views correspond with that, I will both give him an audience, and send him back to Alexandria with honour." Having thus said, he immediately wrote to him in these words:—

“VICTOR CONSTANTINE MAXIMUS AUGUSTUS, TO ARIUS.

“It was intimated to your reverence* some time since, that you might come to my court, in order to your being admitted to the enjoyment of our presence. We are not a little surprised that you did not immediately avail yourself of this permission. Wherefore having at once mounted a public vehicle, hasten to arrive at our court; that when you have experienced our clemency and regard for you, you may return to your own country. May God protect you, beloved.”

This letter was dated the twenty-fifth of November. And one cannot but be struck with the ardent zeal which this prince manifested for religion: for it appears from this document that he had often before exhorted Arius to retract† his opinions, inasmuch as he censures his delaying to return to the truth, although he had himself written frequently to him. Not long after the receipt of this letter, Arius came to Constantinople accompanied by Euzoïus, whom Alexander had divested of his deaconship when Arius and his adherents were excommunicated. The emperor accordingly admitted them to his presence, and asked them whether they would agree to the Nicene creed? And when they readily gave their assent, he ordered them to deliver to him a written statement of their faith.

* *Στεφφόρητί σου*. This is so *harsh* an *epithet*, as to make a perfect barbarism in English.

† *Ἐπὶ μετάνοιαν*.

CHAPTER XXVI.

ARIUS ON BEING RECALLED, PRESENTS HIS RECONTANTION TO THE EMPEROR, AND PRETENDS TO ACKNOWLEDGE THE NICENE CREED.

THEY having drawn up a declaration to the following effect, presented it to the emperor.

“ Arius and Euzoïus, to our Most Religious and Pious Lord the Emperor Constantine.

“ In accordance with the command of your devout * piety, sovereign lord, we declare our faith, and before God profess in writing, that we and our adherents believe as follows:—

“ We believe in one God the Father Almighty: and in the Lord Jesus Christ his Son, who was made † of him before all ages, God the Word by whom all things were made which are in the heavens and upon the earth; who descended, became incarnate, suffered, rose again, ascended into the heavens, and will again come to judge the living and the dead. We believe also in the Holy Spirit, in the resurrection of the flesh, in the life of the coming age, in the kingdom of the heavens, and in one Catholic Church of God, extending over the whole ‡ earth.

“ This faith we have received from the holy gospels, the Lord therein saying to his disciples: ‘ Go and teach all nations, baptizing them in the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Spirit.’ If

* Θεοφιλῆς εὐσέβεια.

† Γεγεννημένον, not γεγεννημένον begotten.

‡ Ἀπὸ περάτων ἕως περάτων.

we do not so believe and truly receive the Father, the Son, and the Holy Spirit, as the whole Catholic Church and the holy Scriptures teach, (in which we place implicit faith,) God is our judge both now, and in the coming judgment. Wherefore we beseech your piety, most devout emperor, that we who are persons consecrated to the ministry, and holding the faith and sentiments of the church and of the holy Scriptures, may by your pacific and devoted piety be reunited to our mother, the Church, all superfluous questions and disputings being avoided: that so both we and the whole church being at peace, may in common offer our accustomed prayers for your tranquil reign, and on behalf of your whole family."

CHAPTER XXVII.

ARIUS HAVING RETURNED TO ALEXANDRIA WITH THE EMPEROR'S CONSENT, AND NOT BEING RECEIVED BY ATHANASIUS, THE PARTISANS OF EUSEBIUS LAY MANY CHARGES BEFORE THE EMPEROR AGAINST ATHANASIUS.

ARIUS having thus satisfied the emperor, returned to Alexandria. But his artifice for suppressing the truth did not succeed; for Athanasius would not receive him on his arrival at Alexandria, having turned away from him as a pest: he therefore attempted to excite a fresh commotion in that city by disseminating his heresy. Then indeed both Eusebius himself wrote, and prevailed on the emperor also to write, in order that Arius and his partisans might be readmitted into the church. Athanasius nevertheless wholly refused to receive them, informing the emperor

in reply, that it was impossible for those who had once rejected the faith, and had been anathematized, to be again received into communion on their return. But the emperor provoked at this answer, menaced Athanasius in these terms. "Since you have been apprised of my will, afford unhindered access into the church to all those who are desirous of entering it. For if it shall be intimated to me that you have prohibited any of those claiming to be reunited to the church, or have hindered their admission, I will forthwith send some one who at my command shall depose you, and drive you into exile."

The emperor wrote thus sternly from a desire of promoting the public good, and to prevent division in the church; for he laboured earnestly to bring them all into unanimity. Then indeed the partisans of Eusebius, who were most malicious against Athanasius, imagining they had found a seasonable opportunity, availed themselves of the emperor's displeasure as subsidiary to their own purpose: they therefore raised a great disturbance, endeavouring to eject him from his bishopric; for they had not the slightest hope of the prevalence of Arian doctrine, until they could effect his removal.* The chief conspirators against him were Eusebius bishop of Nicomedia, Theognis of Nice, Maris of Chalcedon, Ursacius of Singidunum in Upper Mœsia, and Valens of Mursa in Upper Pannonia. These persons suborn by bribes certain of the Meletian heresy to fabricate various charges against Athanasius; and first they accuse him through the Meletians Ision, Eudæmon and Callinicus, of having ordered the Egyptians to pay a linen garment as

* Ἐκποδῶν, get him out of the way.

tribute to the church at Alexandria. But this calumny was immediately refuted by Alypius and Macarius, presbyters of the Alexandrian church, who then happened to be at Nicomedia; they having convinced the emperor that these statements to the prejudice of Athanasius were false. Wherefore the emperor by letter severely censured his accusers, but desired Athanasius to come to him. The Eusebian faction anticipating his arrival, impute to him another crime of a still more serious nature than the former; charging Athanasius with plotting against his sovereign, and with having sent for treasonable purposes a chest full of gold to one Philumenus. When however the emperor had himself investigated this matter at Psamathia, which is in the suburbs* of Nicomedia, and had found Athanasius innocent, he dismissed him with honour; and wrote with his own hand to the church at Alexandria to assure them that their bishop had been falsely accused. It would indeed have been both proper and desirable to have passed over in silence the subsequent attacks which the Eusebians made upon Athanasius, lest from these circumstances the church of Christ should be judged unfavourably of by those who are adverse to its interests. But since by having been already committed to writing, they have become known to everybody, I have on that account deemed it necessary to make a cursory allusion to these things, the particulars of which would require a special treatise. Whence these accusations originated, and the character of those who devised them, I shall now therefore compendiously state. Mareotes is a district of Alexandria, contain-

* Προάστειον.

ing very many villages, and an abundant population, with numerous splendid churches, which are all under the jurisdiction of the bishop of Alexandria, and are subject to his city as parishes.* There was in this region a person named Ischyrras, who had been guilty of an act deserving of many deaths; for although he had never been admitted to holy orders, he had the audacity to assume the title of presbyter, and to exercise the sacred functions. But having been detected in his sacrilegious career, he made his escape thence and sought refuge in Nicomedia, where he implored the protection of Eusebius; who from his hatred to Athanasius, not only received him as a presbyter, but even promised to confer upon him the dignity of the prelacy, if he would frame an accusation against Athanasius, listening as a pretext for this to whatever stories Ischyrras had invented. For he spread a report that he had suffered dreadfully from an assault made on him by Macarius, who (he affirmed) rushing furiously toward the altar, had overturned the table, and broken the mystical cup: he added also that he had burnt the sacred books. As a reward for this accusation, the Eusebian faction, as I have said, promised him a bishopric; foreseeing that if the charges against Macarius could be sustained, the onus would equally fall on Athanasius, under whose orders he would seem to have acted. But before they brought this forward, they devised another calumny full of the bitterest malignity, to which I shall now advert. Having by some means, I know not what, obtained a man's hand; whether they themselves had murdered any one, and cut off his hand, or had severed it from

* Παρωϊαί.

some dead body, God knows both the mode, and the authors of the deed: but be that as it may, they publicly exposed it, as the hand of Arsenius a Meletian bishop, though they kept the alleged owner of it concealed. This hand, they asserted, had been made use of by Athanasius in the performance of certain magic arts; and therefore it was made the gravest ground of accusation which these calumniators had concerted against him: but as it generally happens, all those who entertained any pique against Athanasius, came forward at the same time with a variety of other charges. When the emperor was informed of these proceedings, he wrote to his nephew Dalmatius the censor, who then had his residence at Antioch in Syria, directing him to order the accused parties to be brought before him, and after due investigation, to inflict punishment on such as might be convicted. He also sent thither Eusebius and Theognis, that the case might be tried in their presence. When Athanasius knew that he was to be summoned before the censor, he sent into Egypt to make a strict search after Arsenius; for he ascertained that he was secreted there, although he was unable to apprehend him, because he often changed his place of concealment. Meanwhile the emperor suppressed the trial which was to have been held before the censor, on the following account.

CHAPTER XXVIII.

ON ACCOUNT OF THE CHARGES AGAINST ATHANASIUS,
THE EMPEROR DIRECTS A SYNOD OF BISHOPS TO BE
HELD AT TYRE.

THE emperor had ordered a Synod of Bishops to be present at the consecration of the church which he had erected at Jerusalem. He therefore directed that before they met there, they should on their way first assemble at Tyre, to examine into the charges against Athanasius; in order that all cause of contention being by this means removed, they might the more peacefully perform the solemnities* of religion in the dedication of the church to God. It was in the thirtieth year of Constantine's reign, that sixty bishops were thus convened at Tyre from various places, on the summons of Dionysius the consul. Macarius the presbyter was conducted from Alexandria in chains, under a military escort. But Athanasius was indeed unwilling to go thither, not so much from a dread of the charges preferred against him, because he was conscious of his own innocence; as that he feared lest any innovations should be made on the decisions of the council of Nice: he was however constrained to be present by the menacing letters of the emperor, in which he was told that if he did not come voluntarily, he should be brought by force.

* Ἐπιβατήρια.

CHAPTER XXIX.

OF ARSENIUS, AND HIS HAND WHICH WAS SAID TO HAVE
BEEN CUT OFF.

THE special providence of God drove Arsenius also to Tyre: for, disregarding the injunctions he had received from the accusers by whom he had been bribed, he went thither disguised, to see what would be done. It by some means happened that the servants of Archelaus, the governor of the province, heard some persons at an inn affirm, that Arsenius who was reported to have been murdered, was at that very time concealed in the house of one of the citizens. Having marked the individuals by whom this statement was made, they communicated the circumstance to their master, who causing strict search to be made for the man immediately, discovered and properly secured him; after which he gave notice to Athanasius that he need not be under any alarm, inasmuch as Arsenius was alive and there present. Arsenius on being apprehended, at first denied that he was the person supposed; but Paul bishop of Tyre, who had formerly known him, soon established his identity. Divine providence having thus disposed matters, Athanasius was shortly after summoned by the Synod: and as soon as he presented himself, his traducers exhibited the hand, and pressed their charge. Managing the affair with great prudence, he simply enquired of those present, as well as his accusers, whether any of them knew Arsenius? and several having answered in the affirmative, he

caused Arsenius to be introduced, having his hands covered by his cloak. Then he again asked them, is this the person who has lost a hand? All were astonished at the strangeness* of this procedure, except those who knew whence the hand had been cut off; for the rest thought that Arsenius was really deficient of a hand, and expected that the accused would make his defence in some other way. But Athanasius turning back the cloak of Arsenius on one side shows one of the man's hands: again while some were supposing that the other hand was wanting, after permitting them to remain a short time in doubt, he turned back the cloak on the other side and exposed the other hand. Then addressing himself to those present he said, "Arsenius, as you see, is found to have two hands: let my accusers show the place whence the third was cut off."

CHAPTER XXX.

THE ACCUSERS BETAKE THEMSELVES TO FLIGHT, WHEN
ATHANASIUS IS FOUND INNOCENT OF WHAT WAS FIRST
LAID TO HIS CHARGE.

MATTERS having been brought to this issue with regard to Arsenius, the contrivers of this imposture were reduced to the utmost perplexity; and Achab, who was also called John, one of the principal accusers, having slipped out of court, effected his escape in the tumult. Thus Athanasius cleared himself from this charge, without having recourse to any pleading; for he was confident that the sight

* Παραδόξω.

only of Arsenius alive, would confound his calumniators.

CHAPTER XXXI.

WHEN THE BISHOPS WILL NOT ADMIT HIS DEFENCE ON THE SECOND CHARGE, ATHANASIUS FLEES TO THE EMPEROR.

BUT in refuting the false allegations against Macarius, he took legal exception to Eusebius and his party, as his enemies; protesting against the inequitableness of any man's being tried by his adversaries. He next insisted on its being proved, that his accuser Ischyra had really obtained the dignity of presbyter; for so he had been designated in the indictment. But when the judges would not allow any of these objections, and the case of Macarius was entered into, on the informers being found deficient of proofs, the hearing of the matter was postponed, until some persons should have gone into Marcotis, in order that they might on the spot examine into all doubtful points. Athanasius seeing that those very individuals were to be sent to whom he had taken exception, (for the investigation was committed to Theognis, Maris, Theodorus, Macedonius, Valens and Ursacius,) exclaimed that their procedure was both treacherous and fraudulent; for that it was unjust that the presbyter Macarius should be detained in bonds, while the accuser together with the judges who were his adversaries, were permitted to go, in order that evidence of the facts might be obtained on one side of the question only.

Having made this protest before the whole Synod and Dionysius the governor of the province, but finding his appeal wholly disregarded, he privately withdrew. Those therefore who were sent to Mareotes, having registered such circumstances only as might serve to countenance the charges of the accuser, returned to Tyre.

CHAPTER XXXII.

ON THE DEPARTURE OF ATHANASIUS, THOSE WHO COMPOSED THE SYNOD VOTE HIS DEPOSITION.

ATHANASIUS on his departure hastened immediately to the emperor. But the Synod meanwhile condemned him in his absence in the first place for deserting his cause: and when the result of the inquiry which had been instituted at Mareotes was presented, they passed sentence of deposition against him; loading him with opprobrious epithets in their record of this act, but being wholly silent respecting the disgraceful defeat of his calumniators* in the fictitious case of Arsenius. And having received into communion him who was reported to have been murdered, he who had formerly been a bishop of the Meletian heresy, was allowed to subscribe to the deposition of Athanasius as bishop of the city of Hypsēlis. Thus by an extraordinary course of circumstances, the alleged victim of assassination by Athanasius, was found alive to assist in degrading him.

* Συκοφαντῶν.

CHAPTER XXXIII.

THE SYNOD PROCEED FROM TYRE TO JERUSALEM, AND HAVING KEPT THE FEAST OF DEDICATION OF THE "NEW JERUSALEM," RECEIVE ARIUS AND HIS FOLLOWERS AGAIN INTO COMMUNION.

LETTERS in the meantime were brought from the emperor directing those who composed the Synod to hasten to the *New Jerusalem*: having therefore immediately left Tyre, they set forward with all despatch thither, where, after completing the ceremony* of the consecration of the place, they readmitted Arius and his adherents into communion, in obedience as they said, to the wishes of the emperor, who had signified in his communication to them, that he was fully satisfied respecting the faith of Arius and Euzoïus. They moreover wrote to the church at Alexandria, stating that all envy being now banished, the affairs of the church were established in peace: and that since Arius had by his recantation acknowledged the truth, it was but just that he should henceforward be received by them as a member of the church. No other allusion was made to the deposition of Athanasius, than what was obscurely couched in their assurance that all envy was now banished. At the same time they sent information of what had been done to the emperor, in terms nearly to the same effect. But whilst the bishops were engaged in these transactions, other letters came most unexpectedly from the emperor, intimating that Athanasius had fled to him for

* 'Εορτήν.

protection; and that it was necessary for them on his account to come to Constantinople. This unanticipated communication from the emperor was as follows.

CHAPTER XXXIV.

THE EMPEROR SUMMONS THE SYNOD TO HIMSELF BY LETTER, IN ORDER THAT THE CHARGES AGAINST ATHANASIUS MIGHT BE MINUTELY INVESTIGATED BEFORE HIM.

“VICTOR Constantine Maximus Augustus, to the bishops convened at Tyre.

“I am indeed ignorant of the decisions which have been made by your Council with so much turbulence and commotion: but the truth seems to have been perverted by some tumultuous and disorderly proceedings; while, in your mutual love of contention, which you seem desirous of perpetuating, you disregard the consideration of those things which are acceptable to God. It will however, I trust, be the work of Divine Providence to utterly dissipate the mischiefs resulting from this spirit of jealous rivalry, as soon as they shall have been clearly detected; and to make it apparent to us, how much regard ye who have been convened have had to truth, and whether your decisions on the subjects which have been submitted to your judgment have been made apart from partiality or prejudice. Wherefore it is indispensable that you should all without delay attend upon my Piety, that you may yourselves give a strict account

of your transactions. The reasons which have induced me to write thus, and to summon you before me, you will learn from what follows. As I was making my entry on horseback into the city which bears our name, in this our most flourishing country, suddenly the Bishop Athanasius, with certain ecclesiastics whom he had around him, presented himself so unexpectedly in our path, as to produce a degree of consternation. For the Omniscient Being is my witness that at first sight I did not recognise him until some of my attendants, in answer to my enquiry, informed me very properly both who he was, and what injustice he had suffered. At that time indeed I neither conversed, nor held any communication with him: but when he entreated an audience, and I had not only refused it, but even ordered that he should be removed from my presence, he said with greater boldness, that he petitioned for nothing more than that you might be summoned hither, in order that in our presence, he, driven by necessity to such a course, might have a fair opportunity afforded him of deprecating his wrongs.* This request seemed so reasonable, and so consistent with the equity of my government, that I willingly gave instructions for writing these things to you. My command therefore is, that all, as many as composed the Synod convened at Tyre, should forthwith hasten to the Court of our Piety, in order that from the facts themselves the purity and integrity of your decision may be made apparent in my presence, whom you cannot but own to be a true † servant of God. It is in consequence of the acts of my religious service towards the Deity

* Ἀποδύρασθαι.

† Γνήσιον, genuine.

that peace is everywhere reigning; and that the name of God is devoutly had in reverence even among the barbarians themselves, who until now were ignorant of the Gospel. Now it is evident that he who knows not the truth, cannot possibly acknowledge God: yet, as I before said, even the barbarians on my account, who am a faithful servant of God, have acknowledged and learned to worship him, by whose provident care they perceive that I am everywhere protected. So that from dread of us chiefly, they have been thus brought to the knowledge of the true God whom they now worship. Nevertheless we who pretend to have a religious veneration for (I will not say who guard) the holy mysteries of his church, we, I say, do little else than what tends to discord and animosity, and to speak plainly, to the destruction of the human race. Come therefore all of you to us as speedily as possible: and be assured that I shall endeavour with all my power to cause that what is contained in the Divine Law may be preserved inviolate, on which neither stigma nor reproach shall be able to be affixed. This however can only be effected by dispersing, crushing to pieces, and utterly destroying its enemies, who under covert of the sacred profession* introduce numerous and diversified blasphemies."

* Ἁγίου ὀνόματος.

CHAPTER XXXV.

THE SYNOD NOT HAVING COME TO THE EMPEROR, THE PARTISANS OF EUSEBIUS ACCUSE ATHANASIUS OF HAVING THREATENED TO WITHHOLD THE CORN WHICH IS SUPPLIED TO CONSTANTINOPLE FROM ALEXANDRIA: ON WHICH ACCOUNT THE EMPEROR BEING EXASPERATED, SENDS ATHANASIUS AWAY INTO EXILE, ORDERING HIM TO REMAIN IN THE GALLIAS.

THIS letter created so much alarm in the minds of those who constituted the Synod, that most of them instead of obeying the emperor returned to their respective cities. But Eusebius, Theognis, Maris, Patrophilus, Ursacius, and Valens, having gone to Constantinople, would not permit any further enquiry to be instituted concerning the broken cup, the overturned Communion Table, and the murder of Arsenius; but they had recourse to another calumny, informing the emperor that Athanasius had threatened to prohibit the sending of the corn which was usually conveyed from Alexandria to Constantinople. They affirmed also that these menaces were uttered by Athanasius in the hearing of the bishops Adamantius, Anubion, Arbathion and Peter: for slander is most prevalent when the assertor of it appears to be a person worthy of credit. The emperor being deceived, and excited to indignation against Athanasius by this charge, at once condemned him to exile, ordering him to reside in the Gallias. Some affirm that the emperor came to this decision with a view to the establishment of unity in the church, since Athanasius was inexorable in his refusal to hold any commu-

nion with Arius and his adherents. He accordingly took up his abode at Treves, a city of Gaul.

CHAPTER XXXVI.

OF MARCELLUS BISHOP OF ANCYRA, AND ASTERIUS
THE SOPHIST.

THE bishops assembled at Constantinople deposed also Marcellus bishop of Ancyra, a city of Galatia Minor, on this account. A certain rhetorician of Cappadocia named Asterius having abandoned his art, and professed himself a convert to Christianity, undertook the composition of some treatises, which are still extant, in which he maintained the dogmas of Arius; asserting that Christ is the power of God, in the same sense that the locust and the palmer-worm* are said by Moses to be the power of God, with other similar blasphemies. This man was in constant association with the bishops, and especially with those of their number who did not discountenance the Arian doctrine: he also frequently attended their Synods, in the hope of insinuating himself into the bishopric of some city: but he failed even to obtain ordination,† in consequence of having sacrificed during the persecution. Going therefore throughout the cities of Syria, he read in public the books which he had composed. Marcellus being informed of this, and wishing to counteract his influence, in his over anxiety to confute him, fell into the opposite error; for he dared to say, as Paul of Samosata had done, that Christ was a mere man. When the bishops then convened at Jeru-

* Κάμπην, caterpillar.

† Ἱερωσύνης.

saalem had intelligence of these things, they took no notice of Asterius, because he was not enrolled in the catalogue of those who had been admitted to holy orders; but they insisted that Marcellus, as a priest, should give an account of the book which he had written. Finding that he entertained Paul of Samosata's sentiments, they required him to retract his opinion; and he being ashamed of the position into which he had brought himself, promised to burn his book. But the convention of bishops being hastily dissolved by the emperor's summoning them to Constantinople, the Eusebians on their arrival at that city, again took the case of Marcellus into consideration; and on his refusal to fulfil his promise of burning his impious book, the assembled bishops deposed him, and sent Basil into Ancyra in his stead. Moreover Eusebius wrote a refutation of this work in three books, in which he fully exposed its erroneous doctrine. Marcellus however was afterwards reinstated in his bishopric by the Synod at Sardis, on his assurance that his book had been misunderstood, and that therefore he was supposed to favour Paul of Samosata's views. But of this we shall speak more fully in its proper place.

CHAPTER XXXVII.

AFTER THE BANISHMENT OF ATHANASIUS, ARIUS HAVING BEEN SENT FOR FROM ALEXANDRIA BY THE EMPEROR, EXCITES COMMOTIONS AGAINST ALEXANDER BISHOP OF CONSTANTINOPLE.

WHILE these things were taking place, the thirtieth year of Constantine's reign was completed. But Arius

and his adherents having returned to Alexandria, again caused a general disturbance; for the people were exceedingly indignant both at the restoration of this incorrigible heretic with his partisans, and also at the exile of their bishop Athanasius. When the emperor was apprised of the perverse disposition and conduct of Arius, he once more ordered him to repair to Constantinople, to give an account of the commotions he had afresh endeavoured to excite. The church at Constantinople was then presided over by Alexander, who had some time before succeeded Metrophanes. That this prelate was a man of devoted piety was distinctly manifested by the conflict he entered into with Arius; upon whose arrival the whole city was thrown into confusion by the renewal of factious divisions: some insisting that the Nicene Creed should be by no means infringed on, while others contended that the opinion of Arius was consonant to reason. In this distracted state of affairs, Alexander felt most painfully the difficulties of his position: more especially since Eusebius of Nicomedia had violently threatened that he would cause him to be immediately deposed, unless he admitted Arius and his followers to communion. Alexander however was far less troubled at the thought of his own degradation, than fearful of the subversion of the principles of the faith, which they were so anxious to effect: and regarding himself as the constituted guardian of the doctrines recognised, and the decisions made by the council at Nice, he exerted himself to the utmost to prevent their being violated or depraved. Reduced to the last extremity, he bade farewell to all logical resources, and made God his refuge, devoting himself

to continued fasting and prayer. Communicating his purpose to no one, he shut himself up alone in the church called Irene: there going up to the altar, and prostrating himself on the ground beneath the holy communion table, he poured forth his fervent intercessions, mingled with tears; and this he ceased not to do for several successive nights and days. What he thus earnestly asked from God, he received: for his petition was, that if the opinion of Arius were correct, he might not be permitted to see the day appointed for its discussion; but that if he himself held the true faith, Arius as the author of all these evils, might suffer the punishment due to his impiety.

CHAPTER XXXVIII.

THE DEATH OF ARIUS.

SUCH was the supplication of Alexander. Meanwhile the emperor being desirous of personally examining Arius, sent for him to the palace, and asked him whether he would assent to the determinations of the Nicene Synod. He without hesitation replied in the affirmative, and subscribed the declaration of the faith in the emperor's presence, acting with duplicity* all the while. The emperor surprised at his ready compliance, obliged him to confirm his signature by an oath. This also he did with equal promptitude and dissimulation: for it is affirmed that he wrote his own opinion on paper, and placed it under his arm, so that he then swore truly to his really holding the sentiments he had written. It

* Σοφισάμενος.

must however be owned that this statement of his having so acted, is grounded on hearsay alone; but that he added an oath to his subscription, I have myself ascertained, from an examination of the emperor's own letters. The emperor being thus convinced, ordered that he should be received into communion by Alexander bishop of Constantinople. It was then Saturday,* and Arius was expecting to assemble with the church on the day following: but divine retribution overtook his daring criminalities. For going out of the imperial palace, attended by a crowd of Eusebian partisans like guards, he paraded proudly through the midst of the city, attracting the notice of all the people. On approaching the place called Constantine's Forum, where the column of porphyry is erected, a terror arising from the consciousness of his wickedness seized him, accompanied by violent relaxation of the bowels: he therefore enquired whether there was a convenient place near, and being directed to the back of Constantine's Forum, he hastened thither. Soon after a faintness came over him, and together with the evacuations his bowels protruded, followed by a copious hæmorrhage, and the descent of the smaller intestines: moreover portions of his spleen and liver were brought off in the effusion of blood, so that he almost immediately died. The scene of this catastrophe still exists at Constantinople, behind the shambles in the piazza,† in the situation already described: and by persons going by pointing the finger at the place, there is a perpetual remembrance preserved of this extraordinary kind of death. So

* Σαββάτου ἡμέρα.

† Στοῦ.

disastrous an occurrence filled with dread and alarm the party of Eusebius bishop of Nicomedia; and the report of it quickly spread itself over the city and throughout the whole world. The verity of the Nicene faith being thus miraculously confirmed by the testimony of God himself, the emperor adhered still more zealously to Christianity. He was also glad at what had happened, not only because of its effect on the church, but on account of the influence such an event was calculated to have on the minds of his three sons whom he had already proclaimed Cæsars; one of each of them having been created at every successive Decennalia of his reign. To the eldest, whom he called Constantine, after his own name, he assigned the government of the Western parts of the empire, on the completion of his first decade. His second son Constantius, who bore his grandfather's name, was constituted Cæsar in the Eastern division, when the second decade had been completed. And Constans, the youngest, was invested with a similar dignity, when his father had reached the thirtieth year of his empire.

CHAPTER XXXIX.

THE EMPEROR, HAVING FALLEN INTO DISEASE, DIES.

IN the following year, the emperor Constantine having just entered the sixty-fifth year of his age, was attacked with a dangerous malady; he therefore left Constantinople, and made a voyage to Helenopolis, that he might try the effect of the medicinal hot springs which are found in the vicinity of that city.

Perceiving however that his illness increased, he deferred the use of the baths; and removing from Helenopolis to Nicomedia, he took up his residence in the suburbs, and there received Christian baptism. After this he became cheerful and resigned; and making his will, appointed his three sons heirs to the empire, allotting to each one of them his portion, in accordance with his previous arrangements. He also granted many privileges to the cities of Rome and Constantinople; and entrusting the custody of his will to that presbyter by whose means Arius had been recalled, and of whom mention has been already made, he charged him to deliver it into no one's hand, except that of his son Constantius, to whom he had given the sovereignty of the East. He survived but a few days after the execution of this document, and died in the absence of all his sons. A courier was therefore immediately despatched into the East, to inform Constantius of his father's decease.

CHAPTER XL.

THE FUNERAL OBSEQUIES OF THE EMPEROR CONSTANTINE.

THE body of the emperor was placed in a coffin of gold, and then conveyed to Constantinople, where it was laid out on an elevated bed of state in the palace, surrounded by a guard, and treated with the same respect as when he was alive, until the arrival of one of his sons. When Constantius was come out of the eastern parts of the empire, it was honoured with an imperial sepulture, and deposited in the

church called *The Apostles* : for therein he had caused magnificent tombs to be constructed for the emperors and prelates, in order that they might receive a degree of veneration but little inferior to that which was paid to the relics of the apostles. The emperor Constantine lived sixty-five years, and reigned thirty-one. He died in the consulate of Felician and Titian, on the twenty-second of May, in the second year of the 278th Olympiad. This book therefore embraces a period of thirty-one years.

END OF THE FIRST BOOK.

BOOK II.

CHAPTER I.

THE PREFACE, IN WHICH THE REASON IS ASSIGNED FOR
THE AUTHOR'S REVISION OF HIS FIRST AND SECOND
BOOKS.

RUFINUS who wrote an Ecclesiastical History in Latin, has committed many chronological errors. For he supposes that what was done against Athanasius occurred after the death of the emperor Constantine: he was also ignorant of his exile to the Gallias, and of various other circumstances. We originally wrote the first two books of our History from the testimony of this author; but from the third to the seventh, some facts have been collected from Rufinus, others from different authors, and the rest from the narration of individuals still surviving. When however we had perused the writings of Athanasius, wherein he depicts his own sufferings and exile through the calumnies of the Eusebian faction, we judged that more credit was due to him who had suffered, and to those who were witnesses of the things they describe, than to such as have been dependant on conjecture, and were therefore liable to err. Moreover having obtained several letters of persons eminent at that period, we have availed ourselves of their assistance also in tracing out the truth. On this account it became necessary to revise the first and second book of this History, without however discarding the testimony of Rufinus where it is evident that he could not be mistaken. It should also

be observed, that in our former edition, neither the sentence of deposition which was passed upon Arius, nor the emperor's letters were inserted; having restricted myself to a simple narration of facts, to avoid wearying the reader with tedious matters of detail. But in the present edition, such alterations and additions have been made for your sake, O sacred man of God, Theodore, as might serve to make you fully acquainted with the emperors' proceedings by their letters, as well as the decisions of the bishops in their various Synods, wherein they continually altered the confession of faith. Having adopted this course in the first book, we shall endeavour to do the same in the consecutive portion of our History on which we are about to enter.

CHAPTER II.

EUSEBIUS BISHOP OF NICOMEDIA AND HIS PARTY, BY AGAIN ENDEAVOURING TO INTRODUCE THE ARIAN HERESY, CREATE DISTURBANCES IN THE CHURCHES.

AFTER the death of the emperor Constantine, Eusebius bishop of Nicomedia, and Theognis of Nice, imagining that a favourable opportunity had arisen, used their utmost efforts to abolish the doctrine* of *Consubstantiality*, and to introduce Arianism. They nevertheless despaired of effecting this, if Athanasius should return to Alexandria: in order therefore to accomplish their designs, they sought the assistance of that presbyter by whose means Arius had been recalled from exile a little before. Their plan of

* Ὁμοουσίον πιστίν.

operation shall now be described. The presbyter in question having been entrusted with Constantine's Will at that emperor's death, presented it to his son Constantius; who finding those dispositions in it which he was most desirous of, for the empire of the East was by his father's Will apportioned to him, treated the presbyter with great consideration; loaded him with favours, and ordered that free access should be given him both to the palace and to himself. This licence soon obtained for him familiar intercourse with the empress, as well as with her eunuchs. The chief eunuch of the imperial bed-chamber at that time was named Eusebius, who, under the influence of the presbyter, was induced to embrace the Arian doctrine; after which the rest of the eunuchs were also prevailed on to adopt the same sentiments. Through the combined persuasives of these eunuchs and the presbyter, the empress became favourable to the tenets of Arius; and not long after the subject was introduced to the emperor himself. Thus it became gradually diffused throughout the court, and among the officers of the imperial household and guards, until at length it spread itself over the whole population of the city. The chamberlains in the palace discussed this doctrine with the women; and in the family of every citizen there was a logical war. Moreover the mischief quickly extended to other provinces and cities, the controversy, like a spark, insignificant at first, exciting in the auditors a spirit of contention: for every one who enquired the cause of the tumult, found an immediate occasion for disputing, and determined to take part in the strife at the moment of making the inquiry. By general altercation of this

kind all order was subverted: the agitation however was confined to the cities of the East, those of Illyricum and the western parts of the empire meanwhile being perfectly tranquil, because they would not annul the decisions of the council of Nice. As this disorderly state of things continued to increase, Eusebius of Nicomedia and his party calculated on profiting by the popular ferment, so as to be enabled to constitute some one who held their own sentiments bishop of Alexandria. But the return of Athanasius at that time defeated their purpose, for he came thither fortified by a letter from one of the Augusti, which the younger Constantine, who bore his father's name, addressed to the people of Alexandria, from Treves a city in Gaul. A copy of this epistle is here subjoined.

CHAPTER III.

ATHANASIUS CONFIDING IN THE LETTER OF CONSTANTINE
THE YOUNGER, RETURNS TO ALEXANDRIA.

“CONSTANTINE Cæsar to the members of the Catholic Church of the Alexandrians.

“It cannot, I conceive, have escaped the knowledge of your devout minds, that Athanasius the expositor of the venerated* law, was sent for a while into the Gallias, lest he should sustain some irreparable injury from the perverseness of his blood-thirsty adversaries, whose ferocity continually endangered his sacred life. To rescue him therefore from the hands of those who sought to destroy him, he was sent into a city under

* Προσκυρητοῦ.

my jurisdiction, where, as long as it was his appointed residence, he has been abundantly supplied with every necessary: although his distinguished virtue sustained by divine aid, would have made light of the pressure of a more rigorous fortune. And since our Sovereign, my father, Constantine Augustus of blessed memory, was prevented by death from accomplishing his purpose of restoring this bishop to his see, and to your most sanctified piety, it devolves on me, his heir, to carry his wishes into effect. With how great veneration he has been regarded by us, ye will learn on his arrival among you: nor need any one be surprised at the honour I have put upon him, since I have been alike influenced by a sense of what was due to so excellent a personage, and the knowledge of your affectionate solicitude respecting him. May divine providence preserve you, beloved brethren."

Relying on this letter, Athanasius came to Alexandria, and was most joyfully received by the people. Nevertheless as many as had embraced Arianism, combining together, entered into a conspiracy against him: by which means frequent seditions were excited, affording a pretext to the Eusebians for accusing him to the emperor of having taken possession of the Alexandrian Church on his own responsibility, without the permission of a general council of Bishops.* So far indeed did they succeed in pressing their charges, that the emperor became exasperated against him, and banished him from Alexandria. How his enemies managed to effect this I shall hereafter explain.

* Κοικῶ συνεδρίου.

CHAPTER IV.

ON THE DEATH OF EUSEBIUS PAMPHILUS, ACACIUS SUCCEEDS TO THE BISHOPRIC OF CÆSAREA.

AT this time Eusebius, who was bishop of Cæsarea in Palestine, and had the surname of Pamphilus, having died, his disciple Acacius succeeded him in the bishopric. This individual published several books, and among others a biographical sketch of his master.

CHAPTER V.

THE DEATH OF CONSTANTINE THE YOUNGER.

NOT long after this the brother of the Emperor Constantius, Constantine the younger, who bore his father's name, having invaded those parts of the empire which were under the government of his younger brother Constans, was slain in a conflict with his brother's soldiery. This took place under the consulship of Acindynus and Proclus.

CHAPTER VI.

ALEXANDER BISHOP OF CONSTANTINOPLE, AT HIS DEATH PROPOSES THE ELECTION EITHER OF PAUL OR MACEDONIUS AS HIS SUCCESSOR.

ABOUT the same time, another disturbance in addition to those we have recorded, was raised at

Constantinople on the following account. Alexander who had presided over the churches in that city for twenty-three years, and had strenuously opposed Arius, departed this life at the age of ninety-eight, without having ordained any one to succeed him. But he had enjoined those in whose hands the elective power was, to choose one of the two whom he named: telling them that if they desired one who was competent to teach, and of eminent piety, they must elect Paul, whom he had himself ordained presbyter, a man young indeed in years, but of advanced intelligence and prudence; but if they would be content with one possessed of a venerable aspect, and an external show only of sanctity, they might appoint the aged Macedonius, who had long been a deacon among them. Hence there arose a great contest respecting the choice of a bishop, which troubled the church exceedingly; the people being divided into two parties, one of which favoured the tenets of Arius, while the other adhered to the decrees of the Nicene Synod. Those who held the doctrine of consubstantiality always had the advantage during the life of Alexander, the Arians disagreeing among themselves and perpetually conflicting in opinion. But after the death of that prelate, the issue of the struggle became doubtful, the defenders of the orthodox faith insisting on the ordination of Paul, and all the Arian party espousing the cause of Macedonius. Paul however was ordained bishop in the church called Irene,* which is situated near the great church of Sophia;† which election was undoubtedly sanctioned by the suffrage of the deceased Alexander.

* *Ειρήνη*, peace.† *Σοφίας*, wisdom.

CHAPTER VII.

THE EMPEROR CONSTANTIUS EJECTS PAUL AFTER HIS ELECTION TO THE PRELACY, AND SENDING FOR EUSEBIUS OF NICOMEDIA, INVESTS HIM WITH THE BISHOPRIC OF CONSTANTINOPLE.

THE emperor having arrived at Constantinople shortly after, was highly incensed at the consecration of Paul; and having convened an assembly of bishops of Arian sentiments, he divested Paul of his dignity, translating Eusebius from the see of Nicomedia to the now vacant one of Constantinople. This being done, the emperor proceeded to Antioch.

CHAPTER VIII.

EUSEBIUS HAVING CONVENED ANOTHER SYNOD AT ANTIOCH IN SYRIA, CAUSES A NEW FORM OF FAITH TO BE PROMULGATED.

EUSEBIUS however could by no means remain quiet, but as the saying is, *left no stone unturned*,* in order to effect the purpose he had in view. He therefore causes a Synod to be convened at Antioch in Syria, under pretence of dedicating a church which Constantine the father of the Augusti had commenced, and which had been completed by his son Constantius in the tenth year after its foundations were laid: but his real motive was the subversion of the doctrine of consubstantiality. There were present at this

* Πάντα λίθον ἐκίλει.

Synod ninety bishops from various cities. Nevertheless Maximus bishop of Jerusalem, who had succeeded Macarius, declined attending there, from the recollection of the fraudulent means by which he had been induced to subscribe the deposition of Athanasius. Neither was Julius, bishop of Ancient* Rome there, nor did he indeed send a representative; although the ecclesiastical canon expressly commands that the churches shall not make any ordinances, without the sanction† of the bishop of Rome. This Synod assembled at Antioch, in the consulate of Marcellus and Probinus, which was the fifth year after the death of Constantine, father of the Augusti, the emperor Constantius being present. Placitus, otherwise called Flaccillus, successor to Euphronius, at that time presided over the church at Antioch. The confederates of Eusebius were chiefly intent on calumniating Athanasius; accusing him in the first place of having acted contrary to a canon which they then constituted, in resuming his episcopal authority without the licence of a general council of bishops, inasmuch as on his return from exile he had on his own responsibility taken possession of the church. In the next place that a tumult having been excited on his entrance, many were killed in the riot: and that some had been scourged by him, and others brought before the tribunals. Besides they failed not to bring forward what had been determined against Athanasius at Tyre.

* Μεγίστης.

† Παρὰ τὴν γνώμην.

CHAPTER IX.

OF EUSEBIUS EMISENUS.

ON the ground of such charges as these, they proposed another bishop for the Alexandrian church, and first indeed Eusebius surnamed Emisenus. Who this person was, George bishop of Laodicea, who was present on this occasion, informs us. For he says in the book which he has composed on his life, that he was descended from a noble family of Edessa in Mesopotamia, and that from a child he had studied the holy Scriptures; that he was afterwards instructed in Greek literature by a master resident at Edessa; and finally that the sacred books were expounded to him by Patrophilus and Eusebius, the latter of whom presided over the church at Cæsarea, and the former over that at Scythopolis. Having afterwards gone to Antioch, about the time that Eustathius was deposed on the accusation of Cyrus of Beroëa for holding the tenets of Sabellius, he lived on terms of familiar intercourse with Euphronius that prelate's successor. When however a bishopric was offered him, he retired to Alexandria to avoid the intended honour, and there devoted himself to the study of philosophy. On his return to Antioch, he formed an intimate acquaintance with Placitus or Flaccillus, the successor of Euphronius. At length he was ordained bishop of Alexandria, by Eusebius bishop of Constantinople, but did not go thither in consequence of the attachment of the people of that city to Athanasius. He was therefore sent to Emisa, where the inhabitants excited a

sedition on account of his appointment, for they reproached him with the study and practice of judicial astrology;* whereupon he fled to Laodicea, and abode with George, who has given so many historical details of him. George having taken him to Antioch, procured his being again brought back to Emisa by Flaccillus and Narcissus; but he was afterwards charged with holding the Sabellian heresy. His ordination is elaborately described by the same writer, who adds at the close that the emperor took him with him in his expedition against the barbarians, and that miracles were wrought by his hand.

CHAPTER X.

THE BISHOPS ASSEMBLED AT ANTIOCH, ON THE REFUSAL OF EUSEBIUS EMISENUS TO ACCEPT THE BISHOPRIC OF ALEXANDRIA, ORDAIN GREGORY, AND CHANGE THE EXPRESSION OF THE NICENE CREED.

WHEN Eusebius durst not go to Alexandria, to the see of which he had been appointed by the Synod at Antioch, Gregory was designated bishop of that church. This being done, they alter the creed;† not as condemning any thing in that which was set forth at Nice, but in fact with a determination to subvert the doctrine of consubstantiality by means of frequent councils, and the publication of various expositions of the faith, so as gradually to establish the Arian views. The course of our history will unfold the measures to which they resorted for the accomplishment of their purpose; but the

* Μαθηματικὴν.

† Πίστιν.

epistle then circulated respecting the faith was as follows:—

“ We have neither become followers of Arius, for it would be absurd to suppose that we who are bishops should be guided by a presbyter; nor have we embraced any other faith than that which was set forth from the beginning. But being constituted examiners and judges of his sentiments, we admit their soundness, rather than adopt them from him: this you will readily perceive from what we are about to state. We have learned from the beginning to believe in one God* of the Universe, the Creator and Preserver of all things both intelligent and sensible: and in one only-begotten Son of God, subsisting before all ages, and co-existing with the Father who begat him, by whom also all things visible and invisible were made; who in the last days according to the Father's good pleasure, descended, and assumed flesh from the holy virgin, and having fully accomplished his Father's will, suffered, was raised, ascended into the heavens, and sits at the right hand of the Father; and is coming to judge the living and the dead, continuing King and God for ever. We believe also in the Holy Spirit. And if it is necessary to add this, we believe in the resurrection of the flesh, and the life everlasting.”

Having thus written in their first epistle, they sent it to the bishops of every city. But after remaining some time at Antioch, they published another letter in these words, as if to condemn the former.

* Τῶν ὁλῶν.

ANOTHER EXPOSITION OF THE FAITH.

“ In conformity with evangelic and apostolic tradition, we believe in one God the Father Almighty, the Creator and Framer of the universe. And in one Lord Jesus Christ, his Son, God the only-begotten, by whom all things were made: begotten of the Father before all ages, God of* God, Whole of Whole, Only of Only, Perfect of Perfect, King of King, Lord of Lord; the living Word, the Wisdom, the Life, the True Light, the Way of Truth, the Resurrection, the Shepherd, the Gate; immutable and inconvertible; the unalterable image of the Divinity, Substance, Power, Counsel and Glory of the Father; born before all creation; who was in the beginning with God, God the Word, according as it is declared in the gospel (John i. 1), and the Word was God, by whom all things were made, and in whom all things have subsisted: who in the last days came down from above, and was born of the virgin according to the Scriptures; and was made man, the Mediator between God and men, the Apostle of our Faith, and the Prince of Life, as he says (John vi. 38), ‘I came down from heaven, not to do mine own will, but the will of him that sent me.’ Who suffered on our behalf, rose again for us on the third day, ascended into the heavens, and is seated at the right hand of the Father; and will come again with glory and power to judge the living and the dead. We believe also in the Holy Spirit, who is given to believers for their consolation, sanctification, and perfection; even as our Lord Jesus Christ commanded his disciples, saying (Mat. xxviii.

* 'Εκ.

19), ‘Go and teach all nations, baptizing them in the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Spirit;’ that is to say of the Father who is truly the Father, of the Son who is truly the Son, and of the Holy Spirit who is truly the Holy Spirit, these epithets not being simply or insignificantly applied, but accurately expressing the proper person,* glory and order of each of these who are named: so that there are three in person, but one in concordance.† Holding therefore this faith in the presence of God and of Christ, we anathematize all heretical and false doctrine. And if any one shall teach contrary to the sound and right faith of the Scriptures, affirming that there is or was a period or an age before the Son of God existed, let him be accursed. And if any one shall say that the Son is a creature as one of the creatures, or that he is *a branch*,‡ as one of the *branches*, and shall not hold each of the aforesaid doctrines as the Divine Scriptures have delivered them to us: or if any one shall teach or preach any other doctrine contrary to that which we have received, let him be accursed. For we truly believe and follow all things handed down to us from the sacred Scriptures by the prophets and apostles.”

Such was the exposition of the faith published by those then assembled at Antioch, to which Gregory subscribed as bishop of Alexandria, although he had not yet entered that city. The Synod having done these things, and framed some other canons, was dissolved. At the same time also it happened that public

* Ἰδίαν ὑπόστασιν.

† Συμφωνίᾳ.

‡ Ἰέννημα, thing begotten, offspring.

affairs were disturbed, both by the incursion of the nation called Franks into the Roman territories in Gaul, as well as by most violent earthquakes in the East, but especially at Antioch, which continued to suffer concussions during a whole year.

CHAPTER XI.

ON THE ARRIVAL OF GREGORY AT ALEXANDRIA, GUARDED
BY A MILITARY FORCE, ATHANASIUS FLEES.

AFTER these things, Syrian, a military commander, conducted Gregory to Alexandria under an escort of 5,000 heavy armed soldiers; and such of the citizens as were of Arian sentiments combined with them. But it will be proper here to relate by what means Athanasius escaped the hands of those who wished to apprehend him, after his expulsion from the church. It was evening, and the people were congregated there, a service* being expected, when the commander arrived, and posted his forces in order of battle on every side of the church. Athanasius having observed what was done, considered with himself how he might prevent the people's suffering in any degree on his account: he accordingly directed the deacon to give notice of prayer, and after that ordered the recitation of a psalm; and when the melodious chant of the psalm arose, all went out through one of the church doors. While this was doing, the troops remained inactive spectators, and Athanasius thus escaped unhurt in the midst of those who were chanting the psalm, and immediately hastened to Rome. Gregory

* Συράξεως.

was then installed in the church: but the people of Alexandria being indignant at this procedure, set the church called that of Dionysius on fire. Eusebius having thus far obtained his object, sent a deputation to Julius bishop of Rome, begging that he would himself take cognizance of the charges against Athanasius, and order a judicial investigation to be made in his presence.

CHAPTER XII.

THE PEOPLE OF CONSTANTINOPLE RESTORE PAUL TO HIS
SEE AFTER THE DEATH OF EUSEBIUS, WHILE THE
ARIANS ELECT MACEDONIUS.

BUT Eusebius was prevented from knowing the decision of Julius concerning Athanasius, for he died a short time after that Synod was held. Whereupon the people introduce Paul again into the church of Constantinople: the Arians however ordain Macedonius at the same time, in the church dedicated to Paul. This was done by those who had formerly lent their aid to Eusebius (that disturber of the public peace), but who then had assumed all his authority; viz. Theognis bishop of Nice, Maris of Chalcedon, Theodore of Heraclea in Thrace, Ursacius of Singidunum in Upper Mysia, and Valens of Mursa in Upper Pannonia. Ursacius and Valens indeed afterward altered their opinions, and presented a written recantation of them to bishop Julius, so that on subscribing the doctrine of consubstantiality they were again admitted to communion; but at that time they warmly supported the Arian error, and were

instigators of the most violent commotions in the churches, one of which was connected with Macedonius at Constantinople. By this intestine war among the Christians, that city was kept in a state of perpetual turbulence, and the most atrocious outrages were perpetrated, whereby many lives were sacrificed.

CHAPTER XIII.

PAUL IS AGAIN EJECTED FROM THE CHURCH BY CONSTANTIUS, IN CONSEQUENCE OF THE SLAUGHTER OF HERMOGENES HIS GENERAL.

WHEN intelligence of these proceedings reached the emperor Constantius, whose residence was then at Antioch, he ordered his general Hermogenes who had been despatched to Thrace, to pass through Constantinople on his way, and expel Paul from the church. He accordingly went to Constantinople, but in endeavouring to execute his commission, threw the whole city into confusion; for the people in their eagerness to defend the bishop, were reckless of all subordination. And when Hermogenes persisted in his efforts to drive out Paul by means of his military force, the people became exasperated as is usual in such cases; and making a desperate attack upon him, they set his house on fire, and after dragging him by the feet through the city, they at last put him to death. This took place in the consulate of the two Augusti, Constantius being a third, and Constans a second time consul: at which time the latter having subdued the Franks, admitted them to an allied confederacy with the Romans. The emperor Constantius

on being informed of the assassination of Hermogenes, set off on horseback from Antioch, and arriving at Constantinople he immediately expelled Paul, and then punished the inhabitants by withdrawing from them more than 40,000 measures of the daily allowance of wheat which his father had granted for gratuitous distribution among them: for prior to this catastrophe, nearly 80,000 measures* of wheat brought from Alexandria had been bestowed on the citizens. He hesitated however to ratify the appointment of Macedonius to the bishopric of that city, being irritated against him not only because he had been ordained without his consent; but also on account of the contests in which he had been engaged with Paul, which had eventually caused the death of Hermogenes his general, and that of many other persons. But having given him permission to assemble the people in the church in which he had been consecrated, he returned to Antioch.

CHAPTER XIV.

THE ARIANS REMOVE GREGORY FROM THE SEE OF ALEXANDRIA, AND APPOINT GEORGE IN HIS PLACE.

ABOUT the same time the Arians eject Gregory from the see of Alexandria, he having rendered himself extremely unpopular by setting a church† on fire, and not manifesting sufficient zeal in promoting the interests of their party. They therefore inducted George into his see, who was a native of Cappadocia,

* *Σιτηρεσίον* . . . *ἡμερησίου*, rations of bread.

† That of Dionysius.

and had acquired the reputation of being an able advocate of their tenets.

CHAPTER XV.

ATHANASIUS AND PAUL GOING TO ROME, AND BEING FORTIFIED BY THE LETTERS OF BISHOP JULIUS, RECOVER THEIR RESPECTIVE DIOCESES.

AFTER experiencing considerable difficulties, Athanasius at last reached Italy. The whole western division of the empire was then under the power of Constans, the youngest of Constantine's sons, his brother Constantine having been slain by the soldiery, as was before stated. At the same time also Paul bishop of Constantinople, Asclepas of Gaza, Marcellus of Ancyra a city of Galatia Minor, and Lucius of Adrianople, having been expelled from their several churches on various charges, arrived at the imperial city. There each laid his case before Julius bishop of Rome, who sent them back again into the East, restoring them to their respective sees by virtue of his letters, in the exercise of the Church of Rome's peculiar privilege; and at the same time in the liberty of that prerogative, sharply rebuking those by whom they had been deposed. Relying on the authority of these documents, the bishops depart from Rome, and again take possession of their own churches, forwarding the letters to the parties to whom they were addressed. These persons considering themselves treated with indignity by the reproaches of Julius, assemble themselves in council at Antioch, and dictate a reply to his letters as the expression of the unanimous

feeling of the whole Synod. It was not his province, they said, to take cognizance of their decisions in reference to the expulsion of any bishops from their churches; seeing that they had not opposed themselves to him, when Novatus was ejected from the church. Such was the tenor of the Eastern bishops' disclaimer of the right of interference of Julius bishop of Rome. But sedition was excited by the partisans of George the Arian, on the entry of Athanasius into Alexandria, in consequence of which, it is affirmed, many persons were killed; and since the Arians endeavour to throw the whole odium of this transaction on Athanasius as the author of it, it behoves us to make a few remarks on the subject. God the Judge of all only knows the true causes of these disorders: but no one of any experience can be ignorant of the fact, that such fatal accidents are the frequent concomitants of the factious movements of the populace. It is vain therefore for the calumniators of Athanasius to attribute the blame to him; and especially Sabinus bishop of the Macedonian heresy. For had the latter reflected on the number and magnitude of the wrongs which Athanasius, in conjunction with the rest who hold the doctrine of consubstantiality, has suffered from the Arians; or on the many complaints made of these things by the Synods convened on account of Athanasius; or in short on what that arch-heretic Macedonius himself has done throughout all the churches, he would either have been wholly silent, or if constrained to speak, would have highly commended Athanasius, instead of loading him with reproaches. But intentionally overlooking all these things, he wilfully misrepresents his character and conduct;

without however trusting himself to speak at all of Macedonius, lest he should betray the gross enormities of which he knew him to be guilty. And what is still more extraordinary, he has not said one word to the disadvantage of the Arians, although he was far from entertaining their sentiments. The ordination of Macedonius whose heretical views he had adopted, he has also passed over in silence; for had he mentioned it, he must necessarily have recorded his impieties, which were most distinctly manifested on that occasion.

CHAPTER XVI.

THE EMPEROR CONSTANTIUS SENDS AN ORDER TO PHILIP THE PRÆTORIAN PREFECT, THAT PAUL SHOULD BE EXILED, AND MACEDONIUS INSTALLED IN HIS SEE.

WHEN the intelligence of Paul's having resumed his episcopal functions reached Antioch, where the emperor Constantius then held his court, he was excessively enraged at his presumption. A written order was therefore despatched to Philip the Prætorian Prefect, whose power exceeded that of the other governors of provinces, and who was styled the second person from the emperor, to drive Paul out of the church again, and introduce Macedonius into it in his place. The prefect, dreading an insurrectionary movement among the people, used artifice to entrap the bishop: keeping therefore the emperor's mandate secret, he went to the public bath called Xeuxippus, and on pretence of attending to some public affairs, sent to Paul with every demonstration of respect, requesting his attendance there, as his presence was

indispensable. On his arrival in obedience to this summons, the prefect immediately shows him the emperor's order; to which the bishop patiently submitted, notwithstanding his being thus condemned without having had his cause heard. But as Philip was afraid of the violence of the multitude, who had gathered round the building in great numbers to see what would take place, for their suspicions had been aroused by current reports, he commands one of the bath doors to be opened which communicated with the imperial palace, and through that Paul was carried off, put on board a vessel provided for the purpose, and so sent into exile. The prefect directed him to go to Thessalonica, the metropolis of Macedonia, whence he had derived his origin from his ancestors; commanding him to reside in that city, but granting him permission to visit other cities of Illyricum, while he strictly forbade his passing into any portion of the Eastern empire. Thus was Paul, contrary to his expectation, at once expelled from the church, and from the city, and again hurried off into exile. Philip the imperial prefect, leaving the bath, immediately proceeded to the church, accompanied by Macedonius, whose appearance was as sudden as if he had been thrown there by an engine. He was exposed to open view seated with the prefect in his chariot, which was environed by a military guard with drawn swords. The multitude was completely overawed by this spectacle, and both Arians and Homoeousians* hastened to the church, every one endeavouring to secure an entrance there. On the approach of the prefect with

* Οἱ τε τῆς ὁμοουσίου πίστεως, the defenders of the doctrine of consubstantiality.

Macedonius, the crowd and the soldiery seemed alike seized with an irrational panic: for the assemblage was so numerous, that there was insufficient room to admit the passage of the prefect and Macedonius, and the soldiers therefore attempted to thrust aside the people by force. But the confined space into which they were crowded together rendering it impossible to recede, the soldiers imagined that resistance was offered, and that the populace intentionally stopped the passage; they accordingly began to use their naked swords, and to cut down those that stood in their way. It is affirmed that upwards of 3,150 persons were massacred on this occasion; of whom the greater part fell under the weapons of the military, and the rest were crushed to death by the desperate efforts of the multitude to escape their violence. After such distinguished achievements, Macedonius was seated in the episcopal chair by the prefect, rather than by the ecclesiastical canon, as if he had not been the author of any calamity, but was altogether guiltless of what had been perpetrated. These were the sanguinary means by which Macedonius and the Arians grasped the supremacy in the churches. About this period the emperor built the great church called *Sophia*, adjoining to that named *Irene*, which being originally of small dimensions, the emperor's father had considerably enlarged and adorned. In the present day both are seen within one enclosure, and have but one appellation.

CHAPTER XVII.

ATHANASIUS AFRAID OF THE EMPEROR'S MENACES
RETURNS TO ROME AGAIN.

ANOTHER accusation was now framed against Athanasius by the Arians, who invented this pretext for it. The father of the Augusti had long before granted an allowance of corn to the church of the Alexandrians for the relief of the indigent. This they asserted had usually been sold by Athanasius, and the proceeds converted to his own advantage. The emperor giving credence to this slanderous report, threatened to put Athanasius to death; who becoming alarmed at the intimation of this threat, consulted his safety by flight, and kept himself concealed. When Julius bishop of Rome was apprised of these fresh machinations of the Arians against Athanasius, and had also received the letter of the then deceased Eusebius, he invited the persecuted prelate to come to him, having ascertained where he was secreted. The epistle of the bishops who had been some time before assembled at Antioch, just then reached him, together with others from several bishops in Egypt, assuring him that the entire charge against Athanasius was a fabrication. On the receipt of these contradictory communications, Julius first replied to the bishops who had written to him from Antioch, complaining of the acrimonious feeling they had evinced in their letter, and charging them with a violation of the canons, in neglecting to request his attendance at the council, seeing that by ecclesiastical

law, no decisions of the churches are valid unless sanctioned by the bishop of Rome: he then censured them with great severity for clandestinely attempting to pervert the faith. In allusion to their former proceedings at Tyre, he characterized their acts as fraudulent, from the attestation of what had taken place at Mareotes being on one side of the question only; nor did he fail to remind them of the palpable evidence which had been afforded of their malevolence, in the imputed murder of Arsenius. Such was the nature of his answer to the bishops convened at Antioch, which we should have inserted here at length, as well as those letters which were addressed to Julius, did not their prolixity interfere with our purpose. But Sabinus, the favourer of the Macedonian heresy, of whom we have before spoken, has not taken the least notice of the letters of Julius in his *Collection of Synodical Transactions*; although he has not omitted that which the bishops at Antioch sent to Julius. This however is the unfair course generally pursued by Sabinus, who carefully introduces such letters as make no reference to, or wholly repudiate the term consubstantial;* while he invariably passes over in silence those of a contrary tendency. Not long after this, Paul pretending to make a journey from Thessalonica to Corinth, arrived in Italy: upon which both the bishops† made an appeal to the emperor of those parts, laying their respective cases before him.

* Τὸ ὁμοούσιον.

† Athanasius and Paul.

CHAPTER XVIII.

THE EMPEROR OF THE WEST REQUESTS HIS BROTHER TO SEND HIM SUCH PERSONS AS COULD GIVE AN ACCOUNT OF THE DEPOSITION OF ATHANASIOUS AND PAUL. THOSE WHO ARE SENT PUBLISH ANOTHER FORM OF THE CREED.

WHEN the Western emperor was informed of the unjust treatment to which Paul and Athanasius had been subjected, he sympathized with their sufferings; and wrote to his brother Constantius, begging him to send three bishops to explain to him the reason of their deposition. In compliance with this request, Narcissus the Cilician, Theodore the Thracian, Maris of Chalcedony, and Mark the Syrian, were deputed to execute this commission; who on their arrival refused to hold any communication with Athanasius, but suppressing the creed which had been promulgated at Antioch, presented to the emperor Constans another declaration of faith composed by themselves, in the following terms:—

ANOTHER EXPOSITION OF THE FAITH.

“ We believe in one God the Father Almighty, the Creator and Maker of all things, of whom the whole family in heaven and upon earth is named (Eph. iii. 15); and in his only-begotten Son, our Lord Jesus Christ, begotten of the Father before all ages; God of God; Light of Light; by whom all things in the heavens and upon the earth, both visible and invisible, were made; who is the Word, Wisdom, Power, Life and true Light: who in the last days

for our sake was made man, and was born of the holy virgin; was crucified, and died; was buried, arose again from the dead on the third day, ascended into the heavens, is seated at the right hand of the Father, and shall come at the consummation of the ages,* to judge the living and the dead, and to render to every one according to his works: whose kingdom being perpetual, shall continue to infinite ages; for he shall sit at the right hand of the Father, not only in this age, but also in that which is to come.† We believe in the Holy Spirit, that is, in the Comforter,‡ whom the Lord, according to his promise, sent to his apostles after his ascension into the heavens, to teach them, and bring all things to their remembrance: by whom also the souls of those who have sincerely believed on him shall be sanctified. But the catholic Church accounts as aliens, those who assert that the Son was made of things which are not, or of another substance,§ and not of God, or that there was ever a time when he did not exist."

Having delivered this creed to the emperor, and exhibited it to many others also, they departed without attending to any thing besides. But while there was yet an inseparable communion between the Western and Eastern churches, there sprang up another heresy at Sirmium, a city of Illyricum: for Photinus who presided over the churches in that district, a native of Galatia Minor, and a disciple of that Marcellus who had been deposed, adopting his master's sentiments, asserted that the Son of God was a mere man.

* Συντελεία τῶν αἰώνων.

† Ἐν τῷ μέλλοντι.

‡ Παράκλητον.

§ Ὑποστάσεως.

We shall however enter into this matter more fully in its proper place.

CHAPTER XIX.

AN ELABORATE EXPOSITION OF THE FAITH.

AFTER the lapse of about three years from the events above recorded, the Eastern bishops again assembled a Synod, and having composed another form of faith, they transmitted it to those in Italy by the hands of Eudoxius at that time bishop of Germanicia, Martyrius, and Macedonius who was bishop of Mopsuestia* in Cilicia. This expression of the Creed, entering into more minute details of doctrine, contained many additions to those which had preceded it, and was set forth in these words:—

“We believe in one God, the Father Almighty, the Creator and Maker of all things, of whom the whole family in heaven and upon earth is named; and in his only-begotten Son Jesus Christ our Lord, begotten of the Father before all ages; God of God; Light of Light; by whom all things in the heavens and upon the earth, both visible and invisible, were made: who is the Word, Wisdom, Power, Life, and true Light: who in the last days for our sake was made man, and was born of the holy virgin; was crucified, and died; was buried, arose again from the dead on the third day, ascended into heaven, is seated at the right hand of the Father, and shall come at the consummation of the ages, to judge the living and the dead, and to render to every one according to

* *Μόψου ἐστίας.*

his works: whose kingdom being perpetual, shall continue to infinite ages; for he sits at the right hand of the Father, not only in this age, but also in that which is to come. We believe in the Holy Spirit, that is in the Comforter, whom the Lord according to his promise sent to his apostles after his ascension into heaven, to teach them and bring all things to their remembrance: by whom also the souls of those who have sincerely believed on him are sanctified. But the holy catholic Church accounts as aliens those who assert that the Son was made of things not in being, or of another substance, and not of God, or that there was ever a time or age when he did not exist.* The holy and catholic Church likewise anathematizes those also who say that there are three Gods, or that Christ is not God before all ages, or that he is neither Christ, nor the Son of God, or that the same person is Father, Son, and Holy Spirit, or that the Son was not begotten, or that the Father begat not the Son by his own voluntary will.† Neither is it safe to affirm that the Son had his existence from things that were not, since this is nowhere declared concerning him in the divinely-inspired Scriptures. Nor are we taught that he had his being from any other pre-existing substance besides the Father, but that he was truly‡ begotten of God alone: for the Divine word teaches that there is one unbegotten principle without beginning, the Father of Christ. But those who unauthorized by Scripture

* Here the former Creed terminates, the present being thus far almost literally identical with it.

† Βουλῆσει οὐδὲ θελήσει.

‡ Θεογενέστων.

§ Γνήσιως, genuinely, legitimately.

rashly assert that there was a time when he was not, ought not to preconceive any antecedent interval of time, but God only who without time begat him: for both times and ages were made by him. Yet it must not be thought that the Son is co-inoriginate,* or co-unbegotten† with the Father: for this could not be predicated where such a relationship exists. But we know that the Father alone being inoriginate and incomprehensible,‡ has ineffably and incomprehensibly to all begotten, and that the Son was begotten before the ages, but is not unbegotten like the Father, but has a beginning, viz. the Father who begat him, for ‘the head of Christ is God’ (1 Cor. xi. 3). Now although according to the Scriptures we acknowledge three things or persons, viz. that of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Spirit, we do not on that account make three Gods: since we know that there is but one God perfect§ in himself, unbegotten, inoriginate, and invisible, the God and Father of the only-begotten, who alone has existence|| from himself, and alone affords existence abundantly to all other things. But while we assert that there is one God, the Father of our Lord Jesus Christ, the only unbegotten, we do not therefore deny that Christ is God before the ages, as the followers of Paul of Samosata do, who affirm that after his incarnation he was by exaltation deified,¶ in that he was by nature a mere man. We know indeed that he was subject to his God and Father: nevertheless he was begotten of God, and is by nature true and perfect God, and was

* Συνάναρχον.

† Συναγέννητον.

‡ Ἀνέφικτον.

§ Ἀύτοτελῃ.

|| Τὸ εἶναι.

¶ Τεθεοποιῆσθαι.

not afterwards made God out of man;* but was for our sake made man out of God,† and has never ceased to be God. Moreover we execrate and anathematize those who falsely style him the mere unsubstantial‡ word of God, having existence only in another, either as the word to which utterance is given, or as the word conceived in the mind: and who pretend that before the ages he was neither the Christ, the Son of God, the Mediator, nor the Image of God; but that he became the Christ, and the Son of God, from the time he took our flesh from the virgin, about 400 years ago. For they assert that Christ had the beginning of his kingdom from that time, and that it shall have an end after the consummation of all things and the judgment. Such persons as these are the followers of Marcellus and Photinus, the Ancyro-Galatians, who under pretext of *establishing his sovereignty*, like the Jews set aside the eternal§ existence and deity of Christ, and the perpetuity of his kingdom. But we know him to be not simply the word of God by utterance or mental conception, but God the Word living and subsisting of himself; and Son of God and Christ; and who co-existed and was conversant with his Father before the ages not by prescience|| only, and ministered to him at the creation of all things, whether visible or invisible: but that he is the substantial Word of the Father, and God of God: for this is he to whom the Father said,¶ ‘Let us make man in our image, and according to our like-

* Ἐκ ἀνθρώπων.

† Ἐκ Θεοῦ.

‡ Ἀνόπακτον, not existing, imaginary, ideal.

§ Προαἰώνιον.

|| Προγνωστικῶς.

¶ Gen i. 26.

ness : ' who in his own person* appeared to the fathers, gave the law, and spake by the prophets; and being at last made man, he manifested his Father to all men, and reigns to endless ages. Christ has not attained any new dignity; but we believe that he was perfect from the beginning,† and like his Father in all things. We also deservedly expel from the church those who say that the Father, Son, and Holy Spirit, are the same person, impiously supposing the three names to refer to one and the same thing and person: because by an incarnation they render the Father who is incomprehensible and insusceptible of suffering, subject to comprehension and suffering. These heretics are denominated Patropassians among the Romans, but by us Sabellians. For we know that the Father who sent, remained in the proper nature of his own immutable deity; but that Christ who was sent, has fulfilled the economy of the incarnation. In like manner we regard as most impious and strangers to the truth, those who irreverently affirm that Christ was begotten not by the will and pleasure of his Father; thus attributing to God an involuntary and reluctant‡ necessity, as if he begat the Son by constraint:§ because they have dared to determine such things respecting him as are inconsistent with our common notions of God, and are contrary indeed to the sense of the divinely-inspired Scripture. For knowing that God is self-dependent and Lord of himself we devoutly maintain that of his own volition and pleasure he begat the Son. And while we reverentially believe what is spoken concerning him (Prov.

* *Ἀὐτοπροσώπως.*† *Ἀνῶθεν.*‡ *Ἀπρὸ αἰρέτον.*§ *Ἄκων.*

viii. 22):* ‘The Lord created me the beginning of his ways on account of his works:’ yet we do not suppose that he was made similarly to the creatures or works made by him. For it is impious and repugnant to the ecclesiastic faith, to compare the Creator with the works created by him; or to imagine that he had the same manner of generation as things of a nature totally different from himself: although the sacred scriptures teach us that the alone only-begotten Son was absolutely† and truly begotten. And when we say that the Son is of himself,‡ and lives and subsists in like manner to the Father; we do not therefore separate him from the Father, as if we supposed them dissociated by the intervention of material§ space. For we believe that they are united without medium or interval, and that they are incapable of separation from each other: the whole Father embosoming|| the Son; and the whole Son attached to and eternally reposing in the Father’s bosom. Believing therefore in the altogether perfect and most holy Trinity,¶ and asserting that the Father is God, and that the Son also is God, we do not acknowledge two Gods, but one only, on account of the majesty of the Deity, and the perfect** blending and union of the kingdoms: the Father ruling over all things universally,†† and even over the Son himself; the Son being subject to the

* The Eastern bishops have here quoted the very words of the Septuagint: Κύριος ἔκτισέ με ἀρχὴν ὁδῶν αὐτοῦ εἰς ἔργα αὐτοῦ. But our English version exactly follows the Hebrew: יְהוָה בְּרֵאשִׁית יָצָאֵנִי מִלְּפָנָיו: “The Lord possessed me in the beginning of his way, before his works of old.”

† Γνησίως.

‡ Καθ’ ἑαυτὸν, per se.

§ Σωματικῶς.

|| Ἐνσπερnisμένον.

¶ Τριάδα.

** Συνάφειαν.

†† Παταρχούτος.

Father, but except him, ruling* over all things which were made after him and by him; and by the Father's will bestowing abundantly on the saints the grace of the Holy Spirit. For the sacred oracles inform us that in this consists the character of the sovereignty which Christ exercises.

“ We have been under the necessity of giving this more ample exposition of the creed, since the publication of our former epitome; not to gratify a vain ambition, but to clear ourselves from all strange suspicion respecting our faith which may exist among those who are ignorant of our real sentiments. And that the inhabitants of the West may both be aware of the shameless misrepresentations of the heterodox party; and also know the ecclesiastic opinion of the Eastern bishops concerning Christ, confirmed by the unwrested† testimony of the divinely-inspired scriptures, among all those of unperverted minds.”

CHAPTER XX.

OF THE SYNOD AT SARDICA.‡

THE Western prelates an account of their being of another language, and not understanding this exposition, would not admit of it; saying that the Nicene creed was sufficient, and that any thing beyond it was a work of supererogation. But when the emperor had again written to insist on the re-establishment of Paul and Athanasius in their respective sees, but without effect in consequence of the continual agitation of the people, these two

* Βασιλεύοντος.

† Ἀβιάστως.

‡ Σάρδη.

bishops demanded that another Synod should be convened, both for the determination of their case, as well as for the settlement of other questions in relation to the faith: for they made it obvious that their deposition arose from no other cause than that the faith might be the more easily perverted. Another general council* was therefore summoned to meet at Sardica,† a city of Illyricum, by the joint authority of the two emperors; the one requesting by letter that it might be so, and the other of the East readily acquiescing in it. This Synod was convened at Sardica, in the eleventh year after the death of the father of the two Augusti, during the consulship of Rufinus and Eusebius. Athanasius states that about 300 bishops from the western parts of the empire were present; but Sabinus says there came only seventy from the eastern parts, among whom was Ischyrras of Marcotes, who had been ordained bishop of that country by those who deposed Athanasius. Of the rest some pretended infirmity of body; others complained of the shortness of the notice given, casting the blame of it on Julius bishop of Rome, although a year and a half had elapsed from the time of its having been summoned: in which interval Athanasius remained at Rome awaiting the assembling of the Synod. When at last they were convened at Sardica, the Eastern prelates refused either to meet or to enter into any conference with those of the West, unless Athanasius and Paul were excluded from the convention. But Protogenes bishop of Sardica, and Hosius bishop of Cordova in Spain, would by no means permit them to be absent; on

* Οἰκουμένης.

† Σαρδικῆς.

which the Eastern bishops immediately withdrew, and returning to Philippolis in Thrace, held a separate council, wherein they openly anathematized the term *consubstantial*: and having introduced the Anomoian* opinion into their epistles, they sent them in all directions. On the other hand those who remained at Sardica, condemning in the first place their departure, afterwards divested the accusers of Athanasius of their dignity: then confirming the Nicene creed, and rejecting the term *ἀνόμοιος*, they more distinctly recognized the doctrine of consubstantiality in epistles addressed to all the churches. Both parties believed they had acted rightly: those of the East conceived themselves justified, because the Western bishops had countenanced those whom they had deposed; and these again were satisfied with the course they had taken, in consequence not only of the retirement of those who had deposed them before the matter had been examined into, but also because they themselves were the defenders of the Nicene faith, which the other party had dared to adulterate. They therefore reinstated Paul and Athanasius in their sees, and also Marcellus of Ancyra in Galatia Minor, who had been deposed long before, as we have stated in the former book. This person at that time exerted himself to the utmost to procure the revocation of the sentence pronounced against him, declaring that his being suspected of entertaining the error of Paul of Samosata, arose from a misunderstanding of some expressions in his book. It must however be noticed that Eusebius Pamphilus wrote three entire books against Marcellus, in which he quotes that author's

* Ἀνομολον, different, or unlike.

own words to prove that he asserts with Sabellius the Libyan, and Paul of Samosata, that the Lord Jesus was a mere man.

CHAPTER XXI.

DEFENCE OF EUSEBIUS PAMPHILUS.

BUT since some have attempted to stigmatize Eusebius Pamphilus as having favoured the Arian views in his works, it may not be irrelevant here to make a few remarks respecting him. In the first place then he was present at the council of Nice, and gave his assent to what was there determined in reference to the consubstantiality of the Son with the Father. And in the third book of the Life of Constantine, he thus expressed himself:—" *The emperor incited all to unanimity, until he had rendered them united in judgment on those points on which they were previously at variance : so that they were quite agreed at Nice in matters of faith.*" Since therefore Eusebius, in mentioning the Nicene Synod, says that all differences were composed, and that unanimity of sentiment prevailed, what ground is there for assuming that he was himself an Arian? The Arians are certainly deceived in supposing him to be a favourer of their tenets. But some one will perhaps say that in his discourses he seems to have adopted the opinions of Arius, because of his frequently saying *by Christ*. Our answer is that ecclesiastical writers often use this mode of expression and others of a similar kind denoting the *economy* of our Saviour's humanity: and that before all these the apostle (1 Cor. i.) made use

of such expressions, without ever being accounted a teacher of false doctrine. Moreover, inasmuch as Arius has dared to say that the Son is a creature, as one of the others, observe what Eusebius says on this subject, in his first book against Marcellus:—

“ He alone, and no other, has been declared to be, and is the only-begotten Son of God ; whence any one would justly censure those who have presumed to affirm that he is a Creature made of nothing, like the rest of the creatures : for how then would he be a Son ? and how could he be God’s only-begotten, were he assigned the same nature as the other creatures, and were he one of the many created things, seeing that he, like them, would in that case be partaker of a creation from nothing ? The sacred Scriptures do not thus instruct us concerning these things.” He again adds a little afterwards:—*“ Whoever then determines that the Son is made of things that are not, and that he is a creature produced from nothing pre-existing, forgets that while he concedes the name of Son, he denies him to be so in reality. For he that is made of nothing, cannot truly be the Son of God, any more than the other things which have been made : but the true Son of God, forasmuch as he is begotten of the Father, is properly denominated the only-begotten and beloved of the Father. For this reason also, he himself is God : for what can the offspring of God be, but the perfect resemblance of him who begat him ? A sovereign indeed builds* a city, but does not beget it ; and is said to beget a son, not to build one. An artificer may be called the framer, but not the father of his work ; while he could by no means be styled the framer of him whom he had begotten. So also the*

* Κτίζει.

God of the Universe is the Father of the Son ; but would be fitly termed the Framer and Maker of the world. And although it is once said in Scripture (Pro. viii. 22), ' The Lord created me the beginning of his ways on account of his works,' yet it becomes us to consider the import of this phrase, which I shall hereafter explain ; and not, as Marcellus has done, from a single passage to subvert one of the most important doctrines of the church."

These and many other such expressions are found in the first book of Eusebius Pamphilus against Marcellus; and in his third book, declaring in what sense the term *creature** is to be taken, he says:—

" Accordingly these things being established, it follows that in the same sense as that which preceded, these words also are to be understood, ' The Lord created me the beginning of his ways on account of his works.' For although he says that he was created, it is not as if he should say that he had arrived at existence from what was not, nor that he himself also was made of nothing like the rest of the creatures, which some have erroneously supposed: but as subsisting, living, pre-existing, and being before the constitution of the whole world; and having been appointed to rule the universe by his Lord and Father: the word created being here used instead of ordained or constituted. Certainly the apostle (1 Pet. ii. 13, 14) expressly called the rulers and governors among men creature, when he said, ' Submit yourselves to every human creature† for the Lord's sake; whether to the king as supreme, or to governors as those sent by him.' The prophet also (Amos iv. 12, 13) does not use the word ἐκτίσεν created in the

* Κτίσμα.

† Ἀνθρωπίνῃ κτίσει.

sense of made of that which had no previous existence, when he says, 'Prepare, Israel, to invoke thy God. For behold he who confirms the thunder, creates the Spirit, and announces his Christ unto men.' For God did not then create the Spirit, when he declared his Christ to all men, since (Eccles. i. 9) 'There is nothing new under the sun;' but the Spirit was, and subsisted before: but he was sent at what time the apostles were gathered together, when like thunder 'There came a sound from heaven as of a rushing mighty wind: and they were filled with the Holy Spirit' (Acts ii. 2, 4). And thus they declared unto all men the Christ of God, in accordance with that prophecy which says (Amos iv. 13), 'Behold he who confirms the thunder, creates the Spirit, and announces his Christ unto men:' the word creates being used instead of sends down, or appoints; and thunder in a similar way implying the preaching of the gospel. Again he that says, 'Create in me a clean heart, O God' (Ps. li. 10), said not this as if he had no heart; but prayed that his mind might be purified. Thus also it is said (Eph. ii. 15), 'That he might create the two into one new man,' instead of unite. Consider also whether this passage is not of the same kind (Eph. iv. 24), 'Clothe† yourselves with the new man, which is created according to God:' and this (2 Cor. v. 17), 'If therefore any one be in Christ, he is a new creature:‡ and whatever other expressions of a similar nature any one may find who shall carefully search the divinely-inspired Scripture. Wherefore one should not be surprised if in this passage, 'The*

* 'Ἐπικαλεῖσθαι. Eusebius quotes from the Septuagint, omitting ἐγώ, which greatly differs from the Hebrew.

† 'Ενδύσασθε.

‡ Κρίσις.

Lord created me the beginning of his ways,' *the term created is used metaphorically, instead of appointed, or constituted.*"

These quotations from the books of Eusebius against Marcellus, have been adduced to confute those who have slanderously attempted to traduce and criminate him. Neither can they prove that Eusebius attributes a beginning of subsistence* to the Son of God, although they may find him often using the expressions of dispensation:† and especially so, because he was an emulator and admirer of the works of Origen, in which those who are able to comprehend that author's writings, will perceive it to be everywhere stated that the Son was begotten of the Father. These remarks have been made in passing, in order to refute those who have misrepresented Eusebius.

CHAPTER XXII.

THE SYNOD OF SARDICA RESTORES PAUL AND ATHANASIUS TO THEIR SEES; AND ON THE EASTERN EMPEROR'S REFUSAL TO ADMIT THEM, THE EMPEROR OF THE WEST THREATENS HIM WITH WAR.

WHEN those convened at Sardica, as well as those who had formed a separate council at Philippolis in Thrace, had severally performed what they deemed requisite, they returned to their respective cities. From that time therefore the Western church was severed from the Eastern: and the boundary of communion between them was the mountain called

* Ὑπάρξεως.

† Οἰκονομίας.

Soucis,* which divides the Illyrians from the Thracians. As far as this mountain there was indiscriminate communion, although there was a difference of faith; but beyond it they did not communicate with one another. Such was the perturbed condition of the churches at that period. Soon after these transactions, the emperor of the Western parts informs his brother Constantius of what had taken place at Sardica, and begs him to ratify the restoration of Paul and Athanasius to their sees. But as Constantius delayed to carry this matter into effect, the emperor of the West again wrote to him, giving him the choice either of re-establishing Paul and Athanasius in their former dignity, and restoring their churches to them; or on his failing to do this, of regarding him as his enemy, and immediately expecting war. The letter which he addressed to his brother was as follows:—

“Athanasius and Paul are here with me: and I am quite satisfied after strict investigation, that their piety alone has drawn persecution upon them. If therefore you will pledge yourself to reinstate them in their sees, and to punish those who have so unjustly injured them, I will send them to you: but should you refuse to execute my wishes, be assured of this, that I will myself come thither, and restore them to their own sees, in spite of your opposition.”

* Τισούκις.

CHAPTER XXIII.

CONSTANTIUS BEING AFRAID OF HIS BROTHER'S MENACES,
BY LETTER RECALLS ATHANASIUS, AND SENDS HIM TO
ALEXANDRIA.

THIS communication placed the emperor of the East in the utmost difficulty; and immediately sending for the greater part of the Eastern bishops, he acquainted them with the choice his brother had submitted to him, and asked what ought to be done. They replied it was better to concede the churches to Athanasius, than to undertake a civil war. Accordingly the emperor, urged by necessity, summoned Athanasius to his presence. Meanwhile the emperor of the West sends Paul to Constantinople, with two bishops and other honourable attendance, having fortified him with his own letters, together with those of the Synod. But while Athanasius was still apprehensive, and hesitated to go to him, dreading the treachery of his calumniators, the emperor of the East not once only, but even a second and a third time, invited him to come to him: this is evident from his letters, of which I shall here give a translation from the Latin tongue.

EPISTLE OF CONSTANTIUS TO ATHANASIUS.

“Constantius Victor Augustus to Athanasius the bishop.

“Our compassionate clemency cannot permit you to be any longer tossed and disquieted as it were by the boisterous waves of the sea. Our unwearied piety

has not been unmindful of you driven from your native home, despoiled of your property, and wandering in pathless solitudes. And although we have too long deferred acquainting you by letter with the purpose of our mind, in the expectation of your coming to us of your own accord to seek a remedy for your troubles; yet since fear perhaps has hindered the execution of your wishes, we therefore have sent to your reverence* letters full of indulgence, in order that you may fearlessly hasten to appear in our presence, whereby after experiencing our benevolence, you may attain your desire, and be re-established in your proper position. For this reason we have requested our Lord and brother Constans Victor Augustus, to grant you permission to come, to the end that you may be restored to your country by the consent of us both, having this assurance of our favour."

ANOTHER EPISTLE TO ATHANASIUS.

"Constantius Victor Augustus to the bishop Athanasius.

"Although we have abundantly intimated in a former letter that you might securely come to our Court,† as we are extremely anxious to reinstate you in your proper place, yet we have again addressed your reverence. We therefore desire you will without any distrust or apprehension, take a public vehicle and hasten to us, in order that you may realize your wishes."

* Στερόρητα.

† Κομιτάτον.

ANOTHER EPISTLE TO ATHANASIUS.

“Constantius Victor Augustus to the bishop Athanasius.

“While we made our residence at Edessa,* where your presbyters were present, it pleased us to send one of them to you, for the purpose of hastening your arrival at our court, in order that after having been introduced to our presence, you might forthwith proceed to Alexandria. But inasmuch as a considerable time has elapsed since your receipt of our letter, and you have not yet come; we now therefore again exhort you to speedily present yourself before us, that so you may be able to be restored to your country, and obtain your desire. For the more ample assurance of our intention, we have despatched to you Achetas the deacon, from whom you will learn both our mind in regard to you, as well as our readiness to facilitate the objects you have in view.”

When Athanasius had received these letters at Aquileia, where he abode after his departure from Sardica, he immediately hastened to Rome; and having shown these communications to Julius the bishop, there was the greatest joy in the Roman Church. For they concluded that the emperor of the East had recognized their faith, since he had recalled Athanasius. Julius then wrote to the clergy and laity of Alexandria on behalf of Athanasius.

* 'Εδέσσα.

EPISTLE OF JULIUS BISHOP OF ROME, TO THOSE AT
ALEXANDRIA.

“Julius the bishop, to the presbyters, deacons, and people inhabiting Alexandria, brethren beloved, salutations in the Lord.

“I also rejoice with you, beloved brethren, because you at length see before your eyes the fruit of your faith. For that this is really so, any one may perceive in reference to our brother and fellow-prelate Athanasius; whom God has restored to you, both on account of his purity of life, and in answer to your prayers. It is therefore evident that your supplications to God have unceasingly been offered pure and abounding with love: and that mindful of the divine promises and of the charity connected with them, which ye learned from the instruction of our brother, ye knew assuredly, and according to the sound faith which is in you clearly foresaw that your bishop would not be separated from you for ever, whom ye had in your devout hearts as though he were ever present. Wherefore it is unnecessary for me to use many words in addressing you, for your faith has already anticipated whatever I could have said: and the common prayer of you all has been fulfilled according to the grace of Christ. I therefore rejoice with you; and repeat it, because ye have preserved your souls invincible in the faith. Nor do I the less rejoice with my brother Athanasius; because, while suffering many afflictions, he was never unmindful of your love and desire: for although he seemed to be withdrawn from you in person for a season, yet was he always present with you in spirit. And I am

convinced, beloved, that every trial which he has endured has not been inglorious; since both your faith and his has thus been tested and made manifest to all. But had not so many troubles happened to him, who would have believed, either that you had so great a value and love for this eminent prelate, or that he was endowed with such distinguished virtues, on account of which also he will by no means be defrauded of his hope in the heavens? He has accordingly obtained a testimony of confession in every way glorious both in the present age, and in that which is to come. After having suffered so many and diversified trials both by land and by sea, he has trampled on every machination of the Arian heresy; and though often exposed to danger in consequence of envy, he despised death, being protected by Almighty God, and our Lord Jesus Christ, ever trusting that he should not only escape the treachery of his adversaries, but also be restored for your consolation, and bring back to you at the same time greater trophies from your own conscience. By which means his fame has been extended even to the ends of the whole earth, his worth having been approved by the purity of his life, the firmness of his purpose, and his steadfastness in the heavenly doctrine, all being attested by your unchanging esteem and love. He therefore returns to you, more distinguished now than when he departed from you. For if the fire tries the precious metals (I speak of gold and silver) for purification, what can be said of so excellent a man proportionate to his worth, who after having overcome the fire of so many calamities and dangers, is now restored to you, being declared innocent not only

by us, but also by the whole Synod? Receive therefore with godly* honour and joy, beloved brethren, your bishop Athanasius, together with those who have been his companions in tribulation. And rejoice in having attained the object of your prayers, who have supplied with meat and drink, by your supporting letters, your pastor hungering and thirsting, so to speak, for your spiritual† welfare. Ye were a comfort to him while he was sojourning in a strange land; and ye cherished him in your most faithful affections when he was exposed to treachery and persecution. It makes me happy even to picture to myself in imagination the universal delight that will be manifested on his return, the pious greetings of the populace, the glorious festivity of those assembled to meet him, and indeed what the entire aspect of that day will be when my brother shall be brought back to you again: past troubles will then be at an end, and his prized and longed-for return will unite all hearts in the warmest expression of joy. This feeling will in a very high degree extend to us, who regard it as a token of divine favour, that we should have been privileged to become acquainted with so eminent a person. It becomes us therefore to close this epistle with prayer. May God Almighty and his Son our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ afford you this grace continually, thus rewarding the admirable faith which ye have manifested in reference to your bishop by an illustrious testimony: that the things more excellent which *‘Eye has not seen, nor ear heard, neither have entered into the heart of man; even the things which God has prepared for them*

* Κατὰ Θεόν.

† Θεοσέβειαν.

that love him' (1 Cor. ii. 9), may await you and yours in the world to come, through our Lord Jesus Christ, by whom glory be to Almighty God for ever and ever, Amen. I pray that ye may be strengthened, beloved brethren."

Athanasius relying on these letters went back to the East. The Emperor Constantius did not at that time receive him with any marked hostility of feeling; nevertheless at the instigation of the Arians he endeavoured to circumvent him, addressing him in these words: "You have been reinstated in your see in accordance with the decree of the Synod, and with our consent. But inasmuch as some of the people of Alexandria refuse to hold communion with you, permit them to have one church in the city." To this demand Athanasius at once replied: "You have the power, my sovereign, both to order, and to carry into effect, whatever you may please. I also therefore would beg you to grant me a favour." The emperor having readily promised to acquiesce, Athanasius immediately added, that he desired the same thing might be conceded to him, which the emperor had exacted from him, viz.:—that in every city one church should be assigned to those who might refuse to hold communion with the Arians. That party perceiving the purpose of Athanasius to be inimical to their interests, said that this affair might be postponed to another time: but they suffered the emperor to act as he pleased. He therefore restored Athanasius, Paul, and Marcellus to their respective sees; as also Asclepas bishop of Gaza, and Lucius of Adrianople. For these too had been received by the Council of Sardica:

Asclepas, on his exhibiting records from which it appeared that Eusebius Pamphilus, in conjunction with several others, after having investigated his case, had restored him to his former rank ; and Lucius, because his accusers had fled. Hereupon the emperor's edicts were despatched to their respective cities, enjoining the inhabitants to receive them readily. At Ancyra indeed when Basil was ejected, and Marcellus was introduced in his stead, there was a considerable tumult made, which afforded his enemies an occasion of calumniating him : but the people of Gaza willingly admitted Asclepas. Macedonius at Constantinople, for a short time gave place to Paul, convening assemblies by himself separately, in a private church of that city. Moreover the emperor wrote on behalf of Athanasius to the bishops, clergy, and laity, to receive him cheerfully : and at the same time he ordered by other letters, that whatever had been enacted against him in the judicial courts should be abrogated. The communications respecting both these matters were as follows.

THE EPISTLE OF CONSTANTIUS IN BEHALF OF ATHANASIUS.

“ VICTOR Constantius Maximus Augustus, to the bishops and presbyters of the Catholic Church.

The most reverend bishop Athanasius has not been forsaken by the grace of God. But although he was for a short time subjected to trial according to men, yet has he obtained from an omniscient providence the sentence which was due to him ; having been restored by the will of God, and our decision, both to his country and to the church over which by divine permission he presided. It was therefore

suitable that what is in accordance with this should be duly attended to by our clemency : so that all things which have been heretofore determined against those who held communion with him should now be rescinded ; that all suspicion against him should henceforward cease ; and that the immunity* which those clergymen who are with him formerly enjoyed, should be, as it is meet, confirmed to them. Moreover we thought it just to add this to our grace toward him, that the whole ecclesiastical body should understand that protection is extended to all who have adhered to him, whether bishops or clerks:† and union with him shall be a sufficient evidence of each person's right intention. Wherefore we have ordered, according to the similitude of the previous providence, that as many as have the wisdom to adopt the sounder judgment, and to join themselves to his communion, shall enjoy that indulgence which we have now granted in accordance with the will of God."

ANOTHER EPISTLE ADDRESSED TO THE ALEXANDRIANS.

"VICTOR Constantius Maximus Augustus, to the laity of the Catholic Church at Alexandria.

"Aiming at your good order in all respects, and knowing that you have long since been bereft of episcopal oversight,‡ we thought it just to send back to you again Athanasius your bishop, a man known to all by the integrity and sanctity of his life and manners. Having received him with your usual courtesy, and constituted him the assistant of your prayers to God, exert yourselves to maintain at all times, according to the ecclesiastical canon, concord

* Ἀτέλειαν.

† Κληρικοῖς

‡ Προνοίας.

and peace, which will be alike honourable to yourselves, and grateful to us. For it is unreasonable that any dissension or faction should be excited among you, contrary to the felicity of our times; and we trust that such a misfortune will be wholly removed from you. We exhort you therefore to assiduously persevere in your accustomed devotions, by the assistance of this prelate, as we before said: so that when this resolution of yours shall become generally known, even the Pagans who are still enslaved in the ignorance of idolatrous worship, may eagerly seek the knowledge of our sacred religion. Wherefore, most beloved Alexandrians, give heed to these things: heartily welcome your bishop, as one appointed you by the will of God and my decree; and esteem him worthy of being embraced with all the affections of your souls, for this becomes you, and is consistent with our clemency. But in order to check all tendency to seditions and tumult in persons of a factious disposition, orders have been issued to our judges to exercise the utmost severity of the laws on all who expose themselves to their operation. Respecting then both our and God's* determination, with the anxiety we feel to secure harmony among you, and remembering also the punishment that will be inflicted on the disorderly, make it your especial care to act agreeably to the sanctions of our sacred religion, with all reverence honouring your bishop; that so in conjunction with him you may present your supplications to the God and Father of the universe, both for yourselves, and for the orderly government of the whole human race."

* Τοῦ κρείττονος.

AN EPISTLE RESPECTING THE ABROGATION OF THE EN-
ACTMENTS AGAINST ATHANASIUS.

“VICTOR Constantius Augustus to Nestorius, and in the same terms to the Governors of Augustamnica, Thebaïs, and Libya.

“If it be found that at any time previously enactments have been passed prejudicial and derogatory to those who hold communion with Athanasius the bishop, our pleasure is that they should now be wholly abrogated; and that his clergy should again enjoy the same immunity which was granted to them formerly. We enjoin strict obedience to this command, to the intent that since this prelate has been restored to his church, all who hold communion with him may possess the same privileges as they had before, and such as other ecclesiastics now enjoy: that so their affairs being happily arranged, they also may share in the general prosperity.”

CHAPTER XXIV.

ATHANASIUS PASSING THROUGH JERUSALEM IN HIS RETURN TO ALEXANDRIA, IS RECEIVED INTO COMMUNION BY MAXIMUS: AND A SYNOD OF BISHOPS BEING CONVENED IN THAT CITY, THE NICENE CREED IS CONFIRMED.

ATHANASIUS the bishop being fortified with these letters, passed through Syria, and came into Palestine. On arriving at Jerusalem he acquainted Maximus the bishop both with what had been done in the Council of Sardica, and also that the Emperor Constantius had confirmed its decision: he then proposed that a Synod

of bishops should be held there. Maximus therefore at once sent for certain of the prelates of Syria and Palestine, who having assembled in council, restored Athanasius to communion, and to his former dignity. After which they communicated by letter to the Alexandrians, and to all the bishops of Egypt and Libya, what had been determined respecting Athanasius. On this the adversaries of Athanasius exceedingly derided Maximus, because having before assisted in the deposition of that prelate, he had suddenly changed his mind, and as if nothing had previously taken place, had promoted his restoration to communion and rank. When these things became known, Ursacius and Valens,* who had been fiery partisans of Arianism, condemning their former zeal, proceeded to Rome, where they presented their recantation to Julius the bishop, and gave their assent to the doctrine of consubstantiality: they then wrote to Athanasius, and expressed their readiness to hold communion with him in future. Thus did the prosperity of Athanasius so subdue Ursacius and Valens, as to induce them to recognise the orthodox faith. Athanasius passing through Pelusium on his way to Alexandria, admonished the inhabitants of every city to beware of the Arians, and to receive those only that professed the Homoöusian faith. In some of the churches also he performed ordination; which afforded another ground of accusation against him, because of his undertaking to ordain in the dioceses of others. Such was the condition of things at that period in reference to Athanasius.

* Οὐάλης.

CHAPTER XXV.

OF THE TYRANTS MAGNENTIUS AND VETRANIO.

ABOUT this time a terrible commotion shook the whole state, of which it is needful to give a summary account of the principal heads. We mentioned in our first book, that after the death of the founder of Constantinople, his three sons succeeded him in the empire: it must now be also stated, that their kinsman Dalmatius,* so named from his father, shared with them the imperial authority. This person after being associated with them in the sovereignty for a very little while, was slain by the soldiery, Constantius having neither commanded his destruction, nor forbidden it. The manner in which Constantine the younger was killed by the soldiers, on his invading that division of the empire which belonged to his brother, has already been recorded. After his death, a Persian war was raised against the Romans, in which Constantius did nothing prosperously: for in a battle fought by night on the frontiers of both parties, the Persians had to some slight extent the advantage. Meanwhile the affairs of Christians became no less unsettled, there being great disturbance throughout the churches on account of Athanasius, and the term *consubstantial*. During this general agitation, there sprang up a tyrant in the western parts called Magnentius;† who by treachery slew Constans, the emperor of that division

* Ἀνεψιός.

† He was governor of the Provinces of Rhætia, and assassinated his sovereign in his bed.

of the empire, at that time residing in the Gallias. In the furious civil war which thence arose, this usurper made himself master of all Italy, reduced Africa and Libya under his power, and even obtained possession of the Gallias. But at the city of Sirmium in Illyricum, the military set up another tyrant whose name was Vetrano;^{*} while a fresh trouble threw Rome itself into commotion: for Nepotian, Constantine's sister's son, supported by a body of gladiators,[†] there assumed the sovereignty. He was however slain by some of the officers of Magnentius, who himself invaded the western provinces, and spread desolation in every direction.

CHAPTER XXVI.

AFTER THE DEATH OF CONSTANS THE WESTERN EMPEROR, PAUL AND ATHANASIUS ARE AGAIN EJECTED FROM THEIR SEES: THE FORMER AFTER BEING CARRIED INTO EXILE IS SLAIN; BUT THE LATTER ESCAPES BY FLIGHT.

A CONFLUX of these disastrous events occurred at nearly one and the same time; for they happened in the fourth year after the council at Sardica, during the consulate of Sergius and Nigrinian. Under these circumstances the entire sovereignty of the empire seemed to devolve on Constantius alone; who being accordingly proclaimed in the East sole Autocrat, made the most vigorous preparations against the tyrants. Hereupon the adversaries of Athanasius, thinking a favourable crisis had arisen, again framed

^{*} Βερρανίων.

[†] Μονομάχων.

the most calumnious charges against him, before his arrival at Alexandria; assuring the emperor Constantius that all Egypt and Libya was in danger of being subverted by him. And his having undertaken to ordain out of the limits of his own diocese, tended not a little to accredit the accusations against him. Amidst such unhappy excitement, Athanasius entered Alexandria; and having convened a council of the bishops in Egypt, they confirmed by their unanimous vote, what had been determined in the Synod at Sardica, and that assembled at Jerusalem by Maximus. But the emperor who had been long since imbued with Arian doctrine, reversed all the indulgent proceedings he had so recently resolved on. He began by ordering that Paul, bishop of Constantinople, should be sent into exile; whom those who conducted him strangled, at Cucusus in Cappadocia. Marcellus was also ejected, and Basil again made ruler of the church at Ancyra. Lucius of Adrianople, being loaded with chains, died in prison. The reports which were made concerning Athanasius, so wrought on the emperor's mind, that in an ungovernable fury he commanded him to be put to death wherever he might be found: he moreover included Theodulus and Olympius, who presided over churches in Thrace, in the same proscription. Athanasius having obtained intelligence of the peril to which these mandates exposed him, once more had recourse to flight, and so escaped the emperor's menaces. The Arians denounced his retreat as criminal, particularly Narcissus bishop of Neroniades in Cilicia, George of Laodiceæ, and Leontius who then had the oversight of the church at Antioch. This last person when a pres-

byter, had been divested of his rank, because in order to remove all suspicion of illicit intercourse with a woman named Eustolium, with whom he spent a considerable portion of his time, he had castrated himself, and thenceforward lived more unreservedly with her, when there could be no longer any ground for evil surmises. Afterwards however, at the earnest desire of the emperor Constantius, he was created bishop of the church at Antioch, after Stephen, the successor of Flaccillus.*

CHAPTER XXVII.

MACEDONIUS HAVING POSSESSED HIMSELF OF THE SEE OF CONSTANTINOPLE, DOES MUCH MISCHIEF TO THOSE WHO DIFFER FROM HIM IN OPINION.

PAUL having been removed, in the manner described, Macedonius then became ruler of the churches in Constantinople; who acquiring very great ascendancy over the emperor, stirred up a war among Christians, of a no less grievous kind than that which the tyrants themselves were waging. For having prevailed on his sovereign to cooperate with him in devastating the churches, he procured the sanction of law for whatever pernicious measures he determined to pursue. Throughout the several cities therefore, an edict was proclaimed, and a military force appointed to carry the imperial decrees into effect. Hence those who acknowledged the doctrine of consubstantiality were not only expelled from the churches, but also from the cities. But although

* Πλάκιτον.

expulsion at first satisfied them, they soon proceeded to the worse extremity of inducing compulsory communion with them; caring but little for such a desecration of the churches. Their violence indeed was scarcely less intolerable than that of those who had formerly obliged the Christians to worship idols: for they resorted to all kinds of scourgings, a variety of tortures, and confiscation of property. Many were punished with exile; some died under the torture; and others were put to death while being driven from their country. These atrocities were exercised throughout all the eastern cities, but especially at Constantinople; the internal persecution which was but slight before, being thus savagely increased by Macedonius, as soon as he obtained the bishopric. The cities of Achaia* and Illyricum, with those of the western parts still enjoyed tranquillity; inasmuch as they preserved unanimity of judgment among themselves, and continued to adhere to the rule of faith promulgated by the council of Nice.

CHAPTER XXVIII.

ATHANASIUS'S ACCOUNT OF THE VIOLENCES COMMITTED
AT ALEXANDRIA BY GEORGE THE ARIAN.

WHAT cruelties were perpetrated at Alexandria by George at the same time, may be learnt from the narration of Athanasius, who was not only a spectator of the scenes he describes, but also a sufferer in them. In his "Vindication of his flight," speaking of these transactions, he thus expresses himself:—"Moreover

* Ἑλλάδα.

they came to Alexandria, again seeking to destroy me: and on this occasion their proceedings were worse than before; for the soldiery having suddenly surrounded the church, there arose the din of war, instead of the voice of prayer. Afterwards on the arrival of George during Lent,* the mischief for which he had been trained by those who had sent him from Cappadocia, was greatly augmented. When Easter-week† was past, the virgins were cast into prison, the bishops led in chains by the military, and the dwellings even of orphans and widows forcibly entered and pillaged. Christians were interred by night; houses were set a mark upon; and the relatives of the clergy were endangered on their account. Even these outrages were dreadful; but the persecutors soon proceeded to such as were still more so. For in the week after the holy Pentecost, the people having fasted, went forth to a cemetery: to pray, because all were averse to communion with George: that brutal persecutor being informed of this, instigated against them Sebastian, an officer who was a Manichæan. He at the head of a body of troops armed with drawn swords, bows, and darts, marched out to attack the people, although it was the Lord's day: finding but few at prayers, as the most part had retired because of the lateness of the hour, he performed such exploits as might be expected from savage barbarians. Having kindled a fire, he set the virgins near it, in order to compel them to say that they were of the Arian faith: but seeing they were not to be overcome, and that they despised the fire, he then stript them, and so beat

* Τεσσαρακοστῇ.

† Ἑβδόμα τοῦ Πάσχα.

‡ Κοιμητήριον.

them on the face, that for a long time afterwards they could scarcely be recognised. Seizing also about forty men, he flogged them in an extraordinary manner: for he so lacerated their backs with rods fresh cut from the palm-tree, which still had their thorns on, that some were obliged to procure surgical aid in order to have the thorns extracted from their flesh; while others unable to bear the agony, died under its infliction. All the survivors with one virgin he banished to the Great Oasis. The bodies of the dead were not at first suffered to be claimed by their relatives, but being denied the rites of sepulture were concealed as the authors of these barbarities thought fit, that the evidences of their cruelty might not appear. Such was the blindness with which these madmen acted: for while the friends of the deceased rejoiced on account of their confession, but mourned because of their bodies being uninterred, the impious inhumanity of these acts became more distinctly conspicuous. Soon after this they sent into exile out of Egypt and the two Libyas, the following bishops: Ammonius, Thmuïs, Caius, Philo, Hermes, Pliny, Psenosiris, Nilammon, Agatho, Anagamphus, a second Ammonius, Mark, Dracontius, Adelphius, a third Ammonius, another Mark, and Athenodorus; and the presbyters Hierax and Discorus. And 'so harshly were they treated by those who had the charge of conducting them, that some expired while on their journey, and others in the very place of banishment. In this way more than thirty bishops were got rid of: for the anxious desire of the Arians, like Ahab's, was to exterminate the truth if possible."

Such is the statement Athanasius has given of the

atrocities perpetrated by George at Alexandria. The emperor meanwhile led his army into Illyricum, where the urgency of public affairs demanded his presence; for Vetrano had been there proclaimed emperor by the military. On arriving at Sirmium, a truce being made, he came to a conference with Vetrano; and so managed, that the soldiers who had previously declared for his rival, now deserted him, and saluted Constantius alone as Augustus and sovereign Autocrat. Vetrano perceiving himself to be abandoned, immediately threw himself at the feet of the emperor; who after taking from him his imperial crown and purple, treated him with great clemency, and recommended him to pass the rest of his days tranquilly in the condition of a private citizen: observing that a life of repose at his advanced age, was far more suitable than a dignity which entailed anxieties and care. Vetrano's affairs having come to this issue, he was assigned a liberal provision out of the public revenue: and writing frequently to the emperor during his residence at Prusa in Bithynia, he assured him that he had conferred the greatest blessing on him, by liberating him from the disquietudes which are the inseparable concomitants of sovereign power. Adding that he himself did not act wisely in depriving himself of that happiness in retirement, which he had bestowed upon him. After these things, the emperor Constantius having created Gallus his kinsman Cæsar, and given him his own name, sent him to Antioch in Syria to guard the eastern parts. When Gallus was entering this city, the Saviour's sign appeared in the East: for a pillar in the form of a cross was seen in the heavens, to the great amazement of the specta-

tors. Other generals were despatched by the emperor against Magnentius with considerable forces, while he himself remained at Sirmium, awaiting the course of events.

CHAPTER XXIX.

OF THE HERESIARCH PHOTINUS.

IN the interim Photinus, who then presided over the church in that city, having more openly avowed his sentiments, and a tumult being made in consequence, the emperor ordered a Synod of bishops to be assembled at Sirmium. There were accordingly convened there of the Oriental Prelates, Mark of Arethusa, George of Alexandria, whom the Arians, as we have before said, had placed over that see on the removal of Gregory, Basil who presided over the church at Ancyra, Marcellus having been ejected, Pancratius of Pelusium, and Hypatian of Heraclea. Of the Western bishops there were present Valens of Mursa, and the celebrated Hosius of Cordova in Spain, who attended much against his will. These met at Sirmium, after the consulate of Sergius and Nigrinian, in which year no consul celebrated the customary inaugural* solemnities, in consequence of the martial preparations; and it being ascertained that Photinus held the heresy of Sabellius the Libyan, and Paul of Samosata, they immediately deposed him. This

* The "*Ludi Circenses*," consisting of the five games, leaping, wrestling, boxing, racing, and hurling, with scenic representations, and spectacles of wild beasts at the amphitheatre; with which the consuls entertained the people at their entrance on the consulate.

decision was both at that time and afterwards universally commended as honourable and just; but those who continued there, subsequently acted in a way which was by no means so generally approved.

CHAPTER XXX.

FORMS OF THE CREED PUBLISHED AT SIRMIO, IN PRESENCE OF THE EMPEROR CONSTANTIUS.

As if they would rescind their former determinations respecting the faith, they published anew other expositions of the creed, viz.:—one in Greek which Mark of Arethusa composed; and two others in Latin, which harmonized with one another neither in expression nor in sentiment, nor with that dictated by the bishop of Arethusa. I shall here subjoin one of those drawn up in Latin, to that prepared in Greek by Mark: the other, which was afterwards recited at Rimini, will be given when we describe what was done at that place. It must be understood however, that both the Latin forms were translated into Greek. The declaration of faith set forth by Mark, was as follows.

“ We believe in one God the Father Almighty, the Creator and Maker of all things, of whom the whole family in heaven and on earth is named (Eph. iii. 15): and in his only begotten Son, our Lord Jesus Christ, who was begotten of his Father before all ages, God of* God, Light of Light, by whom all things visible and invisible, which are in the heavens and upon the earth, were made; who is the Word, the Wisdom,

* 'Εκ.

the true Light, and the Life; who in the last days for our sake was made man* and born of the holy virgin, was crucified and died, was buried, and arose again from the dead on the third day, was received up into heaven, sits at the right hand of the Father, and is coming at the completion of the age to judge the living and the dead, and to requite every one according to his works: whose kingdom being everlasting,† endures into endless ages; for he will be seated at the Father's right hand, not only in the present age, but also in that which is to come. We believe in the Holy Spirit, that is to say the Comforter, whom our Lord according to his promise, sent to his apostles after his ascension into the heavens, to teach them, and bring all things to their remembrance; by whom also the souls of those who have sincerely believed in him are sanctified. But those who affirm that the Son is of things which are not, or of another substance,‡ and not of God, and that there was a time or an age when he was not, the holy and catholic Church declares to be aliens. We therefore again say, if any one affirms that the Father and Son are two Gods, let him be anathema. And if any one admits that Christ is God and the Son of God before the ages, but does not confess that he ministered to the Father in the formation of all things, let him be anathema. If any one shall dare to assert that the Unbegotten, or a part of him, was born of Mary, let him be anathema. If any one says that the Son was of Mary according to foreknowledge, and that he was not with God, begotten of the Father before the ages, and that all things were not made by him, let him be anathema.

* 'Ἐνανθρωπήσαντα.

† 'Ακατάπαυστος.

‡ 'Υποστάσεως.

If any one affirms the essence* of God to be dilated or contracted, let him be anathema. If any one says that the dilated essence of God makes the Son, or shall term the Son the dilatation† of his essence, let him be anathema. If any one asserts that the internal or uttered word is Son of God, let him be anathema. If any one declares that the Son that was born of Mary was man only, let him be anathema. If any man affirming him that was born of Mary to be God and man, shall imply the unbegotten God himself, let him be anathema. If any one shall understand the text '*I am the first, and I am the last,‡ and besides me there is no God*' (Isa. xlv. 6), which was spoken for the destruction of idols and false gods, in the sense the Jews do, as if it were said for the subversion of the only-begotten of God before the ages, let him be anathema. If any one hearing (John i. 14) '*the Word was made flesh,*' should imagine that the Word was changed into flesh, or that he underwent any change in assuming flesh, let him be anathema. If any one hearing that the only-begotten Son of God was crucified, should say that his divinity§ underwent any corruption, or suffering, or change, or diminution, or destruction, let him be anathema. If any one should affirm that the Father said not to the Son, '*Let us make man*' (Gen. i. 26), but that God spoke to himself, let him be anathema. If any one says that it was not the Son of God that was seen by Abraham, but the unbegotten God, or a part of him, let him be anathema.

* Οὐσίαν.

† Πλατυσμόν.

‡ Μετὰ ταῦτα.

§ Τὴν θεότητα αὐτοῦ occurs in the Allat. MS. and in Athanasius, "Lib. de Synodis."

If any one says that it was not the Son that as man wrestled with Jacob, but the unbegotten God, or a part of him, let him be anathema. If any one shall understand the words (Gen. xix. 24), '*The Lord rained from the Lord*,'* not in relation to the Father and the Son, but shall say that God rained from himself, let him be anathema: for the Lord the Son rained from the Lord the Father. If any one hearing *the Lord the Father, and the Lord the Son*, shall term both the Father and the Son Lord, and saying *the Lord from the Lord* shall assert that there are two Gods, let him be anathema. For we rank not the Son with the Father, but conceive him to be subordinate to the Father. For he neither came down to Sodom† without his Father's will; nor did he rain from himself, but from the Lord (i. e. the Father) who exercises supreme authority: nor does he sit at the Father's right hand of himself, but in obedience to the Father saying, '*Sit thou at my right hand*' (Ps. cx. 1). If any one should say that the Father, Son, and Holy Spirit are one person,‡ let him be anathema. If any one speaking of the Holy Spirit the Comforter, shall call him the unbegotten God, let him be anathema. If any one asserts that the Comforter is none other than the Son, when he has himself said, '*the Father, whom I will ask, shall send you another Comforter*' (John xiv. 16), let him be anathema. If any one affirm that the Spirit is part of the Father and of the Son, let him be anathema. If any one say that the Father, Son, and Holy Spirit are three Gods, let him be anathema. If any one

* The original has *ἡ ἡ* in both cases.

† Athanasius has *ἐπὶ Σόδομα*, not *εἰς σῶμα*.

‡ *Πρόσωπον*.

say that the Son of God was made as one of the creatures by the will of God, let him be anathema. If any one shall say that the Son was begotten against the Father's will, let him be anathema: for the Father did not, as compelled by any natural necessity, beget the Son at a time when he was unwilling; but as soon as it pleased him, he has declared that of himself without time and without passion, he begat him. Should any one say that the Son is unbegotten, and without beginning, intimating that there are two without beginning, and unbegotten, so making two Gods, let him be anathema: for the Son is the head and beginning of all things; but '*the head of Christ is God*' (1 Cor. xi. 3). Thus we devoutly trace up* all things by the Son to one source of all things who is without beginning. Moreover to give an accurate conception of Christian doctrine, we again say, that if any one shall not declare Christ Jesus to have been the Son of God before all ages, and to have ministered to the Father in the creation of all things; but shall affirm that from the time only when he was born of Mary, was he called the Son and Christ, and that he then received the commencement of his divinity,† let him be anathema, as the Samosatani.

ANOTHER EXPOSITION OF THE FAITH SET FORTH AT
SIRMIMUM IN LATIN, AND AFTERWARDS TRANSLATED
INTO GREEK.

“ Since there appears to have been some misunderstanding respecting the faith, all points have been carefully investigated and discussed at Sirmium, in presence of Valens, Ursacius, Germinius, and others. It

* Ἀνάγομεν.

† Τοῦ Θεοῦ εἶναι.

is evident that there is one God, the Father Almighty, according as it is declared over the whole world; and his only-begotten Son Jesus Christ, our Lord, God, and Saviour, begotten of him before the ages. But we ought not to say that there are two Gods, since the Lord himself has said (John xx. 17), '*I go unto my Father and your Father, and unto my God and your God.*' Therefore he is God even of all, as the apostle also taught (Rom. iii. 29, 30), '*Is he the God of the Jews only? Is he not also of the Gentiles? Yea of the Gentiles also; seeing that it is one God who shall justify the circumcision by faith.*' And in all other matters there is agreement, nor is there any ambiguity. But since very many have been troubled about that which is termed *substantia* in Latin, and *οὐσία* in Greek; that is to say, in order to mark the sense more accurately, the word *ὁμοούσιον*,* or *ὁμοιούσιον*,† it is altogether desirable that none of these terms should be mentioned: nor should they be preached on in the church, for this reason, that nothing is recorded concerning them in the holy Scriptures; and because these things are above the knowledge of mankind and human capacity, and that no one can explain the Son's generation,‡ of which it is written (Isa. liii. 8), '*And who shall declare his generation?*' It is manifest that the Father only knows in what way he begat the Son; and again the Son, how he was begotten by the Father. But no one can doubt that the Father is greater in honour, dignity, and divinity, and in the very name of Father; the Son himself testifying (John xiv. 28), '*My Father*

* Of the *same* substance or essence.

† Of the *like* substance or essence.

‡ Γενεάν.

is greater than I.' And no one is ignorant of this catholic doctrine, that there are two persons of the Father and Son, and that the Father is the greater: but that the Son is subject, together with all things which the Father has subjected to him. That the Father had no beginning, and is invisible, immortal, and impassible:* but that the Son was begotten of the Father, God of God, Light of Light; and that no one comprehends his generation, as was before said, but the Father alone. That the Son himself, our Lord and God, took flesh or a body, that is to say human† nature, according as the angel brought glad tidings of: and as the whole Scriptures teach, and especially the apostle who was the great teacher of the Gentiles, Christ assumed the human nature through which he suffered, from the Virgin Mary. But the summary and confirmation of the entire faith is, that the doctrine of the Trinity should be always maintained, according as we read in the gospel (Mat. xxviii. 19), '*Go ye and disciple all nations, baptizing them in the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Spirit.*' Thus the number of the Trinity is complete and perfect. Now the Holy Spirit, the Comforter sent by the Son, came according to his promise, in order to sanctify and instruct the apostles and all believers."

They endeavoured to induce Photinus, even after his deposition, to assent to and subscribe these things, promising to restore him his bishopric, if by recantation he would anathematize the dogma he had invented, and adopt their opinion. But instead of

* Ἀπαθῆ.

† Ἀνθρωπον.

accepting their proposal, he challenged them to a disputation: and a day being appointed by the emperor's arrangement, the bishops who were there present assembled, and not a few of the senators, whom the emperor had directed to attend the discussion. In their presence, Basil, who at that time presided over the church at Ancyra, opposed Photinus, notaries* writing down their respective speeches. The conflict of arguments on both sides was extremely severe; but Photinus having been worsted, was condemned, and spent the rest of his life in exile, during which time he composed a treatise in both languages† (for he was not unskilled in Latin) against all heresies, and in favour of his own views. But the bishops who were convened at Sirmium, were afterwards dissatisfied with that form of the creed which had been promulgated by them in Latin: for after its publication, it appeared to them to contain many contradictions. They therefore endeavoured to get it back again from the transcribers; but inasmuch as many secreted it, the emperor by his edicts commanded that all the copies of it should be sought for, threatening punishment to any one who should be detected concealing them. These menaces however were incapable of suppressing what had already fallen into the hands of many.

CHAPTER XXXI.

OF HOSIUS BISHOP OF CORDOVA.

SINCE we have observed that Hosius the Spaniard was present at the council of Sirmium against his

* Ὁξυγράφων.

† Greek and Latin.

will, it is necessary to give some farther account of him. This prelate had but a short time before been sent into exile by the intrigues of the Arians: but at the earnest solicitation of those convened at Sirmium, the emperor summoned him thither, with the design either of influencing him by persuasion, or of compelling him by force, to give his sanction to their proceedings; for if this could be effected, they considered it would give great authority to their sentiments. On this occasion therefore he was most unwillingly obliged to be present: and when he refused to concur with them, stripes and tortures were inflicted on the old man, until they had constrained him to acquiesce in and subscribe their exposition of the faith. Such was the issue of affairs at that time transacted at Sirmium. But the emperor Constantius after these things still continued to reside at that place, awaiting there the result of the operations against Magnentius.

CHAPTER XXXII.

OVERTHROW OF THE TYRANT MAGNENTIUS.

MAGNENTIUS in the interim having made himself master of the imperial city Rome, put to death many of the senatorial order, as well as of the populace. But as soon as the commanders under Constantius had collected an army of Romans, and commenced their march against him, he left Rome, and retired into the Gallias. There several battles were fought, sometimes to the advantage of one party, and sometimes to that of the other: but at last Magnentius having

- been defeated near Mursa, a fortress of the Gallias, was there closely besieged. In this place the following remarkable incident is said to have occurred. Magnentius desiring to arouse the courage of his soldiers who were disheartened by their late overthrow, ascended a lofty tribunal for this purpose. They wishing to receive him with such acclamations as emperors are usually greeted with, contrary to their intention simultaneously shouted the name, not of Magnentius, but of Constantius Augustus. Regarding this as an omen * wholly unfavourable to himself, Magnentius immediately withdrew from the fortress, and retreated to the remotest parts of Gaul, whither he was closely pursued by the generals of Constantius. An engagement having again taken place near Mount Seleucus,† Magnentius was totally routed, and fled alone to Lyons a city of Gaul, which is distant three days journey from the fortress at Myrsa. Magnentius having reached this city, first slew his own mother; then having killed his brother also, whom he had created Cæsar, he at last committed suicide by falling on his own sword. This happened in the sixth consulate of Constantius, and the second of Constantius Gallus, on the fifteenth‡ day of August. Not long after, another brother of Magnentius named Decentius, put an end to his own life by hanging§ himself. Such was the issue of the ambitious enterprises of Magnentius, whose death however did not restore the affairs of the empire to perfect tranquillity; for soon after this another tyrant arose whose name

* Σύμβολον.

† Μιλτοσέλευκος: in the Allat. MS. Μοντοσέλευκος.

‡ The date is different in Idatius.

§ 'Αγχόνη χρησάμενος.

was Silvanus: but the generals of Constantius speedily destroyed him, whilst raising disturbances in Gaul.

CHAPTER XXXIII.

OF THE JEWS INHABITING DIO CÆSAREA IN PALESTINE.

ABOUT the same time there arose another intestine commotion in the East: for the Jews who inhabited Dio Cæsarea in Palestine having taken arms against the Romans, began to ravage the adjacent places. But Gallus who was also called Constantius, whom the emperor, after creating Cæsar, had sent into the East, despatched an army against them, whereby they were completely vanquished: after which their city Dio Cæsarea was by his order totally destroyed.

CHAPTER XXXIV.

OF GALLUS CÆSAR.

GALLUS having accomplished these things, was unable to bear his success with moderation; but forthwith attempted innovations on the authority of him who had constituted him Cæsar, himself aspiring to the sovereign power. His purpose was however soon detected by Constantius: for he had dared to put to death on his own responsibility* Domitian at that time Prætorian præfect of the East, and Magnus the quæstor, because they had disclosed his designs to the emperor. Constantius extremely incensed at this conduct, summoned Gallus to his presence, who

* *Αὐθεντήσας.*

being in great terror went very reluctantly; and when he arrived in the western parts, and had reached the island of Flanona, Constantius ordered him to be slain. But not long after he created Julian, the brother of Gallus, Cæsar, and sent him against the barbarians in Gaul. It was in the seventh consulate of the emperor Constantius that Gallus was slain, when he himself was a third time consul: and Julian, of whom we shall make farther mention in the next book, was created Cæsar on the 6th of November in the following year, when Arbition and Lollian were consuls. When Constantius was thus relieved from the disquietudes which had occupied him, his attention was again directed to ecclesiastical contentions. Going therefore from Sirmium to the imperial city Rome, he again appointed a Synod of bishops, summoning some of the Eastern prelates to hasten into Italy,* and commanding those of the West to meet them there. While preparations were making for this purpose, Julius bishop of Rome died, after having presided over the church in that place fifteen years, and was succeeded in the episcopal dignity by Liberius.

CHAPTER XXXV.

OF AËTIUS THE SYRIAN, MASTER OF EUNOMIUS.

AT Antioch in Syria another heresiarch sprang up, Aëtius surnamed Atheus. He agreed in doctrine with Arius, and maintained the same opinions: but

* The text has Γαλλίαν, but in the codex of Leon Allatius it is rightly Ἰταλίαν.

separated himself from the Arian party, because they had admitted Arius into communion. For Arius, as we have before related, entertaining one opinion in his heart, professed another with his lips; having both hypocritically assented to and subscribed the form of faith set forth at the council of Nice, in order to deceive the reigning emperor. On this account therefore Aëtius separated himself from the Arians, although he had previously been a heretic, and a zealous advocate of Arian views. After receiving some very scanty instruction at Alexandria, on his return from thence, and arrival at Antioch in Syria, which was his native place, he was ordained deacon by Leontius, who was then bishop of that city. Upon this he began to astonish his auditors by the singularity of his discourses, which were constructed in dependence on the precepts of Aristotle's *Categories*, a book the scope of which he neither himself perceived, nor had been enlightened on by intercourse with learned persons: so that he was little aware that he was framing fallacious arguments to perplex and deceive himself. For Aristotle had composed this work to exercise the ingenuity of his young disciples, and to confound by subtile arguments the sophists who affected to deride philosophy. Wherefore the Ephectic academicians* who expound the writings of Plato and Photius, censure the vain subtilty which Aristotle has displayed in that book: but Aëtius who never had the advantage of an academical preceptor, adhered to the sophisms of the *Categories*. For this reason he was unable to comprehend how there could be generation without a

* Not the *Dogmatici*, but the *Sceptics*, who doubted everything.

beginning, and how that which was begotten can be co-eternal* with him who begat. In fact Aëtius was a man of very superficial attainments, very little acquainted with the sacred Scriptures, and extremely fond of cavilling, a thing which any clown might do. Nor had he ever carefully studied those ancient writers who have interpreted the Christian oracles; wholly rejecting Clemens, Africanus, and Origen, men eminent for their information in every department of literature and science. But he composed epistles both to the emperor Constantius, and to some other persons, wherein he interwove tedious disputes for the purpose of displaying his sophisms. He has therefore been surnamed Atheus.† But although his doctrinal statements were similar to those of the Arians, yet from the abstruse nature of his syllogisms, which they were unable to comprehend, they pronounced him a heretic. Being for that reason expelled from their church, he pretended to have separated himself from their communion. Even in the present day there are to be found some who from him were formerly named Aëtians, but now Eunomians. For Eunomius, who had been his secretary,‡ having been instructed by his master in this heretical mode of reasoning, afterwards became the head of that sect. But of Eunomius we shall speak more fully in the proper place.

* Συναϊδιον.

† Ἄθεος, the atheist.

‡ Ταχυγράφος.

CHAPTER XXXVI.

OF THE SYNOD AT MILAN.*

WHEN the bishops met in Italy, very few from the East were present, most of them being hindered from coming either by the infirmities of age or by the distance; but of the West there were more than three hundred. Being assembled at Milan, according to the emperor's order, the Eastern prelates opened the Synod by calling upon those convened to pass an unanimous sentence of condemnation against Athanasius; with this object in view, that he might thenceforward be utterly shut out from Alexandria. But Paulinus bishop of Trevest† in Gaul, Dionysius of Alba, the metropolis of Italy, and Eusebius of Verceil, a city of Liguria‡ in Italy, perceiving that the Eastern bishops, by demanding a ratification of the sentence against Athanasius, were intent on subverting the faith, arose and loudly exclaimed that this proposition indicated a covert plot against the principles of Christian truth. For they insisted that the charges against Athanasius were unfounded, and merely invented by his accusers as a means of corrupting the faith. Having made this protest with much vehemence of manner, the congress of bishops was then dissolved.

* Μεδιολάνφ.

† Τριβέρεως, Trevirorum.

‡ Βρεκέλλων.

CHAPTER XXXVII.

OF THE SYNOD AT RIMINI, AND THE CREED THERE
PUBLISHED.

THE emperor on being apprised of what had taken place, sent these three bishops into exile; and determined to convene a general council, that by drawing all the Eastern bishops into the West, he might if possible bring them all to unity of judgment. But when, on consideration, the length of the journey seemed to present serious obstacles, he directed that the Synod should consist of two divisions; permitting those present at Milan to meet at Rimini in Italy: but the Eastern bishops were instructed by his letters to assemble at Nicomedia in Bithynia. The emperor's object in these arrangements was to effect a general coincidence of opinion; but the issue was contrary to his expectation. For neither of the Synods was in harmony with itself, but each was divided into opposing factions: those convened at Rimini could not agree with one another; and the Eastern bishops assembled at Seleucia in Isauria made another schism. The details of what took place in both will be given in the course of our history, but we shall first make a few observations on Eudoxius. About that time Leontius died, who had ordained the heretic Aëtius deacon: and Eudoxius bishop of Germanicia in Syria, who was then at Rome, thinking no time was to be lost, speciously represented to the emperor that the city over which he presided was in need of his counsel and care, and

requested permission to return there immediately. This the emperor readily acceded to, having no suspicion of a clandestine purpose: and he having obtained some of the principal officers of the emperor's bed chamber to assist him, deserted his own diocese, and fraudulently installed himself in the see of Antioch. His first act there was an attempt to restore Aëtius to his office of deacon, of which he had been divested; and he accordingly convened a council of bishops for that purpose. But his wishes in this respect were baffled, for the odium with which Aëtius was regarded, was more prevalent than the exertions of Eudoxius in his favour. When the bishops were assembled at Rimini, those from the East declared that they were willing to forego all reference to the case of Athanasius: a resolution that was zealously supported by Ursacius and Valens, who had formerly maintained the tenets of Arius; but their disposition being always to identify themselves with the strongest side, they had afterwards presented a recantation of their opinion to the bishop of Rome, and publicly avowed their assent to the doctrine of consubstantiality. Germinius, Auxentius, Demophilus and Gaius made the same declaration in reference to Athanasius. When therefore some endeavoured to propose one thing in the convocation of bishops, and some another, Ursacius and Valens said that all former draughts of the creed ought to be considered as set aside, and the last alone, which had been prepared at their late convention at Sirmium, regarded as authorized. They then caused to be read a schedule which they held in their hands, containing another form of the creed: this had indeed

been drawn up at Sirmium, but had been kept concealed, as we have before observed, until their present publication of it at Rimini. Its contents, translated from the Latin into Greek, were these.

“The catholic faith was expounded at Sirmium in presence of our lord Constantius, in the consulate of the most illustrious Flavius Eusebius, and Hypatius, on the twenty-third May.

“We believe in one only and true God, the Father Almighty, the Creator and Framer of all things: and in one only-begotten Son of God, begotten without passion, before all ages, before all beginning, before all conceivable time, and before all comprehensible thought: by whom the ages were framed,* and all things made: who was begotten the only-begotten of the Father, only of only, God of God, like to the Father who begat him, according to the Scriptures: whose generation no one knows, but the Father only who begat him. We know that this his only-begotten Son came down from the heavens by his Father’s appointment for the putting away of sin, was born of the Virgin Mary, conversed with his disciples, and fulfilled every dispensation according to the Father’s will: was crucified and died, and descended into the lower parts† of the earth, and disposed‡ matters there; at the sight of whom the door-keepers of Hades§ trembled: having arisen on the third day, he again conversed with his disciples, and after forty days were completed he ascended into the heavens,

* Κατηρίσθησαν.

† Καρχθόνια. The word *καρχθονίων* seems to be used in Phil. ii. 10, to denote *departed souls*.

‡ Οικονομήσαντα.

§ Ἄδου.

and is seated at the Father's right hand; and at the last day he will come in his Father's glory, to render to every one according to his works. We believe also in the Holy Spirit, whom the only-begotten Son of God Jesus Christ himself promised to send to the human race as the Comforter, according to that which is written: '*I go away to my Father, and will ask him, and he will send you another Comforter, the Spirit of truth. He shall receive of mine, and shall teach you, and bring all things to your remembrance.*' As for the term *substance*,* which was used by our fathers for the sake of greater simplicity, but not being understood by the people has caused offence on account of its not being contained in the Scriptures; it seemed desirable that it should be wholly abolished, and that in future no mention should be made of substance in reference to God, since the divine Scriptures have nowhere spoken concerning the substance of the Father and the Son. But we say that the Son is in all things *like*† the Father, as the Holy Scriptures affirm and teach."

These statements having been read, those who were dissatisfied with them rose and said: "We came not hither because we were in want of a creed; for we preserve inviolate that which we received from the beginning: but we are here met to repress any innovation upon it which may have been made. If therefore what has been recited introduces no novelties, now openly anathematize the Arian heresy, in the same manner as the ancient canon of the church has

* Οὐσία.

† Ὁμοιον.

rejected all heresies as blasphemous: for it is evident to the whole world that the impious dogma of Arius has excited the disturbances of the church, and the troubles which exist until now." This proposition not being acceded to by Ursacius, Valens, Germinius, Auxentius, Demophilus, and Gaius, the church was rent asunder by a complete division: for these prelates adhered to what had then been recited in the Synod of Rimini; while the others again confirmed the Nicene Creed. The inscription at the head of the creed that had been read was greatly derided, and especially by Athanasius in a letter which he sent to his friends, wherein he thus expresses himself.

"What point of doctrine was wanting to the piety of the catholic church, that they should now make an investigation respecting the faith, and prefix moreover the consulate of the present times to their published exposition of it? For Ursacius, Valens, and Germinius have done what was neither done, nor even heard of at any time before among Christians: having composed a creed such as they themselves are willing to believe, they preface it with the consulate, month, and day of the present time, in order to prove to all discerning persons that theirs is not the ancient faith, but such as was originated under the reign of the present emperor Constantius. Moreover they have written all things with a view to their own heresy: and besides this, affecting to write respecting the Lord, they name another Lord as theirs, even Constantius, who has countenanced their impiety, so that those who deny the Son to be eternal, have styled him eternal emperor. Thus are they proved to be the enemies of Christ by their profanity. But per-

haps the holy prophets' record* of time afforded them a precedent for noticing the consulate! Now should they presume to make this pretext, they would most glaringly expose their own ignorance. The prophecies of these holy men do indeed mark the times. Isaiah and Hosea lived in the days of Uzziah, Joatham, Ahaz, and Hezekiah (Isai. i. 2; Hos. i. 1); Jeremiah in the time of Josiah (Jer. i. 2); Ezekiel and Daniel in the reign of Cyrus and Darius; and others uttered their predictions in other times: but they did not then lay the foundations of religion. That was in existence before them, and always was, even before the creation of the world, God having prepared it for us in Christ. Nor did they designate the commencement of their own faith; for they were themselves men of faith previously: but they signify the times of the promises given through them. Now the promises primarily referred to our Saviour's advent; and all that was foretold respecting the course of future events in relation to Israel and the Gentiles was merely collateral and subordinate. Hence the periods mentioned, indicated not the beginning of their faith, as I before observed, but the times in which these prophets lived and foretold such things. But these sages of our day, who neither compile histories, nor predict future events, after writing *The Catholic Faith was published*, immediately add the Consulate, with the month and the day: and as the holy prophets wrote the date of their records and of their own ministration, so these men intimate the era of their own faith. And would that they had written concerning *their own* faith only, since they have now

* Χρονογραφία.

begun to believe, and had not undertaken to write respecting the *Catholic* faith. For they have not written *Thus we believe*; but *The Catholic Faith was published*. The temerity of purpose herein manifested argues their impiety;* while the novelty of expression found in the document they have concocted assimilates it with the Arian heresy. By writing in this manner, they have declared when they themselves began to believe, and from what time they wish it to be understood their faith was first preached. And just as when the evangelist Luke says, '*A decree of enrolment† was published,*' he speaks of an edict which was not in existence before, but came into operation at that time, and was published by him who had written it; so these men by writing *The faith has now been published*, have declared that the tenets of their heresy are of modern invention, and did not exist in former times. But since they apply the term *Catholic* to it, they seem to have unconsciously fallen into the extravagant assumption of the Cataphrygians,‡ asserting even as they did, that *the Christian faith was first revealed to us, and commenced with us*. And as those termed Maximilla and Montanus, so these style Constantius their Lord, instead of Christ. But if according to them, the faith had its beginning from the present consulate, what will the fathers, and the blessed martyrs do? Moreover what will they themselves do with those who were instructed§ in religious principles by them, and died before this consulate? By what means will they recall them to life, in order to obliterate from their minds what they seemed to

* Ἀθεΐαν.

† Ἀπογραφῆς δόγμα, edictum de censu.

‡ Τῶν ἀπὸ Φρυγίας.

§ Κατηχηθέντας.

have taught them, and to implant in its stead those new discoveries of theirs? So stupid are they as to be only capable of framing pretences, and these such as are presumptuous and unreasonable, and carry with them their own refutation."

Athanasius wrote thus to his friends: and the learned who may read through his whole epistle will perceive how powerfully he treats the subject; but for brevity's sake we have here inserted a part only. Valens, Ursacius, Auxentius, Germinius, Gaius, and Demophilus, were deposed by the Synod, for refusing to anathematize the Arian doctrine; who being very indignant at their deposition, hastened directly to the emperor, carrying with them the exposition of faith which had been read in the Synod. The council also acquainted the emperor with their determinations in a communication which translated from the Latin into Greek, was to the following effect.

EPISTLE* OF THE SYNOD OF RIMINI TO THE EMPEROR
CONSTANTIUS.

"We believe that it was by the appointment of God, as well as at the command of your piety, that we Western bishops came out of various districts to Rimini, in order that the faith of the catholic church might be made manifest to all, and that heretics might be detected. For on a considerate review by us of all points, our decision has been to adhere to the ancient faith which we have received from the prophets, the gospels, the apostles, from God himself,

* We have here followed Valesius, who gives from Hilary the original Latin copy, from which the Greek version differs considerably.

and our Lord Jesus Christ, the guardian of your empire, and the protector of your person, which faith also we have always maintained. We conceived that it would be unwarrantable and impious to mutilate any of those things which have been justly and solemnly ratified, by those who sat in the Nicene council with Constantine of glorious memory, the father of your piety. What was then determined has been made public, and infused into the minds of the people; and it is found to be so powerfully opposed to the Arian heresy which then sprang up, as not to subdue it only, but also all others. Should therefore any thing be taken away from what was at that time established, a passage would be opened to the poisonous doctrine of heretics.

“These matters having been strictly investigated and the creed drawn up in the presence of Constantine, who after being baptized, departed to God’s rest in the faith of it; we regard as an abomination any infringement thereon, or any attempt to invalidate the authority of so many saints, confessors, and successors of the martyrs, who assisted at that council, and themselves preserved inviolate all the determinations of the ancient writers of the catholic church: whose faith has remained unto these times in which your piety has received from God the Father, through Jesus Christ our God and Lord, the power of ruling the world.

“Ursacius and Valens being heretofore suspected of entertaining Arian sentiments, were suspended from communion: but on making an apology, as their written recantation attests, they obtained pardon from the council of Milan, at which the legates of the

Church of Rome were present. Yet have these infatuated beings, endued with an unhappy disposition, again had the temerity to declare themselves the propagators of false doctrine; and even now they endeavour to shake what has been in great wisdom established. For when the letters of your piety had ordered us to assemble for the examination of the faith, these troublers of the churches, supported by Germinius, Auxentius, and Gaius, presented for consideration a new creed, containing much unsound doctrine. But when the exposition they thus publicly brought forward in the council met with general disapprobation, they thought it should be otherwise expressed: and indeed it is notorious that they have often changed their sentiments within a short time. Lest therefore the churches should be more frequently disturbed, it was decreed that the ancient sanctions should be ratified and maintained inviolable; and moreover that the aforesaid persons should be excommunicated. We have accordingly directed our legates to inform your clemency of these things, and to present our letter in which the decisions of the council are announced. To them also this special charge has been given, that they should not otherwise execute their commission, than that the ancient ordinances should continue firmly established: and also to assure your wisdom that peace could not be secured by some slight alteration, such as Valens, Ursacius, Germinius, and Gaius subsequently proposed. For how can peace be preserved by those who are ever seeking to subvert it, who have filled all regions, and especially the church of Rome with confusion? Wherefore we beseech your clemency to propitiously regard, and

favourably listen to our deputies: and not to permit anything to be reversed to the prejudice of the ancient faith, but to cause that those truths may remain unimpaired which we have received from our ancestors, whom we know to have been prudent men, and who did not act otherwise than in subjection to the Holy Spirit of God. Because not only are the believing people distracted by these novel doctrines, but infidels also are turned aside from embracing the faith. We farther entreat you to order that the bishops who are detained at Rimini, among whom are many that are wasted by age and poverty, may return to their several provinces; lest the members of their churches should suffer from the absence of their bishops. But we pray still more earnestly that no innovation may be made on the faith, and nothing abstracted; but that those principles may continue unvitiated which were recognized in the times of the father of your sacred piety, and have been transmitted to your own religious age. Let not your holy prudence suffer us in future to be exhausted by fatigue, and torn from our sees: but permit the bishops to dwell with their people free from contentions, that they may uninterruptedly offer up supplications for the safety of your person, for the prosperity of your reign, and for peace, which may the Deity grant, according to your merits, to be profound and perpetual. Our legates will present your sacred and religious prudence another document, containing the names and signatures of all the bishops or their deputies."

The Synod having thus written, sent their com-

munications to the emperor by the bishops selected for that purpose. But Ursacius and Valens having arrived before them, did their utmost to calumniate the council, exhibiting the exposition of the faith which they had brought with them: and as the mind of this prince had long been infected with Arian sentiments, he became extremely exasperated against the Synod, but conferred great honour on Valens and Ursacius. Those deputed by the council were consequently detained a considerable time, without being able to obtain an answer: at length however the emperor replied through those who had come to him, in the manner following:—

“Constantius Victor and Triumphator Augustus to all the bishops convened at Rimini.

“That our especial care is ever exercised respecting the divine and venerated law even your sanctity* is not ignorant. Nevertheless we have hitherto been unable to give an audience to the twenty bishops who undertook the part of a deputation from you, inasmuch as preparations for an expedition against the barbarians have wholly engrossed our attention. And since, as you will admit, matters relative to the divine law ought to be entered on with a mind free from all anxiety, I have therefore ordered these prelates to await our return to Adrianople; that when public business shall have been duly attended to, we may then give our consideration to what they shall propose. In the interim let it not seem troublesome to your gravity† to wait for their return; since when they shall convey to you our resolution, you will be prepared to carry into effect such measures as may

* Χρηστότης.

† Σεβήπότης.

be most advantageous to the welfare of the catholic church.”

The bishops on the receipt of this letter wrote thus in reply:—

“We have received your clemency’s letter, sovereign lord most beloved of God, in which you inform us that the exigences of state affairs have hitherto prevented your admitting our legates to your presence: and you bid us await their return, until your piety shall have learnt from them what has been determined on by us in conformity with the tradition of our ancestors. But we again protest by this letter that we can by no means depart from our primary resolution; and this also we have commissioned our deputies to state. We beseech you therefore, both with unruffled countenance to order this present epistle of our modesty* to be read; and also to listen benignantly to the representations with which our legates have been charged. Your mildness† doubtless perceives, as well as we, to how great an extent grief and sadness prevail, because of so many churches being bereft of their bishops in these most blessed times of yours. Again therefore we entreat your clemency,‡ sovereign lord most dear to God, to command us to return to our churches, if it please your piety, before the rigour of winter; in order that we may be enabled, in conjunction with the people, to offer up our solemn prayers to Almighty God, and to our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ, his only begotten Son, for the prosperity of your reign, as we have always done, and now desire to do.”

* Μετρίότητος.

† Ἡμερότης.

‡ Φιλανθρωπίαν.

The bishops having waited together some time after this letter had been despatched, without the emperor's deigning to reply, departed to their respective cities. Now it had long before been the emperor's intention to disseminate Arian doctrine throughout the churches; which he then being anxious to accomplish so as to give it pre-eminence, pretended their departure was an act of contumely, declaring they had treated him with contempt by dissolving the council in opposition to his wishes. He therefore gave the partisans of Ursacius unbounded licence of acting as they pleased in regard to the churches: and directed that the form of creed which had been read at Rimini, should be sent to the churches throughout Italy; ordering that whoever would not subscribe it should be ejected from their sees, and others substituted in their place. Liberius bishop of Rome, having refused his assent to that creed, was the first who was sent into exile; the adherents of Ursacius appointing Felix to succeed him, who had been a deacon in that church, but on embracing the Arian heresy was elevated to the episcopate. Some however assert that he was not favourable to that opinion, but was constrained by force to receive the ordination of bishop. After this all parts of the West were filled with agitation and tumult, some being ejected and banished, and others established in their stead; these things being effected by violence, on the authority of the imperial edicts, which were also sent into the eastern parts. Not long after indeed Liberius was recalled, and reinstated in his see; for the people of Rome having raised a sedition, and expelled Felix from their church, Constantius deemed it inexpedient

to further provoke the popular fury. The Ursacian faction quitting Italy, passed through the eastern parts; and arriving at Nice a city of Thrace, they there held another Synod, where after translating the form of faith which was read at Rimini into Greek, they confirmed and published it afresh, as the one that had been dictated at the general council. In this way they attempted to deceive the more simple by the similarity of names, and to impose upon them as the creed promulgated at Nice* in Bithynia, that which they had prepared at Nice† in Thrace. But this artifice was of little advantage to them; for being soon detected, it exposed them to the contempt and derision of all men. With this we close our account of the transactions which took place in the West: we shall now proceed to state what was done in the East.

CHAPTER XXXVIII.

CRUELTY OF MACEDONIUS, AND TUMULTS RAISED BY HIM.

THE bishops of the Arian party assumed greater assurance from the imperial edicts. For what reason they undertook to convene a Synod, we will explain, after having briefly mentioned a few of their acts previously. Acacius and Patrophilus having ejected Maximus bishop of Jerusalem, installed Cyril in his see. Macedonius subverted the order of things in the cities and provinces adjacent to Constantinople, promoting to ecclesiastical honours the assistants of his machinations against the churches. He ordained

* Νικαία.

† Νίκη.

Eleusius bishop of Cyzicum, and Marathionius bishop of Nicomedia: the latter had before been a deacon under Macedonius himself, and had been very active in founding monasteries both of men and women. But we shall now mention in what way Macedonius, after having again possessed himself of the prelacy by the means before stated, desolated the churches around Constantinople, and inflicted innumerable calamities on such as were unwilling to adopt his views. His persecutions were not confined to those who were recognized as members of the catholic church, but extended to the Novatians also, inasmuch as they maintained the doctrine of *consubstantiality* ;* they therefore with the others underwent the most intolerable sufferings, but Angelius their bishop effected his escape by flight. Many persons eminent for their piety were seized and tortured, because they refused to communicate with him: and after being subjected to the torture, they were forcibly constrained to be partakers of the holy mysteries,† their mouths being forced open with a piece of wood, and then the consecrated elements thrust into them. Those who were so treated regarded this as a punishment far more grievous than all others. Moreover they laid hold of women and children, and compelled them to be initiated‡ by baptism: and if any one resisted or otherwise spoke against it, stripes immediately followed, with bonds, imprisonment, and other violent measures. I shall here relate an instance or two whereby the reader may form some idea of the extent of the barbarity exercised by Macedonius and those who were then in power. They first pressed in

* Τὸ ὁμοούσιον.

† Μυστήρια.

‡ Μνεῖσθαι.

a box* and then sawed off, the breasts of such women as were unwilling to communicate with them. The same parts of the persons of other women they burnt partly with iron, and partly with eggs intensely heated in the fire: a mode of torture which was never practised even among the heathen, but was invented by those who professed to be Christians. These facts were related to me by the aged Auxano, the presbyter in the Novatian church of whom I spoke in the first book. He said also that he had himself endured great severities from the Arians, prior to his receiving the dignity of presbyter; having been thrown into prison and beaten with many stripes, together with Alexander Paphlagon, his companion in the monastic life. He added that he was himself enabled to sustain these tortures, but that Alexander died in prison from the effects of their infliction. His tomb is still visible on the right of those sailing into the bay† of Constantinople which is called Ceras, close by the rivers, where there is a church of the Novatians bearing Alexander's name. Moreover the Arians, at the instigation of Maccdonius, demolished with many other churches in various cities, that of the Novatians at Constantinople near Pelargus. Why I particularly mention this church, will be seen from the extraordinary circumstances connected with it, as testified by the same venerable informant. The emperor's edict and the violence of Macedonius had doomed to destruction the churches of those who maintained the doctrine of consubstantiality; and not only was the ruin of this church threatened, but those also who were charged with the

* Κιβωτῶ.

† Βυζάντιον κόλπον.

execution of the mandate were at hand to carry it into effect. The zeal displayed by the Novatians on this occasion, as well as the sympathy they experienced from those whom the Arians at that time ejected, but who are now in peaceful possession of their churches, cannot be too highly admired. For when the emissaries of their enemies were urgent to accomplish its destruction, an immense multitude of Novatians, aided by numbers of others who held similar sentiments, having assembled around this devoted church, pulled it down, and conveyed the materials of it to Sycæ, which stands opposite the city, and forms its thirteenth ward.* This removal was effected in a very short time, from the extraordinary ardour of the numerous persons engaged in it: one carried tiles, another stones, a third timber; some loading themselves with one thing, and some another. Even women and children assisted in the work, regarding it as the realization of their best wishes, and esteeming it the greatest honour to be accounted the faithful guardians of things consecrated to God. In this way was the church of the Novatians transported to Sycæ: when however Constantius was dead, the emperor Julian ordered its former site to be restored, and permitted them to rebuild it there. The people therefore, as before, having carried back the materials, reared the church in its former position; and from this circumstance, and its great improvement in structure and ornament, they not inappropriately called it *Anastasia*.† This was done, as we before said, in the reign of Julian. But at that time both the catholics‡ and the Novatians were alike

* Κλίμα.

† i. e. *Resurrection*.

‡ Οὔτε τῆς ἐκκλησίας.

subjected to persecution: for the former abominated offering their devotions in those churches in which the Arians assembled, choosing rather to frequent the other three churches at Constantinople which belonged to the Novatians, and to engage in divine service with them. Indeed they would have been wholly united, had not the Novatians opposed this from regard to their ancient precepts. In other respects however, they mutually maintained such a degree of cordiality and affection, as to be ready to lay down their lives for one another: both parties were therefore persecuted indiscriminately, not only at Constantinople, but also in other provinces and cities. At Cyzicum, Eleusius the bishop of that place perpetrated the same kind of enormities against the Christians there, as Macedonius had done elsewhere, harassing and putting them to flight in all directions; and among other things he completely demolished the church of the Novatians at Cyzicum. But Macedonius consummated his wickedness in the following manner. Hearing that there was a great number of the Novatian sect in the province of Paphlagonia, and especially at Mantinium, and perceiving that such a numerous body could not be driven from their homes by ecclesiastics alone, he caused, by the emperor's permission, four companies of soldiers to be sent into Paphlagonia, that through dread of the military they might receive the Arian opinion. But those who inhabited Mantinium, animated to desperation by zeal for their religion, armed themselves with long reap-hooks, hatchets, and whatever weapon came to hand, and went forth to meet the troops; on which a conflict ensuing, many indeed of the Paphlagonians were slain, but nearly all the

soldiers were destroyed. I learnt these things from a countryman of Paphlagonia, who said that he was present at the engagement; and many others of that province corroborate this account. Such were the exploits of Macedonius on behalf of Christianity, consisting of murders, battles, incarcerations, and civil wars: proceedings which rendered him odious not only to the objects of his persecution, but even to his own party. He became obnoxious also to the emperor on these accounts, and particularly so from the circumstance I am about to relate. The church where the coffin lay that contained the relics of the emperor Constantine threatening to fall, so as to cause great alarm to those who had entered it, as well as to those who were accustomed to remain there for devotional purposes, Macedonius wished to remove the emperor's remains, lest the coffin should be injured by the ruins. The populace getting intelligence of this, endeavoured to prevent it, insisting that the emperor's bones should not be disturbed, as such a disinterment would be sacrilege: many however affirmed that its removal could not possibly injure the dead body, and thus two parties were formed on this question; such as held the doctrine of consubstantiality joining with those who opposed it on the ground of its impiety. Macedonius in total disregard of these prejudices, caused the emperor's remains to be transported to the church where those of the martyr Acacius lay. Whereupon a vast multitude rushed toward that edifice in two hostile divisions, which attacked one another with such fury, that great numbers lost their lives; and not only was the church-yard covered with gore, but the well also

which was in it overflowed with blood, which ran into the adjacent portico, and thence even into the very street. When the emperor was informed of this disastrous encounter, he was highly incensed against Macedonius, not only on account of the slaughter which he had occasioned, but especially because he had dared to remove his father's body without consulting him. Having therefore left the Cæsar Julian to take care of the Western parts, he himself set out for the East. How Macedonius was a short time afterwards deposed, and thus suffered a most inadequate punishment for his infamous crimes, I shall hereafter relate.

CHAPTER XXXIX.

OF THE SYNOD AT SELEUCIA, A CITY OF ISAURIA.

BUT I must now give an account of the other Synod, which the emperor's edict had convoked in the East, as a rival to that of Rimini. It was at first determined that the bishops should assemble at Nicomedia in Bithynia; but a great earthquake having nearly destroyed that city, prevented their being convened there. This happened in the consulate of Datian and Cerealis, on the 28th day of August. They therefore resolved to transfer the council to the neighbouring city of Nice;* but this plan was again altered, as it seemed more convenient to meet at Tarsus in Cilicia. Being dissatisfied with this arrangement also, they at last assembled themselves at

* Νίκαιαν.

Seleucia, surnamed Aspera,* a city of Isauria. This took place in the same year in which the council of Rimini was held, under the consulate of Eusebius and Hypatius, the number of those convened amounting to 160. There was present on this occasion Leonas, an officer of distinction attached to the imperial† household, before whom the emperor's edict had enjoined that the discussion respecting the faith should be entered into. Lauricius also, the commander-in-chief of the troops in Isauria, was ordered to be there, to supply the bishops with such things as they might require. In the presence of these personages therefore, the bishops were there convened on the 27th of the month of September, and immediately began a discussion respecting the public records,‡ notaries being present to write down what each might say. Those who desire to learn the particulars of the several speeches, will find copious details of them in the collection of Sabinus; but we shall only notice the more important heads. On the first day of their being convened, Leonas ordered each one to propose what he thought fit: but those present said that no question ought to be agitated in the absence of those prelates whose attendance there was expected; for Macedonius bishop of Constantinople, Basil of Ancyra, and some others who were apprehensive of an impeachment for their misconduct, had not made their appearance. Macedonius pleaded indisposition, as an excuse for non-attendance; Patrophilus pretended an ophthalmic affection, which made it needful that he should remain in the suburbs of Seleucia; and the rest offered various pretexts to

* Τραχεϊαν. † Ἐν τοῖς βασιλικοῖς ἐκφανῶν. ‡ Ὑπομνημάτων.

account for their absence. When however Leonas declared that the subjects which they had met to consider must be entered on, notwithstanding the absence of these persons, the bishops replied that they could not proceed to the discussion of any question, until the life and conduct of the parties accused had been investigated: for Cyril of Jerusalem, Eustathius of Sebastia in Armenia, and some others, had been charged with misconduct on various grounds long before. A sharp contest arose in consequence of this demur; some affirming that cognizance ought first to be taken of all such accusations, and others denying that anything whatever should have precedence of matters of faith. The emperor's orders contributed not a little to augment this dispute, inasmuch as he had, in different parts of his letter, inadvertently given contrary directions as to the priority of consideration of these points. A schism was thus made which divided the Seleucian council into two factions, one of which was headed by Acacius of Cæsarea in Palestine, George of Alexandria, Uranius of Tyre, and Eudoxius of Antioch, who were supported by only about thirty-two other bishops. Of the opposite party, which was by far the more numerous, the principal were George of Laodicea in Syria, Sophronius of Pompeiopolis in Paphlagonia, and Eleusius of Cyzicum. It being determined by the majority to examine doctrinal matters first, the party of Acacius openly opposed the Nicene Creed, and wished to introduce another instead of it. The other faction, which was considerably more numerous, concurred in all the decisions of the council of Nice, except its adoption of the term *Consubstantial*, to which it strongly objected. A keen

debate on this point immediately ensued, which was continued until evening, when Silvanus, who presided over the church at Tarsus, insisted with much vehemence of manner, that there was no need of a new exposition of the faith; but that it was their duty rather to confirm that which was published at Antioch, at the consecration of the church in that place. On this declaration, Acacius and his partisans privately withdrew from the council; while the others producing the creed composed at Antioch, read it, and then separated for that day. Assembling in the church of Seleucia on the day following, after having closed the doors, they again read the same creed, and ratified it by their signatures, the readers and deacons present signing it on behalf of certain absent bishops, who had intimated their acquiescence in its form.

CHAPTER XL.

ACACIUS BISHOP OF CÆSAREA DICTATES ANOTHER FORM OF THE CREED IN THE SYNOD AT SELEUCIA.

ACACIUS and his adherents loudly exclaimed against this act of covertly affixing their signatures when the church doors were closed; declaring that all such secret transactions were justly to be suspected, and had no validity whatever. These objections were prompted by another motive, as he was anxious to bring forward an exposition of the faith drawn up by himself, which he had already submitted to the governors Leonas and Lauricius, and was now intent on getting confirmed and established, instead of that which had been subscribed. The second day was thus

occupied with nothing else, but exertions on his part to effect this object. Leonas on the third day, endeavoured to produce an amicable meeting of both parties; Macedonius of Constantinople, and Basil of Ancyra having at length arrived. But when the Acacians found that both these persons had attached themselves to the opposite party, they refused to meet; saying that not only those who had before been deposed, but also such as were at present under any accusation, ought to be excluded from the assembly. After much cavilling on both sides, this opinion prevailed; and accordingly those who lay under any charge went out of the council, and the party of Acacius entered. Leonas then said that a document had been put into his hand by Acacius, to which he desired to call their attention: but he did not state that it was the draught of a creed, which in some particulars covertly, and in others unequivocally contradicted the former. Silence having been made, the bishops anticipating anything rather than what it actually was, the following creed composed by Acacius, together with its preamble, was read.

“ We having yesterday assembled by the emperor’s command at Seleucia, a city of Isauria, on the 27th day of September, exerted ourselves to the utmost, with all moderation, to preserve the peace of the church, and to determine doctrinal questions on prophetic and evangelical authority; so as to sanction nothing in the ecclesiastic confession of faith at variance with the sacred Scriptures, as our emperor Constantius most beloved of God has ordered. But inasmuch as certain individuals in the Synod have acted injuriously toward several of us, preventing

some from expressing their sentiments, and excluding others from the council against their wills; and at the same time have introduced such as have been deposed, and persons who were ordained contrary to the ecclesiastical canon, so that the Synod has presented a scene of tumult and disorder, of which the most illustrious Leonas the Comes, and the most eminent Lauricius governor of the province have been eye-witnesses, we are therefore under the necessity of making this declaration. Not that we repudiate the faith which was ratified at the consecration of the church at Antioch; for we give it our decided preference, because it received the concurrence of our fathers who were assembled there to consider some controverted points. Since however the terms *consubstantial*,* and of *like substance*,† have in time past troubled the minds of many, and still continue to disquiet them; and moreover that a new term has recently been coined by some who assert the *dissimilitude*‡ of the Son to the Father: we reject the first two, as expressions which are not found in the Scriptures; but we utterly anathematize the last, and regard such as countenance its use, as alienated from the church. We distinctly acknowledge the *likeness*§ of the Son to the Father, in accordance with what the apostle has declared concerning him (Col. i. 15), ‘*Who is the image of the invisible God.*’

“ We confess then, and believe in one God the Father Almighty, the Maker of heaven and earth, and of things visible and invisible. We believe also in his Son our Lord Jesus Christ, who was begotten of him without passion before all ages, God the Word,

* Ὁμοούσιον. † Ὁμοιούσιον. ‡ Ἀνόμοιον. § Ὁμοιον.

the only-begotten of God, the Light, the Life, the Truth, the Wisdom: by whom all things were made which are in the heavens and upon the earth, whether visible or invisible. We believe that he took flesh of the holy Virgin Mary, at the end* of the ages, in order to abolish sin; that he was made man, suffered for our sins, rose again, was taken up into the heavens, and sits at the right hand of the Father, whence he will come again in glory to judge the living and the dead. We believe moreover in the Holy Spirit, whom our Lord and Saviour has denominated the Comforter, and whom he sent to his disciples after his departure, according to his promise: by whom also he sanctifies all believers in the church, who are baptized in the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Ghost. Those who preach any thing contrary to this creed, we regard as alienated from the catholic church."

Such was the declaration of faith proposed by Acacius, and subscribed by himself and as many as adhered to his opinion, the number of whom we have already given. When this had been read, Sophronius bishop of Pompeiopolis in Paphlagonia, thus expressed himself:—"If to explain our own private opinion day after day, be received as the exposition of the faith, we shall never arrive at any accurate understanding of the truth." These were the words of Sophronius. And I firmly believe, that if the predecessors of these prelates, as well as their successors, had entertained similar sentiments in reference to the Nicene creed, all polemical debates would have been

* Συντελεία.

avoided, nor would the church have been agitated by such violent and irrational disturbances: nevertheless it is for the prudent to determine for themselves respecting these matters. After many remarks on all sides had been made both in reference to the doctrinal statement which had been recited, and in relation to the parties accused, the assembly was dissolved for that time. On the fourth day they all again met in the same place, and resumed their proceedings in the same contentious spirit as before. On this occasion Acacius expressed himself in these terms:—"Since the Nicene creed has been altered not once only, but frequently, there is no hindrance to our publishing another at this time." To which Eleusius bishop of Cyzicum replied—"The Synod is at present convened not to learn what it had a previous knowledge of, nor to receive a creed which it had not assented to before, but to confirm the faith of the fathers, from which it should never recede, either in life or death." Eleusius in thus opposing Acacius, meant by the faith of the fathers, that creed which had been promulgated at Antioch. But surely he too might have been fairly answered in this way:—"How is it, O Eleusius, that you call those convened at Antioch *the fathers*, seeing that you do not recognize those who were their fathers? The framers of the Nicene creed, by whom the Homoeousian faith was acknowledged, have a far higher claim to the title of *the fathers*; both as having the priority in point of time, and also because those assembled at Antioch were by them invested with the sacerdotal office. Now if those at Antioch have disowned their own fathers, those who follow them are unconsciously

following parricides. Besides how can they have received a legitimate ordination from those whose faith they pronounce unsound and impious? If those who constituted the Nicene Synod had not the Holy Spirit which is imparted by the imposition of hands, those at Antioch have not duly received the priesthood: for how could they have received it from those who had not the power of conferring it?" Such considerations as these might have been submitted to Eleusius in reply to his objections. They then proceeded to another question, connected with the assertion made by Acacius in his exposition of the faith, "that the Son was like the Father;" enquiring of one another in what this resemblance consisted. The Acacian party affirmed that the Son was like the Father as it respected his Will only, and not his *substance or essence*; but the rest maintained that the likeness extended to both essence and will. In altercations on this point, the whole day was consumed; and Acacius, being confuted by his own published works, in which he had asserted that "the Son is *in all things* like the Father," his opponents asked him how he could consistently deny the likeness of the Son to the Father as to his *essence*? Acacius in reply said, that no author, ancient or modern, was ever condemned out of his own writings. After pursuing their debate on this matter to a most tedious extent, with much acrimonious feeling and subtilty of argument, but without any approach to unity of judgment, Leonas arose and dissolved the council. Indeed this was properly the conclusion of the Synod at Seleucia: for Leonas on the following day was inflexible to their entreaties that he would again be present

in their assembly. "I have been deputed by the emperor," said he, "to preside in a council where unanimity was expected to prevail: but since you can by no means come to a mutual understanding, I can no longer be present: go therefore to the church, if you please, and indulge in this vain babbling there." The Acacian faction conceiving this decision to be advantageous to themselves, refused also to assemble with the others; although the adverse party had sent to request their attendance in the church, that cognizance might be taken of the case of Cyril bishop of Jerusalem: for that prelate had been accused long before, on what grounds however I am unable to state. He had even been deposed, because he had not made his appearance during two whole years, after having been repeatedly summoned in order that the charges against him might be investigated. Nevertheless when he was deposed, he sent a written notification to those who had condemned him, that he should appeal to a higher jurisdiction: and this course of his received the sanction of the emperor Constantius. Cyril was thus the first and indeed only clergyman who ventured to break through ecclesiastical usage, by becoming an appellant, in the way commonly done in the secular courts of judicature. Being now present at Seleucia, ready to be put upon his trial, the other bishops invited the Acacian party to take their places in the assembly, that in a general council a definite judgment might be pronounced on the case of those who were arraigned: for others also charged with various misdemeanours had been cited to appear before them at the same time, who to protect themselves had sought refuge

among the partisans of Acacius. When therefore that faction persisted in their refusal to meet, after being repeatedly summoned, the bishops deposed Acacius himself, together with George of Alexandria, Uranius of Tyre, Theodulus of Chæretapi in Phrygia, Theodosius of Philadelphia in Lydia, Evagrius of the island of Mytilene, Leontius of Tripolis in Lydia, and Eudoxius who had formerly been bishop of Germanicia, but had afterwards insinuated himself into the bishopric of Antioch in Syria. They also deposed Patrophilus for contumacy, in not having presented himself to answer a charge preferred against him by a presbyter named Dorotheus. Besides deposing those above mentioned, they excommunicated Asterius, Eusebius, Abgarus, Basilicus, Phœbus, Fidelis, Eutychius, Magnus, and Eustathius; determining that they should not be restored to communion, until they made such a defence as would clear them from the imputations under which they lay. This being done, they address explanatory letters to each of the churches whose bishops had been deposed. Anianus was then constituted bishop of Antioch instead of Eudoxius: but the Acacians having soon after apprehended him, he was delivered into the hands of Leonas and Lauricius, by whom he was sent into exile. The bishops who had ordained him being incensed on this account, lodged a protest* against the Acacian party with Leonas and Lauricius, in which they openly charged them with having violated the decisions of the Synod. Finding that no redress could be obtained by this means, they went to Constantinople to lay the whole matter before the emperor.

* Διαμαρτυρίας

CHAPTER XLI.

ON THE EMPEROR'S RETURN FROM THE WEST, THE ACACIANS ARE CONVENED AT CONSTANTINOPLE, AND CONFIRM THE CREED BROUGHT FORWARD AT RIMINI, AFTER MAKING SOME ADDITIONS TO IT.

THE emperor after his return from the West, appointed Honoratus the first prefect of Constantinople, having abolished the office of pro-consul. But the Acacians being beforehand with the bishops, calumniated them to the emperor, informing him that the creed which they had proposed was not admitted. This so annoyed the emperor that he resolved to disperse them; he therefore published an edict, commanding that such of them as were subject to fill certain public offices should be no longer exempted from the performance of the duties attached to them. For several of them were liable to be called on to occupy various official departments, connected both with the city magistracy, and in subordination* to the presidents and governors of provinces. The partisans of Acacius having effected this dispersion, remained for a considerable time at Constantinople; and at length sending for the bishops of Bithynia, they held another Synod. About fifty were assembled on this occasion, among whom was Maris bishop of Chalcedon: these confirmed the creed which was read at Rimini, and to which the names of the consuls had been prefixed. It would have been unnecessary to

* Τῶν ἐν ταῖς ἐπαρχίαις τάξεων. The sodalities of officials, or apparitors who attended on the governors of provinces.

repeat it here, had there not been some additions made to it; but since that was done, it may be desirable to transcribe it in its new form.

“ We believe in one God the Father Almighty, of whom are all things. And in the only-begotten Son of God, begotten of God before all ages, and before every beginning; by whom all things visible and invisible were made: who is the only-begotten born of the Father, the only of the only, God of God, like to the Father who begat him, according to the Scriptures, and whose generation no one knows but the Father only that begat him. We know that this only-begotten Son of God, as sent of the Father, came down from the heavens, as it is written, for the destruction of sin and death: that he was born of the Holy Spirit, and of the Virgin Mary according to the flesh, as it is written, and conversed with his disciples; and that after having fulfilled every dispensation according to his Father’s will, he was crucified and died, was buried and descended into the lower parts* of the earth, at whose presence hell† itself trembled: that he arose from the dead on the third day, again conversed with his disciples, and after the completion of forty days was taken up into the heavens, and sits at the right hand of the Father, whence he will come in the last day, i. e. the day of the resurrection, in his Father’s glory, to requite every one according to his works. We believe also in the Holy Spirit, whom he himself the only-begotten of God, Christ our Lord and God, promised to send to mankind as the Comforter,‡ according as it is written, the Spirit of truth; whom he sent to them

* Καταχθόνια.

† Ἅδης.

‡ Παράκλητον.

after he was received into the heavens. But since the term *οὐσία*, *substance* or *essence*, which was used by the fathers in a very simple and intelligible sense, but not being understood by the people, has been a cause of offence, we have thought proper to reject it, as it is not contained in the sacred writings; and we deprecate the least mention of it in future, inasmuch as the holy Scriptures have nowhere mentioned the substance of the Father and of the Son. Nor ought the *subsistence** of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Spirit to be even named. But we affirm that the Son is like the Father, in such a manner as the sacred Scriptures declare and teach. Let therefore all heresies which have been already condemned, or may have arisen of late, which are opposed to this exposition of the faith, be anathema."

Such was the creed set forth at that time at Constantinople. And having at length wound our way through the labyrinth of all the various forms of faith, we will now reckon the number of them. After that which was promulgated at Nice, two others were proposed at Antioch at the dedication of the church there. A third was presented to the emperor Constans in the Gallias by Narcissus and those who accompanied him. The fourth was sent by Eudoxius into Italy. There were three forms of the creed published at Sirmium, one of which having the consuls' names prefixed was read at Rimini. The Acacian party produced an eighth at Seleucia. The last was that of Constantinople, containing the prohibitory clause respecting the mention of *substance* or *sub-*

* Ὑπόστασις.

sistence in relation to God. To this creed Ulfilas bishop of the Goths gave his assent, although he had previously adhered to that of Nice; for he was a disciple of Theophilus bishop of the Goths, who was present at the Nicene council, and subscribed what was there determined.

CHAPTER XLII.

ON THE DEPOSITION OF MACEDONIUS, EUDOXIUS OBTAINS
THE BISHOPRIC OF CONSTANTINOPLE.

ACACIUS, Eudoxius, and those at Constantinople who took part with them, became exceedingly anxious that they also on their side might depose some of the opposite party. Now it should be observed that in all these cases of degradation, neither of the factions were influenced by religious considerations, but by motives of a far more questionable character: for although they did not agree respecting the faith, yet the ground of their reciprocal depositions was not error in doctrine. The Acacian party therefore availing themselves of the emperor's long-cherished indignation against Macedonius, and at the same time endeavouring to direct it against others, in the first place depose Macedonius, both on account of his having occasioned so much slaughter, and also because he had admitted to communion a deacon who was guilty of fornication. They then depose Eleusius bishop of Cyzicum, for having baptized, and afterwards invested with the diaconate, a priest of Hercules at Tyre named Heraclius, who was known to have practised magic arts. A like sentence was pronounced

against Basil, or Basilas as he was also called, who had been constituted bishop of Ancyra instead of Marcellus: the causes assigned for this condemnation were, that he had unjustly imprisoned a certain individual, loaded him with chains, and put him to the torture; that he had traduced some persons; and that he had disturbed the churches of Africa by his epistles. Dracontius was also deposed by them, because he had left the Galatian church for that of Pergamos. Moreover they ejected, on various pretences, Neonas bishop of Seleucia, the city in which the Synod had been convened, Sophronius of Pompeiopolis in Paphlagonia, Elpidius of Satala in Macedonia,* and Cyril of Jerusalem.

CHAPTER XLIII.

OF EUSTATHIUS BISHOP OF SEBASTIA.

BUT Eustathius bishop of Sebastia in Armenia, was not even permitted to make his defence; because he had been long before deposed by Eulalius his own father, who was bishop of Cæsarea in Cappadocia, for dressing in a style unbecoming the sacerdotal office. Meletius was appointed his successor, of whom we shall hereafter speak. Eustathius indeed was subsequently condemned by a Synod convened on his account at Gangra in Paphlagonia; he having, after his deposition by the council at Cæsarea, done many things repugnant to the ecclesiastic canons. For he had forbidden marriage, and maintained that meats were to be abstained from: he even separated many

* *Armenia*, more probably.

from their wives, and persuaded those who disliked to assemble in the churches* to communicate at home. Under the pretext of piety, he also seduced servants† from their masters. He himself wore the habit of a philosopher, and induced his followers to adopt a new and extraordinary garb, directing that the hair of women should be cropped. He permitted the prescribed fasts to be neglected, but recommended fasting on Sundays. In short he forbade prayers to be offered in the houses of married persons; and declared that both the benediction and the communion of a presbyter who continued to live with a wife whom he might have lawfully married before entering into holy orders, ought to be shunned as an abomination. For doing and teaching these things and many others of a similar nature, a Synod convened, as we have said, at Gangra in Paphlagonia deposed him, and anathematized his opinions. This however was done afterwards. But on Macedonius being ejected from the see of Constantinople, Eudoxius who now despised that of Antioch, was promoted to the vacant bishopric; being consecrated by the Acacians, who in this instance cared not to consider that it was inconsistent with their former proceedings. For they who had deposed Dracontius because of his translation from Galatia to Pergamos, were clearly acting in contrariety to their own principles and decisions, in ordaining Eudoxius who then made a second remove. After this they sent their own exposition of the faith, in its corrected and supplementary form, to Rimini, ordering that all those who refused to sign it should be

* i. e. these *separated ones*, as claiming greater *purity* than other believers.

† Δούλους, slaves.

exiled, on the authority of the emperor's edict. They also informed such other prelates in the East as coincided with them in opinion of what they had done; and more especially Patrophilus bishop of Scythopolis, who on leaving Seleucia, had proceeded directly to his own city. Eudoxius having been constituted bishop of the imperial city,* the great church named Sophia was at that time† consecrated, in the tenth consulate of Constantius, and the third of Julian Cæsar, on the 15th day of February. It was while Eudoxius occupied this see, that he first uttered that sentence which is still everywhere current, "*The Father is impious, the Son is pious.*" When the people seemed startled by this expression, and a disturbance began to be made, "Be not troubled," said he, "on account of what I have just said: for the Father is impious, because he worships no person; but the Son is pious, because he worships the Father." With this sort of badinage he appeased the tumult, and great laughter was excited in the church: and this saying of his continues to be a jest, even in the present day. The heresiarchs indeed frequently devised such subtile phrases as these, and by them rent the church asunder. Thus was the Synod at Constantinople terminated.

* Constantinople.

† This was its second consecration, it having been ruined and rebuilt.

CHAPTER XLIV.

OF MELETIUS* BISHOP OF ANTIOCH.

IT becomes us now to speak of Meletius, who, as we have recently observed, was created bishop of Sebastia in Armenia, after the deposition of Eustathius; but he was afterwards translated from Sebastia to Berœa, a city of Syria. Being present at the Synod of Seleucia, he subscribed the creed set forth there by Acacius, and immediately returned thence to Berœa. On the convention of the Synod at Constantinople, when the people of Antioch understood that Eudoxius, captivated by the magnificence of the see of Constantinople, had contemned the presidency over their church, they sent for Meletius, and invested him with the bishopric of the church at Antioch. After this he at first avoided all doctrinal questions, confining his discourses to moral subjects; but subsequently he expounded to his auditors the Nicene creed, and asserted the doctrine of consubstantiality. The emperor being informed of this, ordered that he should be sent into exile; and caused Euzoïus, who had before been deposed together with Arius, to be installed bishop of Antioch in his stead. Such however as were attached to Meletius, separated themselves from the Arian congregation, and held their assemblies apart: nevertheless those who originally embraced the Homœousian opinion would not communicate with them, because Meletius had been ordained by the Arians, and his adherents had been

* This name is sometimes written Melitius.

baptized by them. Thus was the Antiochian church divided, even in regard to those whose views on matters of faith exactly corresponded. Meanwhile the emperor getting intelligence that the Persians were preparing to undertake another war against the Romans, repaired in great haste to Antioch.

CHAPTER XLV.

THE HERESY OF MACEDONIUS.

MACEDONIUS after his ejection from Constantinople, could ill bear his condemnation; becoming restless therefore, he associated himself with the other faction that had deposed Acacius and his party at Seleucia. He accordingly sent a deputation to Sophronius and Eleusius, to encourage them to adhere to that creed which was first promulgated at Antioch, and afterwards confirmed at Seleucia, proposing to give it the counterfeit* name of the *Homoiousian* creed. By this means he drew around him a great number of adherents, who from him are still denominated Macedonians. And although such as dissented from the Acacians at the Seleucian Synod had not previously used the term *Homoiousios*,† yet from that period they distinctly asserted it. It is however insisted by some that this term did not originate with Macedonius, but was the invention rather of Marathionius, who a little before had been set over the church at Nicomedia; on which account the maintainers of this

* Παράσημος (used metaphorically, from money which has a false stamp).

† Ὁμοιούσιον, of like substance or essence.

doctrine were also called Marathonians. To this party Eustathius joined himself, who for the reasons before stated had been ejected from the church at Sebastia. But when Macedonius began to deny the Divinity of the Holy Spirit in the Trinity, Eustathius said; "I can neither admit that the Holy Spirit is God, nor can I dare affirm him to be a creature." For this reason those who hold the consubstantiality of the Son call these heretics *Pneumatomachi*.* By what means these Macedonians became so numerous in the Hellespont, I shall state in its proper place. The Acacians meanwhile became extremely anxious that another Synod should be convened at Antioch, in consequence of having changed their mind respecting their former assertion of the likeness *in all things* of the Son to the Father. A small number of them therefore assembled in the following year, in the consulate of Taurus and Florentius, at Antioch in Syria, where the emperor was at that time residing, Euzoïus being bishop. A discussion was then renewed on some of those points which they had previously determined, in the course of which they declared that the term *Homoïos*† ought to be erased from the form of faith which had been published both at Rimini and Constantinople. Nay so completely did they unmask themselves, as to openly contend that the Son was altogether *unlike*‡ the Father, not merely in relation to his *essence*, but even as it respected his *will*: asserting boldly also, as the Arians had already done, that he was made *of nothing*.§ Those in that city who favoured the heresy of Aëtius,

* Πνευματομάχους, *Adversaries of the Holy Spirit*.

† Ὁμοίου, *like the Father*.

‡ Ἀνόμιος.

§ Ἐξ οὐκ ὄντων.

gave their assent to this opinion; from which circumstance in addition to the general appellation of Arians, they were also termed Anomeans, and Exucotians, by those at Antioch who embraced the orthodox faith, who nevertheless were at that time divided among themselves on account of Meletius, as we have before observed. The Homooousians therefore having asked them, how they dared to affirm that the Son is unlike the Father, and has his existence from nothing, after having acknowledged him God of God in their former creed? they endeavoured to elude this objection by such fallacious subterfuges as these. "The expression '*God of God*,' " said they, "is to be understood in the same sense as the words of the apostle (1 Cor. xi. 12), '*but all things of God*.' Wherefore the Son is *of God*, as being one of these *all things*: and it is for this reason the words *according to the Scriptures* are added in the draught of the creed." The author of this sophism was George bishop of Laodicea, who being unskilled in such phrases, was ignorant of the manner in which Origen had formerly analysed and explained these peculiar expressions of the apostle. But notwithstanding these evasive cavillings, their inability to bear the reproach and contumely they had drawn upon themselves, induced them to fall back upon the creed which they had before put forth at Constantinople; and so each one retired to his own district. George returning to Alexandria, resumed his authority over the churches there, Athanasius still not daring to appear. Those in that city who were opposed to his sentiments he persecuted; and conducting himself with great severity and cruelty, he rendered himself extremely

odious to the people. At Jerusalem Herrenius* was placed over the church instead of Cyril: we may also remark that Heraclius was ordained bishop there after him, to whom Hilary succeeded. At length however Cyril returned to Jerusalem, and was again invested with the presidency over the church there. But about the same time another heresy sprang up, which arose from the following circumstance.

CHAPTER XLVI.

OF THE APOLLINARISTÆ, AND THEIR HERESY.

THERE were at Laodicea in Syria a father and son each named Apollinaris, the former of whom was a presbyter, and the latter a reader in that church. Both taught Greek literature, the father grammar, and the son rhetoric. The elder was a native of Alexandria, and at first taught at Berytus, but afterwards removed to Laodicea, where he married, and the younger Apollinaris was born. Epiphanius the sophist was their cotemporary, with whom they formed an intimate acquaintance; but Theodotus bishop of Laodicea interdicted their intercourse with him, lest such communication should pervert their principles, and lead them into Paganism: this prohibition however they paid but little attention to, their familiarity with Epiphanius being still continued. George, the successor of Theodotus, also endeavoured to prevent their conversing with Epiphanius; but finding them altogether refractory on this point, he excommunicated them. The younger Apollinaris regarding this severe

* Errenius in the Allatian MS.

procedure as an act of injustice, and relying on the resources of his rhetorical sophistry, originated a new heresy, which was named after its inventor, and still has many supporters. Nevertheless some affirm that the reason above assigned was not the cause of their dissent from George, but their perception of the unsettledness and inconsistency of his profession of faith; since he sometimes maintained that the Son is like the Father, in accordance with what had been determined in the Synod at Seleucia, and at other times countenanced the Arian view. They therefore made this a pretext for separation from him: but finding no one follow their example, they introduced a new form of doctrine, asserting that in the economy of the incarnation, God the Word assumed a human body without a soul. This however they afterward retracted, admitting that he took a soul indeed, but that it was an irrational one, God the Word himself being in the place of a mind. The followers of these heresies, who from them are termed Apollinaristæ, affirm that this is the only point of difference between themselves and the Catholics; for they recognise the consubstantiality of the persons in the Trinity. But further mention of the two Apollinares will be made in the proper place.

CHAPTER XLVII.

DEATH OF THE EMPEROR CONSTANTIUS.

WHILE the emperor Constantius continued his residence at Antioch, Julian Cæsar engaging with an immense army of barbarians in the Gallias obtained a

distinguished victory over them: on which account having become extremely popular among the soldiery, they proclaim him emperor. Intelligence of this affected the emperor Constantius with the most painful sensations; he was therefore baptized by Euzoïus, and immediately prepared to undertake an expedition against Julian. On arriving at the frontiers of Capadocia and Cilicia, his excessive agitation of mind produced apoplexy, which terminated his existence at Mopsucrene, in the consulate of Taurus and Florentius, on the 3rd of November, in the first year of the 285th Olympiad. This prince was at the time of his death forty-five years old, having reigned thirty-eight years, thirteen of which he was his father's colleague in the empire, and the remaining twenty-five he had the sole administration, the history of which latter period is contained in this book.

END OF THE SECOND BOOK.

BOOK III.

CHAPTER I.

OF JULIAN; HIS LINEAGE AND EDUCATION: HIS APOSTASY TO PAGANISM AFTER HIS ELEVATION TO THE IMPERIAL DIGNITY.

THE emperor Constantius having died on the frontiers of Cilicia on the third of November, during the consulate of Taurus and Florentius, Julian leaving the western parts of the empire about the eleventh of December following, under the same consulate, came to Constantinople, where he was proclaimed emperor. And as I must needs speak of the character of this prince who was eminently distinguished for his eloquence, let not his admirers expect that I should attempt a pompous rhetorical style, as if to make the delineation correspond with the dignity of the subject: for my object being to compile a history of the Christian religion, it is both proper in order to the being better understood, and consistent with my original purpose, to maintain a simple and unaffected style. Having to describe his person, birth, education, and the manner in which he became possessed of the sovereignty, to give a clear view of these matters, it will be needful to enter into some antecedent details. Constantine who gave Byzantium his own name, had two brothers named Dalmatius and Constantius, the offspring of the same father, but by a different mother. The former of these had a son who bore his own name: the latter had two sons,

Gallus and Julian. When, after the death of Constantine who founded Constantinople, the soldiery had put the younger brother Dalmatius to death, the lives of his two orphan children were also endangered: but a disease which threatened to be fatal preserved Gallus from the violence of his father's murderers; while the tenderness of Julian's age, who was not then eight years old, protected him. The emperor's jealousy toward them having been gradually subdued, Gallus attended the schools at Ephesus in Ionia, in which country considerable hereditary possessions had been left them. And Julian, when he was grown up, pursued his studies at Constantinople, going constantly to the palace, where the schools then were, in plain clothes, under the superintendence of the eunuch Mardonius. Nicocles the Lacedemonian* instructed him in grammar; and Ecebolius the Sophist, who was at that time a Christian, taught him rhetoric: for the emperor was anxious that he should have no Pagan masters, lest he should be seduced from the Christian faith in which he had been educated, to the Pagan superstitions. His proficiency in literature soon became so remarkable, that it began to be said that he was capable of governing the Roman empire; and this popular rumour becoming generally diffused, greatly disquieted the emperor's mind, so that he had him removed from the Great City to Nicomedia, forbidding him at the same time to frequent the school of Libanius the Syrian Sophist. This celebrated rhetorician having been driven from Constantinople, by a combination of the professors there against him, had retired to Nicomedia, where

* Λάκων.

he opened a school; and to revenge himself on his persecutors, he composed an oration against them. Julian was however interdicted from being his auditor, because Libanius adhered to Paganism: nevertheless he privately procured his orations, which he not only greatly admired, but also frequently and with close study perused, so as to become very expert in the rhetorical art. About this period Maximus the philosopher arrived at Nicomedia, not he of Constantinople, Euclid's father, but he of Ephesus, whom the emperor Valentinian afterwards caused to be executed as a practiser of magic. The only thing that then attracted him to Nicomedia was the fame of Julian, to whom he imparted, in addition to the principles of philosophy, his own religious sentiments, and a desire to possess the empire. When the emperor was informed of these things, Julian between hope and fear, became very anxious to lull the suspicions which had been awakened, and therefore began to assume the external semblance of what he once was in reality. He was shaved to the very skin, and pretended to live a monastic life: and although in private he pursued his philosophical studies, in public he read the sacred writings of the Christians, and moreover was constituted a reader in the church of Nicomedia. But while by these specious pretexts, under the influence of fear, he succeeded in averting the emperor's displeasure, he by no means abandoned his hope; telling his friends that happier times were not far distant, when he should possess the imperial sway. In this condition of things his brother Gallus having been created Cæsar, on his way to the East came to Nicomedia to

see him. But when not long after this Gallus was slain, the emperor becoming still more suspicious of Julian, directed that a guard should be set over him: he soon however found means of escaping from them, and fled from place to place, until the empress Eusebia having discovered his retreat, persuaded the emperor to leave him uninjured, and permit him to go to Athens to pursue his philosophical studies. From thence, to be brief, the emperor recalled him, and after creating him Cæsar, united him in marriage to his own sister Helen: and the barbarian mercenaries whom the emperor Constantius had engaged as auxiliary forces against the tyrant Magnentius, beginning to pillage the Roman cities, Julian was despatched into the Gallias against them, with orders on account of his youth, to undertake nothing without consulting the other military chiefs.

This restrictive power rendered these generals so lax in their duties, that the barbarians were suffered to strengthen themselves; which Julian perceiving, allowed the commanders to give themselves up to luxury and revelling, but exerted himself to infuse courage into the soldiery, offering a stipulated reward to any one who should kill a barbarian. By these means he conciliated to himself the affections of the army, while he effectually weakened the enemy. It is reported that as he was entering a town a civic crown which was suspended between two pillars, fell upon his head which it exactly fitted: upon which all present gave a shout of admiration, regarding it as a presage of his one day becoming emperor. Some have affirmed that Constantius sent him against the barbarians in the hope that he would perish in an

engagement with them. Whether he had such a design I know not, let each form his own judgment of the matter; but it certainly is improbable that he should have first contracted so near an alliance with him, and then have sought his destruction to the prejudice of his own interests. Be this as it may, Julian's complaint to the emperor of the inertness of his military officers, procured for him a coadjutor in the command more consonant to his own ardour; and by their combined efforts such an assault was made upon the barbarians, that they sent him an embassy, assuring him that they had been ordered by the emperor's letters, which were produced, to march into the Roman territories. Instead of listening to these excuses, he cast the ambassador into prison, and vigorously attacking the forces of the enemy, totally defeated them; and having taken their king prisoner, he sent him alive to Constantius. Immediately after this brilliant success he was proclaimed emperor by the military; and inasmuch as they had no imperial crown, one of his guards took the chain which he wore about his own neck, and bound it around Julian's head. Thus he obtained the object of his ambition: but whether he subsequently conducted himself as became a philosopher, let my readers determine. For he neither entered into communication with Constantius by an embassy, nor paid him the least homage in acknowledgment of past favours; but constituting other governors over the provinces, he conducted everything just as it pleased him. Moreover he sought to bring Constantius into contempt, by reciting publicly in every city the letters which he had written to the barbarians; and thus having

rendered the inhabitants of these places disaffected, they were easily induced to revolt from Constantius to himself. After this he no longer wore the mask of Christianity, but everywhere opened the Pagan temples, offering sacrifice to the idols; and designating himself *Pontifex Maximus*, gave permission to such as would to celebrate their superstitious festivals. In this manner he managed to excite a civil war against Constantius; and thus would have involved the empire in all the disastrous consequences of such a calamity; for this philosopher's aim could not have been attained without much bloodshed: but God in the sovereignty of his own councils, checked the fury of these antagonists without detriment to the state, by the removal of one of them. For when Julian arrived among the Thracians, intelligence was brought him that Constantius was dead; and thus was the Roman empire at that time preserved from the horrors that threatened it. Julian forthwith made his public entry into Constantinople; and considering with himself how he might best secure popular favour, he had recourse to the following measures. He knew that Constantius had rendered himself odious to the defenders of the Homousian faith by having driven them from the churches, and proscribed their bishops. He was also aware that the Pagans were extremely impatient of the prohibitions which prevented their sacrificing to their gods, and were very anxious to get their temples opened, with liberty to exercise their idolatrous rites. In fact he was sensible that while both these classes secretly entertained rancorous feelings against his predecessor, the people in general were exceedingly exasperated by the violence

of the eunuchs, and especially by the rapacity of Eusebius the chief officer of the imperial bed-chamber. Under these circumstances he treated all parties with a good deal of subtlety: with some he dissimulated; others he attached to himself by conferring obligations upon them, for he was fond of affecting beneficence; but he unscrupulously manifested his own predilection for the idolatry of the heathens. And first in order to brand the memory of Constantius by making him appear to have been cruel toward his subjects, he recalled the exiled bishops, and restored to them their confiscated estates. He next commanded his confidential agents to see that the Pagan temples should be opened without delay. Then he directed that such individuals as had been victims of the extortionate conduct of the eunuchs, should be repossessed of the property of which they had been plundered. Eusebius, the chief of the imperial bed-chamber, he punished with death, not only on account of the injuries he had inflicted on others, but because he was assured that it was through his machinations that his brother Gallus had been killed. Having taken care that the body of Constantius should be honoured with an imperial funeral, he expelled the eunuchs, barbers and cooks from the palace. The eunuchs he dispensed with, because they were unnecessary in consequence of his wife's decease, as he had resolved not to marry again: the barbers, because he said one was sufficient for a great many persons; and the cooks, because he intended to maintain a very simple table. The palace being cleared of these supernumeraries, he reduced the majority of the secretaries to their former condition, and appointed

those who were retained a salary befitting their office. The mode of public travelling and conveyance of necessities he also reformed, abolishing the use of mules, oxen, and asses for this purpose, and permitting horses only to be so employed. These various retrenchments were highly lauded by some few, but strongly reprobated by all others, as tending to bring the imperial dignity into contempt, by stripping it of those appendages of pomp and magnificence which exercise so powerful an influence over the minds of the vulgar. At night he was accustomed to sit up composing orations which he afterwards delivered in the senate: though in fact he was the first and only emperor since the time of Julius Cæsar who made speeches in that assembly. To those who were eminent for literary attainments, he extended the most flattering patronage, and especially to the professors of philosophy; in consequence of which, abundance of pretenders to learning of this sort resorted to the palace from all quarters, wearing their palliums, being more conspicuous for their costume than their erudition. These impostors, who invariably adopted the religious sentiments of their prince, were all inimical to the welfare of the Christians; and Julian himself, whose excessive vanity prompted him to deride his predecessors in a book which he wrote entitled "The Cæsars," was led by the same haughty disposition to compose treatises against the Christians also. In expelling the cooks and barbers he acted in a manner becoming a philosopher indeed, but not an emperor; but in condescending to vilify others he ceased to maintain the dignity of either, for such personages ought to be superior to the influence of jealousy and

detraction. An emperor may be a philosopher in all that regards moderation and self-control; but should a philosopher attempt to imitate what might become an emperor, he would frequently depart from his own principles. We have thus briefly spoken of the emperor Julian, tracing his extraction, education, temper of mind, and the way in which he became invested with the imperial power.

CHAPTER II.

OF THE SEDITION EXCITED AT ALEXANDRIA, AND HOW
GEORGE WAS SLAIN.

IT is now proper to mention what took place in the churches during this period. A great disturbance occurred at Alexandria in consequence of the following circumstance. There was a place in that city which had long been abandoned to neglect and filth, wherein the Pagans had formerly celebrated their mysteries, and sacrificed human beings to Mithra.* This being empty and otherwise useless, Constantius had granted to the church of the Alexandrians; and George wishing to erect a church† on the site of it, gave directions that the place should be cleansed. In the process of clearing it, an *adytum* of vast depth was discovered which unveiled the nature of their heathenish rites: for there were found there the skulls of many persons of all ages, who were said to have been immolated for the purpose of divination by the inspection of entrails, when the Pagans were allowed

* Whom the Persians suppose to be the sun.

† Εὐκτήριον οἶκον, an oratory.

to perform these and such like magic arts in order to enchant the souls of men. The Christians on discovering these abominations in the adytum of the temple of Mithra, thought it their duty to expose them to the view and execration of all; and therefore carried the skulls throughout the city, in a kind of triumphal procession, for the inspection of the people. When the Pagans of Alexandria beheld this insult offered to their religion, they became so exasperated, that they assailed the Christians with whatever weapon chanced to come to hand, in their fury destroying numbers of them in a variety of ways: some they killed with the sword, others with clubs and stones; some they strangled with ropes, others they crucified, purposely inflicting this last kind of death in contempt of the cross of Christ. Few indeed escaped being wounded; and as it generally happens in such a case, neither friends nor relatives were spared, but friends, brothers, parents and children imbrued their hands in each other's blood. This outrageous assault obliged the Christians to cease from cleansing the temple of Mithra: the Pagans meanwhile having dragged George out of the church, fastened him to a camel, and when they had torn him to pieces, they burnt him together with the camel.

CHAPTER III.

THE EMPEROR INDIGNANT AT THE MURDER OF GEORGE,
SEVERELY CENSURES THE ALEXANDRIANS BY LETTER.

THE emperor highly resenting the assassination of George, wrote to the citizens of Alexandria, rebuking

their violence in the strongest terms. It has been affirmed that those who detested him because of Athanasius, were the perpetrators of this outrage upon George: but although it is undoubtedly true that such as cherish hostile feelings against particular individuals are often found identified with popular commotions, yet the emperor in his letter evidently attaches the blame to the populace, rather than to any among the Christians. George however was at that time, and had for some time previously been, exceedingly obnoxious to all classes, which is sufficient to account for the indignation of the multitude against him. The emperor's letter was expressed in the following terms.

EMPEROR CÆSAR JULIAN MAXIMUS AUGUSTUS TO THE
CITIZENS OF ALEXANDRIA.

“ Even if you have neither respect for Alexander the founder of your city, nor, what is more, for that great and most holy god Serapis; yet how is it you have forgotten not only the universal claims of humanity and social order, but also what is due to us, to whom all the gods, and especially the mighty Serapis, have assigned the empire of the world, for whose cognizance therefore it became you to reserve all matters of public wrong? But you will probably plead the impulse of rage and indignation, which taking possession of the mind, too often deceptively stimulate it to the most atrocious acts. It seems however that when your fury was in some degree moderated, you aggravated your culpability by adding a most heinous offence to that which had been com-

mitted under the excitement of the moment: nor were you of the commonalty ashamed to perpetrate such acts as had deservedly drawn upon others the odium they deserved. By Serapis I conjure you tell me, what enormities instigated you to such unjustifiable violence toward George? You will perhaps answer, it was because he exasperated Constantius of blessed memory against you: because he introduced an army into the sacred city: because he induced the governor* of Egypt to despoil the god's most holy temple of its images, votive offerings, and such other consecrated apparatus as it contained; who, when ye could not endure the sight of such a foul desecration, but attempted to defend the god from sacrilegious hands, or rather to hinder the pillage of what had been consecrated to his service, in contravention of all justice, law, and piety, dared to send armed bands against you. This he probably did from his dreading George more than Constantius: but he would have consulted better for his own safety had he not been guilty of this tyrannical conduct, but persevered in his former moderation toward you. Being on all these accounts enraged against George as the adversary of the gods, you have again polluted your sacred city; whereas you ought to have impeached him before the judges. For had you thus acted, neither murder, nor any other unlawful deed would have been committed; but justice being equitably dispensed, you would have been preserved from these disgraceful excesses, while he would have suffered the punishment due to his impious crimes. Thus too, in

* Artemius, whom Julian afterwards beheaded for this desecration of the Pagan temple.

short, the insolence of those would have been curbed who condemn the gods, and respect neither cities of such magnitude, nor so flourishing a population; but make the barbarities they practise against them the prelude, as it were, of their exercise of power. Compare therefore this our present letter, with that which we wrote you some time since. With what high commendation did we then greet you! But now, by the immortal gods, with an equal disposition to praise you, your heinous misdoings utterly oppose my wishes. The people have had the audacity to tear a man in pieces, like dogs; nor have they been subsequently ashamed of this inhuman procedure, nor desirous of purifying their hands from such pollution, that they may stretch them forth in the presence of the gods undefiled by blood. You will no doubt be ready to say that George justly merited this chastisement; and we might be disposed perhaps to admit that he deserved still more acute tortures. Should you farther affirm that on your account he was worthy of these sufferings, even this might also be granted. But should you add that it became *you* to inflict the vengeance due to his offences, that we could by no means acquiesce in; for you have laws to which it is the duty of every one of you to be subject, and to evince your respect for both publicly, as well as in private. If any individual should transgress those wise and salutary regulations which were originally constituted for the well-being of the community, does that absolve the rest from obedience to them? It is fortunate for you, ye Alexandrians, that such an atrocity has been perpetrated in our reign, who, by reason of our reverence for the gods,

and on account of our grandfather and uncle whose name we bear, and who governed Egypt and your city, still retain a fraternal affection for you. Assuredly that power which will not suffer itself to be disrespected, and such a government as is possessed of a vigorous and healthy constitution, could not connive at such unbridled licentiousness in its subjects; but would unsparingly purge out the dangerous distemper by the application of remedies sufficiently potent. We shall however in your case, for the reasons already assigned, restrict ourselves to the more mild and gentle medicine of remonstrance and exhortation; to the which mode of treatment we are persuaded ye will the more readily submit, inasmuch as we understand ye are not only Greeks by original descent, but also still preserve in your memory and character the traces of the glory of your ancestors. Let this be published to our citizens of Alexandria."

CHAPTER IV.

ON THE DEATH OF GEORGE, ATHANASIUS RETURNS TO ALEXANDRIA, AND IS RE-ESTABLISHED IN HIS SEE.

SOON after this, Athanasius returning from his exile, was received with great joy by the people of Alexandria, who expelled the Arians from the churches, and restored Athanasius to the possession of them. The Arians meanwhile assembling themselves in low and obscure buildings, ordained Lucius to supply the place of George. Such was the state of things at that time at Alexandria.

CHAPTER V.

OF LUCIFER AND EUSEBIUS.

ABOUT the same time Lucifer and Eusebius were by an imperial order, recalled from banishment out of the Upper Thebaïs; the former being bishop of Cagliari,* a city of Sardinia, the latter of Verceil,† a city of the Ligurians in Italy. These two prelates therefore consulted together on the most effectual means of preventing the neglected canons and discipline of the church from being in future violated and despised.

CHAPTER VI.

LUCIFER GOES TO ANTIOCH AND ORDAINS PAULINUS.

THEY decided therefore that Lucifer should go to Antioch in Syria, and Eusebius to Alexandria, that by assembling a Synod in conjunction with Athanasius, they might confirm the doctrines‡ of the church. Lucifer sent a deacon as his representative, by whom he pledged himself to assent to whatever the Synod might decree; but he himself went to Antioch, where he found the church in great disorder, the people not being agreed among themselves. For not only did the Arian heresy, which had been introduced by Euzoïus, divide the church, but, as we have before said, the followers of Meletius also, from attachment to their preceptor,§ separated themselves from those

* Καράλων.

† Βρεκέλλων.

‡ Δόγματα.

§ Καθηγήτην.

with whom they agreed in sentiment. When therefore Lucifer had constituted Paulinus their bishop, he again departed.

CHAPTER VII.

BY THE CO-OPERATION OF EUSEBIUS AND ATHANASIUS A
SYNOD IS CONVENED AT ALEXANDRIA, WHEREIN THE
TRINITY IS DECLARED TO BE CONSUBSTANTIAL.

As soon as Eusebius reached Alexandria, he in concert with Athanasius immediately convoked a Synod. The bishops assembled on this occasion out of various cities, took into consideration many subjects of the utmost importance. They asserted the divinity of the Holy Spirit, and comprehended him in the consubstantial Trinity: they also declared that the Word in being made man, assumed not only flesh, but also a soul, in accordance with the views of the early ecclesiastics. For they avoided the introduction of any new doctrine of their own devising into the church, but contented themselves with recording their sanction of those points which ecclesiastical tradition has insisted on from the beginning, and the most profound Christian doctors have demonstratively taught. Such sentiments the ancient fathers have uniformly maintained in all their controversial writings. Irenæus, Clemens, Apollinaris of Hierapolis, and Serapion who presided over the church at Antioch, assure us in their several works, that it was the generally received opinion that Christ in his incarnation was endowed with a soul. Moreover the Synod convened on account of Berillus bishop of Philadelphia in Arabia,

recognised the same doctrine in their letter to that prelate. The same thing is everywhere admitted by Origen, but he more particularly explains this mystery in the ninth volume of his "Comments upon Genesis," where he shows that Adam and Eve were types of Christ and the church. That holy man Pamphilus, and Eusebius who was surnamed after him, are authorities on this subject not to be contemned: both these witnesses in their joint life of Origen, and admirable defence of him in answer to such as were prejudiced against him, prove that he was not the first who made this declaration, but that in doing so he was the mere expositor of the mystical tradition of the church. Those who assisted at the Alexandrine Council examined also with great minuteness the question concerning *Essence** or *Substance*, and *Existence*,† *Subsistence*, or *Personality*. For Hosius bishop of Cordova in Spain, who has been before referred to as having been sent by the emperor Constantine to allay the excitement which Arius had caused, originated the controversy about these terms in his earnestness to overthrow the dogma of Sabellius the Libyan. In the council of Nice however, which was held soon after, this dispute was not agitated; but in consequence of the contention about it which subsequently arose, the matter was freely discussed at Alexandria. It was there determined that such expressions as *ousia* and *hypostasis* ought not to be used in reference to God: for they argued that the word *ousia* is nowhere employed in the sacred Scriptures; and that the apostle has misapplied the term *hypostasis* in attempting to describe that which is ineffable. They

* Οὐσίας.

† Ὑποστάσεως.

nevertheless decided that in refutation of the Sabellian error these terms were admissible, in default of more appropriate language, lest it should be supposed that one thing was indicated by a threefold designation; whereas we ought rather to believe that each of those named in the Trinity is God in his own proper person.* Such were the decisions of this Synod. If we may express our own judgment on this matter, it appears to us that the Greek philosophers have given us various definitions of *ousia*, but have not taken the slightest notice of *hypostasis*. Irenæus the grammarian indeed, in his Alphabetical Lexicon entitled "Atticistes," declares it to be a barbarous term which is not to be found in any of the ancients, except occasionally in a sense quite different from that which is attached to it in the present day. Thus Sophocles, in his tragedy entitled "Phœnix," uses it to signify *treachery*: in Menander it implies *sauceness*; and another calls the *sediment* at the bottom of a hogshead of wine *hypostasis*. But although the ancient philosophical writers scarcely noticed this word, the more modern ones have frequently used it instead of *ousia*. This term, as we before observed, has been variously defined: but can that which is capable of being circumscribed by a definition be applicable to God who is incomprehensible? Evagrius in his "Monachicus," cautions us against rash and inconsiderate language in reference to God; forbidding all attempt to define the divinity, inasmuch as it is wholly simple in its nature: "for," says he, "definition belongs only to things which are compound." The same author further adds, "Every proposition has either a *genus* which

* Ὑποστάσει.

is predicated, or a *species*, or a *differentia*, or a *proprium*, or an *accidens*, or that which is compounded of these: but none of these can be supposed to exist in the sacred Trinity. Let then what is inexplicable be adored in silence." Such is the reasoning of Evagrius, of whom we shall again speak hereafter. We have indeed made a digression here, but such as will tend to illustrate the subject under consideration.

CHAPTER VIII.

QUOTATIONS FROM ATHANASIUS'S APOLOGY FOR HIS FLIGHT.

ON this occasion Athanasius recited to those present the apology which he had composed some time before in justification of his flight; a few passages from which it may be of service to introduce here, leaving the entire production, as too long to be transcribed, to be sought out and perused by the studious. "See," said he, "the daring enormities of these impious persons! Such are their proceedings: and yet instead of blushing at their former tyrannical conduct toward us, they even now abuse us for having effected our escape out of their murderous hands; nay are grievously vexed that they were unable to compass our destruction. In short they overlook the fact that while they pretend to upbraid us with fear, they are really criminating themselves: for if it be disgraceful to flee, it is still more so to pursue, since the one is only endeavouring to avoid being murdered, while the other is seeking to commit the deed. But Scripture itself directs us to flee: and those who persecute

unto death, in attempting to violate the law, constrain us to have recourse to flight. They should rather therefore be ashamed of their persecution, than reproach us for having sought to escape from it: let them but cease to harass us, and we shall have no cause to abscond. Nevertheless they set no bounds to their malevolence, using every art to entrap us, in the consciousness that the flight of the persecuted is the strongest condemnation of the persecutor: for no one runs away from a mild and beneficent person, but from one who is of a barbarous and cruel disposition. Hence it was that 'Every one that was discontented and in debt' fled from Saul to David (1 Sam. xxii. 2). These foes of ours in like manner desire to kill such as conceal themselves, that no evidence may exist to convict them of their inhumanity. But in this also these misguided men most egregiously deceive themselves: for the more obvious the effort to elude their snares becomes, the more manifestly will their slaughters and exiles be exposed. If they act the part of assassins, the voice of the blood which is shed will cry against them: and if they condemn to banishment, they will raise so many living monuments of their own injustice and oppression. Surely unless their intellects were unsound they would perceive the dilemma in which their own counsels entangle them. It is infatuation of mind that incites them to become persecutors, and prevents their discovering their own impiety, even when they aim at the life of others. But if they reproach those who succeed in secreting themselves from the malice of their blood-thirsty adversaries, and revile such as flee from their persecutors, what will they say to Jacob's retreat from

the rage of his brother Esau, and to Moses* retiring into the land of Midian for fear of Pharaoh? And what apology will these babblers make for David's† flight from Saul, when he sent messengers from his own house to despatch him; and for his concealment in a cave, after contriving to extricate himself from the treacherous designs of Abimelech‡ by feigning madness?§ What will these reckless asserters of whatever suits their purpose answer, when they are reminded of the great prophet Elias,|| who by calling upon God had recalled the dead to life, hiding himself from dread of Ahab, and fleeing on account of Jezebel's menaces? At which time the sons of the prophets also, being sought for in order to be slain, withdrew, and were concealed in caves by Obadiah¶ (1 Kings xviii. 4). Are they unacquainted with these instances because of their antiquity? Have they forgotten also what is recorded in the Gospel, that the disciples retreated and hid themselves for fear of the Jews? Paul,** when the governor of Damascus attempted to apprehend him, was let down from the wall in a basket, and thus escaped the hands of him that sought him. Since then Scripture relates these circumstances concerning the saints, what excuse can they fabricate for their temerity? If they charge us with timidity, it is in utter insensibility to the condemnation it pronounces on themselves. If they asperse these holy men by asserting that they acted contrary to the will of God, they demonstrate their

* Exod. ii. 15.

† 1 Sam. xix. 12.

‡ Or rather Achish, king of Gath, 1 Sam. xxi. 10.

§ 'Αλλοιοῦντι τὸ πρόσωπον.

|| Elijah.

¶ Abdia.

** 2 Cor. xi. 32, 33.

ignorance of Scripture. For it was commanded in the Law that cities of refuge should be constituted (Num. xxxv. 11), by which provision was made that such as were pursued in order to be put to death might have means afforded of preserving themselves. Again in the consummation of the ages, when the Word of the Father, who had before spoken by Moses, came himself to the earth, he gave this express injunction, 'When they persecute you in one city, flee unto another: '* and shortly after, 'When therefore ye shall see the abomination of desolation, spoken of by Daniel the prophet, stand in the holy place (let whosoever reads, understand), then let those in Judea flee unto the mountains: let him that is on the house-top not come down to take anything out of his house; nor him that is in the fields return to take his clothes.'† The saints therefore knowing these precepts, acted in accordance with them: for what the Lord then commanded, he had before his coming in the flesh already spoken of by his servants. And this is a universal rule for man, leading to perfection, *to practise whatever God has enjoined*. On this account the Word himself, becoming incarnate for our sake, deigned to conceal himself when he was sought for; and being again persecuted, condescended to withdraw to avoid the conspiracy against him. For thus it became him, by hungering and thirsting and suffering other afflictions, to demonstrate that he was indeed made man. Nay at the very commencement, as soon as he was born, he gave this direction by an angel to Joseph: 'Arise and take the young child and his mother, and flee into Egypt, for Herod

* Matt. x. 23.

† Matt. xxiv. 15—18.

will seek the infant's life.'* We see also that after Herod's death, apprehension of his son Archelaüs induced him to retire to Nazareth. Subsequently, Jesus having given unquestionable evidence of his Divine character by healing the withered hand, when the Jews took counsel how they might destroy him,† he knowing their wickedness withdrew himself thence. Moreover when he had raised Lazarus from the dead, and they had become still more intent on destroying him, we are told that Jesus walked no more openly among the Jews,‡ but retired into a region on the borders of the desert. Again when the Saviour said, 'Before Abraham was, I am,'§ and the Jews took up stones to cast at him; Jesus prevented their recognising him, and going through the midst of them out of the Temple, went away thence, and so escaped. Since then they see these things, or rather hear them, (for they will not see), are they not deserving of being burnt with fire, according to what is written, for acting and speaking so plainly contrary to all that the Lord did and taught? Finally when John had suffered martyrdom, and his disciples had buried his body, Jesus having heard what was done, departed thence by ship into a desert place apart.|| Such were the precepts and example of our blessed Master. But would that these men of whom I speak, had the modesty to confine their rashness to men only, without daring to be guilty of such madness as to accuse the Saviour himself of timidity; especially after having already uttered blasphemies against him. Is their impiety to be tolerated? or will not rather

* Matt. ii. 13. † Matt. xii. 14, 15. ‡ John xi. 53, 54.

§ John x. 39, 40.

|| Matt. xiv. 13.

their ignorance of the gospels be detected by every one? There is then a rational and consistent cause for retreat and flight under such circumstances as these, of which the evangelists have afforded us precedents in the conduct of our Saviour himself: from which it may be inferred that the saints have always been justly influenced by the same principle, since whatever is recorded of him as man, is applicable to mankind in general. In taking our nature, he exhibited in himself the affections of our infirmity,* which John has thus indicated: ‘Then they sought to take him; but no man laid hands on him, because his hour was not yet come.’† Moreover before that hour came, he himself said to his mother, ‘Mine hour is not yet come:’‡ and to those who were denominated his brethren, ‘My time is not yet come.’§ Again when the time had arrived, he said to his disciples, ‘Sleep on now, and take your rest: for behold the hour is at hand, and the Son of man shall be betrayed into the hands of sinners.’|| So that he neither permitted himself to be apprehended before the time came; nor when the time was come did he conceal himself, but voluntarily gave himself up to those who had conspired against him. Thus also the blessed martyrs have guarded themselves in times of persecution: being persecuted they fled, and kept themselves concealed; but being discovered they suffered martyrdom.” Such is the reasoning of Athanasius in his apology for his own flight.

* Τὰ τῆς ἡμετέρας ἀσθενείας πάθη.

‡ John ii. 4.

§ John vii. 6.

† John vii. 30.

|| Matt. xxvi. 45.

CHAPTER IX.

AFTER THE SYNOD OF ALEXANDRIA, COMPOSED OF THE SUPPORTERS OF THE DOCTRINE OF CONSUBSTANTIALITY, EUSEBIUS PROCEEDING TO ANTIOCH FINDS THE CATHOLICS AT VARIANCE ON ACCOUNT OF PAULINUS'S ORDINATION; AND HAVING EXERTED HIMSELF IN VAIN TO RECONCILE THEM, HE DEPARTS.

As soon as the council of Alexandria was dissolved, Eusebius bishop of Verceil went to Antioch; where finding that Paulinus had been ordained by Lucifer, and that the people were disagreeing among themselves (for the partisans of Meletius held their assemblies apart), he was exceedingly grieved at their want of unanimity concerning this election, and in his own mind disapproved of what had taken place. His respect for Lucifer however induced him to be silent about it, and on his departure he engaged that all things should be set right by a council of bishops. Subsequently he laboured with great earnestness to unite the dissentients, but without effect. Meanwhile Meletius returned from exile; and finding his followers holding their assemblies apart from the others, he set himself at their head. But Euzoïus, a prelate of the Arian heresy, had possession of the churches: Paulinus only was permitted to retain a small one within the city, from which Euzoïus had not ejected him, on account of his personal respect for him. But Meletius assembled his adherents without the gates of the city. It was under these circumstances that Eusebius left Antioch at that time.

When Lucifer understood that his ordination of Paul was not approved of by Eusebius, regarding it as an injury done him, he became highly incensed; and not only separated himself from communion with him, but also began, in a contentious spirit, to condemn what had been determined by the Synod. These things occurring at a season of grievous disorder, created still farther schism; for many, attaching themselves to Lucifer, they became a distinct sect, and were called by his name. Nevertheless he was unable to give full expression to his anger, inasmuch as he had pledged himself by his deacon to assent to whatever should be decided on by the Synod. Wherefore he adhered to the tenets of the church, and returned to Sardinia to his own see: but such as at first identified themselves with his quarrel, still continue separatists. Eusebius, on the other hand, travelling throughout the Eastern provinces like a good physician, completely restored those who were weak in the faith, instructing and establishing them in ecclesiastical principles. After this he went to Illyricum, and thence to Italy, where he pursued a similar course.

CHAPTER X.

OF HILARY BISHOP OF POICTIERS.*

THERE however, Hilary bishop of Poitiers, a city of Aquitania Secunda, had anticipated him, having previously confirmed the bishops of Italy and Gaul in the doctrines of the orthodox faith; for he first had

* Πνευμάτων.

returned from exile to these countries. Both therefore nobly combined their energies in defence of the faith: and Hilary being a very eloquent man, maintained with great power the consubstantiality of the Son of God, and unanswerably confuted the Arian tenets in the works which he wrote in Latin. These things took place shortly after the recall of those who had been banished. But it must be observed, that at the same time Macedonius, Eleusius, Eustathius and Sophronius, with all their partisans, who had but the one common designation Macedonians, held frequent Synods in various places. Having called together those of Seleucia who embraced their views, they anathematized the prelates of the other party, that is the Acacian: and rejecting the creed of Rimini, they confirm that which had been read at Seleucia; which, as I have stated in the preceding book, was the same as had been before promulgated at Antioch. When they were asked by some one, "Why have ye who are called Macedonians hitherto retained communion with the Acacians, as though ye agreed in opinion, if ye really hold different sentiments?" they replied thus, through Sophronius bishop of Pompeiopolis, a city of Paphlagonia:—"Those in the West," said he, "were infected with the Homoeousian error as with a disease: Aëtius in the East adulterated the purity of the faith by introducing the assertion of a dissimilitude of substance. Now both of these dogmas are impious: for the former rashly blended into one the distinct persons of the Father and the Son, binding them together by that cord of iniquity the term *consubstantial*; while Aëtius wholly separated that affinity of nature of the Son to the Father, by the

expression *unlike* as to substance or essence*. Since then both these opinions run into the very opposite extremes, the middle course between them appeared to us to be more consistent with truth and piety: we accordingly assert that the Son is like the Father *as to subsistence*.”† Such was the answer the Macedonians made by Sophronius to that question, as Sabinus assures us in his *Collection of the Acts of Synods*. But in decrying Aëtius as the author of the Anomoian doctrine, and not Acacius, they flagrantly disguise the truth, in order to seem as far removed from the Arians on the one side, as from the Homoousians on the other: for their own words convict them of having separated from them both, merely from the love of innovation. With these remarks we close our notice of these persons.

CHAPTER XI.

THE EMPEROR JULIAN EXACTS MONEY FROM THE CHRISTIANS.

ALTHOUGH at the beginning of his reign the emperor Julian conducted himself mildly toward all men, he did not continue to exhibit the same equanimity. He most readily indeed acceded to the requests of the Christians, when they tended in any way to cast odium on the memory of Constantius; but when no inducement of this kind influenced him, he made no effort to conceal the rancorous feelings which he entertained towards Christians in general. Accordingly he soon issued a mandate that the church of the

* 'Ανομολοῦ κατ' οὐσίαν.

† Καθ' ὑπόστασιν.

Novatians at Cyzicum, which Euzoïus had totally demolished, should be rebuilt, imposing a very heavy penalty upon Eleusis bishop of that city, if he failed to complete that structure at his own expense within the space of two months. Moreover he favoured the Pagan superstitions with the whole weight of his authority: for he not only opened their idolatrous temples, as we have before stated; but he himself also publicly offered sacrifices to the tutelar divinity* of the city of Constantinople in the cathedral,† where its image was erected.

CHAPTER XII.

OF MARIS BISHOP OF CHALCEDON.

ABOUT this time, Maris bishop of Chalcedon in Bithynia being led by the hand into the emperor's presence, because of his great age, and a disease which he had in his eyes termed *the pin and web*,‡ or *cataract*, severely rebuked his impiety, apostasy, and atheism. Julian answered his reproaches by loading him with contumelious epithets: "You blind old fool," said he, "this Galilæan God of yours will never cure you." For he was accustomed to term Christ *the Galilæan*, and Christians Galilæans. Maris with still greater boldness replied, "I thank God for bereaving me of my sight, that I might not behold the face of one who has fallen into such awful impiety." The emperor suffered this to pass without farther notice at that time; but he afterwards had his revenge. (Observing

* Τύχη, the public Genius.

† Βασιλική.

‡ Ὑπόχυσιν ὀφθαλμῶν.

that those who suffered martyrdom under the reign of Diocletian were greatly honoured by the Christians, and knowing that many among them were eagerly desirous of becoming martyrs, he determined to wreak his vengeance upon them in some other way. Abstaining therefore from the excessive cruelties which had formerly been practised, he nevertheless directed a persecution against them of a less outrageous kind: (for any measures adopted to disquiet and molest may justly be regarded as persecution). This then was the plan he pursued: he enacted a law by which Christians were excluded from the cultivation of literature; "Lest," said he, "when they have sharpened their tongue, they should be able the more readily to meet the arguments of the heathen."

CHAPTER XIII.

OF THE TUMULT EXCITED BY THE PAGANS AGAINST THE CHRISTIANS.

HE moreover interdicted such as would not abjure Christianity, and offer sacrifice to idols, from holding any office at court:* nor would he allow Christians to be governors of provinces; "for," said he, "their law forbids them to use the sword against offenders worthy of capital punishment." He also induced many to sacrifice, partly by flatteries, and partly by gifts. Tried in this furnace as it were, it at once became evident to all, who were the real Christians, and who were merely nominal ones. Such as were Christians in integrity of heart, very readily resigned their

* Κατὰ τὰ βασιλεια στρατεύεσθαι.

commission,* choosing to endure anything rather than deny Christ. Of this number were Jovian, Valentinian, and Valens, each of whom was afterwards invested with the imperial dignity. But others of unsound principles, who preferred the riches and honour of this world to the true felicity, sacrificed without hesitation. Such was Ecebolius, a sophist† of Constantinople, who accommodating himself to the dispositions of the emperors, pretended in the reign of Constantius to be a very zealous Christian; while in Julian's time he appeared an equally ardent Pagan: nay, after Julian's death, he again made a profession of Christianity, prostrating himself before the church doors, and calling out, "Trample on me, for I am as salt that has lost its savour." Of so fickle and inconstant a character was this person, throughout the whole period of his history. About this time the emperor became anxious to make reprisals on the Persians, for the frequent incursions they had made on the Roman territories in the reign of Constantius, and therefore marched with great expedition through Asia into the East. But as he well knew what a train of calamities attend a war, and what immense resources are needful to carry it on successfully, he craftily devised a plan for replenishing his treasury by extorting money from the Christians. On all those who refused to sacrifice he imposed a heavy fine, which was exacted with great rigour from such as were true Christians, every one being compelled to pay in proportion to what he possessed. By these unjust means the emperor soon amassed immense wealth; for this law was put in execution, not only

* Ζώνην ἀπερίθεντο.

† Professor of rhetoric.

where Julian was personally present, but also throughout all parts of the empire. The Pagans at the same time assailed the Christians; and there was a great concourse of those who styled themselves philosophers. They then proceeded to institute certain abominable mysteries; and sacrificing children of both sexes, they not only inspected their entrails, but even tasted their flesh. These infamous rites were practised in other cities, but more particularly at Athens and Alexandria; in which latter place, a calumnious accusation was made against Athanasius the bishop, the emperor being assured that he was intent on desolating not that city only, but all Egypt, and that nothing but his expulsion out of the country could save it. The governor of Alexandria was therefore instructed by an imperial edict to apprehend him.

CHAPTER XIV.

FLIGHT OF ATHANASIUS.

BUT he fled again, saying to his friends, "Let us retire for a little while; it is but a small cloud which will soon pass away." He then immediately embarked, and crossing the Nile, hastened with all speed into Egypt, closely pursued by those who sought to take him. When he understood that his pursuers were not far distant, and his attendants were urging him to retreat once more into the desert, he had recourse to an artifice that enabled him to effect his escape. He persuaded those who accompanied him to turn back and meet his adversaries, which they instantly did; and on approaching them they were simply

asked whether they had seen Athanasius: to which they replied that he was not a great way off, and that if they hastened they would soon overtake him. Being thus deluded, they started afresh in pursuit with quickened speed, but to no purpose; for Athanasius making good his retreat, returned secretly to Alexandria, and remained there concealed until the persecution was at an end. Such were the perils to which the bishop of Alexandria was exposed, after having been before subjected to so many afflictions and calamities, arising partly from Christians, and partly from the heathen. In addition to these things, the governors of the provinces taking advantage of the emperor's superstition to feed their own cupidity, committed more grievous outrages on the Christians than their sovereign had given them a warrant for; sometimes exacting larger sums of money than they ought to have done, and at others inflicting on them corporal punishments. The emperor was not ignorant of these excesses, but connived at them; and when the sufferers appealed to him against their oppressors, he tauntingly said, "It is your duty to bear these afflictions patiently; for this is the command of your God."

CHAPTER XV.

MARTYRS AT MERUS IN PHRYGIA, UNDER THE REIGN OF JULIAN.

AMACHIUS governor of Phrygia ordered that the temple at Merus, a city of that province, should be opened, and cleared of the filth which had accumu-

lated there by lapse of time: also that the statues it contained should be fresh polished. This revival of superstition was so obnoxious to the Christians, that three of their number, Macedonius, Theodulus and Tatian, unable to endure the indignity thus put upon their religion, and impelled by a fervent zeal for virtue, rushed by night into the temple, and brake the images in pieces. The governor infuriated at what had been done, would have destroyed many in that city who were altogether innocent, had not the authors of the deed voluntarily surrendered themselves, choosing rather to die themselves in defence of the truth, than to see others put to death in their stead. Being seized, they were ordered to expiate the crime they had committed by sacrificing: on their refusal to do this, their judge menaced them with tortures; but they despising his threats, being endowed with great courage, declared their readiness to undergo any sufferings, rather than pollute themselves by sacrificing. After being racked with a variety of torments, they were at last laid on grid-irons under which a fire was placed, and thus they were destroyed. But even in this last extremity they gave the most heroic proofs of fortitude, addressing the ruthless governor thus:—"If you wish to eat broiled flesh, Amachius, turn us on the other side also, lest we should appear but half-cooked to your taste."

CHAPTER XVI.

ON THE EMPEROR'S PROHIBITING CHRISTIANS BEING INSTRUCTED IN GREEK LITERATURE, THE TWO APOLLINARES COMPOSE BOOKS IN THAT LANGUAGE.

THE imperial law which forbade Christians to study Grecian literature, rendered the two Apollinares of whom we have above spoken, much more distinguished than before. For both being skilled in polite learning, the father as a grammarian, and the son as a rhetorician, they each became exceedingly serviceable to the Christians at this crisis. For the former, according to his art, composed a grammar consistent with the Christian faith: he also translated the Books of Moses into heroic verse; and paraphrased all the historical books of the Old Testament, putting them partly into dactylic measure, and partly reducing them to the form of dramatic tragedy. He purposely employed all kinds of verse, that no form of expression peculiar to the Greek language might be unknown or unheard of amongst Christians. The younger Apollinaris, who was well trained in eloquence, expounded the gospels and apostolic doctrines in the way of dialogue, following Plato among the Greeks as his model. By this joint service to the Christian cause, they baffled the emperor's subtlety. But Divine Providence was more potent than either of their labours, or the craft they had to contend with: for death in carrying off its framer, in the manner we shall hereafter explain, rendered the law wholly inoperative; and the works of these men are now of no greater importance, than

if they had never been written. I can imagine an objector demurring here, and making this enquiry:—"On what grounds do you affirm that both these things were effected by the providence of God? That the emperor's sudden death was very advantageous to Christianity is indeed evident: but surely the rejection of the Christian compositions of the two Apollinares, and the Christians beginning afresh to imbue their minds with the philosophy of the heathens, in which there is the constant assertion of Polytheism, instead of being conducive to the promotion of true religion, is rather to be deprecated as subversive of it." This objection I shall meet with such considerations as at present occur to me. Greek literature certainly was never recognised either by Christ or his Apostles as divinely-inspired,* nor on the other hand was it wholly rejected as pernicious. And thus they left it, I conceive, not inconsiderately. For there were many philosophers among the Greeks who were not far from the knowledge of God; and these being disciplined by logical science, strenuously opposed the Epicureans and other contentious Sophists who denied Divine Providence, confuting their ignorance. The writings of such men have ever been appreciated by all lovers of real piety: nevertheless they themselves were unacquainted with the Head of true religion, being ignorant of the mystery of Christ which had been hidden from generations and ages (Col. i. 26). And that this was so, the Apostle in his epistle to the Romans† thus declares:—"For the wrath of God is revealed from heaven against all ungodliness and unrighteousness of men, who hold the truth in

* Θεόπνευστος.

† Rom. i. 18—21.

unrighteousness. Because that which may be known of God is manifest in them; for God has shown it unto them. For the invisible things of him from the creation of the world are clearly seen, being understood by the things that are made, even his eternal power and Godhead,* that they may be without excuse: because that when they knew God, they glorified him not as God." From these words it appears that they had the knowledge of truth, which God had manifested to them; but were culpable on this account, that when they knew God, they glorified him not as God. Wherefore since it is not forbidden us to study the learned works of the Greeks, we are left at liberty to do so if we please. This is our first argument in defence of the position we took: another may be thus put. The divinely-inspired Scriptures undoubtedly inculcate doctrines that are both admirable in themselves, and heavenly in their character: they also eminently tend to produce piety and integrity of life in those who are guided by their precepts, pointing out a walk of faith which is highly approved of God. But they do not instruct us in the art of reasoning, by means of which we may be enabled successfully to resist those who oppose the truth. Besides adversaries are most easily foiled, when we can turn their own weapons against them. But this power was not supplied to Christians by the writings of the Apollinares. Julian well knew when he prohibited by law Christians from being educated in Greek literature, that the fables it contains would expose the whole Pagan system, of which he had become the champion, to ridicule and contempt.

* Εἰς τὸ εἶναι αὐτοὺς ἀναπολογήτους.

Even Socrates, the most celebrated of their philosophers, despised these absurdities, and was condemned to die on account of it, as if he had attempted to violate the sanctity of their deities. Moreover both Christ and his Apostle enjoin us "to become discriminating money-changers,* so that we might 'prove all things, and hold fast that which is good:'"† directing us also to "beware lest any one should spoil us through philosophy and vain deceit."‡ But this we cannot do, unless we possess ourselves of the weapons of our adversaries: taking care that in making this acquisition we do not adopt their sentiments, but analysing whatever is presented to us, reject the evil, but retain what is good and true; for good wherever it is found, is a property of truth. Should any one imagine that in making these assertions we wrest the Scriptures from their legitimate construction, let it be remembered that the Apostle not only does not forbid our being instructed in Greek learning, but that he himself seems by no means to have neglected it, inasmuch as he often quotes from Greek authors. Whence did he get the saying, "The Cretians are always liars, evil beasts, slow-bellies,"§ but from a perusal of "The Oracles of Epimenides," the Cretian Initiator? Or how would he have known this, "For we are also his offspring,"|| had he not been acquainted with "The Phenomena of Aratus" the astronomer? Again this sentence, "Evil

* *Τραπεζίται δόκιμοι*! This expression is not now found in Scripture, though Origen and Jerome attest it; and Usher supposes it to have been recorded as a saying of our Lord in "*the Gospel according to the Hebrews*"!!!

† 1 Thes. v. 21. ‡ Col. ii. 8. § Tit. i. 12. || Acts xvii. 28.

communications corrupt good manners,"* is a sufficient proof that he was conversant with "The Tragedies of Euripides." But what need is there of enlarging on this point? It is well known that in ancient times the doctors of the church by uninterrupted usage were accustomed to exercise themselves in the learning of the Greeks, until they had reached an advanced age: this they did with a view to strengthen and polish the mind, as well as to improve in eloquence; and at the same time to enable them to refute the errors of the heathen. With these remarks we close our allusion to the two Apollinares.

CHAPTER XVII.

THE EMPEROR PREPARING AN EXPEDITION AGAINST THE PERSIANS, ARRIVES AT ANTIOCH, WHERE HAVING PROVOKED THE RIDICULE OF THE INHABITANTS, HE RETORTS ON THEM BY A SATIRICAL PUBLICATION ENTITLED "MISOPŌGŌN, OR THE BEARD-HATER."

THE emperor having extorted immense sums of money from the Christians, accelerates his expedition against the Persians, and proceeds to Antioch in Syria. There, desiring to show the citizens how much he affected glory, he unduly depressed the prices of commodities; neither taking into account the circumstances of that time, nor reflecting how much the presence of an army inconveniences the population of a province, and lessens the supply of provisions to the cities. The merchants and retailers therefore left off trading, being unable to sustain the

* 1 Cor. xv. 33.

losses which the imperial edict entailed upon them; consequently the markets were unfurnished with necessaries. This arbitrary conduct, together with its effect, so exasperated the Antiochians, a people naturally predisposed to insolence, that they instantly broke forth into invectives against Julian; caricaturing his beard also, which was a very long one, and saying that it ought to be cut off and manufactured into ropes. They added that the bull which was impressed upon his coin, was a symbol of his having desolated the world. For this emperor, in his excess of superstitious devotion, was continually sacrificing bulls* on the altars of his idols; and had ordered the impression of a bull and altar to be made on his coin. Irritated by these scoffs, he threatened to punish the city of Antioch, and to return to Tarsus in Cilicia, giving orders that preparations should be made for his speedy departure thence. Libanius the sophist made this an occasion of composing two orations, one addressed to the emperor in behalf of the Antiochians, the other to the inhabitants of Antioch on the emperor's displeasure. It is however affirmed that these compositions were merely written, and never recited in public. Julian abandoning his former purpose of revenging himself on his satirists by injurious deeds, expended his wrath in reciprocating their abusive taunts; for he wrote a pamphlet against them which he entitled "Antiochicus or Misopōgōn," thus leaving an indelible stigma upon that city and its inhabitants. But we must now speak of the evils which he brought upon the Christians at Antioch.

* Hence Gregory of Nazianzen styles him, *καυσίραυρον*, bull-burner.

CHAPTER XVIII.

THE EMPEROR CONSULTING AN ORACLE, THE DEMON GIVES NO RESPONSE, BEING AWED BY THE PROXIMITY OF BABYLAS THE MARTYR.

HAVING ordered that the Pagan temples at Antioch should be opened, he was very eager to obtain an oracle from *Apollo Daphnæus*. But the demon that inhabited the temple remained silent through fear of his neighbour Babylas the martyr; for the coffin which contained the body of that saint was close by. When the emperor was informed of this circumstance, he commanded that the coffin should be immediately removed: upon which the Christians of Antioch, including women and children, transported the coffin from Daphne to the city, with solemn rejoicings and chanting of psalms. The psalms were such as cast reproach on the gods of the heathen, and those who put confidence in them and their images.

CHAPTER XIX.

WRATH OF THE EMPEROR, AND FIRMNESS OF THEODORE THE CONFESSOR.

THE emperor's real temper and disposition, which he had hitherto kept as much as possible from observation, now became fully manifested: for he who had boasted so much of his philosophy, was no longer able to restrain himself; but being goaded almost to madness by these reproachful hymns, he was ready

to inflict the same cruelties on the Christians, with which Diocletian had formerly visited them. Nevertheless his solicitude about the Persian expedition, afforded him no leisure for personally executing his wishes; he therefore commanded Sallust the Prætorian præfect to seize those who had been most conspicuous for their zeal in psalm-singing, in order to make examples of them. The præfect, though a Pagan, was far from being pleased with his commission; but since he durst not contravene it, he caused several of the Christians to be apprehended, and some of them were imprisoned. On one young man named Theodore, whom the heathens brought before him, he inflicted a variety of tortures, causing his person to be so lacerated that he was released from further punishment, under the supposition that he could not possibly outlive the torments he had endured: yet God preserved this sufferer, so that he long survived that confession. Rufinus, the author of an "Ecclesiastical History" written in Latin, states that he himself conversed with the same Theodore a considerable time afterwards: and on enquiring of him whether in the process of scourging and racking he had not felt the most agonizing pains, his answer was, that he was but little sensible of the tortures to which he was subjected; and that a young man stood by him who both wiped off the sweat which was produced by the acuteness of the ordeal through which he was passing, and at the same time strengthened his mind, so that he rendered this time of trial a season of rapture rather than of suffering. Such was the testimony of the excellent Theodore. About this time Persian ambassadors came to the emperor,

requesting him to terminate the war on certain express conditions. But Julian abruptly dismissed them, saying, "You shall very shortly see us in person, so that there will be no need of an embassy."

CHAPTER XX.

THE JEWS BEING INSTIGATED BY THE EMPEROR TO REBUILD THEIR TEMPLE, ARE FRUSTRATED IN THEIR ATTEMPT BY MIRACULOUS INTERPOSITION.

THE superstition of the emperor became still more apparent in his further attempts to molest the Christians. Being fond of sacrificing, he not only himself delighted in the blood of victims, but considered it an indignity offered to him, if others did not manifest a similar taste. And as he found but few persons of this stamp, he sent for the Jews and enquired of them why they abstained from sacrificing, since the law of Moses enjoined it? On their replying that it was not permitted them to do this in any other place than Jerusalem, he immediately ordered them to rebuild Solomon's temple. Meanwhile he himself proceeded on his expedition against the Persians. The Jews who had been long desirous of obtaining a favourable opportunity for rearing their temple afresh in order that they might therein offer sacrifice, applied themselves very vigorously to the work; and conducting themselves with great insolence toward the Christians, threatened to do them as much mischief, as they had themselves suffered from the Romans. The emperor having ordered that the expenses of this structure should be defrayed out of the public treasury, all

things were soon provided; so that they were furnished with timber and stone, burnt brick, clay, lime, and all other materials necessary for building. On this occasion Cyril bishop of Jerusalem, calling to mind the prophecy of Daniel, which Christ also in the holy gospels has confirmed, predicted in the presence of many persons, that the time would very soon come in which one stone should not be left upon another in that temple, but that the Saviour's prophetic* declaration should have its full accomplishment. Such were the bishop's words: and on the night following, a mighty earthquake tore up the stones of the old foundations of the temple, and dispersed them all together with the adjacent edifices. This circumstance exceedingly terrified the Jews; and the report of it brought many to the spot who resided at a great distance: when therefore a vast multitude was assembled another prodigy took place. Fire came down from heaven and consumed all the builders' tools: so that for one entire day the flames were seen preying upon mallets, irons to smooth and polish stones, saws, hatchets, adzes, in short all the various implements which the workmen had procured as necessary for the undertaking. The Jews indeed were in the greatest possible alarm, and unwillingly confessed that Christ is God: yet they did not his will; but influenced by inveterate prepossessions they still clung to Judaism. Even a third miracle which afterwards happened failed to induce a belief of the truth. For the next night luminous impressions of a cross appeared imprinted on their garments, which at day-break they in vain attempted to rub or wash out.

* Λόγιον.

They were therefore blinded as the apostle says, and cast away the good which they had in their hands: and thus was the temple, instead of being rebuilt, at that time wholly overthrown.

CHAPTER XXI.

THE EMPEROR'S IRRUPTION INTO PERSIA, AND DEATH.

JULIAN having learnt that the Persians were greatly enfeebled and totally spiritless in winter, and that from their inability to endure cold, and abstaining from military service at that season, it became a proverb that *a Mede will not then draw his hand from underneath his cloak*, marched his army into the Persian territories a little before spring; well knowing that the Romans were inured to brave all the rigours of the atmosphere. After devastating a considerable tract of country, including numerous villages and fortresses, they next assailed the cities; and having invested the great city Ctesiphon, the king of the Persians was reduced to such straits that he sent repeated embassies to the emperor, offering to surrender a portion of his dominions, on condition of his quitting the country, and putting an end to the war. But Julian was unaffected by these submissions, and showed no compassion to a suppliant foe: forgetful of the adage, *To conquer is honourable, but to be more than conqueror* is odious*. Giving credit to the divinations of the philosopher Maximus, with whom he was in continual intercourse, he was deluded into the belief that his exploits would not only equal, but exceed

* Ὑπερνικᾶν, the same expression as is used Rom. viii. 37.

those of Alexander of Macedon; so that he spurned with contempt the entreaties of the Persian monarch. Nay, so imposed on was he by the absurd notions of Pythagoras and Plato on *the transmigration of souls*,* that he imagined himself to be possessed of Alexander's soul, or rather that he himself was Alexander in another body. These ridiculous fancies preventing his listening to any negotiations for peace, the king of the Persians was constrained to prepare for conflict, and therefore on the next day after the rejection of his embassy, he drew out in order of battle all the forces he had. The Romans indeed censured their prince, for not avoiding an engagement when he might have done so with advantage: nevertheless they attacked those who opposed them, and again put the enemy to flight. The emperor was present on horseback, and encouraged his soldiers in battle; but confiding in his hope of success, he wore no armour. In this defenceless state, a dart cast by some one unknown, pierced through his arm and entered his side, making a wound that caused his death. Some say that a certain Persian hurled the javelin, and then fled; others assert that one of his own men was the author of the deed, which indeed is the best corroborated and most current report. But Callistus, one of his body-guards, who celebrated this emperor's deeds in heroic verse, says in narrating the particulars of this war, that the wound of which he died was inflicted by a demon. This is possibly a mere poetical fiction, or perhaps it was really the fact; for vengeful furies† have undoubtedly destroyed many persons. Be the case however as it may, this is certain, that

* Μετενσωματώσεως.

† Ἐρινύες.

the ardour of his natural temperament rendered him incautious, his learning made him vain, and his affection of clemency exposed him to contempt. Thus Julian's existence was terminated in Persia, as we have said, in his fourth consulate, which he bore with Sallust his colleague. This event occurred on the 26th of June, in the third year of his reign, and the seventh from his having been created Cæsar by Constantius, he being at that time in the thirty-first year of his age.

CHAPTER XXII.

JOVIAN IS PROCLAIMED EMPEROR.

THE soldiery in extreme perplexity at an event so unexpected, on the following day proclaim Jovian emperor, a person alike distinguished by his courage and birth. He was a military tribune when Julian put forth an edict giving his officers the option of either sacrificing or resigning their rank in the army, and chose rather to lay down his commission, than to obey the mandate of an impious prince. Julian however being pressed by the urgency of the war which was before him, would not accept his resignation, but continued him among his generals. On being saluted emperor, he positively declined to accept the sovereign power: and when the soldiers brought him forward by force, he declared that being a Christian, he did not wish to reign over a people devoted to idolatrous superstitions. They all then with one voice answered that they also were Christians: upon which he allowed himself to be invested with the imperial dignity.

Perceiving himself suddenly left in very difficult circumstances, in the heart of a hostile country, where his army was in danger of perishing for want of necessaries, he agreed to terminate the war, even on terms by no means honourable to the glory of the Roman name; although the exigencies of the present crisis obliged him to accede to them. Submitting therefore to the loss of the borders* of the empire, (i. e. the districts beyond the Tigris,) and giving up also Nisibis, a city of Mesopotamia, to the Persians, he withdrew from their territories. The announcement of these things gave fresh hope to the Christians; while the Pagans vehemently bewailed Julian's death. Nevertheless the whole army reprobated his intemperate heat, and ascribed to his rashness in listening to the wily reports of a Persian deserter, the humiliating position in which they found themselves subsequently placed: for being imposed upon by the statements of this fugitive, he was induced to burn the ships which supplied them with provisions by water, by which means they were exposed to all the horrors of famine. Libanius composed a Funeral Oration on him, which he designated *the Julianian Epitaph*, wherein he not only celebrates with lofty encomiums almost all his actions; but in referring to the books which Julian wrote against the Christians, says that he has therein clearly demonstrated the ridiculous and trifling character of their sacred books. Had this sophist contented himself with extolling the

* The original is τοὺς Σύρονες τῆς ἀρχῆς, the government of Syria, which is confirmed by Epiphanius and Nicephorus: but Valerius denies the fact, and says the true reading should be τοὺς ὄρονες τῆς ἀρχῆς, which seems to be afterwards established by Socrates himself.

emperor's other acts, I should have quietly proceeded with the course of my history; but since this violent declaimer has thought proper to take occasion to inveigh against the Christian Religion, we shall pause a little to consider his words.

CHAPTER XXIII.

REFUTATION OF THE STATEMENTS OF LIBANIUS THE SOPHIST CONCERNING JULIAN.

“WHEN the winter,” says he, “had lengthened the nights, the emperor undertook an examination of those books which make the man of Palestine both God, and the Son of God: and by a long series of arguments he has incontrovertibly proved that these writings, which are so much revered by Christians, abound with the most superstitious extravagances. In this matter therefore he has evinced himself wiser and more skilful than the Tyrian* old man. But may this Tyrian sage be propitious to me, and mildly bear with what has been affirmed, seeing that he has been excelled by his son!” Such is the language of Libanius, who was unquestionably a man of great oratorical ability. But I am persuaded that had he not coincided with the emperor in religious sentiment, he would not only have given expression to all that has been said against him by Christians, but would have magnified every ground of censure with all the elaborateness of his art. While Constantius was alive he wrote encomiums upon him; but after his death he brought the most insulting and

* Porphyry.

reproachful charges against him. If Porphyry had been emperor, Libanius would certainly have preferred his books to Julian's: and had Julian been a mere sophist,* he would have termed him a very indifferent one, as he does Ecebolius in his "Epitaph upon Julian." Since then he has spoken in the spirit of a Pagan, a sophist, and the friend of him whom he lauded, we shall endeavour to meet what he has advanced, as far as we are able. In the first place he says that the emperor undertook to examine these books during the long winter nights: by which he means that he devoted that time in writing a confutation of them, as the sophists commonly do in teaching the rudiments of their art; for he had perused these books long before. But throughout the whole tedious contest into which he entered, instead of attempting to disprove any thing by sound reasoning, as Libanius asserts, the conscious want of truth and solid argument obliged him to have recourse to sneers and contemptuous jests, of which he was excessively fond; and thus he sought to hold up to derision, what is too firmly established to be overthrown. Thus too we often see one who enters into controversy with another, sometimes trying to pervert the truth, and at others to conceal it, endeavouring by every possible means to obtain an unfair advantage over his antagonist. And an adversary is not satisfied with doing malignant acts against one with whom he is at variance, but will speak against him also, and charge upon the object of his dislike the very faults he is conscious of in himself. That both Julian and Porphyry, whom Libanius calls the Tyrian old

* Rhetorician.

man, took great delight in scoffing, is evident from their own works. For Porphyry in his "History of the Philosophers" has treated with ridicule the life of Socrates, the most eminent of them all, making such remarks on him as neither Melitus, nor Anytus, his accusers, would have dared to utter: a man admired by all the Greeks for his modesty, justice, and other virtues; whom Plato, the most admirable among them, Xenophon, and the rest of the philosophic band, not only honour as one beloved of God, but also account as having been endowed with superhuman intelligence. And Julian, imitating his father,* displayed a like morbidness of mind in his book entitled "The Cæsars," wherein he traduces all his imperial predecessors, not sparing even Mark the philosopher. Their own writings therefore show that they both took pleasure in taunts and reviling: and that such was the natural propensity of Julian in particular, is thus attested by Gregory of Nazianzen, in his "Second Oration against the Pagans."

"These things were made evident to others by experience, after the possession of imperial authority had left him free to follow the bent of his inclinations: but I had foreseen it all, from the time I became acquainted with him at Athens. Thither he came, by permission of the emperor, soon after the change in his brother's fortune. His motive for this visit was twofold: one reason was honourable to him, viz. to see Greece, and attend the schools† there; the other was a clandestine one, which few knew anything about, for his impiety had not yet presumed to openly avow itself, viz. to have opportunity of con-

* Porphyry.

† Παιδευτηρίων.

sulting the sacrificers and other impostors respecting his own destiny. I well remember that even then I was no bad diviner concerning this person, although I by no means pretend to be one of those skilled in the art of divination: but the fickleness of his disposition, and the incredible extravagancy of his mind, rendered me prophetic; if indeed he is the best prophet whose conjectures are verified by subsequent events. For it seemed to me that no good was portended by a neck seldom steady, the frequent shrugging of shoulders, an eye scowling* and always in motion, together with a phrenzied aspect; a gait irregular and tottering, a nose breathing only contempt and insult, with ridiculous contortions of countenance expressive of the same thing; immoderate and very loud laughter, nods as it were of assent, and drawings back of the head as if in denial, without any visible cause; speech with hesitancy and interrupted by his breathing; disorderly and senseless questions, with answers of a corresponding character, all jumbled together without the least consistency or method. Why need I enter into more minute particulars? Long before time had developed in action the sort of person he really was, I had foreseen what his conduct has made manifest. And if any of those who were then present and heard me, were now here, they would readily testify that when I observed these prognostics I exclaimed, *Ah! how great a mischief to itself is the Roman empire fostering!* And that when I had uttered these words I prayed God that I might be a false prophet. For it would have been far happier that I should have been convicted of having

* Σοβουμένος.

formed an erroneous judgment, than that the world should be filled with so many calamities, by the existence of a monster such as never before appeared: although many deluges and conflagrations are recorded, many earthquakes and chasms, and descriptions are given of many ferocious and inhuman men, as well as prodigies of the brute creation, compounded of different races, of which nature produced unusual forms. His end has indeed been such as corresponds with the madness of his career."

This is the sketch which Gregory has given us of Julian. Moreover that in their various compilations they have endeavoured to subvert the truth, sometimes by the corruption of passages of sacred Scripture, at others by either adding or taking away from the express words, and putting such a construction upon them as suited their own purpose, many have demonstrated, who in answering their cavils, have abundantly exposed their fallacies. Origen in particular, who lived long before Julian's time, by himself raising objections to such passages of Holy Scripture as seemed to disturb some readers, and then fully meeting them, has repelled the invidious clamours of the ill-affected. And had Julian and Porphyry given his writings a candid and serious perusal, they would have discoursed on other topics, and not have lent their minds to the framing of blasphemous sophisms. It is also very obvious that the emperor in his discourses was intent on beguiling the ignorant, and did not address himself to those who retain an impression of the truth as it is presented in the sacred Scriptures. For having grouped together various

expressions in which God is spoken of dispensationally, and more according to the manner of men, he thus comments on them. "Every one of these expressions is full of blasphemy against God, unless the phrase contains some occult and mysterious sense, which indeed I can suppose." This is the language he uses in his third book *against the Christians*. But in his treatise "On the Cynic Philosophy," where he shows to what extent fables may be invented on religious subjects, he says that in such matters the truth must be veiled: "For," to quote his very words, "Nature loves concealment; and the hidden substance of the gods cannot endure being cast into polluted ears in naked words." From which it is manifest that the emperor entertained this notion concerning the divine Scriptures, that they are mystical discourses, containing in them some abstruse meaning. He is also very indignant because all men do not form the same opinion of them; and inveighs against those Christians who understand the sacred oracles in a more literal sense. But it ill became him to rail so vehemently against the simplicity of the vulgar, and on their account to behave so arrogantly towards the sacred Scriptures: nor was he warranted in turning with aversion from those things which others rightly apprehended, because they understood them otherwise than he desired they should. A similar cause of disgust seems to have operated upon him that affected Porphyry, who having been beaten by some Christians at Cæsarea in Palestine, from the working of unrestrained rage renounced the Christian religion: and his hatred of those who had beaten him further urged him to write blasphemous works against

Christians, which have been ably answered by Eusebius Pamphilus, who at the same time exposes the motives by which he was influenced. So the emperor having uttered disdainful expressions against the Christians in the presence of an unthinking multitude, through the same morbid condition of mind fell into Porphyry's blasphemies. Since therefore they both wilfully broke forth into impiety, they are punished by the consciousness of their guilt. But when Libanius the Sophist says in derision, that the Christians make a man of Palestine both God and the Son of God, he appears to have forgotten that he himself has deified Julian at the close of his oration. "For they almost killed," says he, "the first messenger of his death, as if he had lied against a god." And a little afterwards he adds, "O thou cherished one of the demons! thou disciple of the demons! thou assessor* with the demons!" Now although Libanius may have meant otherwise, yet inasmuch as he did not avoid the ambiguity of a word which is sometimes taken in a bad sense, he seems to have said the same things as the Christians had done reproachfully. If then it was his intention to praise him, he ought to have avoided equivocal terms; as he did on another occasion, when he substituted a more definite word for one which had been objected to. Moreover that man in Christ was united to the Godhead, so that while he was apparently but man, he was the invisible God, and that both these things are most true, the divine books of Christians distinctly teach. But the heathen before they believe, cannot understand: for it is the oracle of God that declares (Isa. vii. 9),

* Παρεδρενρά.

“Unless ye believe, assuredly ye shall not understand.” Wherefore they are not ashamed to place many men among the number of their gods: and would that, as to their morals, they had at least been good, just and sober, instead of being impure, unjust and addicted to drunkenness, like the Hercules, the Bacchus, and the Æsculapius, by whom Libanius does not blush to swear frequently in his orations. It would lead me into a tedious digression were I to attempt to describe the unnatural debaucheries and infamous adulteries of these objects of their worship: but those who desire to be informed on the subject, will find abundant evidence in “Aristotle’s Peplum,” “Dionysius’s Corona,” “Rheginus’s Polymnemōn,” and the whole host of poets, that the Pagan theology is a tissue of extravagant absurdities. We might indeed shew by a variety of instances that the practice of deifying human beings was far from uncommon among the heathen, nay that they did so without the slightest hesitation: let a few examples suffice. The Rhodians having consulted an oracle on some public calamity, a response was given directing them to pay their adoration to Atys, a Pagan priest who instituted frantic rites in Phrygia. The oracle was thus expressed:—

“Atys the mighty god propitiate,
Adonis chaste devoutly supplicate,
The fair-hair’d Bacchus claims your pious vows,
Who life’s best gifts abundantly bestows.”

Here Atys, who from an amatory mania had cast-rated himself, is by the oracle designated as Adonis and Bacchus.

* From LXX. Καὶ ἐὰν μὴ πιστεύσητε, οὐδὲ μὴ συνῆτε.

Again, when Alexander king of the Macedonians passed over into Asia, the Amphictyons courted his favour, and the Pythoness uttered this oracle:—

“ To Jove supreme who holds o’er gods his sway,
And Pallas Tritogenia homage pay,
The king divine in mortal form conceal’d,
His glorious lineage by his acts reveal’d:
Justice and Truth his heaven-born race proclaim,
And nations bow at Alexander’s name.”

These are the words of the demon at Delphos, who when he wished to flatter potentates, did not scruple to assign them a place among the gods. The motive here was plainly to conciliate by adulation: but what adequate inducement was there in the case of Cleomedes the pugilist, whom they ranked among the gods in this oracle:—

“ To Cleomedes, mortal now no more,
As last of heroes, full libations pour.”

Diogenes the cynic, and Oënomaus the philosopher, strongly condemned Apollo because of this oracle. The inhabitants of Cyzicum declared Adrian to be the thirteenth god; and that emperor himself deified his own catamite Antinoüs. Libanius does not term these ridiculous and contemptible absurdities, although he was familiar with these oracles, as well as with Lucian’s* life of Alexander (the pseudo-prophet of Paphlagonia): nor does he himself hesitate to dignify Porphyry in a similar manner, when after having pre-

* Adrias in the original, Andrias in Flor. MS., Adrian according to Langus, and others write Arrian, all which Valesius doubts the authenticity of, believing that Socrates here alludes to the Ἀλέξανδρος ἡ ψευδόμαντις of Lucian.

ferred Julian's books to his, he says, " May the Syrian be propitious to me." This digression will suffice to repel the scoffs of the sophist, without following him farther in what he has advanced; for to enter into a complete refutation would require an express work. We shall therefore proceed with our history.

CHAPTER XXIV.

ANXIETY OF THE BISHOPS TO INDUCE JOVIAN TO FAVOUR THEIR OWN CREED.

AFTER Jovian's return from Persia, ecclesiastical commotions were again renewed: for those who presided over the churches endeavoured to anticipate each other, in the hope of influencing the emperor to favour their own tenets. He however had from the beginning adhered to the Homousian faith, and openly declared that he preferred this to all others. He wrote also by way of encouragement to Athanasius, who immediately after Julian's death had recovered the Alexandrine church; and recalled from exile all those prelates whom Constantius had banished, and who had not been re-established by Julian. Moreover the Pagan temples were again shut up, and their priests secreted themselves wherever they were able. The philosophers also laid aside their palliums, and clothed themselves in ordinary attire. That public pollution by the blood of victims, which had been profusely lavished even to disgust in the preceding reign, was now likewise taken away.

CHAPTER XXV.

THE MACEDONIANS AND ACACIANS CONVENE AT ANTIOCH,
AND DECLARE THEIR ASSENT TO THE NICENE CREED.

MEANWHILE the state of the church was by no means tranquil; for the heads of each party assiduously paid their court to the emperor, with a view of obtaining not only protection for themselves, but also power against their opponents. And first the Macedonians present a petition to him, in which they begged that all those who asserted the Son to be unlike the Father, might be expelled from the churches, and themselves allowed to take their place. This supplication was presented by Basil bishop of Ancyra, Silvanus of Tarsus, Sophronius of Pompeiopolis, Pasinicus of Zelæ, Leontius of Comani, Calliocrates of Claudiopolis, and Theophilus of Castabali. The emperor having perused it, dismissed them without any other answer than this: "I abominate contentiousness; but I love and honour those who exert themselves to promote unanimity." When this remark became generally known, it effected the emperor's purpose in making it, by subduing the violence of those who were desirous of altercation. At this time the real spirit of the Acacian sect, and their readiness to accommodate their opinions to those invested with supreme authority, became more conspicuous than ever. For assembling themselves at Antioch in Syria, they entered into a conference with Meletius, who had separated from them a little before, and embraced the Homoousian opinion. This they

did because they saw Meletius was in high estimation with the emperor, who then resided at Antioch. Having therefore followed his example, and assented to the Nicene creed, they by common consent drew up a declaration of their sentiments, and presented it to Jovian. It was expressed in the following terms.

“ The Synod of bishops convened at Antioch out of various provinces, to the most pious and dear to God, our lord Jovian Victor Augustus.

“ That your piety has above all things aimed at establishing the peace and harmony of the church, we ourselves, most devout emperor, are fully aware. Nor are we insensible that you have wisely judged an acknowledgement of the orthodox faith to be the fountain-head of this unity. Wherefore lest we should be included in the number of those who adulterate the doctrine of the truth, we hereby declare to your piety that we embrace and steadfastly hold the faith of the holy Synod formerly convened at Nice. Especially since the term *ὁμοουσίος consubstantial*, which to some seems novel and inappropriate, has been judiciously explained by the fathers to denote simply that the Son was begotten of the Father’s substance, and that he is like the Father as to substance. Not indeed that any passion is to be understood in relation to that ineffable generation. Nor is the term (*οὐσία*) *substance* taken by the fathers in any usual signification of it among the Greeks; but it has been employed for the subversion of what Arius impiously dared to assert concerning Christ, viz.—that he was made of things not existing. Which heresy the Anomoians, who have lately sprung up, still more audaciously maintain, to the utter destruction of ecclesiastical unity.

We have therefore annexed to this our declaration, a copy of the faith set forth by the bishops assembled at Nice, which we also fully recognise. It is this:— ‘We believe in one God the Father Almighty,’ and all the rest of the Creed.* We the undersigned, in presenting this statement, most cordially assent to its contents. Meletius bishop of Antioch, Eusebius of Samosata, Evagrius of Sicily,† Uranius of Apamæa, Zoilus of Larissa, Acacius of Cæsarea, Antipater of Rhosus, Abramius of Urimi, Aristonicus of Seleucia-upon-Belus, Barlamenus of Pergamus, Uranius of Melitina, Magnus of Chalcedon, Eutychius of Eleutheropolis, Isacoces of Armenia Major, Titus of Bostra, Peter of Sippi,‡ Pelagius of Laodicæa, Arabian of Antros, Piso of Adani, Lamydrion a presbyter, Sabinian bishop of Zeugma, Athanasius of Ancyra, Orphitus and Aëtius presbyters, Irenius bishop of Gaza, Piso of Augusta, Patricius of Paltus, Lamyrion a presbyter, Anatolius bishop of Bercea, Theotinus of the Arabs, and Lucian of Arcen.”

This declaration we found recorded in that work of Sabinus, entitled “A Collection of the Acts of Synods.” But the emperor had resolved to allay if possible the contentious spirit of the parties at variance, by bland manners and persuasive language toward them all; declaring that he would not molest any one on account of his religious sentiments, and that he should love and highly esteem such as would zealously promote the unity of the church. The philosopher Themistius attests that such was his conduct, in the oration he composed on his consulate; in which he

* Μαθήματα.

† Σικελῶν, Siculi.

‡ Σίππων, Valesius says it should be Hippus.

extols the emperor for his liberality in freely permitting every one to worship God according to the dictates of his conscience. And in allusion to the check which the sycophants received, he facetiously observes that experience has made it evident that such persons worship the purple and not the Deity; and resemble the changeful Euripus,* which sometimes rolls its waves in one direction, and at others the very opposite way.

CHAPTER XXVI.

DEATH OF THE EMPEROR JOVIAN.

THUS did the emperor repress at that time the impetuosity of those who were disposed to cavil: and immediately departing from Antioch, he went to Tarsus in Cilicia, where after the due performance of the funeral obsequies of Julian, he was declared Consul. Proceeding thence direct to Constantinople, he arrived at a place named Dadastana, situated on the frontiers of Galatia and Bithynia. There Themistius the philosopher, with others of the senatorian order, met him, and pronounced the consular oration before him, which he afterwards recited before the people at Constantinople. The Roman empire, blest with so excellent a sovereign, would doubtless have flourished exceedingly, as it is likely that both the civil and ecclesiastical departments would have been happily administered, had not his sudden death bereft the state of so eminent a personage. But disease caused by some obstruction, having attacked him at the

* The Straits of Negropont.

place above-mentioned during the winter season, he died there on the 17th day of February, in his own and his son Varronian's consulate, in the thirty-third year of his age, after having reigned but seven months.

This Book contains an account of the events which took place in the space of two years and five months.

END OF THE THIRD BOOK.

BOOK IV.

CHAPTER I.

AFTER JOVIAN'S DEATH, VALENTINIAN IS PROCLAIMED EMPEROR, WHO MAKES HIS BROTHER VALENS HIS COLLEAGUE IN THE EMPIRE; VALENTINIAN HOLDING THE ORTHODOX FAITH, BUT VALENS BEING AN ARIAN.

THE army leaving Galatia after the death of Jovian, arrived at Nice* in Bithynia in seven days' march, and there unanimously proclaimed Valentinian emperor, on the 25th of February, in the same consulate. He was born at Cibalis, a city of Pannonia, and being entrusted with a military command, had displayed great skill in tactics. He was moreover endowed with such greatness of mind, that he always appeared superior to any degree of honour he might have attained. After having been created emperor, he proceeded forthwith to Constantinople; and thirty days after his own possession of the imperial dignity, he makes his brother Valens his colleague in the empire. They both professed Christianity, but did not hold the same religious sentiments: for Valentinian respected the Nicene Creed; but Valens having been baptized by Eudoxius bishop of Constantinople, was prepossessed in favour of the Arian opinions. Each of them was zealous for the views of his own party; but when they had attained sovereign power, they manifested very different dispositions. In the reign of Julian, when Valentinian was a military tribune, and Valens

* Νίκαιαν.

held a command in the emperor's guards, they both proved their attachment to the faith, by declaring themselves willing to relinquish their military rank, rather than renounce Christianity by sacrificing. Julian however, knowing their ability to serve the state, retained them in their respective places, as did also Jovian, his successor in the empire. On their being invested with imperial authority, they exhibited equal diligence in the management of public affairs, but behaved themselves very differently in relation to ecclesiastical matters: for Valentinian while he favoured those who agreed with him in sentiment, offered no violence to the Arians; but Valens in his anxiety to promote the Arian cause, grievously disturbed those who differed from them, as the course of our history will show. Liberius at that time presided over the Roman church. Athanasius was bishop of the Homoousians at Alexandria, while Lucius had been constituted George's successor by the Arians. At Antioch Euzoïus was at the head of the Arians: but the Homoousians were divided into two parties, of one of which Paul was chief, and Meletius of the other. Cyril was re-established in the church at Jerusalem. The churches at Constantinople were under the government of Eudoxius, who openly taught the dogmas of Arianism, the Homoousians having but one small edifice in the city wherein to hold their assemblies. Those of the Macedonian heresy who had dissented from the Acacians at Seleucia, then retained their churches in every city. Such was the state of ecclesiastical affairs at that time.

CHAPTER II.

VALENTINIAN GOES INTO THE WEST, LEAVING VALENS AT CONSTANTINOPLE, WHO ACCEDES TO THE REQUEST OF THE MACEDONIANS THAT A SYNOD MIGHT BE CONVENED, BUT PERSECUTES THE HOMOIOUSIANS.

THE exigencies of the state requiring the presence of one of the emperors in the western parts of the empire, Valentinian goes thither: meanwhile Valens residing at Constantinople, is addressed by most of the prelates of the Macedonian heresy, requesting that another Synod might be convened for the reformation of the creed. The emperor supposing they agreed in sentiment with Eudoxius and Acacius, gave them permission to do so: these persons therefore made preparations for assembling in the city of Lampsacus. But Valens proceeds with the utmost despatch toward Antioch in Syria, fearing lest the Persians should violate the treaty into which they had entered for thirty years in the reign of Jovian, and invade the Roman territories. They however remained quiet; and Valens employed this season of external tranquillity to prosecute a war of extermination against all who acknowledged the Homoeousian doctrine. Paulinus their bishop, because of his eminent piety, alone remained unmolested. Meletius was sent into exile: and all who refused to communicate with Euzoïus, were driven from the churches in Antioch, and subjected to various losses and punishments. It is even affirmed that the emperor caused many to be drowned in the river Orontes, which flows by that city.

CHAPTER III.

WHILE VALENS PERSECUTES THE ORTHODOX CHRISTIANS IN THE EAST, A TYRANT ARISES AT CONSTANTINOPLE NAMED PROCOPIUS: AND AT THE SAME TIME AN EARTHQUAKE AND INUNDATION TAKE PLACE.

WHILE Valens was thus occupied in Syria, there arose a tyrant at Constantinople named Procopius; who having collected a large body of troops in a very short time, meditated an expedition against the emperor. This intelligence, by creating solitudes of another kind, checked for a while the persecution he had commenced against all who dared to differ from him in opinion. And while the commotions of a civil war were painfully anticipated, an earthquake occurred which did much damage to many cities. The sea also changed its accustomed boundaries, and overflowed to such an extent in some places, that vessels might sail where roads had previously existed; and it retired so much from other places, that the ground became dry. These events happened in the first consulate of the two emperors.

CHAPTER IV.

THE MACEDONIANS CONVENE A SYNOD AT LAMPSACUS, DURING A PERIOD OF BOTH SECULAR AND ECCLESIASTICAL AGITATION; AND AFTER CONFIRMING THE ANTIOCHIAN CREED, AND ANATHEMATIZING THAT PROMULGATED AT RIMINI, THEY AGAIN RATIFY THE DEPOSITION OF ACACIUS AND EUDOXIUS.

. IN this unsettled condition of things, in relation both to the church and state, those who had been

empowered by the emperor to hold a council, assembled at Lampsacus in the consulate just mentioned, being seven years after the council of Seleucia. There, after confirming the Antiochian creed, to which they had subscribed at Seleucia, they anathematize that which had been set forth at Rimini by those prelates with whom they had formerly agreed in opinion. They moreover again condemn the party of Acacius and Eudoxius, and declare their deposition to have been just. The civil war which was then impending, prevented Eudoxius bishop of Constantinople from either gainsaying or revenging these determinations. Wherefore Eleusis bishop of Cyzicum and his adherents continued for a little while the stronger party; inasmuch as they supported the views of Macedonius, which although before but obscurely known, acquired great publicity through the Synod at Lampsacus. Hence it was, I suppose, that the Macedonians became so numerous in the Hellespont, Lampsacus being situated in one of its narrow bays. Such was the issue of this council.

CHAPTER V.

ENGAGEMENT BETWEEN VALENS AND PROCOPIUS NEAR
NACOLIA IN PHRYGIA; AFTER WHICH THE TYRANT IS
BETRAYED BY HIS CHIEF OFFICERS, AND WITH THEM
PUT TO DEATH.

THE war was commenced in the following year under the consulate of Gratian and Dagalaifus. For as soon as the tyrant Procopius, leaving Constantinople, began his march at the head of his army

toward the emperor, Valens on receiving intelligence of it, hastens from Antioch, and comes to an engagement with him near Nacolia a city of Phrygia. The tyrant had the advantage in the first encounter; but soon after he was taken alive, through the treachery of Agilo and Gomarius, two of his generals, who together with their leader were despatched by the most extraordinary punishments. Valens had indeed pledged himself to spare the traitors, but disregarding his oaths, he caused them to be executed by being sawn asunder. Two trees standing near each other being forcibly bowed down, one of the tyrant's legs was fastened to each of them, after which the trees being suddenly permitted to recover their erect position, by their rise rent the tyrant into two parts, and thus miserably destroyed him.

CHAPTER VI.

AFTER THE TYRANT'S DEATH, VALENS CONSTRAINS THOSE WHO COMPOSED THE SYNOD, AND ALL CHRISTIANS, TO PROFESS ARIAN TENETS.

THE emperor having thus successfully terminated the conflict, immediately began to disquiet the Christians, with the design of inducing all persons to acknowledge Arian sentiments. But he was especially incensed against those who had composed the Synod at Lampsacus, not only on account of their deposition of the Arian bishops, but because they had anathematized the creed published at Rimini. On arriving therefore at Nicomedia in Bithynia, he sent for Eleusis bishop of Cyzicum, who, as I have before

said, closely adhered to the opinions of Macedonius; and having convened a council of Arian bishops, he commanded Eleusius to give his assent to their faith. At first he refused to do so, but on being terrified with threats of banishment and confiscation of property, he reluctantly submitted. Immediately afterwards he repented; and returning to Cyzicum, bitterly complained in presence of all the people of the violence which had been used to extort an insincere acquiescence. He then exhorted them to seek another bishop for themselves, since he had been compelled to renounce his own opinion. But the inhabitants of Cyzicum loved and venerated him too much to think of losing him; they therefore refused to be subject to any other bishop, nor would they permit him to retire from his own church: and thus continuing under his oversight, they remained steadfast in their own heresy.

CHAPTER VII.

EUNOMIUS SUPERSEDES ELEUSIUS IN THE SEE OF CYZICUM.
HIS ORIGIN AND IMITATION OF AETIUS, WHOSE AMANU-
ENSIS HE HAD BEEN.

THE bishop of Constantinople being informed of this circumstance, constitutes Eunomius bishop of Cyzicum, inasmuch as he was a person able by his eloquence to win over the minds of the multitude to his own way of thinking. On his arrival at Cyzicum an imperial edict was published in which it was ordered that Eleusius should be ejected, and Eunomius installed in his place. This being carried

into effect, those who attached themselves to Eleusius, after erecting a sacred edifice* without the city, assembled there with him. But enough has been said of Eleusius: let us now give some account of Eunomius. He had been secretary to Aëtius, surnamed Atheus, of whom we have before spoken, and had learnt from conversing with him, to imitate his *sophistical* mode of reasoning; being little aware that while exercising himself in framing fallacious arguments, and in the use of certain insignificant terms, he was really deceiving himself. This habit however inflated him with pride, and falling into blasphemous heresies, he became an advocate of the dogmas of Arius, and in various ways an adversary to the doctrines of truth. He had but a very slender knowledge of the letter of Scripture, and was wholly unable to enter into the spirit of it. Yet he abounded in words, and was accustomed to repeat the same thoughts in different terms, without ever arriving at a clear explanation of what he had proposed to himself. Of this his seven books on the Apostle's Epistle to the Romans, on which he bestowed a quantity of vain labour, is a remarkable proof: for although he has employed an immense number of words in the attempt to expound it, he has by no means succeeded in apprehending the scope and object of that epistle. All other works of his extant are of a similar character, in which he that would take the trouble to examine them, would find a great scarcity of sense, amidst a profusion of verbiage. Such was the man promoted by Eudoxius to the see of Cyzicum; who being come thither, astonished his auditors by the extraordinary display of

* Εὐκτήριον οἶκον.

his *dialectic* art, and produced a great sensation: until at length the people unable to endure any longer the empty parade of his language, and the empty assumption of his menaces, drove him out of their city. He therefore withdrew to Constantinople, and taking up his abode with Eudoxius, was regarded as a vacant* bishop. But lest we should seem to have said these things for the sake of detraction, let us hear what Eunomius himself has the hardihood to utter in his sophistical discourses concerning the Deity himself. "God," says he, "knows no more of his own substance, than we do; nor is this more known to him, and less to us: but whatever we know about the Divine substance, that precisely is known to God; and on the other hand, whatever he knows, the same also you will find without any difference in us." This is a fair specimen of the tedious and absurd fallacies which Eunomius, in utter insensibility to his own folly, delighted in stringing together. On what account he afterwards separated from the Arians, we shall state in its proper place.

CHAPTER VIII.

OF THE ORACLE FOUND INSCRIBED ON A STONE, WHEN THE WALLS OF CHALCEDON WERE DEMOLISHED BY ORDER OF THE EMPEROR VALENS.

AN order was issued by the emperor that the walls of Chalcedon, a city opposite to Byzantium, should be demolished: for he had sworn to do this, after he should have conquered the tyrant, because the

* Σχολαῖος, titular.

Chalcedonians had not only sided with Procopius, but had used insulting language toward Valens, and shut their gates against him as he passed by their city. This decree therefore having been carried into execution, the stones were conveyed to Constantinople to serve for the formation of the public baths which are called Constantianæ. On one of these stones an oracle was found engraven, which had lain concealed for a long time, in which it was predicted that when the city should be supplied with abundance of water, then should the wall serve for a bath; and that innumerable hordes of barbarous nations having overrun the provinces of the Roman empire, and done a great deal of mischief, should themselves at length be destroyed. We shall here insert this oracle for the gratification of the studious:—

“ When nymphs their mystic dance with wat’ry feet
Shall tread through proud Byzantium’s stately street ;
When rage the city wall shall overthrow,
Whose stones to fence a bathing-place shall go :
Then savage lands shall send forth myriad swarms,
Adorned with golden locks and burnished arms,
That having Ister’s silver streams o’erpast,
Shall Scythian fields and Moesia’s meadows waste.
But when with conquest flushed they enter Thrace,
Fate shall assign them there a burial-place.”

Such was the prophecy. And indeed it afterwards happened, that when Valens by building an aqueduct supplied the city with abundance of water, the barbarous nations made various irruptions, as we shall hereafter see. But from the event, some have explained the prediction otherwise. For when that aqueduct was completed, Clearchus the governor of the city built a stately bath, to which the name of *the*

*Plentiful Water** was given, in that which is now called the Forum of Theodosius : on which account the people celebrated a festival with great rejoicings, whereby there was, say they, an accomplishment of those words of the oracle,—

—————“their mystic dance with wat’ry feet
Shall tread through proud Byzantium’s stately street.”

But the completion of the prophecy took place afterwards. When the walls were in the course of demolition, the Constantinopolitans besought the emperor to desist; and the inhabitants of Nicomedia and Nicet† sending from Bithynia to Constantinople, made the same request. Valens being exceedingly exasperated against the Chalcedonians, was with difficulty prevailed upon to listen to these petitions in their favour: but that he might perform his oath, he commanded that the walls should be pulled down, while at the same time the breaches should be repaired by being filled up with other small stones. Whence it is that in the present day one may see in certain parts of the wall, very inferior materials laid upon prodigiously large stones, forming those unsightly patches which were made on that occasion.

CHAPTER IX.

VALENS PERSECUTES THE NOVATIANS, BECAUSE OF THEIR
HOLDING THE ORTHODOX FAITH.

THE emperor now resumed his persecution of those who embraced the doctrine of consubstantiality, driving them away from Constantinople: and as the

* *Δαψιλὲς ὕδωρ.*

† *Νίκαιαν.*

Novatians acknowledged the same faith, they also were subjected to similar treatment, their churches being ordered to be shut up. He commanded also that Agelius their bishop should be sent into exile; a person that had presided over their churches from the time of Constantine, and had led an apostolic life: for he always walked barefoot, and used but one coat, observing the injunction of the gospel. But the emperor's displeasure against this sect was moderated by the efforts of a pious and eloquent man named Marcian, who had formerly been in military service at the imperial palace, but was at that time a presbyter in the Novatian church, and taught Anastasia and Carosa, Valens's daughters, grammar; from the former of whom the public baths yet standing, which Valens erected at Constantinople, were named.* From respect for this person therefore, the Novatian churches which had been for some time closed, were again opened. The Arians however would not suffer this people to remain undisturbed, for they disliked them on account of the sympathy and love the Novatians manifested toward the Homoeousians, with whom they agreed in sentiment. Such was the state of affairs at that time. We may here remark that the war against the tyrant Procopius was terminated about the end of May, in the consulate of Gratian and Dagalaifus.

* Marcellinus affirms that the Anastasian baths were built by Constantine, and named after that emperor's sister.

CHAPTER X.

THE EMPEROR VALENTINIAN BEGETS A SON, WHO IS NAMED AFTER HIS FATHER; GRATIAN HAVING BEEN BORN BEFORE HIS ACCESSION TO THE IMPERIAL DIGNITY.

SOON after the conclusion of this war, and under the same consulate, a son was born to Valentinian the emperor in the Western parts, to whom the same name as his father's was given. His other son Gratian had been born previously to his becoming emperor.

CHAPTER XI.

HAIL OF EXTRAORDINARY SIZE; AND EARTHQUAKES IN BITHYNIA AND THE HELLESPONT.

ON the 2nd of July of the following year, in the consulate of Lupicin and Jovian, there fell at Constantinople hail of such a size as would fill a man's hand.* Many affirmed that this was an intimation of the Divine displeasure, because of the emperor's having banished several persons engaged in the sacred ministry, on account of their refusal to communicate with Eudoxius. During the same consulate, on the 24th of August, the emperor Valentinian proclaimed his son Gratian Augustus. In the next year, when Valentinian and Valens were a second time consuls, there happened on the 11th of October, an earthquake

* Χειροπληθής.

in Bithynia which destroyed the city of Nice. This was about twelve years after Nicomedia had been visited by a similar catastrophe. Soon afterwards the largest portion of Germa in the Hellespont was reduced to ruins by another earthquake. Nevertheless no impression was made on the mind of either Eudoxius the Arian bishop, or the emperor Valens, by these supernatural occurrences; for they were not deterred thereby from their relentless persecution of those who dissented from them in matters of faith. Meanwhile these convulsions of the earth were regarded as typical of the disturbances which agitated the churches: for many of the clerical body were sent into exile, as we have stated; Basil and Gregory alone, by a special dispensation of Divine Providence, being on account of their eminent piety exempted from this punishment. The former of these individuals was bishop of Cæsarea in Cappadocia; while the latter presided over Nazianzen, a little city in the vicinity of Cæsarea. But we shall have occasion to mention both again in the course of our history.

CHAPTER XII.

THE MACEDONIANS PRESSED BY THE EMPEROR'S VIOLENCE TOWARD THEM, SEND A DEPUTATION TO LIBERIUS BISHOP OF ROME, AND SUBSCRIBE THE HOMOIOUSIAN CREED.

WHEN the maintainers of the Homooousian doctrine had been thus severely dealt with, and put to flight, the persecutors began afresh to harass the Macedonians; who impelled by fear rather than violence,

send deputations to one another from city to city, declaring the necessity of appealing to Valentinian, the emperor's brother, and also to Liberius bishop of Rome: and that it was far better for them to embrace their faith, than to communicate with the party of Eudoxius. They send for this purpose Eustathius bishop of Sebastia, who had been several times deposed, Silvanus of Tarsus in Cilicia, and Theophilus of Castabali in the same province; charging them to dissent in nothing from Liberius* concerning the faith, but to enter into communion with the Roman church, and confirm the Homooousian creed. These persons therefore proceeded to Old Rome, carrying with them the letters of those who had separated themselves from Acacius at Seleucia. To the emperor they could not have access, he being occupied in the Gallias with a war against the Sarmatæ. They however presented their letters to Liberius, who at first refused to admit them; saying they were of the Arian faction, and could not possibly be received into communion by the church, inasmuch as they had rejected the Nicene Creed. To this they replied that by change of sentiment they had acknowledged the truth, having long since renounced the Anomoian Creed, and avowed the Son to be in every way like the Father: moreover that they considered the terms *like* and *consubstantial* to have precisely the same import. When they had made this statement, Liberius demanded of them a written confession of their faith;

* Baron accuses Socrates of an anachronism here: since the Synod of Lampsacus was held in 365, and Damasus was bishop of Rome in 368, for Liberius died in September, 367. Valesius judges that the legates were sent in June, 367.

and they accordingly presented him a document in which the substance of the Nicene Creed was inserted. I have not introduced here because of their length the letters from Smyrna, Asia, and from Pisidia, Isauria, Pamphylia, and Lycia, in all which places they had held Synods: deeming it sufficient to transcribe the written profession which the deputies sent with Eustathius, delivered to Liberius.

“To our Lord, Brother, and Fellow-Minister Liberius; Eustathius, Theophilus, and Silvanus, salutations in the Lord.

“On account of the insane opinion of heretics, who cease not to give offence to the catholic churches, we being desirous of checking their career, come forward to express our approbation of the doctrines recognized by the Synod of orthodox bishops which has been convened at Lampsacus, Smyrna, and various other places: from which Synod we being constituted a deputation, bring a letter to your benignity and all the Italian and Western bishops, by which we declare that we hold and maintain the catholic faith which was established in the holy council of Nice under the reign of Constantine of blessed memory, by three hundred and eighteen bishops, and has hitherto continued entire and unshaken; in which creed the term *consubstantial* is holily and devoutly employed in opposition to the pernicious doctrine of Arius. We therefore, together with the aforesaid persons whom we represent, profess under our own hand, that we have held, do hold, and will maintain the same faith even unto the end. We condemn Arius, and his impious doctrine, with his disciples, and the abettors of his sentiments; as also the whole heresy of Sabellius,

the Patropassians, the Marcionistæ, the Photinians, the Marcelliani, that of Paul of Samosata, and those who countenance such tenets; in short all the heresies which are opposed to the aforesaid sacred creed, which was piously and catholicly set forth by the holy fathers at Nice. But we especially anathematize that form of the creed which was recited at the Synod of Rimini, as altogether contrary to the before-mentioned creed of the holy Synod of Nice,* to which the bishops at Constantinople affixed their signatures, being deceived by artifice and perjury, by reason of its having been brought from Nice,† a town of Thrace. Our own creed, and that of those whose delegates we are, is this:—

“ ‘ We believe in one God the Father Almighty, the Maker of all things visible and invisible: and in one only-begotten God, the Lord Jesus Christ, the Son of God; begotten of the Father, that is of the substance‡ of the Father; God of God, Light of Light, very God of very God; begotten not made, of the same§ substance with the Father, by whom all things were made which are in heaven, and which are upon the earth: who for us men, and for our salvation, descended, became incarnate, and was made man; suffered, and rose again the third day; ascended into the heavens, and will come to judge the living and the dead. We believe also in the Holy Spirit. But the Catholic and Apostolic Church of God anathematizes those who assert that there was a time when he was not, and that he was not before he was begotten, and that he was made of things which are not; or those that say the Son of God is of another hypostasis

* Νίκαια.

† Νίκη.

‡ Οὐσίας.

§ Ὁμοούσιον.

or substance than the Father, or that he is mutable, or susceptible of change.'

"I Eustathius bishop of the city of Sebastia, with Theophilus and Silvanus, legates of the Synod of Lampsacus, Smyrna, and other places, have voluntarily subscribed this confession of faith with our own hands. And if, after the publication of this creed, any one shall presume to calumniate either us, or those who sent us, let him come with the letters of your holiness before such orthodox bishops as your sanctity shall approve of, and bring the matter to an issue with us before them; and if any charge shall be substantiated, let the guilty be punished."

Liberius having securely pledged the legates by this document, received them into communion, and afterwards dismissed them with this letter.

THE LETTER OF LIBERIUS BISHOP OF ROME, TO THE
BISHOPS OF THE MACEDONIANS.

"To our beloved brethren and fellow-ministers, Evethius, Cyril, Hyperechius, Uranius, Heron, Elipidius, Maximus, Eusebius, Eucarpus, Heortasius, Neon, Eumathius, Faustinus, Procleus, Pasinicus, Arsenius, Severus, Didymion, Brittanus, Callicrates, Dalmatius, Ædesius, Eustochius, Ambrosia, Gelon, Pardalius, Macedonius, Paul, Marcellus, Heraclius, Alexander, Adolius, Marcian, Stenelus, John, Macer, Charisius, Silvanus, Photinus, Antony, Anytho, Celsus, Euphranor, Milesius, Patricius, Severean, Eusebius, Eumolpius, Athanasius, Diophantus, Menodores, Diocles, Chrysampelus, Neon, Eugenius, Eustathius, Callicrates, Arsenius, Martyrius, Heiracius, Leontius,

Philagrius, Lucius, and to all the orthodox bishops in the East; Liberius bishop of Italy, and the bishops throughout the West, salutations always in the Lord.

“Your letters, beloved brethren, resplendent with the light of faith, delivered to us by our highly esteemed brethren, the bishops Eustathius, Silvanus, and Theophilus, brought to us the much longed for joy of peace and concord: and this chiefly because they have assured us that your opinion and sentiments are in perfect harmony with those both of our insignificance,* and also with those of all the bishops in Italy and the Western parts. We acknowledge this to be the Catholic and Apostolic faith, which from the Nicene Synod hitherto has continued unadulterated and unshaken. This creed your legates have professed that they themselves hold, and to our great joy have obliterated every vestige and impression of an injurious suspicion, by attesting it not only in word, but also in writing. We have deemed it proper to subjoin to these letters a copy of this their declaration, lest we should leave any pretext to the heretics for entering into a fresh conspiracy, by which they might stir up the incentives of their own malice, and according to their custom, rekindle the flames of discord. Moreover our most esteemed brethren, Eustathius, Silvanus, and Theophilus have professed this also, both that they themselves, and also your love, have always held, and will maintain unto the last, the creed approved of at Nice† by 318 Orthodox Bishops; which contains the perfect truth, and both confutes† and overthrows the whole swarm of heretics.

* Ἐλαχιστότητα.

† Νικαία.

‡ Ἐπιστομίζει.

For it was not of their own will, but by divine appointment that so great a number of bishops was collected against the madness of Arius, as equalled that of those by whose assistance blessed Abraham through faith destroyed so many thousand of his enemies. This faith being comprehended in the terms *Hypostasis* and *Homoousios*, is a strong and impregnable fortress to check and repel all the assaults and vain machinations of Arian perverseness. Wherefore when all the Western bishops were assembled at Rimini, whither the craft of the Arians had drawn them, in order that either by deceptive persuasions, or to tell the truth, by the coercion of the secular power, they might erase, or indirectly revoke what had been introduced into the creed with so much prudence, their subtlety was not of the least avail. For almost all those who at Rimini were either allured into error, or at that time deceived, have since taken a right view of the matter; and after anathematizing the exposition of faith set forth by those who were convened at Rimini, have subscribed the Catholic and Apostolic Creed which was promulgated at Nice. These persons having entered into communion with us, regard both the dogma of Arius and his disciples, with increased aversion. Of which fact when the legates of your love saw the indubitable evidences, they annexed yourselves to their own subscription; anathematizing Arius, and what was transacted at Rimini against the creed ratified at Nice, to which even you yourselves, beguiled by perjury, were induced to subscribe. Whence it appeared suitable to us to write to your love, and to accede to your just request, especially since we are assured by the profession of

your legates that the Eastern bishops have recovered their senses, and now concur in opinion with the orthodox prelates of the West. We further give you to understand, lest ye should be ignorant of it, that the blasphemies of the Rimini Synod have been anathematized by those who seem *to have been at that time* deceived by fraud, and that all have acknowledged the Nicene Creed. It is fit therefore that you should make it generally known that such as have had their faith vitiated by violence or guile, may now emerge from heretical darkness into the divine light of catholic liberty. But that whosoever of them, after this council, shall not disgorge the poison of corrupt doctrine, by abjuring all the blasphemies of Arius, and anathematizing them, are themselves, together with Arius and his disciples and the rest of the serpents, whether Sabellians, Patropassians, or the followers of any other heresy, dissevered and excommunicated from the assemblies of the church, which does not admit of illegitimate children. May God preserve you steadfast,* beloved brethren."

After Eustathius and those who accompanied him had received this letter, they proceeded to Sicily, where they caused a Synod of Sicilian bishops to be convened, and in their presence avowed the Homoousian faith, and professed their adherence to the Nicene Creed: then having received from those also a letter to the same effect as the preceding, they returned to those who had sent them. On the receipt of these letters, they sent legates from city to city to the prominent supporters of the doctrine of Consubstantiality,

* Ἐφώμενους.

exhorting them to assemble simultaneously at Tarsus in Cilicia, in order to confirm the Nicene creed, and terminate all the contentions which had subsequently arisen. And this would probably have been accomplished, had not the Arian bishop, Eudoxius, who at that time possessed great influence with the emperor, thwarted their purpose; for on learning that a Synod had been summoned to meet at Tarsus, he became so exasperated, that he redoubled his persecution against them. That the Macedonians by sending legates to Liberius were admitted to communion with him, and professed the Nicene Creed, is attested by Sabinus himself, in his *Collection of Synodic Transactions*.

CHAPTER XIII.

EUNOMIUS SEPARATES FROM EUDOXIUS; THROUGH WHOM A DISTURBANCE BEING RAISED AT ALEXANDRIA, ATHANASIUS SECRETES HIMSELF AGAIN, UNTIL BY VIRTUE OF THE EMPEROR'S LETTERS, HE IS RE-ESTABLISHED.

ABOUT the same time Eunomius separated himself from Eudoxius, and held assemblies apart, because after he had repeatedly entreated that his preceptor Aëtius might be received into communion, Eudoxius continued to oppose it. Yet Eudoxius in this did violence to his own inclination, for he entirely coincided in opinion with Aëtius; but he yielded to the prevailing sentiment of his own party, who objected to Aëtius as heterodox. This was the cause of the division referred to, and such was the state of things at Constantinople. But the church at Alexandria was disturbed by an edict of the Prætorian Præfects,

sent thither by means of Eudoxius. Whereupon Athanasius, dreading the irrational impetuosity of the multitude, and fearing lest he should be regarded as the author of any excesses that might be committed, concealed himself for four months in his father's tomb. When however the people, on account of their affection for him, became seditious in impatience of his absence, the emperor, on ascertaining the reason why such agitation prevailed at Alexandria, ordered by his letters that Athanasius should be suffered to preside over the churches without molestation; in consequence of which the Alexandrian church enjoyed tranquillity until the death of Athanasius. How the Arian faction became possessed of the churches after his decease, we shall unfold in the course of our history.

CHAPTER XIV.

THE ARIANS ORDAIN DEMOPHILUS AFTER THE DECEASE OF EUDOXIUS AT CONSTANTINOPLE; BUT THE ORTHODOX PARTY CONSTITUTE EVAGRIUS HIS SUCCESSOR.

THE emperor Valens leaving Constantinople again set out towards Antioch; but on his arrival at Nicomedia his progress was arrested by the following circumstances. Eudoxius the Arian bishop, who had been in possession of the seat of the Constantinopolitan church for nineteen* years, died soon after the emperor's departure from that city, in the third consulate of Valentinian and Valens. The Arians therefore appointed Demophilus to succeed him; but the

* Epiphanius says not δεκαεννέα, but δεκάνα eleven.

Homooousians considering that an opportunity was afforded them, elected Evagrius, a person who maintained their own principles, and caused him to be ordained by Eustathius, who after having been ejected from the see of Antioch, had been recalled from exile by Jovian. This prelate had privately come to Constantinople, for the purpose of confirming the adherents to the doctrine of Consubstantiality.

CHAPTER XV.

THE HOMOOUSIANS ARE PERSECUTED BY THE ARIANS,
AFTER THE BANISHMENT OF EVAGRIUS AND EUSTATHIUS.

THE Arians, exasperated by this election, renewed their persecution of the Homooousians: and the emperor on being informed of what had taken place, apprehending the subversion of the city in consequence of the popular tumult, immediately sent troops from Nicomedia to Constantinople; ordering that both he who had been ordained, and the one who had ordained him, should be apprehended and sent into exile. Eustathius therefore was banished to Bizya* a city of Thrace; and Evagrius was conveyed to another place. After this the Arians becoming more confident, grievously harassed the orthodox party, frequently beating and reviling them, causing some to be imprisoned, and others to be fined; in short they practised such distressing and intolerable annoyances, that the sufferers were induced to appeal to the emperor for protection against their adversaries. But whatever hope of redress they

* Βιζύη.

might have cherished from this quarter, was altogether frustrated, inasmuch as they thus merely spread their grievances before him who was the very author of them.

CHAPTER XVI.

ECCLESIASTICS BURNT IN A SHIP BY ORDER OF VALENS.
FAMINE IN PHRYGIA.

EIGHTY pious individuals of the clerical order, among whom Urbanus, Theodore, and Mendemus were the principal, proceeded to Nicomedia, and there presented to the emperor a supplicatory petition, complaining of the ill-usage to which they had been subjected. Valens dissembling his displeasure in their presence, gave Modestus the præfect a secret order to apprehend these persons, and put them to death. The manner in which they were destroyed being unusual, deserves to be recorded. The præfect fearing that he should excite the populace to a seditious movement against himself, if he attempted the public execution of so many, pretended to send them away into exile. Accordingly these men, who received the intelligence of their destiny with great firmness of mind, were embarked as if to be conveyed to their several places of banishment: but the sailors were commanded to set the vessel on fire, as soon as they reached the mid sea, that their victims being so destroyed, might even be deprived of burial. This injunction was obeyed; for when they arrived at the middle of the Astacian Gulf, the crew set fire to the ship, and then took refuge in a small barque which

followed them, and so escaped. Meanwhile the burning ship was fiercely driven by a strong easterly wind which then blew, until it reached a port named Decidizus, where it was utterly consumed together with the men who were shut up in it. Many have asserted that this impious deed was not suffered to go unpunished: for there immediately after arose so great a famine throughout all Phrygia, that a large proportion of the inhabitants were obliged to abandon their country for a time, and betake themselves some to Constantinople and some to other provinces. For the former place, notwithstanding the vast population it supplies, yet always abounds with the necessities of life, all manner of provisions being imported into it by sea from various regions; and the Euxine which lies near it, furnishes it with bread-corn to any extent it may require.

CHAPTER XVII.

THE EMPEROR VALENS WHILE AT ANTIOCH, AGAIN PERSECUTES THE HOMOIOUSIANS.

THE emperor Valens, little affected by the calamities produced by the famine, went to Antioch in Syria, and during his residence there cruelly persecuted such as would not embrace Arianism. For not content with ejecting out of almost all the churches of the East those who maintained the Homiousian opinion, he inflicted on them various punishments besides. A greater number even than before were bereft of their lives by many different kinds of death, but especially by being drowned in the river.

CHAPTER XVIII.

TRANSACTIONS AT EDESSA: CONSTANCY OF THE DEVOUT
CITIZENS, AND COURAGE OF A PIOUS FEMALE.

BUT I must here mention a circumstance that occurred at Edessa in Mesopotamia. There is in that city a magnificent church* dedicated to St. Thomas the Apostle, wherein on account of the sanctity of the place, religious assemblies are incessantly held. The emperor Valens wished to inspect this edifice; when having learnt that all who usually congregated there were opposed to the heresy which he favoured, he is said to have struck the præfect with his own hand, because he had neglected to expel them thence. The præfect after submitting to this ignominy, was most unwillingly constrained to subserve the emperor's indignation against them; nevertheless to prevent the slaughter of so great a number of persons, he privately warned them against resorting thither. But his admonitions and menaces were alike unheeded; for on the following day they all crowded to the church.† And when the præfect was going towards it with a large military force in order to satisfy the emperor's rage, a poor woman leading her own little child by the hand hurried hastily by on her way to the church, breaking through the ranks of the soldiery. The præfect irritated at this, ordered her to be brought to him, and thus addressed

* *Μαρτύριον*, generally applied to a Basilica, where the relics of some martyr are deposited.

† *Εὐκτήριον τόπον*, oratory.

her: "Wretched woman! whither are you running in so disorderly a manner?" She replied, "To the same place that others are hastening." "Have you not heard," said he, "that the præfect is about to put to death all that shall be found there?" "Yes," said the woman, "and therefore I hasten that I may be found there." "And whither are you dragging that little child?" said the præfect: the woman answered, "That he also may be vouchsafed* the honour of martyrdom." The præfect on hearing these things, conjecturing that a similar resolution actuated the others who were assembled there, immediately went back to the emperor, and informed him that all were ready to die in behalf of their own faith. He added that it would be preposterous to destroy so many persons at one time, and thus succeeded in restraining the emperor's wrath. In this way were the Edessenes preserved from being massacred by order of their sovereign.

CHAPTER XIX.

SLAUGHTER OF MANY PERSONS BY VALENS ON ACCOUNT OF THEIR NAMES, BY REASON OF A HEATHEN PREDICTION.

THE cruel disposition of the emperor was at this time abused by an execrable demon, who induced certain persons to institute an enquiry by means of necromancy respecting the successor of Valens. To their magical incantations the demon gave responses not distinct and unequivocal, but as the general

* *Karaxiōthēnai.*

practice is, full of ambiguity; for displaying the four letters θ , ϵ , σ , and δ , he declared that the compounded name of the emperor's successor began with these. When Valens was apprised of this oracle, instead of committing to God, who alone can penetrate futurity, the decision of this matter, in contravention of those Christian principles to which he pretended the most zealous adherence, he put to death all of whom he had the slightest suspicion that they aimed at the sovereign power: thus such as were named Theodore, Theodotus, Theodosius, Theodulus, and the like, were sacrificed to the emperor's fears; and among the rest was Theodosiolus, a very brave man, descended from a noble family in Spain. Many persons therefore, to avoid the danger to which they were exposed, changed the names which they had received from their parents in infancy.

CHAPTER XX.

DEATH OF ATHANASIUS, AND ELEVATION OF PETER TO HIS SEE.

WHILE Athanasius bishop of Alexandria was alive, the emperor restrained by the Providence of God, abstained from molesting Alexandria and Egypt: indeed he knew very well that Athanasius was generally beloved there, and on that account he was careful lest the public affairs should be hazarded, by the Alexandrians, who are an irritable race, being excited to sedition. But that eminent prelate, after being engaged in so many and such severe conflicts on behalf of the church, departed this life in the

second consulate of Gratian* and Probus, having governed that church amidst the greatest perils forty-six years. He left as his successor Peter, a devout and eloquent man.

CHAPTER XXI.

THE ARIANS INDUCE THE EMPEROR TO SET LUCIUS OVER THE SEE OF ALEXANDRIA, AND PETER IS IMPRISONED.

UPON this the Arians, emboldened by their knowledge of the emperor's religious sentiments, again take courage, and immediately inform him of the circumstance. He was then residing at Antioch, and Euzoïus who presided over the Arians of that city, eagerly embracing the favourable opportunity thus presented, begs permission to go to Alexandria, for the purpose of putting Lucius the Arian in possession of the churches there. The emperor acceding to this request, Euzoïus proceeds forthwith to Alexandria, attended by the imperial troops, and Magnus the emperor's treasurer:† they were also the bearers of an imperial mandate to Palladius the governor of Egypt, enjoining him to aid them with a military force. Wherefore having apprehended Peter, they cast him into prison; and after dispersing the rest of the clergy, they place Lucius in the episcopal chair.

* This would make it the year 371; but Jerome and others state that his demise took place in the year 373.

† *Ὁ ἐπὶ τῶν βασιλικῶν θησαυρῶν.*

CHAPTER XXII.

FLIGHT OF PETER TO ROME. MASSACRE OF THE SOLITARIES AT THE INSTIGATION OF THE ARIANS.

OF the outrages perpetrated upon the instalment of Lucius, and the treatment of those who were ejected, both by judicial authority and otherwise, some being subjected to a variety of tortures, and others sent into exile even after this excruciating process, Sabinus takes not the slightest notice. In fact being half disposed to Arianism himself, he purposely veils the atrocities of his friends. Peter however has exposed them, in the letters he addressed to all the churches, when he had escaped from prison, and fled to Damasus bishop of Rome. The Arians though not very numerous, becoming thus possessed of the Alexandrian churches, soon after obtained an imperial edict directing the governor of Egypt to expel not only from Alexandria but even out of the country, the favourers of the Homoousian doctrine, and all such as were obnoxious to Lucius. After this they assailed the monastic institutions in the desert; armed men rushing in the most ferocious manner upon those who were utterly defenceless, and who would not lift an arm to repel their violence: so that numbers of unresisting victims were in this manner slaughtered with a degree of wanton cruelty beyond description.

CHAPTER XXIII.

A LIST OF HOLY PERSONS WHO DEVOTED THEMSELVES
TO A SOLITARY LIFE.

SINCE I have referred to the monasteries of Egypt, it may be proper here to give a brief account of them. They were founded probably at a very early period, but were greatly enlarged and augmented by a devout man whose name was Ammon. In his youth he had an aversion to matrimony; but when some of his relatives urged him not to condemn this ordinance, he was prevailed upon to marry. On leading the bride with the customary ceremonies from the banquet-room to the nuptial couch, after their mutual friends had withdrawn, he read to his wife Paul's Epistle to the Corinthians, and explained to her the apostle's admonitions to married persons. Adducing many considerations besides, he descanted on the inconveniencies and discomforts attending matrimonial intercourse, the pangs of child-bearing, and the trouble and anxiety connected with rearing a family. He contrasted with all this the advantages of chastity; described the liberty, and immaculate purity of a life of continence; and affirmed that virginity places persons in the nearest relation to the Deity. By these and other arguments of a similar kind, he persuaded his virgin bride to renounce with him a secular life, prior to their having any conjugal knowledge of each other. Having taken this resolution, they retired together to the mountain of Nitria, and in a hut there inhabited for a short time one common ascetic apart-

ment, without regarding their difference of sex, being according to the apostle, "one in Christ." But not long after, the recent and unpolluted bride thus addressed Ammon: "It is unsuitable," said she, "for you who practise chastity, to look upon a woman in so confined a dwelling; let us therefore, if it is agreeable to you, perform our exercise apart." Both parties being satisfied with this arrangement, they separated, and spent the rest of their lives in abstinence from wine and oil, eating dry bread alone, sometimes passing over one day, at others fasting two, and sometimes more. Athanasius bishop of Alexandria asserts in his "Life of Antony," that the subject of his memoir who was cotemporary with this Ammon, saw his soul taken up by angels after his decease. Ammon's mode of life was adopted by a great number of persons, so that by degrees the mountains of Nitria and Scetis were filled with monks, an account of whose lives would require an express work. As however there were among them persons of eminent piety, distinguished for their strict discipline and apostolic lives, who said and did many things worthy of being recorded, I shall introduce a few particulars for the information of my readers. It is said that Ammon never saw himself naked, being accustomed to say that "it became not a monk to see his own person exposed." And when once he wanted to pass a river, but was unwilling to undress, he besought God to enable him to cross without his being obliged to break his resolution; and immediately an angel transported him to the other side of the river. Another monk named Didymus lived entirely alone to the day of his death,

although he had reached the age of ninety years. Arsenius, another of them, would not separate young delinquents from communion, but only those that were advanced in age: "for," said he, "when a young person is excommunicated he becomes hardened; but an elderly one is soon sensible of the misery of excommunication." Pior was accustomed to take his food as he walked along, assigning this as a reason to one who asked him why he did so: "That I may not seem," said he, "to make eating a serious business, but rather a thing done by the way." To another putting the same question he replied, "Lest in eating my mind should be sensible of corporeal enjoyment." Isidore affirmed that he had not been conscious of sin even in thought for forty years; and that he had never consented either to lust or anger. Pambos being an illiterate man, went to some one for the purpose of being taught a psalm; and having heard the first verse of the thirty-eighth, "I said I will take heed to my ways, that I offend not with my tongue," he departed without staying to hear the second verse, saying, this one would suffice, if he could practically acquire it. And when the person who had given him the verse, reproved him because he had not seen him for the space of six months, he answered that he had not yet learnt to practise the verse of the psalm. After a considerable lapse of time, being asked by one of his friends whether he had made himself master of the verse, his answer was, "I have scarcely succeeded in accomplishing it during nineteen years." A certain individual having placed gold in his hands for distribution to the poor, requested him to reckon what

he had given him. "There is no need of counting," said he, "but of integrity of mind." The same Pambos at the desire of Athanasius the bishop came out of the desert to Alexandria; and on beholding an actress there, he wept. When those present asked him the reason of his doing so, he replied, "Two causes have affected me: one is the destruction of this woman; the other is that I exert myself less to please my God, than she does to please obscene characters." Another said that a monk who did not work, ought to be regarded as a covetous man. Petirus was well-informed in many branches of natural philosophy, and was accustomed to enter into an exposition of the principles sometimes of one department of science, and sometimes of another, but he always commenced his lectures with prayer. There were also among the monks of that period, two of the same name, of great sanctity, each being called Macarius; one of whom was from Upper Egypt, the other from the city of Alexandria. Both were celebrated for their ascetic* discipline, the purity of their life and conversation, and the miracles which were wrought by their hands. The Egyptian Macarius performed so many cures, and cast out so many devils, that it would require a distinct treatise to record all that the grace of God enabled him to do. His manner toward those who resorted to him was austere, yet at the same time calculated to inspire veneration. The Alexandrian Macarius, while in many respects resembling his Egyptian namesake, differed from him in this, that he was always cheerful to his visitors; and the affability of his manners

* "Ασκησις.

attracted many young men to enter upon a similar mode of life. Evagrius becoming a disciple of these men, acquired from them the philosophy of deeds, whereas he had previously known that which consisted in words only. He had been ordained deacon at Constantinople by Gregory of Nazianzen, and afterwards went with him into Egypt, where he became acquainted with these eminent persons, and emulated their course of conduct: nor were the miracles done by his hands less numerous or important than those of his preceptors. He also composed some valuable works, one of which is entitled "The Monk, or, On Active* Virtue;" another "The Gnostic, or, To him who is deemed worthy of Knowledge:" this book is divided into fifty chapters. A third is designated "The Refutation,"† which contains selections from the Holy Scriptures against tempting spirits, distributed into eight parts, according to the number of the arguments.‡ He wrote moreover "Six Hundred Prognostic Problems," and also two compositions in verse, one addressed "To the Monks living in Communities," and the other "To the Virgin." Whoever shall read these productions will be convinced of their excellence. It will not be out of place here, I conceive, to subjoin to what has been before stated, a few things mentioned by him respecting the monks. He thus speaks:—

"It becomes us to enquire into the habits of the pious monks who have preceded us, in order that we may correct ourselves by their example: for undoubtedly very many excellent things have been said and done by them. One of them was accus-

* Πρακτικῆς.

† Ἀντιπρόηγου.

‡ Λογίσμων.

tomed to say, that ‘a drier and not irregular diet combined with love, would quickly conduct a monk into the haven of tranquillity.’ The same individual freed one of his brethren from being troubled by apparitions at night, by enjoining him to minister while fasting to the sick. And being asked why he prescribed this: ‘Such affections,’ said he, ‘are by nothing so effectually dissipated as by the exercise of compassion.’ A certain philosopher of those times coming to Antony the Just, said to him, ‘How can you endure, father, being deprived of the comfort of books?’ ‘My book, O philosopher,’ replied Antony, ‘is the nature of things that are made, and it is present whenever I wish to read the words of God.’ That chosen vessel, the aged Egyptian Macarius, asked me, why we impair the strength of the retentive faculty of the soul by cherishing the remembrance of injury received from men; while by remembering those done us by devils we remain uninjured? And when I hesitated, scarcely knowing what answer to make, and begged him to account for it: ‘Because,’ said he, ‘the former is an affection contrary to nature, and the latter is conformable to the nature of the mind.’ Going on one occasion to the holy father Macarius about mid-day, and being overcome with the heat and thirst, I begged some water to drink: ‘Content yourself with the shade,’ was his reply, ‘for many who are now journeying by land, or sailing on the deep, are deprived even of this.’ Discussing with him afterwards the subject of abstinence, ‘Take courage, my son,’ said he: ‘for twenty years I have neither eaten, drunk, nor slept to satiety; my bread has always been weighed, my water mea-

sured, and what little sleep I have had has been stolen by reclining myself against a wall.' The death of his father was announced to one of the monks: 'Cease your blasphemy,' said he to the person that told him; 'my father is immortal.' One of the brethren who possessed nothing but a copy of the Gospels, sold it, and distributed the price in food to the hungry, uttering this memorable saying—'I have sold the book* which says, *Sell that thou hast and give to the poor.*' There is an island about the northern part of the city of Alexandria, beyond the lake Mareotis, where a monk from Parembolē dwells, in high repute among the Gnostics. This person was accustomed to say, that the monks did nothing but for one of these five reasons;—on account of God, nature, custom, necessity, or manual labour. He moreover said that there was only one virtue in nature, but that it assumes various characteristics according to the dispositions† of the soul: just as the light of the sun is itself without form, but accommodates itself to the figure‡ of that which receives it. Another of the monks said, 'I withdraw myself from pleasures, in order to cut off the occasions of anger: for I know that it always contends for pleasures, disturbing my tranquillity of mind, and unfitting me for the attainment of knowledge.' One of the aged monks said that charity§ knows not how to keep a deposit either of provisions or money. He added, 'I never remember to have been twice deceived by the devil in the same thing.' Thus wrote Evagrius in his book entitled 'Practice.' And in that which he called 'The Gnostic,' he says, 'We learn from

* Λόγον.

† Δυνάμει.

‡ Θυρίσι.

§ Ἀγάπῃ.

Gregory the Just, that there are four virtues, having distinct characteristics:—prudence, fortitude, temperance and justice. That it is the province of prudence to contemplate abstractedly those sacred and intelligent powers, which are unfolded by wisdom: of fortitude to adhere to truth against all opposition, and never to turn aside to that which is unreal: of temperance to receive seed from the chief husbandman,* but to repel him who would sow over it seed of another kind: and finally, of justice to adapt discourse to every one, according to their condition and capacity; stating some things obscurely, and others in a figurative manner, while for the instruction of the less intelligent the clearest explanations are given.' That pillar of truth, Basil of Cappadocia, used to say that the knowledge which men teach is perfected by constant study and exercise; but that which the grace of God communicates, by the practice of justice, patience and mercy. That the former indeed is often developed in persons who are still subject to the passions; whereas the latter is the portion of those only who are superior to their influence, and who during the season of devotion, contemplate that peculiar light of the mind which illumines them. That luminary of the Egyptians, holy Athanasius, assures us that Moses was commanded to place the table on the north† side. Let the Gnostics therefore understand what wind is contrary to them, and so nobly endure every temptation, and minister nourishment with a willing mind to those who apply to them. Serapion, the angel of the church of the Thmuītæ, declared that the mind is

* Matt. xiii. 24.

† Exod. xxvi. 35.

completely purified by drinking in spiritual knowledge: that charity cures the inflammatory tendencies of the soul; and that the depraved lusts which spring up in it are restrained by abstinence. Exercise thyself continually, said the great and enlightened teacher Didymus in reflecting on providence and judgment; and endeavour to bear in memory whatever discourses thou mayst have heard on these topics, for almost all fail in this respect. Thou wilt find reasonings concerning judgment in the difference of created* forms, and the constitution of the universe: sermons on providence comprehended in those means by which we are led from vice and ignorance to virtue and knowledge."

These are a few extracts from Evagrius which I thought it would be appropriate to insert here. There was another excellent man among the monks, named Ammonius, who had so little interest in secular matters, that when he went to Rome with Athanasius, he paid no attention to any of the magnificent works of that city, contenting himself with examining the Cathedral† of Peter and Paul only. And when they were about to compel this same Ammonius to enter upon the episcopal office, he cut off his own right ear, that by mutilation of his person he might disqualify himself for ordination. Evagrius, whom Theophilus bishop of Alexandria wished to force the prelacy upon, having effected his escape without maiming himself in any way, afterwards happened to meet Ammonius, and told him jocosely, that he had done wrong in cutting off his own ear, as he had by that means

* *Συμάρων*.

† *Μαγρίκιον*, Basilicas.

rendered himself criminal in the sight of God. To which Ammonius replied, "And do you think, Evagrius, that you will not be punished, who from self-love have cut out your own tongue, to avoid the exercise of that gift of utterance which has been committed to you?" There were at the same time in the monasteries very many other admirable and devout characters whom it would be too tedious to enumerate in this place, and besides if we should attempt to describe the life of each, and the miracles they did by means of that sanctity with which they were endued, we should necessarily digress too far from the object we have in view. Should any one desire to become acquainted with their history, in reference both to their deeds, and discourses for the edification of their auditors, as well as their subduing wild beasts to their authority, there is a specific treatise on the subject, composed by the monk Palladius, who was a disciple of Evagrius, in which all these particulars are minutely detailed. In that work he also mentions several women, who practised the same kind of austerities as the men that have been referred to. Both Evagrius and Palladius flourished a short time after the death of Valens. We must now return to the point whence we diverged.

CHAPTER XXIV.

ASSAULT UPON THE MONKS, AND BANISHMENT OF THEIR
SUPERIORS, WHO EXHIBIT MIRACULOUS POWER.

THE emperor Valens having issued an edict commanding that the orthodox should be expelled both

from Alexandria and the rest of Egypt, depopulation and ruin to an immense extent immediately followed: some were dragged before the tribunals, others cast into prison, and many tortured in various ways, all sorts of punishments being inflicted upon persons who aimed only at peace and quiet. When these outrages had been perpetrated at Alexandria just as Lucius thought proper, and Euzoïus had returned to Antioch, Lucius the Arian, attended by the commander-in-chief of the army with a considerable body of troops, immediately proceeded to the monasteries of Egypt, where he in person assailed the assemblage of holy men with greater fury even than the ruthless soldiery. On reaching these solitudes they found the monks engaged in their customary exercises, praying, healing diseases, and casting out devils: yet regardless of these extraordinary evidences of divine power, they suffered them not to continue their solemn devotions, but drove them out of the oratories by force. Rufinus declares that he was not only a witness of these cruelties, but also one of the sufferers. Thus in them were renewed those things which are spoken of by the apostle:* for they were mocked, and had trial of scourgings, were stripped naked, put in bonds, stoned, slain with the sword, became tenants of the wilderness clad in sheep-skins and goat-skins, being destitute, afflicted, tormented, of whom the world was not worthy, wandering in deserts, in mountains, in dens and caves of the earth. In all these things the testimony of their faith was confirmed by their works, and the cures which the grace of Christ wrought by their hands. But it is probable that Divine Providence

* Heb. xi.

permitted them to endure these evils, having for them provided something better, that through their sufferings others might obtain the salvation of God, as subsequent events seem to prove. When therefore these excellent persons remained unmoved by all the violence which was exercised toward them, Lucius in despair advised the military chief to send the fathers of the monks into exile: these were the Egyptian Macarius, and his namesake of Alexandria, both of whom were accordingly banished to an island where there was no Christian, and in which there was an idolatrous temple, and a priest whom the inhabitants worshipped as a god. The arrival of these holy men at the island, filled the demons of that place with fear and trepidation. Now it happened at the same time that the priest's daughter became suddenly possessed by a demon, and began to act with great fury, and to overturn everything that came in her way; nor was any force sufficient to restrain her, but she cried with a loud voice to these saints of God, saying:—"Why are ye come here to cast us out?" Then did they there also display the greatness of the power which they had received through divine grace: for having cast out the demon from the maid, and presented her cured to her father, they converted not only the priest himself, but also all the inhabitants of the island to the Christian faith. Whereupon they brake their images in pieces, and changed the form* of their temple into that† of a church; and having been baptized, they joyfully received instruction in the doctrines of Christianity. Thus these distinguished individuals, after enduring persecution on

* Σχήμα.

† Τύπον.

account of the Homousian faith, were themselves more approved, became the means of salvation to others, and confirmed the truth of that for which they had suffered.

CHAPTER XXV.

OF DIDYMUS THE BLIND MAN.

ABOUT the same period God brought into observation another faithful person, that by his testimony also the truth might be established: this was Didymus, a most admirable and eloquent man, instructed in all the learning of the age in which he lived. At a very early age, when he had scarcely acquired the first elements of literature, he was attacked by disease in the eyes which deprived him of sight. But God compensated to him the loss of corporeal vision, by bestowing increased intellectual acumen, enabling him to attain by means of his hearing, what he could not learn by seeing; so that being from his childhood endowed with excellent abilities, he soon far surpassed his youthful companions who possessed the keenest sight. He made himself master of the principles of grammar and rhetoric with astonishing facility; and proceeding thence to the study of philosophy, logic,* arithmetic, music, and the various other departments of knowledge to which his attention was directed, he so treasured up in his mind these branches of science, that he was prepared with the utmost readiness to enter into a discussion of these subjects with those who had become conversant therewith by the aid of

* Διαλεκτικὴν.

books. His acquaintance with the Divine oracles contained in the Old and New Testament was so perfect, that he composed several treatises in exposition of them, besides three books on the Trinity. He published also commentaries on Origen's book "Of Principles," in which he shows the excellence of these writings, and the insignificance of those who calumniate their author, and speak slightly of his works; proving that his objectors were destitute of sufficient penetration to comprehend the profound wisdom of that extraordinary man. Those who may desire to form a just idea of the extensive erudition of Didymus, and the intense ardour of his mind, must peruse with attention his diversified and elaborate works. It is said that after Antony had conversed for some time with Didymus, long before the reign of Valens, when he came from the desert to Alexandria on account of the Arians, perceiving the learning and intelligence of the man, he said to him, "Didymus, let not the loss of your bodily eyes distress you: for although you are deprived of such organs as confer a faculty of perception common to gnats and flies, you should rather rejoice that you have eyes such as angels see with, by which the Deity himself is discerned, and his light comprehended." This address of the pious Antony to Didymus was made long before the times we are describing: in fact Didymus was then regarded as the great bulwark of the true faith, and the most powerful antagonist of the Arians, whose sophistic cavillings he fully exposed, triumphantly refuting all their vain subtilties and deceptive reasonings.

CHAPTER XXVI.

OF BASIL BISHOP OF CÆSAREA, AND GREGORY OF
NAZIANZEN.

THE same Providence that opposed Didymus to the Arians at Alexandria, raised up Basil of Cæsarea and Gregory of Nazianzen to confute them in other cities. The merits of these two eminent characters, of whom it will be seasonable to give a brief account in this place, are recorded in the memories of all men; and the extent of their knowledge is sufficiently perceptible in their writings to render any eulogy superfluous. Since however the exercise of their talents was of great service to the church, tending in a high degree to the maintenance of the catholic faith, the nature of my history obliges me to take particular notice of these two persons. Whoever compares Basil and Gregory with one another, and considers the life, morals, and virtues of each, will find it difficult to decide to which of them he ought to assign the pre-eminence: so equally did they both appear to excel, whether you regard the rectitude of their conduct, or their deep acquaintance with Greek literature and the sacred Scriptures. In their youth they were pupils* at Athens of Himerius and Prohæresius, the most celebrated sophists of that age: subsequently they frequented the school of Libanius at Antioch in Syria, where they became highly accomplished in rhetoric. Their proficiency induced many of their friends to recommend them to teach elo-

* Ἀκροαταί.

quence as a profession; others persuaded them to practise the law; but despising both these pursuits, they abandoned their former studies, and embraced the monastic life. Having had some slight taste of philosophical science from him who then taught it at Antioch, they procured Origen's works, and drew from them the right interpretation of the sacred Scriptures; and after a careful perusal of the writings of that great man, whose fame was at that time celebrated throughout the world, they contended against the Arians with manifest advantage. And when the defenders of Arianism quoted the same author in confirmation, as they imagined, of their own views, these two confuted them, and clearly proved that their opponents did not at all understand his reasoning. Indeed although Eunomius, who was then their champion, and many others on their side were considered men of great eloquence, yet whenever they attempted to enter into controversy with Gregory and Basil, they appeared in comparison with them mere ignorant and illiterate cavillers. Meletius bishop of Antioch first promoted Basil to the office of deacon; and from that rank he was elevated to the bishopric of Cæsarea in Cappadocia, which was his native country. Thither he therefore hastened, fearing lest these Arian dogmas should have infected the provinces of Pontus; and in order to counteract them, he founded several monasteries, diligently instructed the people in his own doctrines, and confirmed the faith of those whose minds were wavering. Gregory being constituted bishop of Nazianzen, a small city of Cappadocia over which his own father had before presided, pursued a course similar to that which Basil took;

for he went through the various cities, strengthening the weak, and establishing the feeble-minded. To Constantinople in particular he paid frequent visits, and by his ministrations there, so comforted and assured the orthodox believers, that a short time after, by the suffrage of many bishops, he was invested with the prelacy of that city. When intelligence of the proceedings of these two zealous and devoted men reached the ears of the emperor Valens, he immediately ordered Basil to be brought from Cæsarea to Antioch; where being arraigned before the tribunal of the præfect, that functionary asked him why he would not embrace the emperor's faith? Basil with much boldness condemned the errors of that creed which his sovereign countenanced, and vindicated the doctrine of consubstantiality: and when the præfect threatened him with death, "Would," said he, "that I might be released from the bonds of the body for the truth's sake." The præfect having exhorted him to re-consider the matter more seriously, Basil is reported to have said, "I am the same to-day that I shall be to-morrow: but I wish that you had not changed yourself." Basil therefore remained in custody. It happened however not long after that Galates, the emperor's infant son, was attacked with a dangerous malady, so that the physicians despaired of his recovery; when the empress Dominica his mother assured the emperor that she had been greatly disquieted at night by terrific visions, which led her to believe that the child's illness was a chastisement on account of the ill treatment of the bishop. The emperor after a little reflection sent for Basil, and in order to prove his faith said to him, "If the doctrine

you maintain is the truth, pray that my son may not die." "If your majesty will believe as I do," replied Basil, "and will cause dissension and disunion to cease in the church, the child shall live." To these conditions the emperor would not agree: "Let God's will concerning the child be done then," said Basil; upon which the emperor ordered him to be dismissed, and the child died shortly after. Such is an epitome of the history of these distinguished ecclesiastics, both of whom have left us many admirable works, some of which were translated into Latin by Rufinus, as he himself testifies. Basil had two brothers, Peter and Gregory; the former of whom adopted Basil's monastic mode of life; while the latter emulated his eloquence in teaching, and completed after his death "Basil's Treatise on the Six Days' Work," which had been left unfinished. He also pronounced at Constantinople the funeral oration of Meletius bishop of Antioch; and many other orations of his are still extant.

CHAPTER XXVII.

OF GREGORY THAUMATURGUS.

BUT since from the likeness of the name, and the title of the books attributed to Gregory, persons are liable to confound very different parties, it is important to observe that there was another Gregory, a native of Neocæsarea in Pontus, who was of greater antiquity than the one above referred to, inasmuch as he was a disciple of Origen. This Gregory's fame was celebrated at Athens, at Berytus, throughout the

entire diocese of Pontus, and I might almost add the whole world. When he had finished his education in the schools of Athens, he went to Berytus to study civil law, where hearing that Origen expounded the Holy Scriptures at Cæsarea, he quickly proceeded thither; and after his understanding had been opened to perceive the grandeur of these divine books, bidding adieu to all further cultivation of the Roman laws, he devoted himself wholly to the instructions of Origen, from whom he acquired a knowledge of the true philosophy. Being recalled soon after by his parents he returned to his own country; and there, while still a layman, he performed many miracles, healing the sick, and casting out devils even by his letters, insomuch that the Pagans were no less attracted to the faith by his acts, than by his discourses. Pamphilus Martyr mentions this person in the books which he wrote in defence of Origen; to which there is added an oration of Gregory's, composed in praise of Origen, when he was under the necessity of leaving him. There were then, to be brief, several Gregories: the first and most ancient was the disciple of Origen; the second was the bishop of Nazianzen; the third was Basil's brother; and there was another Gregory whom the Arians constituted bishop during the exile of Athanasius. But enough has been said respecting them.

CHAPTER XXVIII.

OF NOVATUS AND HIS FOLLOWERS. THE NOVATIANS OF
PHRYGIA ALTER THE TIME OF KEEPING EASTER.

ABOUT this time the Novatians inhabiting Phrygia changed the day for celebrating the Feast of Easter. How this happened I shall state, after first explaining the reason of the strict discipline which is maintained in their church, even to the present day, in the provinces of Phrygia and Paphlagonia. Novatus* a presbyter of the Roman Church, separated from it, because Cornelius the bishop received into communion believers who had sacrificed during the persecution which the Emperor Decius had raised against the church. Having seceded on this account, on being afterwards elevated to the episcopacy by such prelates as entertained similar sentiments, he wrote to all the churches insisting that they should not admit to the sacred mysteries those who had sacrificed; but exhorting them to repentance, leave the pardoning of their offence to God, who has the power to forgive all sin. These letters made different impressions on the parties in the various provinces to whom they were addressed, according to their several dispositions and judgments. The exclusion from participation in the Lord's Supper† of those who after baptism had committed any deadly sin‡ appeared to some a cruel and merciless course: but others thought it just and

* The Greeks usually term him Novatus, whose right name was Novatian.

† Μυστηρίων.

‡ Εἰς θάνατον ἀμαρτίων.

necessary for the maintenance of discipline, and the promotion of greater devotedness of life. In the midst of the agitation of this important question, letters arrived from Cornelius the bishop, promising indulgence to delinquents after baptism. On these two persons writing thus contrary to one another, and each confirming his own procedure by the testimony of the divine word, as it usually happens, every one identified himself with that view which favoured his previous habits and inclinations. Those who had pleasure in sin, encouraged by the licence thus granted them, took occasion from it to revel in every species of criminality. The Phrygians however appear to be more temperate than other nations, and are seldom guilty of swearing. The Scythians and Thracians are naturally of a very irritable disposition: while the inhabitants of the East are addicted to sensual pleasures. But the Paphlagonians and Phrygians are prone to neither of these vices; nor are the sports of the circus nor theatrical exhibitions in much estimation among them even to the present day. And this will account as I conceive, for these people, as well as others of a similar temperament and habit in the West, so readily assenting to the letters then written by Novatus. Fornication and adultery are regarded among the Paphlagonians and Phrygians as the grossest enormities: and it is well known that there is no race of men on the face of the earth who more rigidly govern their passions in this respect. Yet although for the sake of stricter discipline Novatus became a separatist, he made no change in the time of keeping Easter, but invariably observed the practice that obtained in the Western churches, of

celebrating this feast after the equinox, according to the usage which had of old been delivered to them when first they embraced Christianity. He himself indeed afterwards suffered martyrdom in the reign of Valerian, during the persecution which was then raised against the Christians. But those in Phrygia who from his name are termed Novatians, about this period changed the day of celebrating Easter, being averse to communion with other Christians even on this occasion. This was effected by means of a few obscure bishops of that sect convening a Synod at the village of Pazum, which is situated near the sources of the river Sangarius; for there they framed a canon appointing its observance on the same day as that on which the Jews annually keep the feast of Unleavened Bread. I obtained my information on this point from an aged man who was the son of a presbyter, and had been present with his father at this Synod. But both Agelius bishop of the Novatians at Constantinople, and Maximus of Nice, were absent, as also the bishops of Nicomedia and Cotacæum, although the ecclesiastical affairs of that sect were for the most part under the control of these prelates. How their church soon after was divided into two parties in consequence of this Synod, shall be related in its proper course: but we must now notice what took place about the same time in the Western parts.

CHAPTER XXIX.

DAMASUS ORDAINED BISHOP OF ROME.* SEDITION AND
LOSS OF LIFE CAUSED BY THE RIVALRY OF URSINUS.

WHILE the emperor Valentinian enjoyed the utmost tranquillity, and interfered with no sect, Damasus after Liberius undertook the administration of the Episcopate† at Rome; whereupon a great disturbance was caused on the following account. Ursinus, a deacon of that church, had been nominated among others when the election of a bishop took place; who unable to bear the frustration of his hope by Damasus being preferred, held schismatic assemblies apart from the church, and even induced certain bishops of little distinction to ordain him in secret. This ordination, which was made not in a church, but in a retired place called the Palace of Sicinius, excited much dissension among the people; their disagreement being not about any article of faith or heresy, but simply this, who ought to obtain the Episcopal chair! Hence frequent conflicts arose, insomuch that many lives were sacrificed in this contention; and many of the clergy as well as laity were punished on that account by Maximin the governor of the city. Thus was Ursinus obliged to desist from his pretensions at that time, and those who espoused his cause were reduced to order.

* Jerome says this occurred in the year 367.

† Ἱερωσύνην.

CHAPTER XXX.

DISSENSION ABOUT A SUCCESSOR TO AUXENTIUS BISHOP OF MILAN. AMBROSE, GOVERNOR OF THE PROVINCE, GOING TO APPEASE THE TUMULT, IS BY GENERAL CONSENT, THE EMPEROR VALENTINIAN ALSO SANCTIONING IT, ELECTED TO PRESIDE OVER THAT SEE.

ABOUT the same time* another event happened at Milan well worthy of being recorded. On the death of Auxentius who had been ordained bishop of that church by the Arians, the people again became tumultuous respecting the election of a successor; for as some proposed one person, and others favoured another, the city was full of contention and uproar. In this state of things, Ambrose the governor of the province, who was also of consular dignity, dreading some catastrophe from the popular excitement, ran into the church in order to quell the disturbance. When his presence had checked the confusion that prevailed, and the irrational fury of the multitude was repressed by a long and appropriate hortatory address, all present suddenly came to an unanimous agreement, crying out that Ambrose was worthy of the bishopric, and demanding his ordination: for by that means only, it was alleged, would the peace of the church be secured, and all be reunited in the same faith and judgment. The bishops then present, believing that such unanimity among the people proceeded from some divine appointment,

* The date of this is rightly assigned, but it was seven years after the promotion of Damasus.

immediately laid hands on Ambrose; and having baptized him, he being then but a catechumen, they were about to invest him with the episcopal office. But although Ambrose willingly received baptism, he with great earnestness refused to be ordained: upon which the bishops referred the matter to the emperor Valentinian. This prince regarding the universal consent of the people as the work of God, authorized the bishops to ordain him; declaring that he was manifestly chosen of God to preside over the church, rather than elected by the people. Ambrose was therefore ordained; and thus the Milanese who were before divided among themselves, were once more restored to unity.

CHAPTER XXXI.

DEATH OF VALENTINIAN.

THE Sarmatæ* after this having made incursions into the Roman territories, the emperor marched against them with a numerous army: but when the barbarians understood the formidable nature of this expedition, they sent an embassy to him to sue for peace on certain conditions. On the ambassadors being introduced to the emperor's presence, and appearing to him to be a very contemptible set of fellows, he enquired whether all the Sarmatæ were such as they were? They replied that the noblest personages of their whole nation had come to him. At this answer Valentinian became excessively enraged, and exclaimed with great vehemence, that the Roman

* Σαρματῶν.

empire was indeed most wretched in devolving upon him at a time when a nation of such despicable barbarians, not content with being permitted to exist in safety within their own limits, dared to take up arms, invade the Roman territories, and break forth into open war. The violence of his manner and utterance of these words was so great, that his yeins were opened by the effort, and the arteries ruptured; and from the vast quantity of blood which thereupon gushed forth he died. This occurred at Bergition Castle, after Gratian's third consulate in conjunction with Equitius, on the seventeenth day of November, in the fifty-fourth year of his age, and the thirteenth of his reign. Six days after his death the soldiery proclaimed his son Valentinian, then a young child, emperor, at Acincum a city of Italy.* This premature act greatly displeased the other two emperors, one of whom (Gratian) was the brother, and the other (Valens) the uncle of young Valentinian; not indeed because of his having been declared emperor, but on account of the military presuming to proclaim him without consulting them, when they themselves wished to have done so. They both however ratified the transaction, and thus was Valentinian junior seated on his father's throne. Now this Valentinian was born of Justina, whom Valentinian senior married while Severa his former wife was alive, under the following circumstances. Justus the father of Justina, who had been governor of Picenum under the reign of Constantius, had a dream in which he seemed to himself to bring forth the imperial purple out of his right side. When this dream had been told to many

* Rather of Pannonia.

persons, it at length came to the knowledge of Constantius, who conjecturing it to be a presage that a descendant of Justus would become emperor, caused him to be assassinated. Justina being thus bereft of her father, still continued a virgin. Some time after she became known to Severa, wife of the emperor Valentinian, and had frequent intercourse with the empress, until their intimacy at length grew to such an extent that they were accustomed to bathe together. Severa on seeing Justina in the bath was greatly struck with her virgin beauty, and spoke of her to the emperor; saying that the daughter of Justus was so lovely a creature, and possessed of such symmetry of form, that she herself, though a woman, was altogether charmed with her. This discourse having made a strong impression on the emperor's mind, he considered with himself how he could espouse Justina, without repudiating Severa, who had borne him Gratian, whom he had created Augustus a little while before. He accordingly framed a law, and caused it to be published throughout all the cities, by which any man was permitted to have two lawful wives. Having promulgated this law, he married Justina, by whom he had Valentinian junior, and three daughters, Justa, Grata, and Galla; the two former of whom persisted in their resolution of continuing virgins: but Galla was afterwards married to the emperor Theodosius the Great, who had by her a daughter named Placidia. For that prince had Arcadius and Honorius by Flaccilla his former wife: we shall however enter into particulars respecting Theodosius and his sons in the proper place.

CHAPTER XXXII.

THE EMPEROR VALENS, APPEASED BY THE ORATION OF THEMISTIUS THE PHILOSOPHER, MITIGATES HIS PERSECUTION OF THE CHRISTIANS.

IN the meanwhile Valens, making his residence at Antioch, was wholly undisturbed by foreign wars; for the barbarians on every side restrained themselves within their own boundaries. Nevertheless he himself waged a most cruel war against those who maintained the Homousian doctrine, inflicting on them more grievous punishments every day; until his severity was a little moderated by an oration addressed to him by the philosopher Themistius. In this speech he tells the emperor, "That he ought not to be surprised at the difference of judgment on religious questions existing among Christians; inasmuch as that discrepancy was trifling when compared with the multitude of conflicting opinions current among the heathen,* amounting to above three hundred. That dissension indeed was an inevitable consequence of this disagreement; but that God would be the more glorified by a diversity of sentiment, and the greatness of his majesty be more venerated, from its being thus made manifest how difficult it is to know him." This discourse softened the rigour of the emperor's persecution, but did not effect an abolition of it; for although he ceased to put ecclesiastics to death, he continued to send them into exile, until this fury of his was repressed by other causes.

* Ἑλλήσι.

CHAPTER XXXIII.

THE GOTHs, UNDER THE REIGN OF VALENS, EMBRACE
CHRISTIANITY.

THE barbarians termed Goths, dwelling beyond the Danube,* having engaged in a civil war among themselves, were divided into two parties, one of which was headed by Fritigernes, the other by Athanaric. When the latter had obtained an evident advantage over his rival, Fritigernes had recourse to the Romans, and implored their assistance against his adversary. This being reported to the emperor Valens, he ordered the troops which were engarrisoned in Thrace, to assist those barbarians who had appealed to him against their more powerful countrymen; and by means of this subsidy a complete victory was obtained over Athanaric beyond the Danube, his forces being totally routed. Because of this, many of the barbarians professed the Christian religion: for Fritigernes to express his sense of the obligation the emperor had conferred upon him, embraced the religion of his benefactor, and persuaded those who were under his authority to do the same. Therefore it is that so many of the Goths are even to the present time infected with the errors of Arianism, they having on the occasion referred to become adherents to that heresy on the emperor's account. Ulfila their bishop at that time, after inventing the Gothic letters, translated the sacred Scriptures into their own language, and undertook to instruct these barbarians in the

* "Ιστρον.

Divine oracles. And as Ulfila did not restrict his labours to the subjects of Fritigernes, but extended them to those who acknowledged the sway of Athanaric also, that chief regarding this innovation as an insult offered to the religion of his ancestors, treated those who professed Christianity with great severity, so that many of the Arian Goths of that period became martyrs. Arius indeed, failing in his attempt to refute the opinion of Sabellius the Libyan, fell from the true faith, and asserted the Son of God to be a new God: but the barbarians embracing Christianity with greater simplicity of mind, despised the present life for the faith of Christ. With these remarks we shall close our notice of the Christianized Goths.

CHAPTER XXXIV.

ADMISSION OF THE FUGITIVE GOTHs INTO THE ROMAN TERRITORIES, WHICH CAUSED THE EMPEROR'S OVERTHROW, AND EVENTUALLY THE SUBVERSION OF THE ROMAN EMPIRE.

NOT long after the barbarians had entered into a friendly alliance with one another, they were again vanquished by other barbarians their neighbours, called the Huns;* and being driven out of their own country, they flee into the territory of the Romans, offering to be subject to the emperor, and to execute whatever he should command them. When Valens was made acquainted with this, not having the least presentiment of the consequences of his clemency, he

* Οὐγγροι.

ordered that the suppliants should be received with kindness and consideration; in this one instance alone showing himself compassionate. He therefore assigned them certain parts of Thrace for their habitation, deeming himself peculiarly fortunate in this matter: for he calculated that in future he should possess a ready and well-equipped army against all assailants; and hoped that the barbarians would be a more formidable guard to the frontiers of the empire even than the Romans themselves. For this reason he in future neglected to recruit his army by Roman levies; and despising those veterans by whose bravery he had subdued his enemies in former wars, he put a pecuniary value on that militia which the inhabitants of the provinces, village by village, had been accustomed to furnish, ordering the collectors of his tribute to demand eighty pieces of gold* for every soldier, although he had never before lightened the public burdens. This change was the origin of many disasters to the Roman empire subsequently.

CHAPTER XXXV.

REMISSION OF PERSECUTION AGAINST THE CHRISTIANS BECAUSE OF THE WAR WITH THE GOTHs.

THE barbarians having been put into possession of Thrace, and securely enjoying that Roman province, were unable to bear their good fortune with moderation; but committing hostile aggressions upon their benefactors, devastated all Thrace and the adjacent

* Each about the value of a crown.

countries. When these proceedings came to the knowledge of Valens, he desisted from sending the Homocousians into banishment; and in great alarm left Antioch, and came to Constantinople, where also the persecution of the orthodox Christians was for the same reason put an end to. At the same time Euzoius, bishop of the Arians at Antioch, departed this life, in the fifth consulate of Valens, and the first of Valentinian junior; and Dorotheus was appointed in his place.

CHAPTER XXXVI.

THE SARACENS, UNDER MAVIA THEIR QUEEN, EMBRACE CHRISTIANITY; AND MOSES, A PIOUS MONK, IS ORDAINED THEIR BISHOP.

No sooner had the emperor departed from Antioch, than the Saracens who had before been in alliance with the Romans, revolted from them, being led by Mavia their queen, whose husband was then dead. All the regions of the East therefore were at that time ravaged by the Saracens: but their fury was repressed by the interference of Divine Providence in the manner I am about to describe. A person named Moses, a Saracen by birth, who led a monastic life in the desert, became exceedingly eminent for his piety, faith, and miracles. Mavia the queen of the Saracens was therefore desirous that this person should be constituted bishop over her nation, and promised on this condition to terminate the war. The Roman generals considering that a peace founded on such terms would be extremely advantageous, gave

immediate directions for its ratification. Moses was accordingly seized, and brought from the desert to Alexandria, in order to his being initiated in the sacerdotal functions: but on his presentation for that purpose to Lucius, who at that time presided over the churches in that city, he refused to be ordained by him, protesting against it in these words: "I account myself indeed unworthy of the sacred office; but if the exigences of the state require my bearing it, it shall not be by Lucius laying his hand on me, for it has been filled with blood." When Lucius told him that it was his duty to learn from him the principles of religion, and not to utter reproachful language; Moses replied, "Matters of faith are not now in question: but your infamous practices against the brethren sufficiently prove the inconsistency of your doctrines with Christian truth. A Christian is no striker, reviles not, does not fight; for it becomes not a servant of the Lord to fight. But your deeds cry out against you by those who have been sent into exile, who have been exposed to the wild beasts, and who have been delivered up to the flames. Those things which our own eyes have beheld, are far more convincing than what we receive from the report of another." Moses having expressed himself in this manner, was taken by his friends to the mountains, that he might receive ordination from those bishops who lived in exile there. His consecration terminated the Saracen war: and so scrupulously did Mavia observe the peace thus entered into with the Romans, that she gave her daughter in marriage to Victor* the commander-in-

* Βίτρον.

chief of the Roman army. Such were the transactions in relation to the Saracens.

CHAPTER XXXVII.

AFTER THE DEPARTURE OF VALENS FROM ANTIOCH, THE ALEXANDRIANS EJECT LUCIUS, AND RESTORE PETER.

As soon as the emperor Valens left Antioch, all those who had anywhere been suffering persecution, began again to take courage, and especially the Alexandrians. Peter returned to that city from Rome, with letters from Damasus the Roman bishop, in which he confirmed the Homocousian faith, and sanctioned Peter's ordination. The people therefore resuming confidence, expel Lucius, who immediately embarked for Constantinople: but Peter survived his re-establishment a very short time, and at his death appointed his brother Timothy to succeed him.

CHAPTER XXXVIII.

THE EMPEROR VALENS IS SLAIN IN AN ENGAGEMENT WITH THE GOTHs NEAR ADRIANOPLE.

ON the arrival of the emperor Valens at Constantinople, on the 30th of May, in the sixth year of his own consulate, and the second of Valentinian junior's, he finds the people in a very dejected state of mind: for the barbarians who had already desolated Thrace, were now laying waste the very suburbs of Constantinople, there being no adequate force at hand to resist them. But when they presumed to make near

approaches, even to the walls of the city, the people became exceedingly troubled, and began to murmur against the emperor; accusing him of having been the cause of bringing the enemy thither, and then indolently wasting his time there, instead of at once marching out against the barbarians. Moreover at the exhibition of the sports of the Hippodrome, all with one voice exclaimed against the emperor's negligence of the public affairs, crying out with great earnestness, "Give us arms, and we ourselves will fight." The emperor provoked at these seditious clamours, marches out of the city, on the 11th of June; threatening that if he returned, he would punish the citizens not only for their insolent reproaches, but for having heretofore favoured the pretensions of the tyrant Procopius. After declaring therefore that he would utterly demolish their city, and cause the plough to pass over its ruins, he advanced against the barbarians, whom he routed with great slaughter, and pursued as far as Adrianople a city of Thrace, situated on the frontiers of Macedonia. Having at that place again engaged the enemy, who had by this time rallied, he lost his life on the 9th of August, under the consulate just mentioned, and in the fourth year of the 289th Olympiad. Some have asserted that he was burnt to death in a village whither he had retired, which the Goths assaulted and set on fire. But others affirm that having put off his imperial robe he ran into the midst of the main body of infantry; and that when the cavalry revolted and refused to engage, the foot were surrounded by the barbarians, and completely destroyed. Among these it is said the emperor fell,

but could not be distinguished, in consequence of his having laid aside his imperial habit. He died in the fiftieth year of his age, having reigned in conjunction with his brother thirteen years, and three years after his death. This book therefore contains the course of events during the space of sixteen years.

END OF THE FOURTH BOOK.

THE PREFACE TO BOOK V.

BEFORE we commence the fifth book of our history, we must beg those who may peruse this work, not to censure us too hastily for intermingling with ecclesiastical matters, such an account of the wars coeval with the period under consideration, as could be duly authenticated. For this plan of ours has been deliberately pursued for several reasons: first, in order to lay before our readers an exact statement of facts; secondly, to relieve their minds from a wearisome repetition of the contentious disputes of bishops, and their insidious designs against one another; but more especially that it might be made apparent, that whenever the affairs of the State were disturbed, those of the Church, as if by some vital sympathy, became disordered also. Indeed whoever shall attentively examine the subject will find, that the mischiefs of the state, and the troubles of the church have been inseparably connected; for he will perceive that they have either arisen together, or immediately succeeded one another. Sometimes the calamities of the church take precedence; then commotions in the state follow: so that I cannot believe this invariable interchange is merely fortuitous, but am persuaded that it proceeds from our iniquities, of which these reciprocal convulsions are the merited chastisements. The apostle truly says, "Some men's sins are open beforehand, going before to judgment; and some men they follow after."*

* 1 Tim. v. 24.

interwoven many affairs of the state with our ecclesiastical history. Of the wars carried on during the reign of Constantine we have made no mention, having found no account of them that could be depended upon because of their antiquity: but we have given a cursory sketch of subsequent events, in the order of their occurrence, from the narration of living witnesses. We have never failed to include the emperors in these historical details; because from the time they began to profess the Christian religion, they have exercised a powerful influence over the affairs of the church, to such an extent indeed, that the greatest Synods have been, and still are convened by their appointment. Finally, we have particularly noticed the Arian heresy, from its having so greatly disquieted the churches. Having made these prefatory remarks, we shall now proceed with our history.

BOOK V.

CHAPTER I.

THE GOTHs AGAIN ATTACK CONSTANTINOPLE, AND ARE REPULSED BY THE CITIZENS, AIDED BY SOME SARACEN AUXILIARIES.

AFTER the emperor Valens had thus lost his life, in a manner which has never been satisfactorily ascertained, the barbarians again approached the very walls of Constantinople, and laid waste the suburbs on every side of it. The people unable to endure this distressing spectacle, armed themselves with

whatever weapons they could severally lay hands on, and sallied forth of their own accord against the enemy. The empress Dominica caused the same pay to be distributed out of the imperial treasury to such as volunteered to go out on this service, as was usually allowed to soldiers. On this occasion the citizens were assisted by a few of the Saracen confederates, who had been sent by Mavia their queen, to whom allusion has been already made; and by this united resistance, they obliged the barbarians to retire to a greater distance from the city.

CHAPTER II.

THE EMPEROR GRATIAN RECALLS THE ORTHODOX BISHOPS,
AND EXPELS THE HERETICS FROM THE CHURCHES. HE
TAKES THEODOSIUS AS HIS IMPERIAL COLLEAGUE.

GRATIAN being now in possession of the empire, together with Valentinian junior, and condemning the cruel policy of his uncle Valens towards the orthodox Christians, recalled those whom he had sent into exile. He moreover enacted that persons of all sects, without distinction, might securely assemble together in their oratories;* the Eunomians, Photinians, and Manichæans only were excluded from the churches. Being also sensible of the languishing condition of the Roman empire, and of the growing power of the barbarians; perceiving too that the state was in need of a brave and prudent man, he created Theodosius his colleague in the sovereign power. This person was descended from a noble

* *Εκκλησίαις.*

family in Spain, and had acquired so distinguished a celebrity for his prowess in the wars, that he was universally considered worthy of that honour, even before Gratian's election of him. Having therefore proclaimed him emperor, at Sirmium a city of Illyricum, in the consulate of Ausonius and Olybrius, on the 16th of January, he divides with him the care of managing the war against the barbarians.

CHAPTER III.

THE PRINCIPAL BISHOPS WHO FLOURISHED AT THAT TIME.

DAMASUS who had succeeded Liberius then presided over the church at Rome. Cyril was still in possession of that at Jerusalem. The Antiochian church, as we have stated, was divided into three parts: for the Arians had chosen Dorotheus as the successor of their bishop Euzoïus; while one portion of the rest was under the government of Paulinus, and the other yielded obedience to Meletius, who had been recalled from exile. Lucius although absent, having been compelled to leave Alexandria, yet maintained the episcopal authority among the Arians of that city; the Homooousians there being headed by Timothy, who succeeded Peter. At Constanti-nople Demophilus the successor of Eudoxius presided over the Arian faction, and was in possession of the churches; but those who were averse to communion with him, held their assemblies apart.

CHAPTER IV.

THE MACEDONIANS WHO HAD SUBSCRIBED THE HOMOOUSIAN DOCTRINE, RETURN TO THEIR FORMER ERROR.

AFTER the deputation from the Macedonians to Liberius, that sect was admitted to entire communion with the churches in every city, intermixing themselves indiscriminately with those who from the beginning had embraced the form of faith published at Nice. But when the emperor Gratian had passed the law which permitted the several sects to reunite in the public services of religion, they again resolved to separate themselves; and having met at Antioch in Syria, they came to the decision afresh that the word *consubstantial* ought to be rejected, and that communion was by no means to be held with the supporters of the Nicene Creed. They however derived no advantage from this attempt; for the majority of their own party being disgusted at the fickleness with which they sometimes maintained one opinion, and then another, withdrew from them, and thenceforward became firm adherents to those who professed the doctrine of consubstantiality.

CHAPTER V.

TRANSACTIONS AT ANTIOCH IN CONNECTION WITH
PAULINUS AND MELETIUS.

ABOUT this time a serious contest was excited at Antioch in Syria, on account of Meletius. It has

been already observed that Paulinus bishop of that city, because of his eminent piety was not sent into exile: and that Meletius after being restored by Julian, was again banished by Valens, and at length recalled in Gratian's reign. On his return to Antioch, he found Paulinus greatly enfeebled by old age; his partisans therefore used their utmost endeavours to get him associated with that prelate in the episcopal office. And when Paulinus declared that it was contrary to the canons to admit a coadjutor who had been ordained by the Arians, the people had recourse to violence, and caused him to be consecrated in one of the churches without the city. A great disturbance arose from this transaction; but the popular ferment was afterwards allayed by the following stipulations being agreed to. Having assembled such of the clergy as were considered worthy of being entrusted with the bishopric, they find them six in number, of whom Flavian was one. All these they bound by an oath, not to use any effort to get themselves ordained, when either of the two prelates should die, but to permit the survivor to retain undisturbed possession of the see of the deceased. This arrangement appeased the jealousy of the contending parties: the Luciferians however separated themselves from the rest, because Meletius who had been ordained by the Arians was admitted to the episcopate. In this state of the Antiochian church, Meletius was under the necessity of going to Constantinople.

CHAPTER VI.

GREGORY OF NAZIANZEN IS TRANSLATED TO THE SEE OF CONSTANTINOPLE. THE EMPEROR THEODOSIUS FALLING SICK AT THESSALONICA, IS THERE BAPTIZED BY ASCHOLIUS THE BISHOP.

By the common suffrage of many prelates, Gregory was at this time translated from the see of Nazianzen to that of Constantinople in the manner before described. And about the same time the emperors Gratian and Theodosius each obtained a victory over the barbarians. Immediately after this Gratian set out for the Gallias, because the Alemanni* were ravaging those provinces: but Theodosius, after erecting a trophy, hastened towards Constantinople, and arrived at Thessalonica, where he was taken dangerously ill, and expressed a desire to receive Christian baptism. Now he had been instructed in Christian principles by his ancestors, and professed the Homousian faith. Becoming increasingly anxious to be baptized therefore, as his malady grew worse, he sent for the bishop of Thessalonica, and first asked him what doctrinal views he held? The bishop replied, that the opinion of Arius had not yet invaded the provinces of Illyricum, nor had the novelty to which that heretic had given birth begun to prey upon the churches in those countries; but they continued to preserve unshaken that faith which from the beginning was delivered by the apostles, and had been confirmed in the Nicene Synod. On hearing this,

* Ἀλαμανῶν.

the emperor was most gladly baptized by the bishop Ascholius; and having recovered from his disease not many days after, he came to Constantinople on the twenty-fourth of November, in the fifth consulate of Gratian, and the first of his own.

CHAPTER VII.

GREGORY ABDICATES THE EPISCOPATE OF CONSTANTINOPLE. THE EMPEROR ORDERS DEMOPHILUS THE ARIAN BISHOP EITHER TO ASSENT TO THE HOMOOUSIAN FAITH, OR LEAVE THE CITY.

GREGORY of Nazianzen, after his translation to Constantinople, held his assemblies within the city in a small oratory, adjoining to which the emperor afterwards built a magnificent church, and named it *Anastasia*. But Gregory, who far excelled in eloquence and piety all those of the age in which he lived, understanding that some murmured at his preferment because he was a stranger,* after expressing his joy at the emperor's arrival, refused to remain at Constantinople. When the emperor found the church in this state, he began to consider by what means he could make peace, effect a union, and enlarge the churches. Immediately therefore he intimated his desire to Demophilus, who presided over the Arian party; and enquired whether he was willing to assent to the Nicene Creed, and thus reunite the people, and establish concord. Upon Demophilus's declining to accede to this proposal, the emperor said to him, "Since you reject peace and unanimity, I

* Ὑπερόμιος.

order you to quit the churches." Which when Demophilus heard, weighing with himself the difficulty of contending against superior power, he convoked his followers in the church, and standing in the midst of them, thus spoke: "Brethren, it is written in the Gospel, *If they persecute you in one city, flee ye into another.* Since therefore the emperor excludes us from the churches, take notice that we will henceforth hold our assemblies without the city." Having said this, he departed; not however as rightly apprehending the meaning of that expression in the Evangelist, for the real import of the sacred oracle is, *that such as would avoid the course of this world, must seek the heavenly Jerusalem.* He therefore, misapplying the passage, went outside the city gates, and there in future held his assemblies. With him also Lucius went out, who being ejected from Alexandria, as we have before related, had made his escape to Constantinople, and there abode. Thus the Arians, after having been in possession of the churches for forty years, were in consequence of their opposition to the conciliatory measures of the emperor Theodosius, driven out of the city, in Gratian's fifth consulate, and the first of Theodosius Augustus, on the 26th of November. The professors of the Homousian faith in this manner regained possession of the churches.

CHAPTER VIII.

A SYNOD* CONVENED AT CONSTANTINOPLE. ORDINATION
OF NECTARIUS.

AFTER this the emperor without delay summoned a Synod of the prelates of his own faith, in order that the Nicene Creed might be established, and a bishop of Constantinople ordained: and inasmuch as he was not without hope that the Macedonians might be won over to his own views, he invited those who presided over that sect to be present also. There met therefore on this occasion, of the Homœousian party, Timothy from Alexandria, Cyril from Jerusalem, who at that time recognised the doctrine of consubstantiality, having retracted his former opinion; Meletius from Antioch, he having arrived there previously to assist at the installation of Gregory; Ascholius also from Thessalonica, and many others, amounting in all to one hundred and fifty. Of the Macedonians, the principal persons were Eleusius of Cyzicum, and Marcian of Lampsacus; these with the rest, most of whom came from the cities of the Hellespont, were thirty-six in number. All being assembled in the month of May, under the consulate of Eucharis and Evagrius, the emperor used his utmost exertions, in conjunction with the bishops who entertained similar sentiments to his own, to bring over Eleusius and his adherents to his own side. They were reminded of the deputation they had sent by Eustathius to Liberius then bishop of Rome; that they had of their own accord

* This was the second Œcumenical Council.

not long since entered into promiscuous communion with the orthodox; and the inconsistency and fickleness of their conduct was represented to them, in now attempting to subvert the faith which they once acknowledged, and professed agreement with the catholics in. But the Macedonians regardless alike of admonitions and reproofs, chose rather to maintain the Arian dogma, than to assent to the Homousian doctrine. Having made this declaration, they departed from Constantinople; and writing to their partisans in every city, they charged them by all means to repudiate the creed of the Nicene Synod. The bishops of the other party remaining at Constantinople, entered into a consultation about the ordination of a bishop; for Gregory, as we have before said, had renounced that see, and was preparing to return to Nazianzen. Now there was a person named Nectarius, of a senatorial family, mild and gentle in his manners, and admirable in his whole course of life, although he at that time bore the office of prætor. This man the people seized upon, and elected to the episcopate, and he was ordained accordingly by the hundred and fifty bishops then present. The same prelates moreover published a decree, assigning the next prerogative of honour after the bishop of Rome, to the bishop of Constantinople, because that city was New Rome. They also again confirmed the Nicene Creed. Then too patriarchs were constituted, and the provinces distributed, so that no bishop might exercise any jurisdiction over other churches out of his own diocese: for this had been often indiscriminately done before, in consequence of the persecutions. To Nectarius

therefore was allotted the great city* and Thrace. Helladius, the successor of Basil in the bishopric of Cæsarea in Cappadocia, obtained the patriarchate of the Pontic diocese, in conjunction with Gregory Basil's brother, bishop of Nyssa in Cappadocia, and Otreïus bishop of Meletina in Armenia. To Amphilocheius of Iconium and Optimus of Antioch in Pisidia, was the Asian diocese assigned. The superintendence of the churches throughout Egypt was committed to Timothy of Alexandria. On Pelagius of Laodicea, and Diodorus of Tarsus, devolved the administration of the churches of the East; without infringement however on the prerogatives of honour reserved to the Antiochian church, and conferred on Meletius then present. They further decreed that if necessity required it, a Provincial Synod should determine the ecclesiastic affairs of each province. These arrangements were confirmed by the emperor's approbation. Such was the result of this Synod.

CHAPTER IX.

THE BODY OF PAUL BISHOP OF CONSTANTINOPLE IS
HONOURABLY TRANSFERRED FROM HIS PLACE OF
EXILE. DEATH OF MELETIUS.

A SHORT time afterwards, the emperor caused to be removed from the city of Ancyra, the body of the bishop Paul, whom Philip the præfect of the Prætorium had banished at the instigation of Macedonius, and ordered to be strangled at Cucusus a town of Armenia, as I have already mentioned. His remains

* Constantinople.

were therefore received by Theodosius with great reverence and honour, and deposited in the church which now takes its name from him; which the Macedonian party were formerly in possession of while they remained separate from the Arians, but were expelled from by the emperor, on their refusal to adopt his sentiments. About this period Meletius bishop of Antioch, fell sick and died: in whose praise Gregory, the brother of Basil, pronounced a funeral oration. The body of the deceased prelate was by his friends conveyed to Antioch; where those who had identified themselves with his interests, again refused subjection to Paulinus, but caused Flavian to be substituted in the place of Meletius. Thus a fresh division arose among the people, rending the Antiochian church into rival factions, not grounded on any difference of faith, but simply on a preference of bishops.

CHAPTER X.

THE EMPEROR CAUSES A SYNOD TO BE CONVENED COMPOSED OF ALL THE VARIOUS SECTS. ARCADIUS IS PROCLAIMED AUGUSTUS. THE NOVATIANS PERMITTED TO HOLD THEIR ASSEMBLIES IN THE CITY OF CONSTANTINOPLE.

GREAT disturbances occurred in other cities also, when the Arians were ejected from the churches. But I cannot sufficiently admire the emperor's prudence in this contingency, and the judicious course he pursued in order to arrest the disorders which prevailed: for conceiving that by a general confer-

ence of the bishops, their mutual differences would be likely to be adjusted, and unanimity established, he again ordered a Synod to be convened in which the leaders of all the schismatics were included. And I am persuaded that it was to recompense this anxiety of the emperor's to promote peace in the church, that his affairs were so prosperous at that time. In fact by a special dispensation of Divine Providence the barbarous nations were reduced to subjection: and among others, Athanaric king of the Goths made a voluntary surrender of himself to him, with all his people, and died soon after at Constantinople. At this juncture the emperor proclaimed his son Arcadius Augustus, on the sixteenth of January, in the second consulate of Merobaudes and Saturninus. In the month of June, under the same consulate, the bishops of every sect arrived from all places: the emperor therefore sent for Nectarius the bishop, and consulted with him on the best means of freeing the Christian religion from dissensions, and reducing the church to a state of unity. "The subjects of controversy," said he, "ought to be fairly discussed, that by the detection and removal of the sources of discord, an universal agreement may be effected." As this proposition gave Nectarius the greatest uneasiness, he communicated it to Agelius bishop of the Novatians, inasmuch as he entertained the same sentiments as himself in matters of faith. This man though eminently pious, was by no means competent to maintain a dispute on doctrinal points; he therefore proposed to refer the subject to Sisinnius his reader, as a fit person to manage a conference. Sisinnius, who was not only eloquent, but

possessed of great experience, and well-informed both in the expositions of the sacred Scriptures, and the principles of philosophy, knowing that disputations, far from healing divisions, usually create heresies of a more inveterate character, thought it highly desirable to avoid them. His advice to Nectarius therefore was, that since the ancients have nowhere attributed a beginning of existence to the Son of God, conceiving him to be co-eternal with the Father, it would be better to bring forward as evidences of the truth the testimonies of the ancients, instead of entering into logical debates. "Let the emperor," said he, "demand of the heads of each sect, whether they would pay any deference to the ancients who flourished before schism distracted the church; or whether they would repudiate them, as alienated from the Christian faith? If they reject their authority, then let them also anathematize them: and should they presume to take such a step, they would themselves be instantly thrust out by the people, and so the truth will be manifestly victorious. But if, on the other hand, they are willing to admit the fathers, it will then be our business to produce their books, by which our views will be fully attested." Nectarius approving of the counsel of Sisinnius, hastened to the palace, and acquainted the emperor with the plan which had been suggested to him; who at once perceiving its wisdom and propriety, carried it into execution with consummate prudence. For without discovering his object, he simply asked the chiefs of the heretics whether they had any respect for and would recognise those doctors of the church who lived previous to the dissension? When they un-

hesitatingly replied that they highly revered them as their masters; the emperor enquired of them again whether they would defer to them as accredited witnesses of Christian doctrine? At this question, the leaders of the several parties, with their logical champions who had come prepared for sophistical debate, found themselves extremely embarrassed. Some acquiesced in the reasonableness of the emperor's proposition; but others shrunk from it, conscious that it was by no means favourable to their interests: so that all being variously affected towards the writings of the ancients, they could no longer agree among themselves, dissenting not only from other sects, but those of the same sect differing from one another. Accordant malice therefore, like the tongue of the giants of old, was confounded, and their tower of mischief overturned. The emperor perceiving by their confusion that their sole confidence was in subtile arguments, and that they feared to appeal to the expositions of the fathers, had recourse to another method: he commanded every sect to set forth in writing their own peculiar tenets. Accordingly those who were accounted the most skilful among them, drew up a statement of their respective creeds, couched in terms the most circumspect they could devise; and on the day appointed them, the bishops selected for this purpose presented themselves at the palace. Nectarius and Agelius appeared as the defenders of the Homoousian faith; Demophilus supported the Arian dogma; Eunomius himself undertook the cause of the Eunomians; and Eleusius bishop of Cyzicum represented the opinions of those who were denominated Macedonians. The emperor gave

them all a courteous reception; and receiving from each their written avowal of faith, he shut himself up alone, and prayed very earnestly that God would assist him in his endeavours to ascertain the truth. Then perusing with great care the statement which each had submitted to him, he condemned all the rest, inasmuch as they introduced a separation of the Trinity, and approved of that only which contained the doctrine of consubstantiality. This decision caused the Novatians to flourish again: for the emperor delighted with the consonance of their profession with that which he embraced, permitted them to hold their assemblies within the city; and having promulgated a law securing to them the peaceful possession of their own oratories, he assigned to their churches equal privileges* with those to which he gave his more especial sanction. But the prelates of the other sects, on account of their disagreement among themselves, were despised and censured even by their own followers: so that overwhelmed with perplexity and vexation they departed, addressing consolatory letters to their adherents, whom they exhorted not to be troubled because many had deserted them and gone over to the Homoousian party; for said they, "Many are called, but few chosen"—an expression which they never thought of using, when by force and terror they succeeded in rendering the majority of the people their disciples. Nevertheless the orthodox believers were not wholly exempt from inquietude; for the affairs of the Antiochian church caused divisions among those who were present at the Synod. The bishops of Egypt,

* *Πρόνομα.*

Arabia and Cyprus, combined against Flavian, and insisted on his expulsion from Antioch: but those of Palestine, Phœnice, and Syria, contended with equal zeal in his favour. The issue of this contest will be spoken of in its proper place.

CHAPTER XI.

THE EMPEROR GRATIAN IS SLAIN BY THE TREACHERY OF THE TYRANT MAXIMUS. JUSTINA CEASES FROM PERSECUTING AMBROSE.

NEARLY synchronous with the holding of these Synods at Constantinople, the following events occurred in the Western parts. Maximus coming from the island of Britain, invaded the Roman empire, and took arms against Gratian, who was then engaged in a war with the Alemanni. In Italy, Valentinian being still a minor, Probus, a man of consular dignity, had the chief administration of affairs, and was at that time præfect of the Prætorium. Justina, the mother of the young prince, who entertained Arian sentiments, had been unable to molest the Homoousians during her husband's life; but going to Milan after the emperor's decease, she manifested great hostility to Ambrose the bishop, and commanded that he should be banished. While the people from their excessive attachment to Ambrose, were offering resistance to those who were charged with the execution of this order, intelligence was brought that Gratian had been assassinated by the treachery of the tyrant Maximus. Andragathius, a general under Maximus, having concealed himself in a litter resembling a couch, which

was carried by mules, ordered his guards to spread a report before him that the litter contained the emperor Gratian's wife. They met the emperor near the city of Lyons* in France just as he had crossed the river: who believing it to be his wife, and not suspecting any treachery, fell into the hands of his enemy as a blind man into the ditch; for Andragathius suddenly springing forth from the litter, slew him. Gratian thus perished in the consulate of Merobaudes and Saturninus, in the twenty-fourth year of his age, and the fifteenth of his reign. This incident repressed the empress Justina's indignation against Ambrose. Afterwards Valentinian most unwillingly, but constrained by the necessity of the time, admitted Maximus as his colleague in the empire. Probus alarmed at the power of Maximus, resolved to retreat into the regions of the East: leaving Italy therefore, he proceeded to Illyricum, and fixed his residence at Thessalonica a city of Macedonia.

CHAPTER XII.

WHILE THE EMPEROR THEODOSIUS IS ENGAGED IN MILITARY PREPARATIONS AGAINST THE TYRANT, HIS SON HONORIUS IS BORN. HE THEN PROCEEDS TO MILAN IN ORDER TO ENCOUNTER MAXIMUS.

BUT the emperor Theodosius, filled with the utmost solicitude, levied a powerful army against the tyrant, fearing lest he should meditate the destruction of the young Valentinian also. While engaged in this preparation, an embassy arrived from the Persians, re-

* Λουγδούρου.

questing peace from the emperor. Then also the empress Flaccilla bore him a son named Honorius, on the 9th of September, in the consulate of Richomeres and Clearchus. Under the same consulate, and a little before the birth of this prince, Agelius bishop of the Novatians died. In the year following, wherein Arcadius Augustus bore his first consulate in conjunction with Bauton, Timothy bishop of Alexandria died, and was succeeded in the episcopate by Theophilus. About a year after this, Demophilus the Arian prelate having departed this life, the Arians sent for Marinus a leader of their own heresy out of Thrace, to whom they entrusted the bishopric: but he did not long occupy that position, for under him that sect was divided into two parties, as we shall hereafter explain; they therefore invited Dorotheus to come to them from Antioch in Syria, and constituted him their bishop. Meanwhile the emperor Theodosius proceeded to the war against Maximus, leaving his son Arcadius with imperial authority at Constantinople. On his arrival at Thessalonica he finds Valentinian and those about him in great anxiety, because through compulsion they had acknowledged the tyrant as emperor. Without however giving expression to his sentiments, he neither rejected nor admitted the embassy of Maximus: but unable to endure tyrannical domination over the Roman empire, under the assumption of an imperial name, he hastily mustered his forces and advanced to Milan, whither the usurper had already come.

CHAPTER XIII.

THE ARIANS EXCITE A TUMULT AT CONSTANTINOPLE.

WHILE the emperor was thus occupied on his military expedition, the Arians excited a great tumult at Constantinople by such devices as these. Men are fond of fabricating statements respecting matters about which they are kept in ignorance; and the tendency to do this is greatly stimulated, when in addition to the general love of change, circumstances render them peculiarly desirous of promoting it, as they are then tempted to spread reports favourable to their own wishes. This was strongly exemplified at Constantinople on the present occasion: for each invented news concerning the war which was carrying on at a distance, according to his own caprice, always presuming upon the most disastrous results; and before the contest had yet commenced, they spoke of transactions in reference to it, of which they knew nothing, with as much assurance as if they had been spectators on the very scene of action. Thus it was confidently affirmed that the tyrant had defeated the emperor's army, even the number of men slain on both sides being specified; and that the emperor himself had nearly fallen into the tyrant's hands. Then the Arians, who had been excessively exasperated by those being put in possession of the churches within the city who had previously been the objects of their persecution, began to augment these rumours by additions of their own. The currency of such stories with increasing exaggeration, in time imposed upon

even the framers themselves; until they were induced to believe that they were not really fictions of their own imagination, but literal and positive facts. For those who had circulated them from hearsay, affirmed to the authors of these falsehoods, that the accounts they had received from them had been fully corroborated elsewhere. Thus deluded, the Arians were emboldened to commit acts of violence, and among other outrages, to set fire to the house of Nectarius the bishop. This was done in the second consulate* of Theodosius Augustus, which he bore with Cynegius.

CHAPTER XIV.

OVERTHROW AND DEATH OF THE TYRANT MAXIMUS.

THE intelligence of the formidable preparations made by the emperor against the tyrant, so alarmed the troops under Maximus, that instead of fighting for him, they delivered him bound to the emperor, who caused him to be put to death, on the twenty-seventh of August, under the same consulate. Andragathius, who with his own hand had slain Gratian, understanding the fate of Maximus, precipitated himself into an adjacent river, and was drowned. Both the victorious emperors then made their public entry into Rome, accompanied by Honorius the son of Theodosius, still a mere boy, whom his father had sent for from Constantinople immediately after Maximus had been vanquished. They continued therefore at Rome celebrating their triumphal festivals: during

* In this year the works of Porphyry were burnt by order of Theodosius.

which time the emperor Theodosius exhibited a remarkable instance of clemency toward Symmachus, a man who had borne the consular office, and was at the head of the senate at Rome. This person was distinguished for his eloquence, and many of his orations are still extant composed in the Latin tongue: but inasmuch as he had written a panegyric on Maximus, and pronounced it before him publicly, he was afterwards impeached for high treason; wherefore to escape capital punishment he took sanctuary in a church. The emperor's veneration for religion led him not only to honour the prelates of his own communion, but to treat with consideration those of the Novatians also, who embraced the Homoousian creed: to gratify therefore Leontius the bishop of the Novatian church at Rome, who interceded in behalf of Symmachus, he graciously pardoned that criminal. Symmachus, after he had obtained his pardon, wrote an apologetic address to the emperor Theodosius. Thus was the war, which at its commencement appeared so terrible, brought to a speedy termination.

CHAPTER XV.

OF FLAVIAN BISHOP OF ANTIOCH.

ABOUT the same period, the following events took place at Antioch in Syria. After the death of Paulinus, the people who had been under his superintendence refused to submit to the authority of Flavian, but caused Evagrius to be ordained bishop of their own party. He not having long survived his ordination, Flavian had the address to prevent any other

being constituted in his place: nevertheless those who disliked Flavian on account of his having violated his oath,* held their assemblies apart. Meanwhile Flavian left no stone unturned, as the phrase is, to bring these also under his control; and this he soon after effected, when he had appeased the anger of Theophilus then bishop of Alexandria, by whose mediation he conciliated Damasus bishop of Rome also. For both these prelates had been greatly displeased with Flavian, as well for the perjury of which he had been guilty, as for the schism he had occasioned among the people who had been previously united. Theophilus therefore being pacified, sent Isidore a presbyter to Rome, and thus reconciled Damasus who was still offended; representing to him the propriety of overlooking Flavian's misconduct, for the sake of producing concord among the people. Communion being in this way restored to Flavian, the people of Antioch were in the course of a little while induced to lay aside their opposition to him. Such was the conclusion of this affair at Antioch. But the Arians of that city being ejected from the churches, were accustomed to hold their meetings in the suburbs. Moreover Cyril bishop of Jerusalem having died about this time, was succeeded by John.

* See chap. v. and xi. of this book.

CHAPTER XVI.

DEMOLITION OF THE IDOLATROUS TEMPLES AT ALEXANDRIA; AND CONFLICT BETWEEN THE PAGANS AND CHRISTIANS.

AT the solicitation of Theophilus bishop of Alexandria, the emperor issued an order at this time for the demolition of the heathen temples in that city; commanding also that it should be put in execution under the direction of Theophilus, which occasioned a great commotion. For thus authorised, Theophilus exerted himself to the utmost to expose the Pagan mysteries to contempt. Mithra's adytum he caused to be cleared out, and exhibited to public view the tokens of its bloody mysteries. The temple of Serapis he destroyed: and to show how full of extravagance the superstitions connected with that idol and the other false gods were, he had the phalli of Priapus carried through the midst of the forum. The Pagans of Alexandria, and especially the professors of philosophy, unable to repress their rage at this exposure, exceeded in revengeful ferocity their outrages on a former occasion: for with one accord, at a pre-concerted signal, they rushed impetuously upon the Christians, and murdered every one they could lay hands on; and as an attempt was made to resist the assailants, the mischief was the more augmented. This desperate affray was prolonged until both parties were exhausted, when it was discovered that very few of the heathens had been killed, but a great number of Christians; while the amount of wounded on each side was almost

incredible. The Pagans thus sated with blood and slaughter absconded, being apprehensive of the emperor's displeasure: some fled in one direction, some in another, and many quitting Alexandria, dispersed themselves in various cities. Among these were the two grammarians Helladius and Ammonius, whose pupil I was in my youth at Constantinople. The former was said to be the priest of Jupiter, the latter of Simius.* After this disturbance had been thus terminated, the governor of Alexandria, and the commander-in-chief of the troops in Egypt, assisted Theophilus in demolishing the heathen temples. These were therefore razed to the ground, and the images of their gods molten into pots and other convenient utensils for the use of the Alexandrian church; for the emperor had instructed Theophilus to so distribute them for the relief of the poor. All the images were accordingly broken to pieces, except one statue of the god† before mentioned, which Theophilus preserved and set up in a public place; "Lest," said he, "at a future time the heathens should deny that they had ever worshipped such gods." This action gave great umbrage to Ammonius the grammarian in particular, who to my knowledge was accustomed to say, that the religion of the Gentiles was grossly abused and misrepresented by the reservation of this one image only, in order to render that religion ridiculous. Helladius however did not scruple to boast, that he had the satisfaction in that desperate onset of sacrificing nine victims with his own hand at the shrine of the insulted deities. Such were the doings in Alexandria at that time.

* i. e. the ape.

† Simius.

CHAPTER XVII.

OF THE HIEROGLYPHICS FOUND IN THE TEMPLE OF
SERAPIS.

WHEN the Temple of Serapis was torn down and laid bare, there were found in it, engraven on stones, certain characters which they call hieroglyphics, having the forms of crosses. Both the Christians and Pagans on seeing them, thought they had reference to their respective religions : for the Christians who affirm that the cross is the sign of Christ's saving passion, claimed this character as peculiarly theirs; but the Pagans alleged that it might appertain to Christ and Serapis in common; "for," said they, "it symbolizes one thing to Christians and another to Heathens." Whilst this point was controverted amongst them, some of the heathen converts to Christianity, who were conversant with these hieroglyphic characters, interpreted that in the form of a cross to signify *Life to come*. This the Christians exultingly laid hold of, as decidedly favourable to their religion. But after other hieroglyphics had been deciphered containing a prediction that *When (the character in the form of a cross, representing) Life to come should appear, the Temple of Serapis would be destroyed*, a very great number of the Pagans embraced Christianity, and confessing their sins, were baptized. Such are the reports I have heard respecting the discovery of this symbol in form of a cross. But I cannot imagine that the Egyptian priests foreknew the things concerning Christ, when they engraved the figure of a cross. For if *the*

mystery of our Saviour's advent *was hid from ages and from generations*, as the apostle declares; and if the devil himself, the prince of wickedness, knew nothing of it, his ministers the Egyptian priests are likely to have been still more ignorant of the matter. Providence doubtless purposed that in the inquiry concerning this character, there should something take place analogous to what happened heretofore at the preaching of Paul. For he, made wise by the Divine Spirit, employed a similar method in relation to the Athenians, many of whom he brought over to the faith, when on reading the inscription on one of their altars,* he accommodated it to his own discourse. Unless indeed any one should say, that the Word of God wrought in the Egyptian priests, as it did on Balaam† and Caiaphas,‡ causing them to utter prophecies of good things in spite of themselves.

CHAPTER XVIII.

REFORMATION OF ABUSES AT RÔME BY THE EMPEROR THEODOSIUS.

DURING the short stay of the emperor Theodosius in Italy, he conferred the greatest benefit on the city of Rome, by grants on the one hand, and abrogations on the other. His largesses were very munificent; and he removed two most infamous abuses which existed in that mighty city. There were buildings of immense magnitude, erected in former times, in which bread was made for distribution among the people. Those who had the charge of these edifices, whom

* Acts xvii. 23.

† Num. xxiv.

‡ John xi. 51.

the Romans in their language term Mancipes, in process of time converted them into receptacles for thieves. Now the bake-houses* in these structures being placed underneath, they built taverns† at the side of each, where prostitutes were kept; by which means they entrapped many of those who went thither either for the sake of refreshment, or to gratify their lusts, for by a certain mechanical contrivance they precipitated them from the tavern into the bake-house below. This was practised chiefly upon strangers; and such as were in this way trepanned, were compelled to work in the bake-houses, where they were immured until old age, their friends concluding that they were dead. It happened that one of the soldiers of the emperor Theodosius fell into this snare; who being shut up in the bake-house, and hindered from going out, drew a dagger which he wore and killed those who stood in his way: the rest being terrified, suffered him to escape. When the emperor was made acquainted with the circumstance he punished the Mancipes, and ordered these haunts of lawless and abandoned characters to be pulled down. This was one of the disgraceful nuisances of which the emperor purged the imperial city: the other was of this nature. When a woman was detected in adultery, they punished the delinquent in a way that rather aggravated her offence, than tended to reform her. For shutting her up in a narrow brothel, they obliged her to prostitute herself in a most disgusting manner; causing little bells to be rung at the time, that those who passed by might not be ignorant of what was doing within. This was doubtless intended

* Μυλωνες.

† Καπηλεια.

to brand the crime with greater ignominy in public opinion. As soon as the emperor was apprised of this indecent usage, he would by no means tolerate it; but having ordered the *Sistra* (for so these places of penal prostitution were denominated) to be pulled down, he appointed other laws for the punishment of adulteresses. Thus did the emperor Theodosius free the city from two of its most discreditable abuses: and when he had arranged all other affairs to his satisfaction, leaving the young emperor Valentinian at Rome, he returned with his son Honorius to Constantinople, and entered that city on the 10th of November, in the consulate of Tatian and Symmachus.

CHAPTER XIX.

THE OFFICE OF PENITENTIARY PRESBYTER ABOLISHED.

It was deemed requisite at this time to abolish the office of those presbyters in the churches who superintended the confessional: this was done on the following account. When the Novatians separated themselves from the church because they would not communicate with those who had lapsed during the persecution under Decius, the bishops added to the ecclesiastical canon a presbyter whose duty it should be to receive the confession of penitents who had sinned after baptism. And this mode of discipline is still maintained among other heretical institutions by all the rest of the sects; the Homoousians only, together with the Novatians who hold the same doctrinal views, having rejected it. The latter indeed would never admit of its establishment: and the Ho-

moousians who are now in possession of the churches, after retaining this function for a considerable period, abrogated it in the time of Nectarius, in consequence of what occurred in the Constantinopolitan church. A woman of noble family coming to the penitentiary, made a general confession of those sins she had committed since her baptism: and the presbyter enjoined fasting and prayer continually, that together with the acknowledgment of error, she might have to show works also meet for repentance. Some time after this, the same lady again presented herself, and confessed that she had been guilty of another crime, a deacon of that church having lain with her. On this information the deacon was ejected from the church: but the people were very indignant, being not only offended at what had taken place, but also because the exposure of the fact had brought scandal and degradation upon the church. When in consequence of this, ecclesiastics were subjected to taunting and reproach, Eudæmon a presbyter of that church, by birth an Alexandrian, persuaded Nectarius the bishop to abolish the office of penitentiary presbyter, and to leave every one to his own conscience with regard to the participation of the sacred mysteries:* for thus only, in his judgment, could the church be preserved from obloquy. I have not hesitated to insert this in my history, since I myself heard the explanation of the matter from Eudæmon: for as I have often remarked, I have spared no pains to procure an authentic account of affairs from those who were best acquainted with them, and to scrutinize every report, lest I should advance what might be untrue.

* i. e. communion.

My observation to Eudæmon, when he first related the circumstance, was this: “Whether, O presbyter, your counsel has been profitable for the church or otherwise, God knows; but I see that it takes away the means of rebuking one another’s faults, and prevents our acting upon that precept of the apostle, *Have no fellowship with the unfruitful works of darkness, but rather reprove them.*”

CHAPTER XX.

DIVISIONS AMONG THE ARIANS AND OTHER HERETICS.

I CONCEIVE it right moreover to notice the proceedings of the other religious bodies, viz. the Arians, Novatians, and those who received their denominations from Macedonius and Eunomius. For the church once being divided, rested not in that schism, but the separatists taking occasion from the most frivolous pretences, disagreed among themselves. The manner and time, as well as the causes for which they raised mutual dissensions, will be stated as we proceed. But let it be observed here, that the emperor Theodosius persecuted none of them except Eunomius, whom he banished; because by holding meetings in private houses at Constantinople, where he read the works he had composed, he corrupted many with his doctrines. The other heretics were not interfered with by the emperor, nor did he constrain them to hold communion with himself; but he allowed them all to assemble in their own conventicles, and to entertain their own opinions on points of Christian faith. Permission to build themselves

oratories without the cities was granted to the rest: but inasmuch as the Novatians held sentiments precisely identical with his own as to faith, he ordered that they should be suffered to continue unmolested in their churches within the cities, as I have before noticed. I think it opportune however to give in this place some farther account of them, and shall therefore retrace a few circumstances in their history.

CHAPTER XXI.

PECULIAR SCHISM AMONG THE NOVATIANS.

THE Novatian church at Constantinople was presided over by Agelius for the space of forty years, viz. from the reign of Constantine until the sixth year of that of the emperor Theodosius, as I remember to have stated elsewhere. He perceiving his end approaching, ordains Sisinnius to succeed him in the bishopric. This person was a presbyter of the church over which Agelius presided, remarkably eloquent, and had been instructed in philosophy by Maximus, at the same time as the emperor Julian. The Novatian laity were dissatisfied with this election, and wished rather that he had ordained Marcian, a man of eminent piety, by whose influence their sect had been left unmolested during the reign of Valens; Agelius therefore to allay his people's discontent, laid his hands on Marcian also. Having recovered a little from his illness, on again entering the church he thus of his own accord addressed the congregation: "After my decease let Marcian be your bishop; and after Marcian, Sisinnius." He survived these words but a

short time, and Marcian was constituted his immediate successor; during whose episcopate a division arose in their church also, from this cause. Marcian had promoted to the rank of presbyter a converted Jew named Sabbatius, who nevertheless continued to retain many of his Jewish prejudices; and moreover he was very ambitious of being made a bishop. Having therefore attached to his interest two presbyters, Theoctistus and Macarius, who were cognizant of his designs, he resolved to defend that innovation made by the Novatians in the time of Valens, at Pazum a village of Phrygia, concerning the festival of Easter, to which I have already adverted. And in the first place, under pretext of more ascetic austerity, he privately withdrew from the church, saying that he was grieved on account of certain persons whom he suspected of being unworthy of participation of the mysteries.* It was however soon discovered that his object was to hold assemblies apart: which when Marcian understood, he bitterly complained of his own error, in ordaining to the presbyterate persons so intent on vain-glory; and frequently said, "That it had been better for him to have laid his hands on thorns, than to have imposed them on Sabbatius." To check his proceedings, he procured a Synod of Novatian bishops to be convened at Sangarum, a commercial† town near Helenopolis, where Sabbatius was summoned, and desired to explain the cause of his discontent. Upon his affirming that he was troubled about the disagreement that existed respecting the Feast of Easter, and that it ought to be kept according to the

* *Μυστηρίων κοινωνίας.*† *Ἐμπόριον.*

custom of the Jews, and agreeable to that sanction which those convened at Pazum had appointed; the bishops present at the Synod imagining this assertion to be a mere subterfuge to disguise his desire after the episcopal chair, obliged him to pledge himself on oath that he would never accept a bishopric. When he had so sworn, they passed a canon respecting this feast, which they entitled *ἀδιάφορον*,* declaring that a disagreement on such a point was not a sufficient reason for separation from the church; and that the council of Pazum had done nothing prejudicial to the catholic canon. That although the ancients who lived nearest to the Apostolic times differed about the observance of this festival, it did not prevent their communion with one another, nor create any dissension. That the Novatians at imperial Rome had never followed the Jewish usage, but always kept Easter after the equinox;† and yet they did not separate from those of their own faith, who celebrated it on a different day. From these and many such considerations, they made the *Indifferent Canon*, above-mentioned, concerning Easter, whereby every one was left at liberty to do as his own predilection led him in this matter, without violating the unity of the church. After this rule had been thus established, Sabbatius being bound by his oath, anticipated the fast by keeping it in private, whenever any discrepancy existed in the time of the Paschal solemnity, and having watched all night, he celebrated the sabbath of the passover; then on the next day he went to church, and with the rest of the congregation partook of the mysteries. He pursued this course

* i. e. Indifferent.

† Ἰσημερινός.

for many years, so that it could not be concealed from the people; in imitation of which some of the more ignorant, and chiefly the Phrygians and Galatians, supposing this precedent a sufficient justification for them, also kept the Passover in secret. But Sabbatius afterwards disregarding the oath by which he had renounced the episcopal dignity, held schismatic meetings, and was constituted bishop of his followers, as we shall show hereafter.

CHAPTER XXII.

THE AUTHOR'S VIEWS RESPECTING THE CELEBRATION OF EASTER; WITH OBSERVATIONS ON BAPTISM, FASTING, MARRIAGE, THE EUCHARIST, AND OTHER ECCLESIASTICAL RITES.

I MAY perhaps be permitted here to make a few reflections on Easter. It appears to me that neither the ancients nor moderns who have affected to follow the Jews, have had any rational foundation for contending so obstinately about it. For they have altogether lost sight of the fact that when our religion superseded the Jewish economy, the obligation to observe the Mosaic law and the ceremonial types ceased. That it is incompatible with Christian faith to practise Jewish rites, is manifest from the Apostle's expressly forbidding it; and not only rejecting circumcision, but also deprecating contention about festival days. In his Epistle to the Galatians* he writes, "Tell me, ye that desire to be under the law, do ye not hear the law?" And continuing his train

* Gal. iv. 21.

of argument, he demonstrates that the Jews were in bondage as servants, but that Christians are called into the liberty of sons. Moreover he exhorts them to disregard days, and months, and years. Again in his Epistle to the Colossians* he distinctly declares, that such observances are merely shadows: wherefore he says, "Let no man judge you in meat, or in drink, or in respect of any holy-day, or of the new moon, or of the sabbath-days; which are a shadow of things to come." The same truths are also confirmed by him in the epistle to the Hebrews† in these words: "For the priesthood being changed, there is made of necessity a change also of the law." Neither the apostle therefore, nor the evangelists, have any where imposed the yoke of servitude on those who have embraced the gospel; but have left Easter and every other feast to be honoured by the gratitude of the recipients of grace. Men love festivals, because they afford them cessation from labour: and therefore it is that each individual in every place, according to his own pleasure, has by a prevalent custom celebrated the memory of the saving passion. The Saviour and his apostles have enjoined us by no law to keep this feast: nor in the New Testament are we threatened with any penalty, punishment, or curse for the neglect of it, as the Mosaic law does the Jews. It is merely for the sake of historical accuracy, and for the reproach of the Jews, because they polluted themselves with blood on their very feasts, that it is recorded in the gospels that our Saviour suffered "in the days of unleavened bread." The apostles had no thought of appointing festival days, but of promoting

* Col. ii. 16, 17.

† Heb. vii. 12.

a life of blamelessness and piety. And it seems to me that the feast of Easter has been introduced into the church from some old usage, just as many other customs have been established. In Asia Minor most people kept the fourteenth day of the moon, disregarding the sabbath: yet they never separated from those who did otherwise, until Victor bishop of Rome, influenced by too ardent a zeal, fulminated a sentence of excommunication against the Quartodecimani* in Asia. But Irenæus bishop of Lyons in France, severely censured Victor by letter for his immoderate heat; telling him that although the ancients differed in their celebration of Easter, they did not depart from intercommunion. Also that Polycarp bishop of Smyrna, who afterwards suffered martyrdom under Gordian, continued to communicate with Anicetus bishop of Rome, although he himself, according to the usage of his country, kept Easter on the fourteenth day of the moon, as Eusebius attests in the fifth book of his "Ecclesiastical History."† While therefore some in Asia Minor observed the day above-mentioned, others in the East kept that feast on the sabbath indeed, but not in the same month. The former thought the Jews should be followed, though they were not exact: the latter kept Easter after the equinox, refusing to be guided by the Jews; "for," said they, "it ought to be celebrated when the sun is in Aries,‡ in the month which the Antiochians term Xanthicus, and the Romans April." In this practice, they averred, they conformed not to the modern Jews, who are

* Those who observed the fourteenth day of the moon.

† Of which an English translation has recently been published, 1842, p. 236, &c.

‡ Κριϋ.

mistaken in almost everything, but to the ancients of that nation, and what Josephus has written in the third book of his "Jewish Antiquities." Thus these people were at issue. But all other Christians in the Western parts, as far as the ocean itself, are found to have celebrated Easter after the equinox, from a very ancient tradition, and have never disagreed on this subject. It is not true, as some have pretended, that the Synod under Constantine altered this festival: for that emperor himself, writing to those who differed respecting it, recommended them, as few in number, to agree with the majority of their brethren. His letter is given at length by Eusebius in his third book of the life of that sovereign; but the part relative to Easter runs thus:—"It is a becoming order which all the churches in the Western, Southern, and Northern parts of the world observe, and some places in the East also. Wherefore all on the present occasion have judged it right, and I have pledged myself that it will have the acquiescence of your prudence,* that what is unanimously observed in the city of Rome, throughout Italy, Africa, and Egypt, in Spain, France, Britain, Libya, and all Greece, the Asian and Pontic diocese, and Cilicia, your wisdom† also will readily embrace; considering not only that the number of churches in the aforesaid places is greater, but also that while there should be a universal concurrence in what is most reasonable, it becomes us to have nothing in common with the perfidious Jews." Such is the tenor of the emperor's letter. Moreover the Quartodecimani affirm that the observance they maintain was delivered to them by the apostle John: while the Romans and those in the Western parts assure

* Ἀγχοίσις.

† Σύρεσις.

us that their usage originated with the apostles Peter and Paul. Neither of these parties however can produce any written testimony in confirmation of what they assert. But that the time of keeping Easter in various places is dependant on usage, I infer from this, that those who agree in faith, differ among themselves on this question. And it will not perhaps be unseasonable to notice here the diversity of customs in the churches. The fasts before Easter are differently observed. Those at Rome fast three successive weeks before Easter, excepting Saturdays and Sundays. The Illyrians, Achaïans and Alexandrians observe a fast of six weeks, which they term "the forty days' fast."* Others commencing their fast from the seventh week before Easter, and fasting three five days only, and that at intervals, yet call that time "the forty days' fast." It is indeed surprising that thus differing in the number of days, they should both give it one common appellation; but some assign one reason for it, and others another, according to their several fancies. There is also a disagreement about abstinence from food, as well as the number of days. Some wholly abstain from things that have life: others feed on fish only of all living creatures: many together with fish, eat fowl also, saying that according to Moses, these were likewise made out of the waters. Some abstain from eggs, and all kinds of fruits: others feed on dry bread only; and others eat not even this: while others having fasted till the ninth hour, afterwards feed on any sort of food without distinction. And among various nations there are other usages, for which

* Lent.

innumerable reasons are assigned. Since however no one can produce a written command as an authority, it is evident that the apostles left each one to his own free will in the matter, to the end that the performance of what is good might not be the result of constraint and necessity. Nor is there less variation in the services performed in their religious assemblies, than there is about fastings. For although almost all churches throughout the world celebrate the sacred mysteries on the sabbath of every week, yet the Christians of Alexandria and at Rome, on account of some ancient tradition, refuse to do this. The Egyptians in the neighbourhood of Alexandria, and the inhabitants of Thebais, hold their religious* meetings on the sabbath, but do not participate of the mysteries in the manner usual among Christians in general: for after having eaten and satisfied themselves with food of all kinds, in the evening making their oblations,† they partake of the mysteries. At Alexandria again, on *the 4th Feria*, (i. e. the Wednesday in Passion week) and on that termed the *Preparation day*, (Good Friday) the scriptures are read, and the doctors expound them; and all the usual services are performed in their assemblies, except the celebration of the mysteries. This practice in the city is of great antiquity, for it is well known that Origen most commonly taught in the church on these days. He being very learned in the Sacred Books, and perceiving that

* *Συνάξεις.*

† *Προσφέροντες.* This expression is ambiguous and may imply the *offering* of the consecrated elements *as a sacrifice*. The Romanists are still guilty of this abomination in the elevation of the Host in the Eucharist.

the secret* of the Mosaic Law could not be explained literally, gave it a spiritual interpretation; declaring that there has never been but one true Passover, which our Saviour celebrated when he hung upon the cross: for that he then vanquished the adverse powers, and erected this trophy against the devil. In the same city of Alexandria, readers and chanterst are chosen indifferently from the catechumens and the faithful; whereas in all other churches the faithful only are promoted to these offices. I myself also, when in Thessaly, knew another custom. If a clergyman in that country, after taking orders, should sleep with his wife, whom he had legally married before his ordination, he would be degraded.† In the East indeed all clergymen, and even the bishops themselves, abstain from their wives: but this they do of their own accord, there being no law in force to make it necessary; for there have been among them many bishops, who have had children by their lawful wives, during their episcopate. It is said that the author of the usage which obtains in Thessaly, was Heliodorus bishop of Trica in that country; under whose name there are love books extant, entitled “Ethiopici,”‡ which he composed in his youth. The same custom prevails at Thessalonica, and in Macedonia, and Achaia. I have also remarked another peculiarity in Thessaly, which is, that they baptize there on the days of Easter only; in consequence of which a very great number of them die without having received this rite. At Antioch in Syria the site of the church is inverted; so that the altar instead of looking toward

* Τὸ ἀδύνατον (Rom. viii. 3). † Ὑποβολεῖς. ‡ Ἀποκήρυκτος.
 § Or the amours of Theagenes and Chariclea.

the East, faces the West. In Achaia and Thessaly, and also at Jerusalem, they go to prayers as soon as the candles are lighted, in the same manner as the Novatians do at Constantinople. At Cæsarea likewise, and in Cappadocia, and the Isle of Cyprus, the bishops and presbyters expound the scriptures in the evening, after the candles are lighted. The Novatians of the Hellespont do not perform their prayers altogether in the same manner as those of Constantinople; in most things however their usage is similar to that of the catholic* church. In short you will scarcely find anywhere, among all the sects, two churches which agree exactly in their ritual respecting prayers. At Alexandria no presbyter is allowed to preach: a regulation which was made after Arius had raised a disturbance in that church. At Rome they fast every Saturday. At Cæsarea they exclude from communion those who have sinned after baptism, as the Novatians do. The same discipline was practised by the Macedonians in the Hellespont, and by the Quartodecimani in Asia. The Novatians in Phrygia do not admit such as have twice married;† but those of Constantinople neither admit nor reject them openly, while in the Western parts they are openly received. This diversity was occasioned, as I imagine, by the bishops who in their respective eras governed the churches; and those who received these several rites and usages, transmitted them as laws to posterity. It would be difficult, if not impossible, to give a complete catalogue of all the various customs and ceremonial ob-

* Κραούση, imperial, established.

† Διγάμους, *Bigamists*, but not in the sense we usually attach to the word, of having two wives at the same time.

servances in use throughout every city and country: but the instances we have adduced are sufficient to show, that the Easter Festival was from some remote precedent differently celebrated in every particular province. They talk at random therefore who assert that the time of keeping Easter was altered in the Nicene Synod; for the bishops there convened earnestly laboured to reduce the first dissident minority to uniformity of practice with the rest of the people. Now that differences of this kind existed in the first ages of the church, was not unknown even to the apostles themselves, as the Book of *The Acts* testifies. For when they understood that the peace of the believers was disturbed by a dissension of the Gentiles, having all met together, they promulged a divine law, giving it the form of a letter. By this sanction they liberated Christians from the bondage of formal observances, and all vain contention about these things; teaching them the path of true piety, and only prescribing such things as were conducive to its attainment. The epistle itself, which I shall here transcribe, is recorded in *The Acts of the Apostles*.* “The apostles and elders and brethren send greeting unto the brethren which are of the Gentiles in Antioch and Syria and Cilicia. Forasmuch as we have heard, that certain which went out from us have troubled you with words, subverting your souls, saying, Ye must be circumcised, and keep the law; to whom we gave no such commandment: it seemed good unto us, being assembled with one accord, to send chosen men unto you, with our beloved Barnabas and Paul, men that have hazarded

* Acts xv. 23—29.

their lives for the name of our Lord Jesus Christ. We have therefore sent Judas and Silas, who shall also tell you the same thing by mouth. For it seemed good to the Holy Ghost and to us, to lay upon you no greater burden than these necessary things: that ye abstain from meats offered to idols, and from blood, and from things strangled, and from fornication; from which if ye keep yourselves, ye shall do well. Fare ye well." These things indeed pleased God: for the letter expressly says, "It seemed good to the Holy Ghost to lay upon you no greater burden than these necessary things." There are nevertheless some who disregarding these precepts, suppose all fornication to be an indifferent matter; but contend about holy-days* as if their lives were at stake. Such persons contravene the commands of God, and legislate for themselves, not respecting the decree of the apostles: neither do they perceive that they are themselves practising the contrary to those things which God approved. We might easily have extended our discourse respecting Easter, and have demonstrated that the Jews observe no exact rule either in the time or manner of celebrating the paschal solemnity: and that the Samaritans, who are a schism of the Jews, always celebrate this festival after the equinox. But this subject† would require a distinct and copious treatise: I shall therefore merely add, that those who affect so much to imitate the Jews, and are so very anxious about an accurate observance of types, ought to depart from them in no particular. For if they have resolved on being so correct, they must not only observe days and months, but all other

* Ἡμερῶν ἑορτῆς.

† Ὑπόθεσις.

things also, which Christ (who was “made under the law”) did in the manner of the Jews; or which he unjustly suffered from them; or wrought typically for the good of all men. Thus when he entered into a ship and taught: when he ordered the Passover to be made ready in an upper room: when he commanded an ass that was tied to be loosed: when he proposed a man bearing a pitcher of water as a sign to them for hastening their preparations for the Passover. To be consistent they must observe all these things, with an infinite number of others of this nature which are recorded in the gospels. And yet those who suppose themselves to be justified by keeping this feast, would think it absurd to observe any of these things in a bodily manner. No doctor, for instance, ever dreams of going to preach from a ship—no person imagines it necessary to go up into an upper room, and celebrate the Passover there—they never tie, and then loose an ass again—and finally no one enjoins another to carry a pitcher of water, in order that the symbols might be fulfilled. They have justly regarded such things as savouring rather of Judaism than Christianity: for the Jews are more solicitous about outward solemnities, than the obedience of the heart; and therefore are they under the curse, not discerning the spiritual bearing of the Mosaic law, but resting in its types and shadows. Those who favour the Jews admit the allegorical meaning of these things; and yet they pertinaciously contend about days and months, without applying to them a similar sense: thus do they necessarily involve themselves in a common condemnation with the Jews. But enough has been said concerning

these things. Let us now return to the subject we were previously treating of, the subdivisions that arose on the most trivial grounds among the schismatics, after their separation from the church. The Novatians, as I have stated, were divided among themselves on account of the feast of Easter, the controversy not being restricted to one point only. For in the different provinces some took one view of the question, and some another, disagreeing not only about the month, but the days of the week also, and other unimportant matters; in some places holding separate assemblies because of it, in others uniting in mutual communion.

CHAPTER XXIII.

FURTHER DISSENSIONS AMONG THE ARIANS AT CONSTANTINOPLE.

BUT dissensions arose among the Arians also on this account. The contentious questions which were daily agitated among them, led them to start the most presumptuous propositions. For whereas it has been always believed in the church that God is the Father of the Son, the Word, it was asked whether God could be called Father before the Son had subsistence? Thus from a denial of the main article of faith, in asserting that the Word of God was not begotten of the Father, but was created out of nothing, they deservedly fell into absurd cavillings about a mere name. Dorotheus therefore, whom they had sent for from Antioch, maintained that God neither was, nor could be called Father

before the Son existed. But Marinus who had been summoned out of Thrace before Dorotheus, and was piqued at the superior deference which was paid to his rival, undertook to defend the contrary opinion. Their controversy respecting this term produced division, and each party held separate meetings. Those under Dorotheus retained their original places of assembly: but the followers of Marinus built distinct oratories* for themselves, and asserted that the Father had always sustained that character, even when the Son was not. This section of the Arians was denominated Psathyrians, because one of the most zealous defenders of this opinion was Theoctistus, a Syrian by birth, and a Psathyropōla† by trade. Selenas bishop of the Goths adopted the views of this party: he was of a mixed descent, a Goth by his father's side, and by his mother's a Phrygian, by which means he taught in the church with great readiness in both these languages. This faction however soon quarrelled among themselves, Marinus disagreeing with Agapius, whom he himself had preferred to the bishopric of Ephesus. Their dispute was not about any point of religion, but they strove in narrow-mindedness‡ about precedence,§ in which the Goths sided with Agapius. Wherefore many of the ecclesiastics under their jurisdiction, abominating the vain-glorious contest between these two, abandoned them both, and became adherents to the Homocousian faith. The Arians having continued thus divided among themselves during the space of thirty-five years, were reunited in the reign of Theodosius junior, under

* Chapels.

† Ψαθυροπώλης, i. e. a cake-seller.

‡ Μικροψυχήσαντες.

§ Περὶ προεδρίας, primacy.

the consulate of Plintha the commander-in chief of the army, the Psathyrians being prevailed on to desist from contention. They afterwards passed a resolution, giving it all the cogency of law, that the question which had led to their separation, should never be mooted again. But this reconciliation extended no farther than Constantinople; for in other cities where any of these two parties were found, they persisted in their former separation.

CHAPTER XXIV.

THE EUNOMIANS DIVIDE INTO SEVERAL FACTIONS.

BUT neither did the Eunomians remain without dissensions: for Eunomius himself had long before this separated from Eudoxius who ordained him bishop of Cyzicum, because that prelate refused to restore to communion his master Aëtius who had been ejected. But those who derived their name from him were subsequently divided into several factions. For first Theophronius a Cappadocian, who had been instructed in the art of disputation* by Eunomius, and had acquired a smattering of Aristotle's "Categories," and his "Book of Interpretation," having written some treatises which he entitled "On the Exercise of the Mind," drew down upon himself the reprobation of his own sect, and was ejected as an apostate. He afterwards held assemblies apart from them, and left behind him a heresy which bore his own name. Then Eutychius at Constantinople, from some absurd dispute, with-

* Ἐπιστοικά, wrangling.

drew from the Eunomians, and still continues to hold separate meetings. The followers of Theophronius are denominated Eunomiotheophronians; and those of Eutychius have the appellation of Eunomieutychians. What those nonsensical terms were about which they differed, I consider unworthy of being recorded in this history, lest I should go into matters foreign to my purpose. I shall merely observe that they adulterated baptism: for instead of baptizing in the name of the Trinity,* they baptize into the death of Christ. Among the Macedonians also there was for some time a division, when Eutropius a presbyter held separate assemblies, in consequence of a difference of opinion between him and Carterius. There are possibly in other cities sectarians which have emanated from these: but living at Constantinople, where I was born and educated, I propose to describe more particularly what has taken place in that city; both because I have myself witnessed some of these transactions, and also because the events which have there occurred are of preeminent importance, and are therefore more worthy of commemoration. Let it however be understood that what I have here related happened at different periods, and not at the same time. Now if any one should be desirous of knowing the names of the various sects, he may easily satisfy himself, by reading a book entitled “Ancoratus,”† composed by Epiphanius bishop of Cyprus: but I shall content myself with what I have already stated. The public affairs were then thrown into agitation from a cause I shall now refer to.

* Εἰς τὴν τριάδα.

† Ἀγκυρωτῶ.

CHAPTER XXV.

THE TYRANT EUGENIUS COMPASSES THE DEATH OF
VALENTINIAN JUNIOR.

THERE was in the West a grammarian named Eugenius, who after having for some time taught the Latin language, left his school,* and accepted an appointment at the palace, being constituted chief secretary† to the emperor. Possessing a considerable degree of eloquence, and being on that account treated with greater distinction than others, he was unable to bear his good fortune with moderation. For associating with himself Arbogastes, a native of Galatia Minor, a man of a naturally ferocious and desperate character, who then had the principal command of the army, he determined to usurp the sovereignty. These two therefore agreed to murder the emperor Valentinian; and having corrupted the eunuchs of the imperial bed-chamber by the most tempting promises of promotion, they induced them to strangle the emperor in his sleep. Eugenius immediately assumed the supreme authority in the Western parts of the empire, and conducted himself in such a manner as might be expected from a tyrant. When the emperor Theodosius was made acquainted with these things, he was exceedingly distressed, perceiving that his defeat of Maximus had only prepared the way for fresh troubles. He however assembled his military forces, and having proclaimed his son Honorius Augustus, on the 10th of January, in his

* Παιδευτήρια.

† Ἀντιγραφεὺς.

own third consulate which he bore with Abundantius, he again set out in great haste toward the Western parts, leaving both his sons invested with imperial authority at Constantinople. A very great number of the barbarians beyond the Danube volunteered their services against the tyrant, and followed him in this expedition. After a rapid march he arrived in the Gallias with a numerous army, where Eugenius awaited him, also at the head of an immense body of troops. They came to an engagement near the river Frigidus,* which is about thirty-six miles distant from Aquileia. In that part of the battle where the Romans fought against their own countrymen, the conflict was doubtful: but where the barbarian auxiliaries of the emperor Theodosius were engaged, the forces of Eugenius had greatly the advantage. When the emperor saw the terrible slaughter made by the enemy among the barbarians, he cast himself in great agony upon the ground, and invoked the help of God in this emergency: nor was his request unheeded; for Bacurius his principal officer, inspired with sudden and extraordinary ardour, rushed with his vanguard to the part where the barbarians were hardest pressed, broke through the ranks of the enemy, and put to flight those who a little before were themselves engaged in pursuit. Another marvellous circumstance also occurred. A violent wind suddenly arose, which retorted upon themselves the darts cast by the soldiers of Eugenius, and at the same time drove those hurled by the imperial forces with increased impetus against their adversaries. So prevalent was the emperor's prayer. The success of the

* Φριγιδος.

struggle being in this way turned, the tyrant threw himself at the emperor's feet, and begged that his life might be spared: but the soldiery beheaded him on the spot, as he lay a prostrate suppliant, on the 6th of September, in the third consulate of Arcadius, and the second of Honorius. Arbogastes, who had been the chief cause of so much mischief, having continued his flight for two days after the battle, and seeing no chance of escape, despatched himself with his own sword.

CHAPTER XXVI.

DEATH OF THE EMPEROR THEODOSIUS.

THE anxiety and fatigues connected with this war threw the emperor Theodosius into an ill state of health; and believing the disease which had attacked him would be mortal, he became more concerned about the public affairs than his own life, revolving in his mind the calamities in which the people are often involved after the death of their sovereign. He therefore hastily summoned his son Honorius from Constantinople, being principally desirous of setting in order the state of things in the Western parts of the empire. After his son's arrival at Milan, he seemed to recover a little, and gave directions for the celebration of the games of the Hippodrome on account of his victory. Before dinner he was pretty well, and a spectator of the sports; but after he had dined he became too ill to return to them, and sent his son to preside in his stead. On the following night he died, being the 17th of January, under the

consulate of Olybrius and Probus, in the first year of the two hundred and ninety-fourth Olympiad. The emperor Theodosius lived sixty years, and reigned sixteen. This book therefore comprehends the transactions of sixteen years and eight months.

END OF THE FIFTH BOOK.

THE PREFACE TO BOOK VI.

THE commission with which you charged me, O holy man of God, Theodore, I have executed in the five foregoing books; in which to the best* of my ability, I have comprised the history of the church from the time of Constantine. You will perceive that I have been by no means studious of style; for I considered that too great fastidiousness about elegance of expression might defeat the object I had in view. But even supposing my purpose could still have been accomplished, I was wholly precluded from the exercise of that discretionary power of which ancient historians seem to have so largely availed themselves, whereby any one of them imagined himself quite at liberty to amplify or curtail matters of fact. Moreover refined composition would be utterly lost† upon simple-minded and illiterate men, who are intent merely on knowing what was really transacted, and pay not the least regard to beauty of diction. In order therefore to render my production not unprofitable to both classes of readers,—to the learned on the one hand, whom no elaboration of language could satisfy to rank it with the

* Ὡς οἶόν τε.

† Οὐδαμῶς οἰκοδομήσει.

‡ Τοὺς πολλοὺς.

magniloquence of the writers of antiquity, and to the unlearned on the other, whose understandings would be clouded by a parade of words,—I have purposely adopted a style, divested indeed of all affectation of sublimity, but at the same time clear and perspicuous.

Before however entering on our sixth book, I must premise this, that in undertaking to detail the events of our own age, I am apprehensive of advancing such things as may be unpalatable to many: either because, according to the proverb, “Truth is bitter;” or on account of my not mentioning with encomium the names of those whom some may love; or from my not lauding their actions. The zealots of our churches will condemn me for not calling the bishops “Most dear to God,” “Most holy,” and such like. Others will be litigious because I do not bestow the appellations “Most divine,” and “Lords”* on the emperors, nor apply to them such other epithets as they are commonly assigned. But since I could easily prove from the testimony of ancient authors,† that among them servants were accustomed to address their masters simply by name, without reference to their dignity or titles, on account of the pressure of business, I shall in like manner obey the laws of history, which demand a simple and faithful narration, unobscured by a veil of any kind. My course will therefore be to record accurately what I have either myself seen, or have been able to ascertain from actual observers; having tested the truth with unsparing labour, and by every means I could possibly command, where there was the least discrepancy of statement among the many parties consulted who professed to be intimately acquainted with these things.

* Δεσπότας. † Menander, Plautus, and Terence, for instance.

BOOK VI.

CHAPTER I.

THEODOSIUS'S TWO SONS DIVIDE THE EMPIRE. RUFINUS
IS SLAIN AT THE FEET OF ARCADIUS.

AFTER the death of the emperor Theodosius, his two sons undertook the administration of the Roman empire, Arcadius having the government of the East, and Honorius of the West. At that time Damasus presided over the church at Imperial Rome, and Theophilus that of Alexandria; John was bishop of Jerusalem, and Flavian of Antioch; while the episcopal chair at Constantinople or New Rome was filled by Nectarius, as we mentioned in the foregoing book. The body of the emperor Theodosius was taken to Constantinople on the 8th of November in the same consulate, and was honourably interred by his son Arcadius with the usual funeral solemnities. On the 28th day of the same month the army also arrived, which had served under the emperor Theodosius in the war against the tyrant Eugenius. When therefore according to custom the emperor Arcadius met the army without the gates, the soldiery slew Rufinus the Prætorian præfect. For he was suspected of aspiring to the sovereignty, and of having invited into the Roman territories the Huns, a barbarous nation, who had already ravaged Armenia, and were then making predatory incursions into other provinces of the East. On the very day on which Rufinus was killed, Marcian bishop of the Novatians died, and was succeeded in the episcopate by Sisinnius, of whom we have already spoken.

CHAPTER II.

DEATH OF NECTARIUS AND ORDINATION OF JOHN.

A SHORT time after Nectarius also, bishop of Constantinople died, on the 27th of September, under the consulate of Cæsarius and Atticus. A contest thereupon immediately arose respecting the appointment of a successor, some proposing one person, and some another: at length however it was determined to send for John,* a presbyter of the church at Antioch, who was very celebrated for his learning† and eloquence. By the general consent therefore of both the clergy and laity, he was summoned to Constantinople by the emperor Arcadius: and to render the ordination more authoritative and imposing, several prelates were requested to be present, among whom also was Theophilus bishop of Alexandria. This person did every thing he could to detract from John's reputation, being desirous of promoting to that see, Isidore a presbyter of his own church, to whom he was greatly attached, on account of a very delicate and perilous affair which Isidore had undertaken to serve his interests. The nature of this obligation I shall now unfold. While the emperor Theodosius was preparing to attack the tyrant Maximus, Theophilus sent Isidore with gifts and letters, enjoining him to present them to him who should become the victor. In accordance with these injunctions Isidore on his arrival at Rome, awaited there the event of the war. But this business did not long remain a secret: for a reader who accompanied him privately possessed himself of the letters; upon which Isidore in great alarm returned to Alexandria.

* Surnamed Chrysostom, i. e. *Golden-mouth*. † Διδασκτικός.

This was the reason why Theophilus so warmly favoured Isidore. The court however gave the preference to John: and when many had revived the accusations against Theophilus, and prepared for presentation to the bishops then convened memorials of various charges, Eutropius the chief officer of the imperial bed-chamber collected these documents, and showed them to Theophilus, bidding him choose between ordaining John, and undergoing a trial on the charges made against him. Theophilus terrified at this alternative, consented to ordain John; who was invested with the episcopal dignity on the 26th of February, under the following consulate, which the emperor Honorius celebrated with public games at Rome, and Eutychian, then Prætorian præfect, at Constantinople. But since this John is famous, both for the writings he has left, and the many troubles he fell into, it is not proper that I should pass over his affairs in silence: I shall therefore relate as compendiously as possible of what extraction he was, with the particulars of his elevation to the episcopate, and the means by which he was subsequently degraded; and finally why he was more honoured after his death, than he had been during his life.

CHAPTER III.

BIRTH AND EDUCATION OF JOHN BISHOP OF CONSTANTINOPLE.

JOHN was born at Antioch in Syria-Cœle, of a noble family in that country, his father's name being Secundus, and that of his mother Anthusa. He studied rhetoric under Libanius the sophist, and philosophy under Andragathius. When he had already pre-

pared himself for the practice of *Civil Law*, reflecting on the restless and unjust course of those who devote themselves to the practice of the Forensic Courts,* he resolved to adopt a more tranquil mode of life. Following therefore the example of Evagrius, who had been educated under the same masters, and had some time before retired from the tumult of public business, he laid aside his legal habit, and applied his mind to the reading of the sacred scriptures, frequenting the church with great assiduity. He moreover induced Theodore and Maximus, who had been his fellow-students under Libanius the sophist, to forsake a profession whose primary object was gain, and embrace pursuits of greater simplicity. Of these two persons, Theodore afterwards became bishop of Mopsuestia† in Cilicia, and Maximus of Seleucia in Isauria. Being at that time ardent aspirants after perfection,‡ they entered upon the ascetic life, under the guidance of Diodorus and Carterius, who then presided over the monasteries. The former of these was subsequently elevated to the see of Tarsus, and wrote many treatises, in which he limited his expositions to the literal sense of scripture, without attempting to explain that which was mystical.§ But we must return to John, who was then living on the most intimate terms with Basil, at that time constituted a deacon by Meletius, but afterwards ordained bishop of Cæsarea in Cappadocia. He was appointed reader in the church at Antioch by Zeno the bishop on his return from Jerusalem: and while he continued in that capacity,|| he composed a book against the Jews. Meletius having not long after

* Δικαστηρίου.

† Μόψου ἐστίας.

‡ Ἀρετῇν.

§ Θεωρίας.

|| Τάξει.

conferred on him the rank of deacon, he produced his work "On the Priesthood," and those "Against Stagirius"; and moreover those also "On the Incomprehensibility* of the Divine Nature," and "On the Women† who lived with the Ecclesiastics." After the death of Meletius at Constantinople, whither he had gone on account of Gregory of Nazianzen's ordination, John withdrew from the Meletians, without entering into communion with Paulinus, and spent three whole years in retirement. When Paulinus was dead, he was ordained a presbyter by Evagrius the successor of Paulinus. Such is a brief outline of John's career previous to his call to the episcopal office. It is said that his zeal for temperance rendered him stern and severe; and one of his early friends has admitted that in his youth he manifested a proneness to irritability, rather than to forbearance. Because of the rectitude of his life, he was free from anxiety about the future, and his simplicity of character rendered him open and ingenuous; nevertheless the liberty of speech he allowed himself was offensive to very many. In public teaching the great end he proposed was the reformation of the morals of his auditors; but in private conversation he was frequently thought haughty and assuming by those who did not know him.

CHAPTER IV.

JOHN RENDERS HIMSELF ODISIOUS TO HIS CLERGY.

OF SERAPION.

SUCH being John's disposition and manners, he was led to conduct himself toward his clergy, after his

* Ἀκαταλήπτου.

† Συνεισάκτων. These *Sisters* were instructed, it was said, in piety.

promotion to the episcopate, with a measure of austerity beyond what they could bear: but his intention was in this way to discountenance any laxness of moral discipline among them. Having thus chafed the temper of the ecclesiastics under him, and incurred their displeasure, many of them stood aloof from him as a passionate man, and others became his bitter enemies. Serapion whom he had ordained deacon, incited him to alienate their minds still more from him; and once in presence of the whole assembled clergy he cried out with a loud voice to the bishop—“You will never be able to govern these men, my lord, unless you visit them all with a rod.” This speech of his excited a general feeling of animosity against the bishop, who not long after expelled many of them from the church, some for one cause, and some for another. Those who were thus dealt with, as it usually happens when governors adopt such violent measures, formed a combination, and inveighed against him to the people. What contributed greatly to gain credence for these complaints was the bishop’s always eating alone, and never accepting an invitation to a feast. His reasons for thus acting no one knew with any certainty, but some persons in justification of his conduct state that he had a very delicate stomach, and weak digestion, which obliged him to be careful in his diet; while others impute his refusal to eat in company with any one, to his rigid and habitual abstinence. Whatever the real motive may have been, the circumstance itself was made a serious ground of accusation by his calumniators. The people nevertheless continued to regard him with love and veneration, on account of his valuable discourses in the church, and therefore those who sought to traduce

him, only brought themselves into contempt. How eloquent, convincing, and persuasive his sermons were, both those which were published by himself, and such as were noted down by short-hand* writers as he delivered them, we need not stay to declare; but those who desire to form an adequate idea of them, must read for themselves, and will thereby derive both pleasure and profit.

CHAPTER V.

JOHN DRAWS DOWN UPON HIMSELF THE DISPLEASURE OF MANY PERSONS OF RANK AND POWER. OF THE EUNUCH EUTROPIUS.

As long as John attacked the clergy only, the machinations of his enemies were utterly powerless; but when he proceeded to rebuke the nobles also with his characteristic vehemence, the tide of unpopularity began to set against him with far greater impetus, and the stories which were told to his disparagement found many attentive listeners. This growing prejudice was not a little increased by an oration which he pronounced at that time against Eutropius, the chief eunuch of the imperial bed-chamber, and the first of all eunuchs that was admitted to the dignity of consul. He desiring to inflict vengeance on certain persons who had taken refuge in the churches, induced the emperor to make a law excluding delinquents from the privilege of sanctuary, and authorizing the seizure of those who had sought the shelter of the sacred edifices. But its author was punished for this almost immediately; for scarcely had the law been promulgated, before Eutropius himself, having in-

* 'Οξυγράφων.

curred the displeasure of the emperor, fled for protection to the church. The bishop therefore, while Eutropius trembling with fear lay under the table of the altar, mounting the pulpit from which he was accustomed to address the people in order to be the more distinctly heard, uttered the severest invective against him: an act that excited general disgust, as it seemed not only to deny compassion to the wretched, but to add insult to cruelty. By the emperor's order however, Eutropius though bearing the consulate, was decapitated, and his name effaced from the list of consuls, that of Theodore his colleague being alone suffered to remain as in office for that year. John is said to have afterwards used the same licence towards Gainas, who was then commander-in-chief of the army; treating him with excessive rudeness, because he had presumed to request the emperor to assign the Arians, with whom he agreed in sentiment, one of the churches within the city. Many others also of the higher orders, for a variety of causes, were censured by him with the same uncere- monious freedom, so that by these means he created many powerful adversaries. Theophilus bishop of Alexandria had been plotting his overthrow from the moment of his having been compelled to ordain him; and concerted measures for this purpose in secret, both with the friends who were around him, as well as by letter with such as were at a distance. It was not so much the boldness with which John lashed whatever was obnoxious to him, that affected Theophilus, as his own failure to place his favourite presbyter Isidore in the episcopal chair of Constantinople. Such was the state of John's affairs at that time, mischief having thus threatened him at the very com-

mencement of his episcopate. But we shall enter into these things more at large as we proceed.

CHAPTER VI.

GAÏNAS THE GOTH ATTEMPTS TO USURP THE SOVEREIGN POWER, AND AFTER FILLING CONSTANTINOPLE WITH DISORDER, IS SLAIN.

I SHALL now refer to some memorable circumstances that occurred at that period, in which it will be seen how Divine Providence interposed by extraordinary agencies for the preservation of the city and Roman empire from the utmost peril. Gaïnas was a barbarian by extraction, who after becoming a Roman subject, had engaged in military service, and risen by degrees from one rank to another, until he was at length appointed generalissimo both of the Roman horse and foot. When he had attained this lofty position, his ambition knew no bounds short of rendering himself master of the Roman empire. To accomplish this he sent for the Goths out of their own country, and gave the principal commissions in the army to his relations. Then when Tribigildus, one of his kinsmen who had the command of the forces in Phrygia, had at the instigation of Gaïnas broken out into open revolt, and was filling that country with confusion and dismay, he took care that the emperor Arcadius, who had not the slightest suspicion of his treasonable designs, should depute him to settle matters in the disturbed province. Gaïnas therefore immediately set out at the head of an immense number of the barbarous Goths, on this pretended expedition against Tribigildus, but with the real intention of establishing his own unjust

domination. On reaching Phrygia he began to subvert every thing; so that the Romans were suddenly thrown into great consternation, not only on account of the vast barbarian force which Gainas had at his command, but also lest the most fertile and opulent regions of the East should be laid desolate. In this emergency the emperor acted with much prudence, seeking to arrest the course of the traitor by address: he accordingly sent him an embassy with instructions to appease him for the present by every kind of concession. Gainas having demanded that Saturninus and Aurelian, two of the most distinguished of the senatorial order, and men of consular dignity, whom he knew to be unfavourable to his pretensions, should be delivered up to him as hostages, the emperor most unwillingly yielded to the exigency of the crisis; and these two magnanimous personages, prepared to die for the public good, nobly submitted themselves to the emperor's disposal. They therefore proceeded towards the barbarian, to a place called the Hippodrome, some distance from Chalcedon, resolved to endure whatever he might be disposed to inflict; but however they suffered no harm. The tyrant simulating dissatisfaction, advanced to Chalcedon, whither the emperor Arcadius also went to meet him. Both then entered the church where the body of the martyr Euphemius is deposited, and there entered into a mutual pledge on oath that neither would plot against the other. The emperor indeed kept his engagement, having a religious regard to an oath, and being on that account beloved of God. But Gainas soon violated it, and instead of abandoning his purpose, was intent on carnage, plunder, and conflagration, not only at Constantinople, but also throughout the whole

extent of the Roman empire, if he could by any means carry it into effect. The city was quite inundated by the barbarians, and the citizens were reduced to a condition almost like that of captives. Moreover a comet of prodigious magnitude, reaching from heaven even to the earth, such as was never before seen, presaged the danger that impended over it. Gaïnas first most shamelessly attempted to make a seizure of the silver publicly exposed for sale in the shops: but when the proprietors forewarned by report of his intention, abstained from exposing it on their counters, his thoughts were diverted to another object, which was to send an immense body of barbarians at night to burn down the palace. Then indeed God distinctly manifested his providential care over the city: for a multitude of angels appeared to the rebels, in the form of armed men of gigantic stature, whom the barbarians imagining to be a large army of brave troops, turned away from with terror and amazement. When this was reported to Gaïnas, it seemed to him quite incredible; for he knew that the greatest part of the Roman army was at a distance, dispersed as a garrison over the Eastern cities. He sent therefore others for several successive nights, who constantly returned with the same statement, for the angels always presented themselves in the same manner; whereupon he determined to be himself a spectator of this prodigy. Then supposing what he saw to be really a body of soldiers, who concealed themselves by day, and baffled his designs by night, he desisted from his attempt, and took another resolution which he conceived would be detrimental to the Romans; but the event proved it to be greatly to their advantage. Pretending to be under

demoniacal possession, he went forth as if for prayer to the church of St. John the Apostle, which is seven miles distant from the city. The barbarians who accompanied him carried out arms with them, concealed in casks and other specious coverings; which when the soldiers who guarded the city gates detected, and would not suffer to pass, the barbarians put them to the sword. A fearful tumult thence arose in the city, and death seemed to threaten every one; nevertheless the city continued secure at that time, its gates being every where well defended. The emperor instantly proclaimed Gaïnas a public enemy, and ordered that all the Goths who remained shut up in Constantinople should be slain. Accordingly the day after the guards of the gates had been killed, the Romans attacked the barbarians within the walls near the church of the Goths, for thither such of them as had been left in the city had betaken themselves; and after destroying a great number of them, they set the church on fire, and burnt it to the ground. Gaïnas being informed of the slaughter of those of his party who were unable to get out of the city, and perceiving the failure of all his artifices, left St. John's church, and advanced rapidly towards Thrace. On reaching the Chersonnese he endeavoured to pass over from thence and take Lamp-sacus, in order that from that place he might make himself master of the Eastern parts. As the emperor had immediately despatched forces in pursuit both by land and by sea, another miraculous interposition of Divine Providence occurred. For while the barbarians destitute of ships, were attempting to cross on rafts, and in vessels hastily put together, suddenly the Roman fleet appeared, and the west wind began

to blow hard. This afforded an easy passage to the Romans; but the barbarians with their horses, tossed up and down in their frail barks by the violence of the gale, were at length overwhelmed by the waves, and many of them also were destroyed by the Romans. In this passage an incredible number of barbarians perished; but Gaïnas escaped thence and fled into Thrace, where he fell in with another body of the Roman forces by whom he was slain, together with the Goths that attended him. Let this cursory notice of Gaïnas suffice here. Those who may desire more minute details of the circumstances of that war, should read “The Gaïnea” of Eusebius Scholasticus, who was at that time an auditor of Troilus the sophist; and having been a spectator of the war, related the events of it in an heroic poem consisting of four books, which acquired for him great celebrity while the recollection of these things was fresh. The poet Ammonius also has recently composed another description in verse of the same transactions, which he recited before the emperor in the sixteenth consulate of Theodosius junior, which he bore with Faustus. This war was terminated under the consulate of Stilicho and Aurelian. In the year following, Fravitus a Goth by extraction, was honoured with the dignity of consul, to reward the fidelity and attachment he had evinced toward the Romans, and the important services he had rendered them in this very war. On the 10th of April in that year there was a son born to the emperor Arcadius, Theodosius *the Good*. But while the affairs of the state were thus troubled, the dignitaries of the church refrained not in the least from their disgraceful cabals against each other, to the great reproach of the Christian religion;

for they were incited to tumult and reciprocal abuse by a source of mischief which originated in Egypt in the following manner.

CHAPTER VII.

DISSENSION BETWEEN THEOPHILUS BISHOP OF ALEXANDRIA AND THE MONKS.—CONDEMNATION OF ORIGEN'S BOOKS.

THE question had been started a little before, whether God has a corporeal existence, and the form of man; or whether he is incorporeal, and without either the human or any other bodily shape? From this question arose strifes and contentions among a very great number of persons, some favouring one opinion on the subject, and others patronising the opposite. The major part of the more simple ascetics, asserted that God is corporeal, and has a human figure: but most others condemned their judgment, and contended that God is incorporeal, and void of all form whatever. This was the view taken by Theophilus bishop of Alexandria, who in the church before all the people inveighed against those who attributed to God a human form, expressly teaching that the Divine Being is wholly incorporeal. When the Egyptian ascetics were apprised of this, they left their monasteries and came to Alexandria; where they excited a tumult against the bishop, accusing him of impiety, and threatening to put him to death. Theophilus aware of his danger, after some consideration had recourse to this expedient to extricate himself from it. Going to the monks, he in a conciliatory tone thus addressed them: "In seeing you, I behold the face of God."* The fury of these men being a

* Οὕτως ὑμᾶς εἶδον, ὡς Θεοῦ πρόσωπον.

little moderated by this expression, they replied: "If you really admit that God's countenance is such as ours, anathematize Origen's book; for some have drawn arguments from them in contrariety to our opinion. If you refuse to do this, expect to be treated by us as an impious person, and the enemy of God." "Do not be angry with me," said Theophilus, "and I will readily do what you require: for I myself also disapprove of Origen's works, and consider those who countenance them deserving of censure." Thus he succeeded in appeasing the monks at that time; and probably the whole matter would have been set at rest, had it not been for another circumstance which happened immediately after. The monasteries in Egypt were under the superintendence of four devout persons named Discorus, Ammonius, Eusebius, and Euthymius: these men were brothers, and had the appellation of *the Long Monks* given them on account of their stature. They were moreover no less distinguished for the sanctity of their lives, than the extent of their erudition, and for these reasons their reputation was very high at Alexandria. Theophilus in particular, the prelate of that city, loved and honoured them exceedingly: insomuch that he constituted Discorus, one of them, bishop of Hermopolis against his will, having forcibly drawn him from his retreat. Two of the others he entreated to continue with him, and with difficulty prevailed upon them to do so, by the exercise of his episcopal authority: when therefore he had invested them with the clerical office, he committed to their charge the management of ecclesiastical affairs. They, constrained by necessity, performed the duties thus imposed on them with credit

to themselves; nevertheless they felt severely the privation of philosophical pursuits, and such ascetic exercises as their new position rendered impracticable. When however in process of time, they observed the bishop to be devoted to gain, and greedily intent on the acquisition of wealth, believing this example injurious to their own souls, they refused to remain with him any longer, declaring that they loved solitude, and greatly preferred it to living in the city. As long as he was ignorant of the true motive for their departure, he earnestly begged them not to leave him; but when he perceived that they were dissatisfied with his conduct, he became excessively irritated, and threatened to do them all kinds of mischief. Regardless of his menaces they retired into the desert; upon which Theophilus, who was evidently of a hasty and malignant temperament, raised a great clamour against them, and set in motion every contrivance likely to do them injury. After this he viewed with jealous dislike their brother Discorus also, bishop of Hermopolis; being extremely annoyed at the esteem and veneration in which he was held by the ascetics. Aware however that these persons would be perfectly safe from his malevolence unless he could alienate the minds of the monks from them, he used this artifice to effect it. He well knew that Discorus and his brothers in their theological discussions with him, had often maintained that the Deity was incorporeal, and by no means had a human form; because, they argued, such a constitution would involve the necessary accompaniment of human passions, as Origen and other ancient writers have demonstrated. Now although Theophilus entertained the very same opinion respecting the Divine nature, yet to gratify his vin-

dictive feelings, he did not hesitate to impugn what he and they had rightly taught: and by this means he succeeded in imposing upon the credulity of the sincere but ignorant monks, the greater part of whom were quite illiterate men. Sending letters to the monasteries in the desert, he advises them not to give heed either to Discorus or his brothers, inasmuch as they affirmed that God had not a body. "Whereas," says he, "the sacred Scripture testifies that God has eyes, ears, hands, and feet, as men have; the partisans of Discorus, being followers of Origen, introduce the blasphemous dogma that God has neither eyes, ears, feet, nor hands." Abusing the simplicity of these monks by this sophism, he stirred up a hot dissension among them. Such as had a cultivated mind indeed were not beguiled by this plausibility, and therefore still adhered to Discorus and Origen; but the more ignorant who greatly exceeded the others in number, inflamed by an ardent zeal without knowledge, immediately raised an outcry against their brethren. A division being thus made, both parties branded each other as impious; the one side being reproachfully termed "Origenists," and the other "Anthropomorphitæ," between whom violent altercation arose, and an inextinguishable war. Theophilus on receiving intimation of the success of his device, went to Nitra where the monasteries are, accompanied by a multitude of persons, and armed the monks against Discorus and his brethren; who being in danger of losing their lives, made their escape with great difficulty. John bishop of Constantinople was ignorant meanwhile of the things that were doing in Egypt; but the eloquence of his discourses rendered him increasingly celebrated. He first enlarged the prayers

contained in the nocturnal hymns, for the reason I am about to assign.

CHAPTER VIII.

THE ARIANS AND HOMOOUSIANS PRACTISE NOCTURNAL ALTERNATIVE HYMNS, A SPECIES OF COMPOSITION ASCRIBED TO THE MARTYR IGNATIUS, SURNAMED THEOPHORUS.* CONFLICT BETWEEN THE TWO PARTIES.

THE Arians, as we have said, held their meetings without the city. As often therefore as the festal days occurred, that is to say the sabbath and Lord's-day of each week, on which assemblies are usually held in the churches, they congregated within the city gates about the public piazzas, and sang responsive verses adapted to the Arian heresy. This they did during the greater part of the night: and again in the morning, chanting the same responsive compositions, they paraded through the midst of the city, and so passed out of the gates to go to their places of assembly. But since they incessantly made use of insulting expressions in relation to the Homooousians, often singing such words as these: "Where are they that say three things are but one power?"—John fearing lest any of the more simple should be drawn away from the church by such kind of hymns, opposed to them some of his own people, that they also employing themselves in chanting nocturnal hymns,

* This word, if written Θεοφόρος, denotes *a divine person, one whose soul is full of God*; but Θεόφορος has a passive import, and implies *one borne or carried by God*. This title is said to have been conferred on Ignatius, from his being the very child whom our Saviour took up in his arms, and set in the midst of his disciples. (Mark ix. 36).

might obscure the effort of the Arians, and confirm his own party in the profession of their faith. John's aim indeed seemed to be good, but it issued in tumult and danger. For as the Homooousians performed their nocturnal hymns with greater display, John having invented silver crosses for them on which lighted wax-tapers were carried, provided at the expense of the empress Eudoxia, the Arians who were very numerous, and fired with envy, resolved to revenge themselves by a desperate attack upon their rivals. This they were the more ready to do from the remembrance of their own recent domination, and the contempt with which they regarded their adversaries. Without delay therefore, on one of these nights, they assailed the Homooousians; when Briso, one of the eunuchs of the empress, who was leading the chanters of these hymns, was wounded by a stone in the forehead, and some of the people on both sides were killed. The emperor incensed at this catastrophe, forbad the Arians to chant their hymns any more in public. We must however make some allusion to the origin of this custom in the church of singing responsive hymns.* Ignatius third bishop of Antioch in Syria from the apostle Peter, who also had conversed familiarly with the apostles themselves, saw a vision of angels hymning in alternate chants the Holy Trinity: after which he introduced the mode of singing he had observed in the vision into the Antiochian church, whence it was transmitted by tradition to all the other churches. Such is the account we have received in relation to these responsive hymns.

* Ἀντιφώνους.

CHAPTER IX.

THEOPHILUS BISHOP OF ALEXANDRIA ENDEAVOURS TO
DEPOSE JOHN BISHOP OF CONSTANTINOPLE.

NOT long after this, the monks together with Discorus and his brothers, came from the desert to Constantinople. Isidore was also with them, once the most intimate friend of the bishop Theophilus, but then become his bitterest enemy, because of what I am about to mention. Theophilus being irritated against Peter, at that time the archpresbyter of the Alexandrian church, determined to eject him; and as the ground of expulsion, he charged him with having admitted to a participation of the sacred mysteries, a woman of the Manichæan sect, before she had renounced her heresy. Peter in his defence declared, that not only had the errors of this woman been previously abjured, but that the bishop himself had sanctioned her admission to the eucharist: upon which Theophilus in a great rage, as if he had been grievously calumniated, affirmed that he was altogether unacquainted with the circumstance. To substantiate his statement, Peter summoned Isidore as one who could testify to the facts of the case. Isidore was then at Rome, on a mission from Theophilus to Damasus the prelate of the imperial city, for the purpose of effecting a reconciliation between him and Flavian bishop of Antioch, from whom the adherents of Meletius had separated in detestation of his perjury, as we have already observed. When Isidore had returned from Rome, and was cited as a witness by Peter, he deposed that the woman was received by consent of the bishop, who himself had administered

the sacrament to her: upon which Theophilus immediately ejected them both. Isidore therefore went to Constantinople with Discorus and his brethren, in order to submit to the cognizance of the emperor, and John the bishop, the injustice and violence with which Theophilus had treated them. John on being informed of their business, gave them all an honourable reception; and admitting them at once to communion of the prayers, only postponed their communion of the sacred mysteries, until their affairs should be examined into. Whilst matters were in this posture, a false report was carried to Theophilus, that John had both admitted them to a participation of the mysteries, and also taken them under his protection; wherefore he resolved not only to be revenged on Isidore and Discorus, but also if possible to cast John out of his episcopal chair. With this design he wrote to all the bishops of the various cities, and concealing his real motive, ostensibly condemned therein the books of Origen merely: forgetting that Athanasius, who preceded him long before, had in confirmation of his own faith, frequently appealed to the testimony and authority of Origen's writings, in his orations against the Arians.

CHAPTER X.

EPIPHANIUS BISHOP OF CYPRUS CONVENES A SYNOD TO
CONDEMN THE BOOKS OF ORIGEN.

HE moreover renewed his friendship with Epiphanius bishop of Constantia in Cyprus, with whom he had formerly been at variance, having accused that prelate of entertaining low thoughts of God, by supposing him to have a human form. Now although

Theophilus was really unchanged in sentiment, and had thus denounced the Anthropomorphite error, yet on account of his hatred of others, he openly denied his own convictions; for he now professed to agree in opinion with Epiphanius, as if he had altered his mind. He then urged him by letter to convene a Synod of the bishops in Cyprus, in order to condemn the writings of Origen. Epiphanius being a person more eminent for his extraordinary piety than intelligence, was easily influenced by the crafty representations of Theophilus: having therefore assembled a council of the bishops in that island, he caused a prohibition to be therein made of the reading of Origen's works. He also wrote to John bishop of Constantinople, exhorting him to abstain from the further study of Origen's books, and to convoke a Synod for decreeing the same thing as he had done. When Theophilus had in this way wrought upon Epiphanius, whose devout character gave great weight to his proceedings, seeing his design prosper according to his wish, he became more confident, and himself also assembled a great number of bishops. In that convention, pursuing the same course as Epiphanius, he caused a like sentence of condemnation to be pronounced on the writings of Origen, who had been dead nearly two hundred years: this indeed not being his primary object, but subsidiary to his purpose of revenge on Discorus and his brethren. John paid but little attention to the communications of Epiphanius or Theophilus, being intent on his own ecclesiastical duties; and while his celebrity as a preacher increased more and more, he wholly disregarded the plots which were laid against him. But as soon as it became apparent to every body that

Theophilus was endeavouring to divest John of his bishopric, then all those who had any ill-will against John, combined in calumniating him. Many of the clergy, as well as of the persons of influence about the court, believing that an opportunity was now afforded them of punishing John, exerted themselves to procure the convocation of a Grand Synod at Constantinople, despatching letters and messengers in all directions for that purpose.

CHAPTER XI.

OF SEVERIAN AND ANTIOCHUS: THEIR DISAGREEMENT WITH JOHN.

THE odium against John Chrysostom was considerably increased by another cause. Two bishops flourished at that time, Syrians by birth, named Severian and Antiochus; the former of whom presided over the church at Gabali, a city of Syria, the latter over that of Ptolemaïs in Phœnicia. They were both renowned for their eloquence; but although Severian was a very learned man, his pronunciation of Greek was defective, from his retaining somewhat of the Syriac accent. Antiochus came first to Constantinople, where he preached in the churches for some time with great zeal and ability; and having thereby amassed a large sum of money, he returned to his own church. Severian hearing that Antiochus had enriched himself by his visit to Constantinople, determined to follow his example: he therefore exercised himself for the occasion, and having prepared a quantity of sermons, set out for the imperial city. He was most kindly received by John, whom at first he soothed and flattered, and was beloved and honoured by him: meanwhile his discourses gained him great

celebrity, so that he attracted the notice of many persons of rank, and even of the emperor himself. It happened at that time that the bishop of Ephesus died, on which account John was obliged to go thither for the purpose of ordaining a successor. On his arrival at that city, finding the people divided in their choice, some proposing one person, and some another, and perceiving from the pertinacity of the contending parties that nothing but altercation was likely to ensue, he resolved to quietly^e end the dispute by preferring to the bishopric Heraclides a deacon of his own, and a Cypriot by descent. As however the disorder was increased for awhile by clamours against this election, and allegations of the unfitness of Heraclides for the office, the settlement of this affair detained him a long time at Ephesus; during which Severian continued to preach at Constantinople, and daily grew in favour with his auditory. Of this John was not left ignorant, for he was continually made acquainted with whatever occurred by Serapion, of whom we have before spoken. To this person John had the greatest attachment, and had entrusted to him the entire charge of the episcopate, inasmuch as he was pious, faithful, extremely trustworthy, and very devoted to his interests. By him the bishop was aroused to a feeling of jealousy, by the assurance that Severian was troubling the church. Having therefore among other matters, deprived many of the Novatians and Quartodecimani of their churches, he returned to Constantinople, and resumed the care of the churches under his own especial jurisdiction. But Serapion's arrogance was beyond all bearing; for thus possessing

* Ἀκοπιαστὶ.

John's unbounded confidence and regard, he was so puffed up by it, that he treated every one with contempt. And this contributed not a little to inflame the minds of the insulted parties against the bishop who patronised him. But between Serapion the deacon, and Severian the bishop, much dissension arose; the former opposing Severian because he endeavoured to outshine John in eloquence, and the latter envying Serapion because of John's love for him, and the administration of the bishopric having been committed to him. While their minds were thus affected toward one another, an incident occurred which greatly increased their mutual enmity. On one occasion when Severian passed by him, Serapion neglected to pay him the homage due to his dignity, by retaining his seat instead of rising, as if to show how little he cared for his presence. Severian being indignant at this supposed rudeness and contempt, said with a loud voice to those present, "If Serapion dies a Christian, Christ has not been incarnate." Serapion took occasion from this remark, to publicly incite Chrysostom against Severian: for suppressing the first clause of the sentence, "If Serapion dies a Christian," he accused him of having asserted "Christ has not been incarnate;" and this charge was sustained by several witnesses of his own party. The whole matter having afterward come under the cognizance of a Synod, Serapion affirmed on oath that he did not see the bishop; on which account those convened pardoned him, and entreated Severian to accept this excuse. John moreover as some atonement to Severian, suspended Serapion from his office of deacon for a week, although he used him as his right hand in all ecclesiastical matters, in which he had great

expertness. But Severian wished him to be not only divested of his diaconate, but excommunicated also, to which John would by no means consent: but going out of the council in disgust, he left the bishops to determine the cause, saying, "Do you decide as you think fit, for I will have nothing to do in the matter." The whole Synod rose at these words, censuring the obduracy of Severian, and leaving the case as it before stood. From that time John admitted of no further intimacy with Severian, but advised him to leave the city, and return to his own country, addressing him thus:—"It is inexpedient, Severian, that you should so long absent yourself from your diocese, which must now need the presence of its bishop. Hasten back therefore to the churches entrusted to your care, and neglect not the gift with which God has endowed you." He accordingly departed. But when this became known to the empress* Eudoxia, she severely reprimanded John, and ordered that Severian should be immediately recalled from Chalcedon in Bithynia, whither he had gone. He returned forthwith; but John would hold no intercourse whatever with him, nor could he be induced to do so by the mediation of any one. At length the empress Eudoxia herself, in the church called *the Apostles*, placed her son Theodosius (who now so happily reigns, but was then quite an infant) before John's knees, and adjuring† him repeatedly by the young prince her son, with difficulty prevailed upon him to be reconciled to Severian. In this

* *Αὐγοῦσα.*

† Addressing him thus, "By this little child of mine, and your spiritual son, whom I brought forth, and whom you received out of the sacred font, be reconciled to Severian."

manner was there an appearance of friendship renewed between these persons; but they nevertheless retained a rancorous feeling toward each other. Such was the origin of their mutual animosity.

CHAPTER XII.

EPIPHANIUS PERFORMS ORDINATIONS AT CONSTANTINOPLE WITHOUT JOHN'S PERMISSION.

NOT long after this, at the suggestion of Theophilus, the bishop Epiphanius again comes from Cyprus to Constantinople, taking with him a copy of a Synodical decree by which without excommunicating Origen himself, his books were condemned. On reaching St. John's church, which is seven miles distant from the city, he disembarked, and there held an assembly; then after having ordained a deacon, he entered Constantinople. In complaisance to Theophilus he declined John's courtesy, and instead of accepting accommodation at the episcopal palace, engaged apartments in a private house. He afterwards assembled all the bishops who were then in that capital, and producing his copy of the Synodical decree* condemnatory of Origen's works, recited it before them; but without being able to assign a better reason for this judgment, than that it seemed fit to Theophilus and himself to reject them. Some indeed subscribed this decree from a reverential respect for Epiphanius; but many refused to do this, among whom was Theotinus bishop of Scythia, who thus addressed Epiphanius:—"I choose not, Epiphanius, to insult the memory of one who ended his life piously long ago; nor dare I be guilty of so

* Τὰ καθαιρετικά.

impious an act, as that of condemning what our predecessors by no means rejected: and especially when I know of no evil doctrine contained in Origen's books." Having said this, he brought forward one of that author's works, and reading a few passages therefrom, shewed that the sentiments propounded were in perfect accordance with the orthodox faith. He then added, "Those who attempt to fix a stigma on these writings, are unconsciously casting dishonour upon the sacred volume whence their principles are drawn." Such was the reply which Theotinus, a prelate eminent for his piety and rectitude of life, made to Epiphanius.

CHAPTER XIII.

THE AUTHOR'S DEFENCE OF ORIGEN.

BUT since many persons, imposed on by his detractors, have been deterred from reading Origen, as though he were a blasphemous writer, I deem it not unseasonable to make a few observations respecting him. Worthless characters, and such as are destitute of ability to attain eminence themselves, often seek to get into notice by decrying those who excel them. And first Methodius, bishop of a city in Lycia named Olympus, laboured under this malady; next Eustathius, who for a short time presided over the church at Antioch; after him Apollinaris; and lastly Theophilus. This quaternion* of revilers has traduced Origen, but on very different grounds, one having hatched one cause of accusation against him, and another another; and thus each has demonstrated that what he has taken no objection to, fully has

* Τετρακτύς.

his sanction. For since one has attacked one opinion in particular, and another has found fault with another, it is evident that each has admitted as true what he has not cavilled at, giving a tacit approbation to what he has not assailed. Methodius indeed, when he had in various places railed against Origen, afterwards as if to disavow* all he had previously said, expresses his admiration of the man, in a Dialogue which he entitled "Xenōn."† But I affirm that from the censure of these men, greater commendation accrues to Origen. For those who have sought out whatever they deemed worthy of reprobation in him, and yet have never charged him with holding unsound views respecting the holy Trinity, do in this way most distinctly attest his orthodox piety: and by not reproaching him on this point, they commend him by their own testimony. But Athanasius the defender of the doctrine of consubstantiality, in his "Discourses against the Arians," continually cites this author as a witness of his own faith, interweaving his words with his own. Thus for instance: "The most admirable and laborious Origen," says he, "by his own testimony confirms our doctrine concerning the Son of God, affirming him to be co-eternal with the Father." Those therefore who load Origen with vituperation, overlook the fact that their maledictions fall at the same time on Athanasius, the eulogist of Origen. Having thus vindicated Origen, we shall return to the course of our history.

* 'Εκ παλινφθίας.

† i. e. the house of entertainment for strangers.

CHAPTER XIV.

EPIPHANIUS ADMONISHED BY JOHN CONCERNING HIS ANTI-CANONICAL PROCEEDINGS, LEAVES CONSTANTINOPLE.

JOHN was not offended because Epiphanius, contrary to the ecclesiastical canon, had made an ordination in his church; but invited him to remain with him at the episcopal palace. He replied that he would neither stay nor pray with him, unless he would expel Discorus and his brethren from the city, and with his own hand subscribe the condemnation of Origen's books. When John deferred the performance of these things, saying that nothing ought to be done rashly before the decision* of a general council, John's adversaries led Epiphanius to adopt another course. For they contrived that at the next assembly which was to be held in the church named *The Apostles*, Epiphanius should come forth and before all the people condemn the books of Origen, excommunicate Discorus with his followers, and charge John with countenancing them. John, on being informed of these things, sent this message by Serapion on the following day to Epiphanius just as he entered the church: "You do many things contrary to the canons, Epiphanius. In the first place you have made an ordination in the churches under my jurisdiction: then without my appointment, you have on your own authority officiated in them. Moreover, when heretofore I invited you hither, you refused to come, and now you allow yourself that liberty. Beware therefore, lest a tumult being excited among the people, even you yourself should incur

* Διαγνώσεως.

danger therefrom." Epiphanius becoming alarmed on hearing these admonitions, left the church; and after accusing John of many things, he set out on his return to Cyprus. Some say that when he was about to depart, he said to John, "I hope that you will not die a bishop:" to which John replied, "I hope that you will not arrive at your own country." I cannot vouch for the truth of this report; but nevertheless the event was correspondent to it in the case of both. For Epiphanius did not reach Cyprus, having died on ship-board after his departure; and John a short time afterwards was driven from his See, as we shall show in proceeding.

CHAPTER XV.

JOHN IS EJECTED FROM HIS CHURCH ON ACCOUNT OF
HIS DISPRAISE OF WOMEN.

WHEN Epiphanius was gone, it was intimated to John that the empress Eudoxia had stimulated Epiphanius against him. And being of a fiery temperament, and of a ready utterance, he soon after pronounced a public invective against women in general, which the people considered was intended to apply indirectly* to the empress. This speech was laid hold of by evil-disposed persons, and reported to those in authority, until at length it reached the empress; who immediately complained of it to her husband, telling him that the insult offered to herself equally affected him. The emperor therefore authorised Theophilus to convoke a Synod without delay against John; which Severian also co-operated in promoting, for he still regarded Chrysostom with

* Ως αἰνῆγμα.

aversion. In a little while therefore Theophilus arrived, accompanied by several bishops from different cities, who had been summoned by the emperor's orders. Those especially who had some cause of private pique against John flocked together; and all whom he had deposed in Asia, when he went to Ephesus and ordained Heraclides, did not fail to be present. It was arranged that they should assemble at Chalcedon in Bithynia. Cyrin was then bishop of that city, an Egyptian by birth, who said many things to the bishops in disparagement of John, denouncing him as an impious, haughty, and inexorable person, very much to the satisfaction of these prelates. But Maruthas bishop of Mesopotamia having accidentally trod on Cyrin's foot, he was so severely hurt by it as to be unable to embark with the rest for Constantinople, and was therefore obliged to remain behind at Chalcedon. Theophilus had so openly avowed his hostility to John, that none of the clergy would go forth to meet him, or pay him the least honour; but some Alexandrian sailors happening to be there, whose vessels had been laden with corn, greeted him with joyful acclamations. He refused to enter the church, and took up his abode at one of the imperial mansions called "The Placidian." Then a torrent of accusations began to be poured forth against John; for no mention was now made of Origen, but all were intent on urging a variety of criminations, many of which were ridiculous. Preliminary matters being settled, the bishops were convened in the suburbs of Chalcedon, at a place called "The Oak," and John was immediately cited to answer the charges which were brought against him. Serapion the deacon, Tigris the eunuch presbyter,

and Paul the reader, were likewise summoned to appear there with him, for these men were included in the impeachments, as participators in his guilt. John taking exception to those who had cited him, on the ground of their being his enemies, refused to attend, and demanded a general* council. They repeated their citation four times in succession; and when he persisted in his rejection of them as his judges, always giving the same answer, they condemned him for contumacy, and deposed him without assigning any other cause for his deposition. This decision was announced towards evening, and incited the people to a most alarming sedition; insomuch that they kept watch all night, and would by no means suffer him to be removed from the church, crying out that his cause ought to be determined in a larger assembly. The emperor however commanded that he should be immediately expelled, and sent into exile; which as soon as John was apprised of, he voluntarily surrendered himself about noon unknown to the populace, on the third day after his condemnation: for he dreaded any insurrectionary movement on his account, and was accordingly led away.

CHAPTER XVI.

SEDITION ON ACCOUNT OF JOHN CHRYSOSTOM'S BANISHMENT. HE IS RECALLED.

THE people then became intolerably tumultuous; and as it frequently happens in such cases, many who before were clamorous against him, now changed their hostility into compassion, and said of him whom they had so recently desired to see deposed, that he

* Οἰκουμένην.

had been traduced. By this means therefore they were very numerous who exclaimed against both the emperor and the Synod of bishops; but they raged more particularly against Theophilus as the author of this plot. For his fraudulent conduct could no longer be concealed, being exposed by many other indications, and especially by the fact of his having communicated with Discorus, and those termed *the Long Monks*, immediately after John's deposition. But Severian preaching in the church, and thinking it a suitable occasion to declaim against John, said: "If John had been condemned for nothing else, yet the haughtiness of his demeanour was a crime sufficient to justify his deposition. Men indeed are forgiven all other sins: but 'God resisteth the proud,'* as the divine Scriptures teach us." These reproaches incensed the people still more; so that the emperor gave orders for his immediate recall. Briso a eunuch in the service of the empress was therefore sent after him, who finding him at Prænetum, a commercial town situated over against Nicomedia, brought him back toward Constantinople. When they reached Marianæ, a village in the suburbs, John refused to enter the city, and declared he would abide there, until his innocence had been admitted by a higher tribunal. His delay at that place increased the popular commotion, and caused them to break forth into very indignant and opprobrious language against their rulers. To check their fury John was constrained to proceed; and being met on his way by a vast multitude, who vied with each other in their expressions of veneration and honour, he was conducted immediately to the church, on reaching which

* James iv. 6.

the people entreated him to seat himself in the episcopal chair, and give them his accustomed benediction. When he sought to excuse himself, saying that he ought not to do so without an order from his judges, and that those who condemned him must first revoke their sentence, they were only the more inflamed with the desire of seeing him reinstated, and of hearing him address them again. Thus pressed, he resumed his seat, and prayed as usual for peace upon the people; after which, acting under the same constraint, he preached to them. This compliance on John's part afforded his adversaries another ground of crimination, although they took no notice of it at that time.

CHAPTER XVII.

CONFLICT BETWEEN THE CONSTANTINOPOLITANS AND ALEXANDRIANS. FLIGHT OF THEOPHILUS AND THE BISHOPS OF HIS PARTY.

In the first place then, Theophilus attempted to call in question the legitimacy of Heraclides's ordination, that thereby he might if possible find occasion of again deposing John. Heraclides was not present at this scrutiny; nevertheless they condemned him in his absence, on the charge of having unjustly beaten some persons, and afterwards dragged them in chains through the midst of the city of Ephesus. John and his adherents remonstrated against the injustice of passing sentence upon an absent person; but the Alexandrians contended that his accusers ought to be heard, although he was not present. A sharp contest therefore ensued between the Alexandrians and the Constantinopolitans which led to blows,

whereby many persons were wounded, and some few killed. Theophilus seeing what was done, instantly fled to Alexandria; and the other bishops, except the few who supported John, followed his example, and returned to their respective Sees. After these transactions, Theophilus was degraded in everyone's estimation: but the odium attached to him was exceedingly increased by the shameless way in which he continued to read Origen's works. And when he was asked why he thus countenanced what he had publicly condemned? he replied, "Origen's books are like a meadow enamelled with flowers of every kind. If therefore I chance to find a beautiful one among them, I cull it: but whatever appears to me to be thorny, I pass by, as that which would prick." But Theophilus gave this answer without reflecting on the saying of the wise Solomon,* that the words of the wise are as goads; and those who are pricked by the precepts they contain, ought not to kick against them. Soon after the flight of Theophilus, Discorus bishop of Hermopolis, one of those termed *the Long Monks*, died, and was honoured with a magnificent funeral, being interred in the church† at, "The Oak," where the Synod was convened on John's account. John meanwhile was sedulously employed in preaching; and ordained Serapion, bishop of Heraclea in Thrace, on whose account the odium against himself had been raised. The following events occurred not long after.

* Eccles. xii. 11.

† Μαγρυπίω.

CHAPTER XVIII.

OF EUDOXIA'S SILVER STATUE. JOHN IS EXILED
A SECOND TIME.

THERE stood at this time a silver statue of the empress Eudoxia covered with a long robe, upon a column of porphyry supported by a lofty base, which had been erected so near the church named *Sophia*, that only half the breadth of the street separated them. At this pillar public games were accustomed to be performed; which John regarded from its proximity to the church, as an insult offered to religion. Instead therefore of representing to the emperor the impropriety of these exhibitions in such a place, and petitioning for their discontinuance, he employed his ordinary freedom and keenness of tongue in rebuking publicly those who tolerated them. The empress was exceedingly piqued at this presumption of the bishop, applying his expressions to herself as indicating marked contempt toward her own person: she therefore endeavoured to procure the convocation of another Synod against him. When John was aware of this, he delivered in the church that celebrated oration commencing with these words: "Again Herodias raves; again she is troubled; she dances again; and again desires to receive John's head in a charger." This of course exasperated the empress still more. Not long after the following prelates arrived: Leontius bishop of Ancyra in Asia, Ammonius of Laodicea in Pisidia, Briso of Philippi in Thrace, Acacius of Beroëa in Syria, and some others. John presented himself fearlessly before them, and demanded an investigation of the charges which were made against

him. But the anniversary of the birth of our Saviour having recurred, the emperor would not attend church as usual, but sent Chrysostom an intimation that he should not communicate with him, until he had cleared himself from those misdemeanours with which he stood impeached. When John's accusers seemed to quail before his bold and ardent bearing, his judges setting aside all other matters, said they would confine their examination to this one question, whether he had on his own responsibility after his deposition, again seated himself in the episcopal chair, without being authorised by an ecclesiastical council. On John's saying that he was reinstated by the decree of sixty-five bishops who had communicated with him: Leontius objected that he had been condemned in a Synod composed of a much greater number. John then contended that this was a canon of the Arians, and not of the catholic church, and therefore it was inoperative against him: for that it had been framed in the council convened against Athanasius at Antioch, for the subversion of the doctrine of consubstantiality. The bishops however would not listen to this defence, but immediately condemned him, without considering that by using this canon they were sanctioning the deposition of Athanasius himself. This sentence having been pronounced a little before Easter, the emperor sent to tell John that he could not go to the church, because two Synods had condemned him. Chrysostom therefore went there no more; but those who were of his party celebrated that feast in the public baths which are named after Constantius, and thenceforth left the church. Among his adherents were many bishops and presbyters, with others of the clerical order, who from that time

holding their assemblies apart in various places, were from him denominated *Johannites*. For the space of two months, John refrained from appearing in public; after which he was conveyed into exile by the emperor's command. On the very day of his departure, some of John's friends set fire to the church, which by means of a strong easterly wind,* communicated with the senate-house. This conflagration happened on the 20th of June, under the sixth consulate of Honorius, which he bore in conjunction with Aristaëtus. The severities inflicted on John's friends even to the extent of capital punishment, on account of this act of incendiarism, by Optatus the præfect of Constantinople, who being a Pagan was as such an enemy to the Christians, I ought I believe to pass by in silence.

CHAPTER XIX.

ORDINATION OF ARSACIUS AS JOHN'S SUCCESSOR. INDISPOSITION OF CYRIN BISHOP OF CHALCEDON.

AFTER the lapse of a few days, Arsacius, the brother of Nectarius who so ably governed the church at Constantinople before John, was appointed to that see, although he was then very aged, being upwards of eighty years old. During his singularly mild and peaceful administration of the episcopate, Cyrin bishop of Chalcedon, upon whose foot Maruthas bishop of Mesopotamia had inadvertently trodden, became so seriously affected by the accident, that from mortification having ensued, amputation was found necessary. Nor was this abscission performed once only, but was required to be often repeated: for after the

* 'Απηλιώτης, from ἀπὸ and ἥλιος.

injured limb was cut off, the gangrene so invaded his whole system, that he was compelled to submit to the loss of the other foot also. I have alluded to this circumstance, because many have affirmed that what he suffered was a judgment upon him for his calumnious aspersions of John, whom he so often designated as arrogant and inexorable. On the 30th of September, in the last-mentioned consulate, there was an extraordinary fall of hail of immense size at Constantinople and its suburbs. This also was declared to be an expression of Divine indignation on account of Chrysostom's unjust deposition: and the death of the empress only four days after the hail-storm, tended to give increased credibility to these reports. Others however asserted that John had been deservedly deposed, because of the violence he had exercised in Asia and Lydia, in depriving the Novatians and Quartodecimani of many of their churches, when he went to Ephesus and ordained Heraclides. But whether John's deposition was just, as his enemies declare, or Cyrin's sufferings were in chastisement for his slanderous revilings, whether the hail fell, or the empress died on John's account, or whether these things happened for other reasons, or for these in connection with others, God only knows, who is the discernor of secrets, and the just judge of truth itself. I have simply stated the reports which were current at that time.

CHAPTER XX.

DEATH OF ARSACIUS, AND ORDINATION OF ATTICUS.

BUT Arsacius did not long survive his accession to the bishopric; for he died on the 11th of November

under the following consulate, which was Stilicho's second, and the first of Anthemius. In consequence of there being many aspirants to the vacant see, much time elapsed before the election of a successor: but at length in the following consulate, which was the sixth of Arcadius, and the first of Probus, a devout man named Atticus was promoted to the episcopate. He was a native of Sebastia in Armenia, and had followed an ascetic life from an early age: moreover in addition to a moderate share of learning, he possessed a large amount of natural prudence. But I shall speak of him more particularly hereafter.

CHAPTER XXI.

JOHN DIES IN EXILE.

ON the 14th of September, in the following consulate, which was the seventh of Honorius, and the second of Theodosius, John died in exile at Comanes. His love of virtue inclined him, as we have before observed, rather to anger than forbearance: and his personal sanctity of character led him to indulge in a latitude of speech which to others was intolerable. But what is most inexplicable to me is, how with a zeal so ardent for the practice of self-control* and blamelessness of life, he should in his sermons appear to encourage licentiousness. For whereas by the Synod of bishops repentance was accepted but once from those who had sinned after baptism; he did not scruple to say, "Approach, although you may have repented a thousand times." For this doctrine, many even of his friends censured him, but especially Sisinnius bishop of the Novatians; who wrote a book

* Σωφροσύνης.

condemnatory of this expression of Chrysostom's, and severely rebuked him for it. But this occurred long before.

CHAPTER XXII.

OF SISINNIUS BISHOP OF THE NOVATIANS. HIS
READINESS AT REPARTEE.

IT will not be out of place here, I conceive, to give some account of Sisinnius. He was, as I have often said, remarkably eloquent, and well-instructed in philosophy. But he had particularly cultivated logic, and was profoundly skilled in the interpretation of the holy Scriptures; insomuch that the heretic Eunomius often shrank from the acumen which his reasoning displayed. He was not simple in his diet; for although he practised the strictest moderation, yet his table was always sumptuously furnished. His habits were soft and delicate, being accustomed to clothe himself in white garments, and to bathe twice a day in the public baths. And when some one asked him why he who was a bishop bathed twice a day? he replied, "Because it is inconvenient to bathe thrice." Going one day from courtesy to visit the bishop Arsacius, he was asked by one of the friends of that prelate, why he wore a garment so unsuitable for a bishop? and where it was written that an ecclesiastic* should be clothed in white? "Do you tell me first," said he, "where it is written that a bishop should wear black?" When he that made the enquiry knew not what to reply to this counter-query: "You cannot show," rejoined Sisinnius, "that a priest should be clothed in black. But Solomon is my

* *ἱερωμένον*.

authority, whose exhortation is, ‘Let thy garments be white.’* And our Saviour in the Gospels appears clothed in white raiment;† moreover he showed Moses and Elias to the apostles, clad in white garments.” His prompt reply to these and other questions called forth the admiration of those present. Again when Leontius bishop of Ancyra in Galatia Minor had taken away a church from the Novatians, and afterwards came to Constantinople, Sisinnius went to him, and begged him to restore the church. But he received him rudely, saying, “Ye Novatians ought not to have churches; for ye take away repentance, and shut out divine mercy.” To these and many other such revilings against the Novatians, Sisinnius replied: “No one repents more heartily than I do.” And when Leontius asked him on what account? “That I came to see you,” said he. On one occasion John having a contest with him, said, “The city cannot have two bishops.” “Nor has it,” said Sisinnius. John being irritated at this response, said, “You seem to pretend that you alone are the bishop.” “I do not say that,” rejoined Sisinnius; “but that I am not bishop in your estimation only, who am such to others.” John being still more chafed at this reply, said, “I will stop your preaching; for you are a heretic.” To which Sisinnius good-humouredly replied, “I will give you a reward, if you will relieve me from so arduous a duty.” John being softened a little by this answer, said, “I will not make you cease to preach, if you find it so troublesome.” So facetious was Sisinnius, and so ready at repartee: but it would be tedious to dwell further on his witticisms. The specimens we have given will serve to

* Eccles. ix. 8.

† Luke ix. 29.

show what sort of a person he was. I will merely add that his uncommon erudition acquired for him the esteem and regard of the bishops who succeeded him; and that he was loved and honoured by all the leading members of the senate. He is the author of many works: but they are characterized by too great an affectation of elegance of diction, and a lavish intermingling of poetic expressions. On which account he was more admired as an orator than a writer; for there was dignity in his countenance and voice, as well as in his form and aspect, and every movement of his person was graceful. These advantages commended him to all the sects, and he was in especial favour with Atticus the bishop. But I must conclude this brief notice of Sisinnius.

CHAPTER XXIII.

DEATH OF THE EMPEROR ARCADIUS.

NOT long after the death of John, the emperor Arcadius died also. This prince was of a mild and gentle disposition, and toward the close of his life was esteemed to be greatly beloved of God, from the following circumstance. There was at Constantinople an immense mansion called Carya,* because of a nut-tree in the court of it, on which it is said Acacius suffered martyrdom by hanging. A chapel† was on that account built near it, which the emperor Arcadius one day thought fit to visit, and after having prayed there, left again. All who lived near this oratory ran in a crowd to see the emperor; and some going out of the mansion referred to, endeavoured to pre-occupy the streets in order to get a better view of

* Καρύαν.

† Οἰκίσκος εὐκτήριος.

their sovereign and his suite, while others followed in his train, until all who inhabited it, including the women and children, had wholly gone out of it. No sooner was this vast pile emptied of its occupants, the buildings of which completely environed the church, than the entire mass fell. On which there was a great outcry, followed by shouts of admiration, because it was believed the emperor's prayer had rescued so great a number of persons from destruction. After this event, on the 1st of May, Arcadius died, leaving his son Theodosius only eight years old, under the consulate of Bassus and Philip, in the second year of the 297th Olympiad. He had reigned thirteen years with Theodosius his father, and fourteen years after his death, and had only then attained the thirty-first year of his age. This book includes the space of twelve years and six months.

END OF THE SIXTH BOOK.

BOOK VII.

CHAPTER I.

ANTHEMIUS THE PRÆTORIAN PRÆFECT ADMINISTERS THE GOVERNMENT OF THE EAST IN BEHALF OF YOUNG THEODOSIUS.

AFTER the death of Arcadius, his brother Honorius still governed the Western parts of the empire; but the administration of the East devolved on his son Theodosius junior, then only eight years old. The

management of public affairs was therefore entrusted to Anthemius the Prætorian præfect, grandson of that Philip who in the reign of Constantius ejected Paul from the see of Constantinople, and established Macedonius in his place. By his directions the imperial city was surrounded with high walls. He was justly esteemed the most prudent man of his time, and seldom did any thing unadvisedly, but consulted with the most judicious of his friends respecting all practical matters; Troilus the sophist was more especially his counsellor, who while excelling in philosophical attainments, was not inferior to Anthemius himself in political wisdom. Almost all things were therefore done with the concurrence of Troilus.

CHAPTER II.

CHARACTER AND CONDUCT OF ATTICUS BISHOP OF CONSTANTINOPLE.

WHEN Theodosius thus in the eighth year of his age succeeded to the imperial authority, Atticus was in the third year of his presidency over the church at Constantinople, and was become exceedingly eminent. For being, as we have before remarked, distinguished alike for his learning, piety, and discretion, the churches under his episcopate attained a very flourishing condition. He not only united those of his own faith, but also by his prudence called forth the admiration of the heretics, whom indeed he by no means desired to harass; but if he sometimes was obliged to impress them with the fear of him, he soon afterward showed himself mild and clement toward them. So assiduous was

he as a student, that he often spent whole nights in perusing the writings of the ancients; and thus he became intimately acquainted with the reasonings of the philosophers, and the fallacious subtilties of the sophists. Besides this he was affable in conversation, and ever ready to sympathize with the afflicted: in short, to sum up his excellences in the Apostle's word, "*He was made all things to all men.*"* Formerly while a presbyter, he had been accustomed, after composing his sermons, to commit them to memory, and then recite them in the church: but by diligent application he acquired so much confidence as to be able to preach extemporaneously. His discourses however were not such as to be received with much applause by his auditors, nor to deserve to be committed to writing. Let these particulars respecting his talents, erudition and manners, suffice. We must now proceed to relate such things as are worthy of record, that happened in his time.

CHAPTER III.

OF THEODOSIUS AND AGAPETUS BISHOPS OF SYNADA.

THEODOSIUS bishop of Synada in Phrygia Pacata, was a violent persecutor of the heretics, of whom there was a great number in that city, and especially of the Macedonian sect, whom he sought if possible to root out of the country. This course he pursued not from any precedent in the orthodox church, nor from the desire of propagating the true faith; but being enslaved by the love of filthy lucre, he was impelled by the avaricious motive of amassing money, by extorting it from the heretics. To this end he

* 1 Cor. ix. 22.

made all sorts of attempts upon the Macedonians, putting arms into the hands of his clergy; and employing innumerable stratagems against them, he delivered them up also to the secular tribunals. But his annoyances were especially directed against Agapetus their bishop: and finding the governors of the province were not invested with sufficient authority to punish heretics according to his wish, he set out for Constantinople to petition for edicts of a more stringent nature from the Prætorian præfect. While Theodosius was absent on this business, Agapetus who, as I have said, presided over the Macedonian sect, formed a wise and prudent resolution; and after communicating with his clergy, he called all the people under his guidance together, and persuaded them to embrace the Homocousian faith. On their acquiescing in this proposition, he proceeded immediately to the church attended not merely by his own adherents, but by the whole body of the people. There having offered prayer, he took possession of the episcopal chair in which Theodosius was accustomed to seat himself; and preaching thenceforth the doctrine of consubstantiality, he reunited the people, and made himself master of the churches in that diocese. Soon after these transactions, Theodosius, in total ignorance of what had taken place, returned to Synada, bringing with him extended powers from the præfect. But on his going to the church and being forthwith unanimously expelled, he again betook himself to Constantinople, where he complained to Atticus the bishop of the treatment he had met with, and the manner in which he had been deprived of his bishopric. Atticus perceiving the advantage of this move-

ment to the church, consoled Theodosius as well as he could; recommending him to embrace with a contented mind a retired life, and thus sacrifice his own private interests to the public good. He then wrote to Agapetus authorizing him to retain the episcopate, and bidding him be under no apprehension of being molested by Theodosius.

CHAPTER IV.

A PARALYTIC JEW HEALED BY ATTICUS IN BAPTISM.

THIS was one important improvement in the circumstances of the church, which happened during the ecclesiastic administration of Atticus. Nor were these times without the attestation of miracles. For a Jew who had been confined to his bed by paralysis for many years, and had been benefitted neither by medical skill, nor by the prayers of his Jewish brethren, had recourse at length to Christian baptism, hoping that as it was the only means now left untried, it would prove to be the true remedy. When Atticus the bishop was informed of his wishes, he instructed him in the first principles of Christian truth, and having preached to him the hope in Christ, directed that he should be brought in his bed to the font. The paralytic Jew receiving baptism with a sincere faith, as soon as he was taken out of the water found himself perfectly cured of his disease, and continued to enjoy sound health afterwards. Such was the miraculous power of Christ vouchsafed to be manifested even in our times; the fame of which caused many heathens to believe and be baptized. But the Jews who so zealously seek after

signs, were not induced to embrace the faith by present miracles, notwithstanding the blessings they saw thus conferred by Christ upon men.

CHAPTER V.

THE PRESBYTER SABBATIUS, FORMERLY A JEW, SEPARATES FROM THE NOVATIANS.

NOT only did the Jews continue in unbelief after this miracle, but many others also who were imitators of them persisted in their impiety, and rejected this evidence of Divine power. Among these was Sabbatius, of whom mention has before been made; who not being content with the dignity of presbyter to which he had attained, but aiming at a bishopric from the beginning, separated himself from the church of the Novatians, under pretext of observing the Jewish Passover. Holding therefore schismatic assemblies apart from his own bishop Sisinnius, in a place named Xērolophus, where the forum of Arcadius now is, he was guilty of an act deserving the severest punishment. Reading one day at one of these meetings that passage in the gospel where it is said, "Now* it was the Feast of the Jews called the Passover," he added what was never written nor heard of before: "*Cursed be he that celebrates the Passover out of the days of unleavened bread.*" When these words were reported among the people, the more simple of the Novatian laity, deceived by this artifice, flocked to him. But his fraudulent fabrication was of little avail to him, and issued in most disastrous consequences. For when shortly after, he in conjunction

* This, like many other professed quotations from Scripture, is incorrectly cited: Luke xxii. 1 is most like it.

with many others kept this feast anticipatively of the Christian Easter, a supernatural panic fell upon them, while they were passing the night in the accustomed vigils, as if Sisinnius their bishop were coming with a multitude of persons to fall upon them. From the perturbation that might be expected in such a case, and their being shut up at night in a confined place, they trod upon one another, insomuch that above seventy of them were crushed to death. On this account many deserted Sabbatius: some however, holding his ignorant anticipative opinion, remained with him. In what way Sabbatius, by a violation of his oath, afterwards managed to get himself ordained a bishop, we shall relate hereafter.

CHAPTER VI.

BISHOPS OF THE ARIAN HERESY.

DOROTHEUS bishop of the Arians, who, as we have said, was translated by that sect from Antioch to Constantinople, having attained the age of one hundred and nineteen years, died on the 6th of November, in the seventh consulate of Honorius, and the second of Theodosius Augustus. He was succeeded by Barba, in whose time the Arian faction was favoured by possessing two very eloquent members, both having the rank of presbyter, one of whom was named Timothy, and the other George. The latter excelled in Grecian literature, and constantly had the writings of Aristotle and Plato in his hands: the former had devoted himself more to the study of the sacred Scriptures, and was a great admirer of Origen; he also evinced in his public expositions of the Old Testament no inconsiderable acquaintance

with the Hebrew language. Timothy had however formerly identified himself with the sect of the Psathyrans; but George had been ordained by Barba. I have myself conversed with Timothy, and was exceedingly struck by the readiness with which he would answer the most difficult questions, and clear up the most obscure passages in the Divine oracles; invariably quoting Origen as an unquestionable authority in confirmation of his own sentiments. But it is astonishing to me that these two men should continue to uphold the heresy of the Arians; the one being so conversant with Plato, and the other having Origen so frequently on his lips. For Plato does not say that the second and third cause, as he usually terms them, had a beginning of existence: and Origen everywhere acknowledges the Son to be co-eternal* with the Father. Nevertheless although they remained connected with that sect, they purged it from some of its grosser corruptions, and raised it to a more tolerable condition, by abolishing many of the blasphemies of Arius. But enough of these persons. Sisinnius bishop of the Novatians dying under the same consulate, was succeeded by Chrysanthus, of whom we shall have to speak by and by.

CHAPTER VII.

CYRIL SUCCEEDS THEOPHILUS BISHOP OF ALEXANDRIA.

THEOPHILUS bishop of Alexandria having soon after fallen into a lethargic state, died on the 15th of October,† in the ninth consulate of Honorius, and the

* Συραϊδιον.

† This chapter is out of chronological order: for Alaric took Rome in 410. See chap. x.

fifth of Theodosius. A great contest immediately arose about the appointment of a successor, some seeking to place Timothy the archdeacon in the episcopal chair; and others desiring Cyril, the nephew of Theophilus. But although the former was supported by Abundantius the commander of the troops in Egypt, yet the partisans of Cyril triumphed, and on the third day put him in possession of the episcopate, with greater power than his uncle had ever exercised. For from that time the bishops of Alexandria going beyond the limits of their sacerdotal functions, assumed the administration of secular matters. Cyril immediately therefore shut up the churches of the Novatians at Alexandria; after which he took possession of all their consecrated vessels and ornaments; and then stripped their bishop Theopemptus of all that he had.

CHAPTER VIII.

PROPAGATION OF CHRISTIANITY AMONG THE PERSIANS.

ABOUT this time Christianity was disseminated in Persia, by means of the frequent embassies between the sovereigns of that country and the Roman empire, for which there were continual causes. It happened that the Roman emperor thought proper to send Maruthas bishop of Mesopotamia, who has been before mentioned, on a mission to the king of the Persians: who perceiving this prelate to be eminently pious, treated him with great honour, and revered him as one who was indeed beloved of God. This excited the jealousy of the magi, whose influence is considerable in that country, lest he should prevail on the Persian monarch to embrace Christianity.

For Maruthas had by his prayers cured the king of a violent head-ache to which he had been long subject, and which the magi were unable to relieve. They therefore had recourse to this expedient in order to get rid of him. As the Persians worship fire, and the king was accustomed to pay his adorations in a certain edifice where a fire was kept perpetually burning; they concealed a man underneath the sacred hearth, ordering him to make this exclamation as soon as the king began his devotions: "Let the king be thrust out who is guilty of impiety, in imagining a Christian priest to be loved by the Deity." When Isdigerdes, for that was the king's name, heard these words, he determined to dismiss Maruthas, notwithstanding the reverence with which he regarded him. But this holy man, by the earnestness of his prayers, detected the imposition of the magi. Going to the king therefore, he addressed him thus: "Be not deluded, O king; but when you again enter that edifice and hear the same voice, explore the ground below, and you will discover the fraud. For the fire does not speak, but this pretended oracle proceeds from human contrivance." In accordance with this suggestion, the king went as usual to the place where the ever-burning* fire was; and when he again heard the same voice, he ordered the earth to be dug up, where the impostor was found, who uttered the supposed words of the Deity. Indignant at the cheat which was thus attempted to be practised upon him, the king commanded that the tribe of the magi should be decimated.† After which he permitted Maruthas to erect churches wherever he wished; and from that

* "Ἀσβεστον.

† 'Ἀπεδεκάρωσε, i. e. every tenth man put to death.

time the Christian religion was diffused among the Persians. Maruthas being recalled for a while to Constantinople, was afterwards again sent as ambassador to the Persian court, when the magi sought by every possible means to prevent his having access to the king. One of their devices was to cause a most disgusting smell where the king was accustomed to go, and then accuse the Christians of being the authors of it. The king however having already had occasion to suspect the magi, closely scrutinized the matter; and again detecting their deceptive tricks, he punished several of them, and held Maruthas in still higher honour. For the Romans as a nation he had much regard, and entered into an alliance with them. Nay, he was on the point of embracing the Christian faith himself, after witnessing another miracle which was wrought by Maruthas in conjunction with Abdas bishop of Persia: for these two by giving themselves to much fasting and prayer, had cast out a demon with which the king's son was possessed. But the death of Isdigerdes* prevented his making an open profession of Christianity. The kingdom then devolved on Vararanes his son, in whose time the treaty between the Romans and Persians was violated, as the sequel of this history will show.

CHAPTER IX.

BISHOPS OF ANTIOCH AND ROME.

DURING this period Porphyry received the episcopate of Antioch upon the death of Flavian:† and after him Alexander‡ was set over that church. But at Rome, Damasus having held that bishopric eighteen

* A. D. 420.

† A. D. 404.

‡ A. D. 414.

years, was succeeded by Siricius;* who after presiding there fifteen years, left it to Anastasius: three years after Innocent was promoted to the same see, and was the first persecutor of the Novatians at Rome, many of whose churches he took away.

CHAPTER X.

ROME TAKEN AND SACKED BY ALARIC.

ABOUT this time Rome was taken by barbarians; for Alaric, who had been an ally of the Romans, and had rendered important services to the emperor Theodosius in the war against the tyrant Eugenius, having on that account been honoured with Roman dignities, was unable to bear his good fortune. He did not choose to assume imperial authority; but retiring from Constantinople he went into the Western parts, and laid waste all Illyricum. The Thessalonians opposed his march at the mouths of the river Peneus, whence there is a pass over Mount Pindus to Nicopolis in Epirus; and coming to an engagement, they killed about three thousand of his men. After this the barbarians that were with him destroyed every thing in their way, and at last took Rome itself, which they pillaged, burning the greatest part of the magnificent structures and other admirable works of art it contained. Having shared the booty among themselves, they put many of the principal senators to death by a variety of the most cruel tortures: but Alaric in mockery of the imperial dignity, proclaimed one Attalus emperor, whom he ordered to be attended with all the insignia of sovereignty on one day, and to be exhibited in the

* A. D. 385.

habit of a slave on the next. After these achievements he made a precipitate retreat, a report having reached him that the emperor Theodosius had sent an army against him. Nor was this a groundless alarm, for the imperial forces actually arrived; but Alaric terrified at the bare rumour, had already decamped. It is said that as this barbarian was advancing towards Rome, he was met by a pious monk, who exhorted him to refrain from the perpetration of such atrocities, and no longer to delight in slaughter and blood. To whom Alaric replied, "I am urged on in this course in spite of myself; for there is a something that irresistibly impels me daily, saying, *Proceed to Rome, and desolate that city.*" Such was the career of this person.

CHAPTER XI.

BISHOPS OF ROME.

AFTER Innocent, Zosimus governed the Roman church for two years: and after him Boniface* presided over it for three years. He was succeeded by Celestinus. This prelate took away the churches from the Novatians at Rome also, and obliged Rusticula their bishop to hold his meetings secretly in private houses. Until this time that sect had flourished exceedingly in the imperial city of the West, possessing many churches there, which were attended by large congregations. But envy attacked them also, as soon as the Roman episcopate, like that of Alexandria, extended itself beyond the limits of ecclesiastical† jurisdiction, and degenerated into its present state of secular‡ domination. For thence-

* A. D. 418.

† Ἱερωσύνης.

‡ Δυναστείαν.

forth the Roman bishops would not suffer even those who perfectly agreed with them in matters of faith, and whose purity of doctrine they extolled, to enjoy the privilege of assembling in peace, but stripped them of all they possessed. From such tyrannical bigotry the Constantinopolitan prelates kept themselves free; inasmuch as they not only permitted the Novatians to hold their assemblies within the city, but, as I have already stated, treated them with every mark of Christian regard.

CHAPTER XII.

OF CHRYSANTHUS BISHOP OF THE NOVATIANS AT CONSTANTINOPLE.

AFTER the death of Sisinnius, Chrysanthus was constrained to take upon him the episcopal office. He was the son of Marcian the predecessor of Sisinnius, and at an early age had a military appointment at the palace; but he was subsequently made governor* of Italy, and after that Lord-lieutenant† of the British Isles, in both which capacities he acquitted himself with the highest credit. Returning to Constantinople at an advanced age, with the desire of being constituted præfect of that city, he was made bishop of the Novatians against his will. For when Sisinnius was at the point of death, he referred to him as a most desirable person to preside over the episcopate; and the people regarding this declaration as law, sought to have him ordained forthwith. While he remained in privacy to avoid having this dignity forced upon him, Sabbatius, supposing a seasonable opportunity was now afforded him of making himself

* Ὑπατικός, Consularis.

† Βικάριος, Vicarius.

master of the churches, in thorough recklessness of the oath by which he had bound himself, procured his own ordination at the hands of a few undistinguished prelates. Among these was Hermogenes, who had been excommunicated with curses by Sabbatius himself on account of his blasphemous writings. But this perjured procedure of Sabbatius was of no avail to him: for the people disgusted with his unsanctified ambition, used every effort to discover the retreat of Chrysanthus; and having found him secluded in Bithynia, they brought him back by force, and invested him with the bishopric. He was a man of singular modesty and prudence; and by his means the churches of the Novatians at Constantinople were established and greatly augmented. He was the first prelate who distributed gold among the poor out of his own private property. From the churches he would receive nothing but two loaves of the consecrated bread* every Lord's day. So anxious was he to promote the advantage of his own church, that he drew Ablabius, the most eminent orator of that time, from the school of Troilus, and ordained him a presbyter. Ablabius whose sermons are remarkably elegant and full of point, was afterwards promoted to the bishopric of the Novatian church at Nice, where he also taught rhetoric† at the same time.

* "Ἀροὺς Εὐλογιῶν, *loaves of Benediction*, i. e. offerings of the faithful, part of which was taken for the Eucharist, and the rest allotted as food for the clergy.

† Σοφιστεύων.

CHAPTER XIII.

CONFLICT BETWEEN THE CHRISTIANS AND JEWS AT ALEXANDRIA: AND BREACH BETWEEN CYRIL THE BISHOP AND ORESTES THE PRÆFECT.

ABOUT this time the Jewish inhabitants were driven out of Alexandria by Cyril the bishop on the following account. The Alexandrians are more delighted with tumult than any other people: and if they can find a pretext, they will break forth into the most intolerable excesses; nor is it scarcely possible to check their impetuosity until there has been much bloodshed. It happened on the present occasion that a disturbance arose among the populace, not from a cause of any serious importance, but out of an evil that has become inveterate in almost all cities, viz. a fondness for pantomimic* exhibitions. In consequence of the Jews being disengaged from business on the Sabbath, and spending their time, not in hearing the Law, but in theatrical amusements, dancers usually collect great crowds on that day, and disorder is almost invariably produced. And although this was in some degree controlled by the governor of Alexandria, yet the Jews were continually factious; and there was superadded to their ordinary hatred of the Christians, rage against them on account of the dancers. When therefore Orestes the præfect was publishing an edict† in the theatre for the regulation of the shows, some of the bishop's party were present to learn the nature of the orders about to be issued. Among these was Hierax, a teacher of the rudimental branches of literature; one who was a very assiduous

* Ὀρχηστὰς.

† Πολιτείαν.

auditor of the bishop's sermons, and made himself conspicuous by his forward and noisy plaudits.* When the Jews observed this person in the theatre, they immediately cried out that he had come there for no other purpose than to excite sedition among the people. Now Orestes had long regarded with jealousy the growing power of the bishops, and their encroachments on the jurisdiction of the civil authorities. Believing therefore that Cyril wished to set spies over his proceedings, he ordered Hierax to be seized, and publicly subjected to the torture in the theatre. Cyril on being informed of this, sent for the principal Jews, and threatened them with the utmost severities, unless they desisted from their molestation of the Christians. These menaces instead of suppressing their violence, only rendered the Jewish populace more furious, and led them to form conspiracies for the destruction of the Christians; one of which was of so desperate a character, as to cause their entire expulsion from Alexandria. Having agreed that each one of them should wear a ring on his finger made of the bark of a palm branch, for the sake of mutual recognition, they determined to attack the Christians on a certain night: and sending persons into the streets to raise an outcry that Alexander's church was on fire, they thus drew the Christians out in great anxiety to save their church. The Jews immediately fell upon and slew them; readily distinguishing each other by their rings. At day-break the authors of this atrocity could not be concealed: and Cyril going to their synagogues (which is the name they give their house of prayer), attended by an immense body of people, took them away from

* *Κρόρους*, clappings.

them, and driving the Jews out of the city, permitted the multitude to plunder their goods. Thus were the Jews who had inhabited the city from the time of Alexander the Macedonian, expelled from it, stripped of all they possessed, and dispersed some in one direction, and some in another. One of them, a physician* named Adamantius, fled to Atticus bishop of Constantinople, and professing Christianity, afterwards returned to Alexandria and fixed his residence there. But Orestes the governor of Alexandria viewed these transactions with great indignation, and was excessively annoyed that a city of such magnitude should have been suddenly bereft of so large a portion of its population; he therefore at once communicated the whole affair to the emperor. Cyril also wrote to him, describing the outrageous conduct of the Jews; and in the meanwhile sent persons to Orestes who should mediate concerning a reconciliation: for this the people had urged him to do. And when Orestes refused to listen to a word on the subject, Cyril extended toward him the book of the gospels, believing that respect for religion would induce him to lay aside his resentment. When however even this had no pacific effect on the præfect, but he persisted in implacable hostility against the bishop, the following event afterwards occurred.

CHAPTER XIV.

SEDITION OF THE MONKS AGAINST THE PRÆFECT OF ALEXANDRIA.

SOME of the monks inhabiting the mountains of Nitria, of a very fiery disposition, whom Theophilus

* Ἱατρικῶν λόγων σοφιστής.

some time before had so unjustly armed against Discorus and his brethren, being again transported with an ardent zeal, resolved to fight valiantly in behalf of Cyril. About five hundred of them therefore quitting their monasteries, came into the city; and meeting the præfect in his chariot, they called him a Pagan idolator, and applied to him many other abusive epithets. He supposing this to be a snare laid for him by Cyril, exclaimed that he was a Christian, and had been baptized by Atticus the bishop at Constantinople. The monks gave but little heed to his protestations, and one of them named Ammonius threw a stone at Orestes which struck him on the head, and covered him with the blood that flowed from the wound. All the guards with a few exceptions fled, fearing to be stoned to death: but the populace among whom the fugitive guards had mingled, running to the rescue of the governor, put the rest of the monks to flight, and having secured Ammonius delivered him up to the præfect. Orestes immediately put him publicly to the torture, which was inflicted with such severity that he died under the effects of it: and not long after he gave an account to the emperors of what had taken place. Cyril on the other hand forwarded his statement of the matter also: and causing the body of Ammonius to be deposited in a certain church, he gave him the new appellation of *Thaumasius*,* ordering him to be enrolled among the martyrs, and eulogising his magnanimity as that of one who had fallen in a conflict in defence of piety. This approval of Ammonius on the part of Cyril, met with no sympathy from the more sober-minded Christians; for they well knew

* *Θαυμάσιον*, i. e. Admirable.

that he had suffered the punishment due to his temerity, and had not lost his life under the torture because he would not deny Christ. And Cyril himself being conscious of this, suffered the recollection of the circumstance to be gradually obliterated by silence. But the animosity between Cyril and Orestes did not by any means subside, but was kindled* afresh by an occurrence not unlike the preceding.

CHAPTER XV.

OF HYPATIA THE FEMALE PHILOSOPHER.

THERE was a woman at Alexandria named Hypatia, daughter of the philosopher Theon, who made such attainments in literature and science, as to far surpass all the philosophers of her own time. Having succeeded to the school of Plato and Photinus, she explained the principles of philosophy to her auditors, many of whom came from a distance to receive her instructions. Such was her self-possession and ease of manner, arising from the refinement and cultivation of her mind, that she not unfrequently appeared in public in presence of the magistrates, without ever losing in an assembly of men that dignified modesty of deportment for which she was conspicuous, and which gained for her universal respect and admiration. Yet even she fell a victim to the political jealousy which at that time prevailed. For as she had frequent interviews with Orestes, it was calumniously reported among the Christian populace, that it was by her influence he was prevented from being reconciled to Cyril. Some of them therefore, hurried

* *Ἀπέσβεσε*. This expression is opposed to the sense of the context; it should rather be, *ἀνέφλεξε*.

away by a fierce and bigoted zeal, whose ringleader was a reader named Peter, entered into a conspiracy against her; and observing her returning home in her carriage, they dragged her from it, and carried her to the church called Cæsareum, where they completely stripped her, and then murdered her with shells.* After tearing her body in pieces, they took her mangled limbs to a place called Cinaron, and there burnt them. An act so inhuman could not fail to bring the greatest opprobrium, not only upon Cyril, but also upon the whole Alexandrian church. And surely nothing can be farther from the spirit of Christianity than the allowance of massacres, fights, and transactions of that sort. This happened in the month of March during Lent,† in the fourth year of Cyril's episcopate, under the tenth consulate of Honorius, and the sixth of Theodosius.

CHAPTER XVI.

THE JEWS COMMIT ANOTHER OUTRAGE UPON THE CHRISTIANS.

SOON afterwards the Jews renewed their malevolent and impious practices against the Christians, which drew down upon them deserved chastisement. At a place named Immestar situated between Antioch in Syria and Chalcis, the Jews, while amusing themselves in their usual way with a variety of sports, impelled by drunkenness were guilty of many absurdities. At last they began to scoff at Christians and even Christ himself; and in derision of the cross and those who put their trust in the crucified One, they seized a Christian boy, and having bound him to a cross, began

* Ὀστράκοις, or *tiles*.

† Νηστειῶν οὐσῶν.

to laugh and sneer at him. But in a little while they became so transported with fury, that they scourged the child until he died under their hands. This brutal conduct occasioned a sharp conflict between them and the Christians; and as soon as the emperors were informed of the circumstance, they issued orders to the governor of the province to find out and punish the delinquents with the utmost severity. Thus vengeance overtook the Jewish inhabitants of this place for the wickedness they had committed in their impious sport.

CHAPTER XVII.

MIRACLE AT THE BAPTISM OF A JEWISH IMPOSTOR.

ABOUT this time Chrysanthus bishop of the Novatians, after presiding over the churches of his own sect seven years, died on the 26th of August, under the consulate of Monaxius and Plintha. He was succeeded in the bishopric by Paul, who had formerly been a teacher of Roman eloquence: but afterwards abandoning* this profession, had devoted himself to an ascetic course of life; and having founded a monastery of religious men, he adopted a mode of living very similar to that pursued by the monks in the desert. In fact I myself found him just such a person as Evagrius† describes these recluses to be; imitating them in continued fastings, silence, abstinence from animal food, and a very sparing use of oil and wine. He was moreover particularly solicitous about the wants of the poor; frequently visited those who were in prison, and in behalf of many criminals interceded with the judges, who readily attended to

* Πολλὰ χαίρειν φράσας, having bid adieu.

† Evagrius's Ecclesiastical History, 8vo. Lond. 1844.

him on account of his eminent piety. But instead of farther enumerating the excellencies that distinguished him, I shall content myself with mentioning a fact well worthy of being recorded. A Jewish impostor, pretending to be a convert to Christianity, had been often baptized, and by that artifice amassed a good deal of money. After having deceived many of the Christian sects by this fraud, and received baptism from the Arians and Macedonians, so that there remained no others to practise his hypocrisy upon, he at length came to Paul bishop of the Novatians, declaring that he earnestly desired baptism, and requesting that he might obtain it at his hand. Paul commended the determination of the Jew, but told him he could not perform that rite for him, until he had been instructed in the fundamental principles of the faith, and given himself to fasting and prayer for many days. The Jew impatient of the long fasts which he most unwillingly was obliged to undergo, became the more importunate for his baptism; and Paul not wishing to discourage him by longer delays now that he was so urgent, consented to grant his request, and made all the necessary preparations. Having purchased a white vestment for him, he ordered the font to be filled with water, and then led the Jew to it in order to baptize him. But by the invisible power of God, the water suddenly disappeared. The bishop and those present, had not the least suspicion of the real cause, but imagined that the water had escaped by the ordinary channels underneath: these passages were therefore very carefully closed, and the font filled again. No sooner however was the Jew taken there a second time, than the water vanished as before. Then Paul

addressing the Jew, said, "Either you are a deceiver, or an ignorant person who has already been baptized." The people having crowded together to witness this miracle, one among them recognized the Jew, and identified him as having been baptized by Atticus the bishop a little while before. Such was the miracle wrought by the hands of Paul bishop of the Novatians.

CHAPTER XVIII.

RENEWAL OF HOSTILITIES BETWEEN THE ROMANS AND PERSIANS AFTER THE DEATH OF ISDIGERDES.

ISDIGERDES king of the Persians, who had always favoured the Christians in his dominions, having died, was succeeded by Vararanes* his son. This prince at the instigation of the magi, persecuted the Christians there with so much rigour, by inflicting on them a variety of punishments and tortures, that they were obliged to desert their country and seek refuge among the Romans, whom they entreated not to suffer them to be completely extirpated. Atticus the bishop received these suppliants with great benignity, and besought the emperor to take them under his protection. It happened at the same time that another subject of difference arose between the Romans and Persians, both because the latter would not send back the labourers in the gold mines who had been hired from among the former; and also on account of their having plundered the Roman merchants. The bad feeling which these things produced was greatly increased by the flight of the Persian Christians into the Roman

* Βαραννης.

territories. For the Persian king immediately sent an embassy to demand the fugitives, whom the Romans were by no means disposed to deliver up; not only as desirous of defending their suppliants, but also because they were ready to do anything for the sake of the Christian religion. They chose rather therefore to renew the war with the Persians, than to suffer the Christians to be miserably destroyed: the league was accordingly broken, and the fierce war that followed thereupon, I must now give some brief account of. The Roman emperor first sent a body of troops under the command of Ardaburius; who making an irruption through Armenia into Persia, ravaged one of its provinces called Azazene. Narsæus the Persian general marched against him, but on coming to an engagement was defeated, and obliged to retreat. Afterwards he judged it advantageous to make a sudden irruption through Mesopotamia into the Roman territories there unguarded, thinking by this means to be revenged on the enemy. But Ardaburius being apprized of his design, hastened the spoliation of Azazene, and then himself also marched into Mesopotamia. Wherefore Narsæus although furnished with a large army, was prevented from invading the Roman provinces; but arriving at Nisibis, a city in the possession of the Persians situated on the frontiers of both empires, he sent to Ardaburius desiring that they might make mutual arrangements about carrying on the war, and appoint a time and place for an engagement. But Ardaburius said to his messengers, "Tell Narsæus that the Roman emperors will not fight when it pleases him." The emperor perceiving that the Persian was mustering his whole

force, made additional levies to his army, and put his trust in God for the victory: nor was he without immediate benefit from this pious confidence, as the following circumstance proves. As the Constantinopolitans were in great consternation,* and apprehensive respecting the issue of the war, a vision of angels appeared to some persons in Bithynia who were travelling to that city on their own affairs, and bade them tell the people not to be alarmed, but pray to God in the assurance that the Romans would be conquerors, for that they themselves were appointed to defend them. Thus were not only the inhabitants comforted, but the soldiers also received fresh courage. The seat of war being transferred, as we have said, from Armenia to Mesopotamia, the Romans shut up the Persians in the city of Nisibis, which they besieged; and having constructed wooden towers which they advanced by means of machines to the walls, they slew great numbers of those who defended them, as well as of those who ran to their assistance. When Vararanes the Persian monarch learnt that his province of Azazene had been desolated, and that his army was closely besieged in the city of Nisibis,† he resolved to march in person with all his forces against the Romans: but dreading the Roman valour, he implored the aid of the Saracens, who were then governed by a warlike chief named Alamundarus. This prince accordingly brought with him a large reinforcement of Saracen auxiliaries, and exhorted the king of the Persians to fear nothing, for that he would soon reduce the Romans under his power, and deliver Antioch in Syria into his hands. But the event nullified these promises: for God in-

* Ἀγωνία.

† Νισιβηνῶν.

fused into the minds of the Saracens a terrible panic, as if the Roman army was falling upon them; and finding no other way of escape, they precipitated themselves, armed as they were, into the river Euphrates, wherein nearly one hundred thousand of them were drowned. After this multitude had thus perished, the Romans understanding that the king of Persia was bringing with him a great number of elephants, became alarmed in their turn; they therefore burnt all the machines they had used in carrying on the siege, and retired into their own country. What engagements afterwards took place, and how Areobindus another Roman general killed the bravest of the Persians in single combat, and by what means Ardaburius destroyed seven Persian commanders in an ambuscade, and Vitian another Roman general vanquished the remnant of the Saracen forces, I believe I ought to pass by, lest I should digress too far from my subject.

CHAPTER XIX.

OF PALLADIUS THE COURIER.

Now although the scenes of the transactions referred to, were in places very remote from the capital, yet the emperor received intelligence of what was done in an incredibly short space of time. For he had the good fortune to possess among his subjects a man endowed with extraordinary energy both of body and mind, named Palladius; who so vigorously managed the public conveyances, that he would reach the frontiers of the Roman and Persian dominions in three days, and again return to Constantinople in as many more. The same individual

traversed other parts of the world on missions from the emperor with equal celerity: so that an eloquent man once said not unaptly, "This man by his speed seems to contract the vast expanse of the Roman territories." The king of the Persians himself was astonished at the expeditious feats which were related to him of this courier: but we must not stay to give further details concerning him.

CHAPTER XX.

A SECOND OVERTHROW OF THE PERSIANS BY THE ROMANS.

SUCH was the moderation with which the emperor used the advantage which God had given him, that he nevertheless desired to make peace; and to that end he despatched Helion, a man in whom he placed the greatest confidence, with a commission to enter into a pacific treaty with the Persians. He having arrived in Mesopotamia, at the place where the Romans for their own security had formed a trench, sent before him as his deputy Maximin an eloquent man who was the assessor of Ardaburius the commander-in-chief of the army, to make preliminary arrangements concerning the terms of peace. Maximin on coming into the presence of the Persian king, said he had been sent to him on this matter, not by the Roman emperor, who was ignorant of the state of things, and thoroughly contemned the war, but by his generals. And when the sovereign of Persia would have gladly received the embassy, because his troops were suffering from want of provisions; that corps among them which is distinguished by the name of *the Immortals*, numbering about ten thou-

sand of his bravest men, counselled the king not to listen to any overtures for peace, until they should have made an attack upon the Romans, who, they said, were now become extremely incautious. The king approving their advice, ordered the ambassador to be imprisoned and a guard set over him; and permitted the Immortals to put their design upon the Romans into execution. They therefore, on arriving at the place appointed, divided themselves into two bands, with a view to surround some portion of the Roman army. The Romans observing but one body of Persians approaching them, prepared themselves to receive it, not having seen the other division, in consequence of their suddenly rushing forth to battle. But just as the engagement was about to commence, Divine Providence so ordered it, that Procopius a Roman general with another part of the army appeared on the heights, who, perceiving their comrades in danger, attacked the Persians in the rear. Thus were they, who but a little before had surrounded the Romans, themselves encompassed and in a short time utterly destroyed: and those who broke forth from their ambuscade being next attacked by the Romans, were in like manner every one of them slain with darts. In this way was the mortality demonstrated of those who by the Persians were termed the Immortals; Christ having executed this vengeance upon that people, because of their having shed the blood of so many of his pious worshippers. The king of the Persians on being informed of this overthrow, pretended to be ignorant of what had been done; and ordering the embassy to be admitted, he thus addressed Maximin: "I agree to the peace, not as yielding to the Romans; but to gratify you, whom

I have found to be the most prudent of your whole nation." Thus was that war concluded which had been undertaken on account of the suffering Christians in Persia, under the consulate of the two Augusti, being the thirteenth of Honorius, and the tenth of Theodosius, in the fourth year of the 300th Olympiad: and with it terminated the persecution which had been excited in Persia against the Christians.

CHAPTER XXI.

SINGULAR CHARITY OF ACACIUS BISHOP OF AMIDA TOWARD THE PERSIAN CAPTIVES.

A NOBLE action of Acacius bishop of Amida, at that time greatly enhanced his reputation among all men. The Roman soldiery in devastating Azazene, had taken seven thousand captives, whom they would on no account restore to the king of Persia: meanwhile famine began to rage among these unfortunates, a circumstance which greatly distressed that monarch. Their condition becoming known to Acacius, he thought such a matter was by no means to be trifled with; having therefore assembled his clergy, he thus addressed them: "Our God, my brethren, needs neither dishes nor cups; for he neither eats, nor drinks, nor is in want of any thing. Since then, by the liberality of the faithful, the church possesses many vessels both of gold and silver, it behoves us to sell them, that by the money thus raised we may be able to redeem the prisoners, and also supply them with food." Having thus said, he ordered the vessels to be melted down, and from the proceeds paid the soldiers a ransom for their captives, whom he supported for some time; and then furnishing them

with what was needful for their journey, sent them back to their sovereign. This extraordinary benevolence on the part of the excellent Acacius, so astonished the king of the Persians, that he declared the Romans were determined to conquer their enemies as well by their beneficence in peace, as their prowess in war. He is also said to have been very desirous that Acacius should come into his presence, that he might have the pleasure of beholding such a man; a wish which by the emperor Theodosius's order was soon gratified. After so signal a victory had through Divine favour been achieved by the Romans, many who were distinguished* for their eloquence, wrote panegyrics in honour of the emperor, which they recited in public. The empress herself also composed a poem in heroic verse: for she possessed a highly cultivated mind, being the daughter of Leontius the Athenian sophist, who had instructed her in every kind of learning. Atticus the bishop had baptized her a little while previous to her marriage with the emperor, and had then given her the Christian name of Eudocia,† instead of her Pagan one of Athenais. Of the many, who, as I have said, produced eulogiums on this occasion, some were stimulated by the desire of being noticed by the emperor; while others were anxious to display their talents, being unwilling that the attainments they had made by dint of great exertion, should lie buried in obscurity.

* Ἐν λόγοις ἀνθούτων.

† Εὐδοκίαν, i. e. Benevolence.

CHAPTER XXII.

VIRTUES OF THE EMPEROR THEODOSIUS JUNIOR.

BUT although I neither seek the notice of my sovereign, nor wish to make an exhibition of my oratorical powers, yet have I felt it my duty to record without exaggeration, the singular virtues with which the emperor Theodosius is endowed: for I am persuaded that should I pass them over in silence, posterity would be defrauded of the knowledge of that which is calculated, as an illustrious example, to be eminently useful. In the first place then, this prince though born and bred to empire,* was neither stultified nor effeminated by the circumstances of his birth and education. He early evinced so much prudence, that he appeared to those who conversed with him to have acquired the wisdom and experience of advanced age. Such was his fortitude in undergoing hardships, that he would courageously endure both heat and cold; fasting very frequently, especially on Wednesdays and Fridays, from an earnest endeavour to observe with accuracy all the prescribed forms of the Christian religion. His palace was so regulated, that it differed little from a monastery: for he, together with his sisters, rose early in the morning, and recited responsive hymns† in praise of the Deity. By this training he learnt the holy Scriptures by heart;‡ and he would often discourse with the bishops on scriptural subjects, as if he had been an ecclesiastic of long standing. He was a more indefatigable collector of the sacred books and of the expositions which had been

* 'Εν βασιλείᾳ.

† 'Αντιφώνους.

‡ 'Απὸ στήθους.

written on them, than even Ptolemy Philadelphus* had formerly been: while in clemency and humanity he far surpassed all others. The emperor Julian although he professed to be a philosopher, could not moderate his rage against the Antiochians who derided him, but inflicted upon Theodore the most agonizing tortures. Theodosius on the contrary, bidding farewell to Aristotle's Syllogisms, exercised philosophy in deeds, by getting the mastery over anger, grief, and pleasure. Never has he revenged himself on any one by whom he has been injured; nor has he ever even appeared irritated. And when some of his most intimate friends once asked him, why he never inflicted capital punishment upon offenders? his answer was, "Would that it were even possible to restore to life those that have died." To another making a similar enquiry he replied, "It is neither a great nor a difficult thing to put a mortal to death: but it is God only that can resuscitate by repentance a person that has once died." So habitually indeed did he practise mercy, that if sentence of death was passed upon a criminal, and he was conducted toward the place of execution, he was never suffered to reach the gates of the city before a pardon was issued, commanding his immediate return. Having once exhibited a show of hunting wild beasts in the Amphitheatre at Constantinople, the people cried out, "Let one of the boldest Bestiarii† encounter the enraged animal." But he said to them, "Do ye not know that we are wont to

* A name applied to him by *antiphrasis*, because he killed his brothers. It was by this king's command that the Old Testament was translated into Greek by the Seventy, thence called *The Septuagint*. Translated into English, 8vo. Lond. 1844.

† Παραβόλων.

view these spectacles with feelings of humanity?" By this expression he instructed the people to be satisfied in future with shows of a less cruel description. His piety was such that he had a reverential regard for all who were consecrated to the service of God; and honoured in an especial manner those whom he knew to be eminent for their sanctity of life. The bishop of Chebron having died at Constantinople, the emperor is reported to have expressed a wish to have his cassock of sackcloth of hair; which, although it was excessively filthy, he wore as a cloak, hoping that thus he should become a partaker in some degree of the sanctity of the deceased. In a certain year during which the weather had been very tempestuous, he was obliged by the eagerness of the people to exhibit the usual sports in the Hippodrome; and when the circus was filled with spectators, the violence of the storm increased, and there was a heavy fall of snow. Then the emperor made it very evident how his mind was affected towards God; for he caused the herald to make a proclamation to the people to this effect: "It is better to desist from the show, and that all should unite in prayer to God, that we may be preserved unhurt from the impending storm." Scarcely had the herald executed his commission, than all the people with the greatest joy began with one accord to offer supplication and sing praises to God, so that the whole city became one vast congregation; and the emperor himself laying aside his imperial robes, went into the midst of the multitude and commenced the hymns. Nor was he disappointed in his expectation, for the atmosphere suddenly resumed its wonted serenity: and Divine benevolence bestowed on all an abundant harvest, instead of an expected deficiency of corn. If

at any time war was raised, like David he had recourse to God, knowing that He is the disposer of battles, and by prayer brought them to a prosperous issue. I shall here therefore relate, how by placing his confidence in God he vanquished the tyrant John, after Honorius had died on the 15th of August, in the consulate of Asclepiodotus and Marian. For I judge what then occurred worthy of mention, inasmuch as there happened to the emperor's generals who were despatched against the tyrant, something analogous to what took place when the Israelites crossed the Red Sea under the guidance of Moses. My narrative must however be brief, for the details, which I leave to others, would require a special treatise.

CHAPTER XXIII.

TYRANNY OF JOHN AFTER THE DEATH OF THE EMPEROR HONORIUS. HE IS DESTROYED THROUGH THE PRAYERS OF THEODOSIUS JUNIOR.

THEODOSIUS being now sole ruler,* concealed the death of the emperor Honorius as long as possible, amusing the people sometimes with one report, and then another. But he privately despatched a military force to Salonæ a city of Dalmatia, that in the event of any revolutionary movement in the West there might be resources at hand to check it; and after making these provisional arrangements, he at length openly announced his uncle's death. In the interim, John the emperor's chief secretary, not content with the dignity to which he had already attained, seized upon the sovereign authority; and sent an embassy to the emperor Theodosius, demanding to be recog-

* *Αὐτοκράτωρ.*

nised as his colleague in the empire. But that prince after causing the ambassadors to be arrested, immediately sent off Ardaburius the commander-in-chief of the army, who had greatly distinguished himself in the Persian war. He, on arriving at Salonæ, set sail from thence for Aquileia:* but fortune was adverse to him as he then thought (although it afterwards appeared far otherwise); for a contrary wind having arisen, he was driven into the tyrant's hand. The capture of Ardaburius made the usurper more sanguine in his hope, that Theodosius would be induced by the urgency of the case to elect and proclaim him emperor, in order to preserve the life of this officer. And the emperor was in fact greatly distressed when he heard of it, as was also the army which had been sent against the tyrant, lest Ardaburius should be subjected to any rigorous treatment. Aspar the son of Ardaburius, having learnt that his father was in the tyrant's power, and aware at the same time that the party of the rebels was strengthened by the accession of immense numbers of barbarians, knew not what course to pursue. But at this crisis the prayer of the pious emperor again prevailed. For an angel of God under the appearance of a shepherd, undertook the guidance of Aspar and the troops which were with him, and led him through the lake near Ravenna: for in that city the tyrant was then residing, and there detained the military chief. Now no one had ever been known to have forded that lake before; but God then rendered that passable, which had hitherto been impassable. Having therefore crossed the lake, as if going over dry ground, they found the gates of the city open, and seized the tyrant. This event afforded

* 'Ακυλῆϊαν.

that most devout emperor Theodosius an opportunity of giving a fresh demonstration of his piety towards God. For the news of the tyrant's being destroyed, having arrived while he was engaged at the exhibition of the sports of the Hippodrome, he immediately said to the people: "We will, if you please, leave these diversions, and proceed to the church to offer thanksgivings to God, by whose hand the tyrant has been overthrown." Thus did he address them; and the spectacles were immediately forsaken, the people all passing out of the circus singing praises together with him, as with one heart and one voice. And arriving at the church, the whole city again became one vast congregation, and passed the remainder of the day in these devotional exercises.

CHAPTER XXIV.

VALENTINIAN PROCLAIMED EMPEROR

AFTER the tyrant's death, the emperor Theodosius became very anxious as to whom he should proclaim emperor[†] of the West. He had a cousin then very young named Valentinian; the son of that Constantius who had been proclaimed emperor by Honorius, and had died after a short reign with him, and of his aunt Placidia, daughter of Theodosius the Great, and sister of the two Augusti, Arcadius and Honorius. This cousin he created Cæsar, and sent into the Western parts, committing the administration of affairs to his mother Placidia. He himself also hastened towards Italy, that he might in person both proclaim his cousin emperor, and also being present among them, endeavour to influence the Italians by his coun-

* *Αὐτοκράτωρ.*

† *Βασιλεία.*

sels not willingly to submit to tyrants. But when he reached Thessalonica he was prevented from proceeding further by sickness; he therefore sent forward the imperial crown to his cousin by Helion a patrician, and he himself returned to Constantinople.

CHAPTER XXV.

CHRISTIAN BENEVOLENCE OF ATTICUS BISHOP OF CONSTANTINOPLE. HIS FORE-KNOWLEDGE OF HIS OWN DEATH.

MEANWHILE Atticus the bishop caused the affairs of the church to flourish in an extraordinary manner; administering all things with singular prudence, and inciting the people to virtue by his discourses. Perceiving the church to be divided by the Johannists assembling themselves apart, he ordered that mention of John should be made in the prayers, as was customary to be done of the other deceased prelates; by which means he trusted that many would be induced to return to the church. His liberality was so great that he not only provided for the poor of his own churches,* but transmitted contributions to supply the wants and promote the comfort of the indigent in the neighbouring cities also. On one occasion he sent to Calliopius a presbyter of the church at Nice, three hundred pieces† of gold with the following letter.

“ Atticus to Calliopius—salutations in the Lord.

“ I have been informed that there are in your city a great number of necessitous persons, whose condition

* Ἐν . . . παροίκiais, parishes.

† Χρυσίδους, this is of indefinite value (σφαίρας, each worth 1*l.* 0*s.* 9*d.*, may be understood).

demands the compassion of the pious. As therefore I have received a sum of money from him, who with a bountiful hand is wont to supply faithful stewards; and since it happens that some are pressed by want, that those who have may be proved, who yet do not minister to the needy—take, my friend, these three hundred pieces of gold, and dispose of them as you may think fit. It will be your care, I doubt not, to distribute to such as are ashamed to beg, to the exclusion of those who through life have sought to feed themselves at others' expense. In bestowing these alms I would have no distinction made on religious grounds; but feed the hungry whether they agree with us in sentiment, or not."

Thus did Atticus consider even the poor who were at a distance from him. He laboured also to abolish the superstitions of certain persons. For he was informed that the Separatists from the Novatians, on account of the Jewish Passover, had transported the body of Sabbatius from the island of Rhodes, where he had died in exile, and having buried it, were accustomed to pray at his grave. Atticus therefore caused the body to be disinterred at night, and deposited in a private sepulchre; after which those who had formerly paid their adorations at that place, ceased to do so, on finding his tomb had been opened. Moreover he manifested a great deal of taste in the application of names to places. To a port in the mouth of the Euxine sea, anciently called *Pharmaceus*,* he gave the appellation of *Therapeia*;† because he would not have a place where religious assemblies

* *Φαρμακία*, i. e. a poisoner.

† *Θεραπεία*, i. e. service, worship, or healing.

were held, dishonoured by an inauspicious name. Another place in the vicinity of Constantinople he termed Argyropolis,* for this reason. Chrysopolis† is an ancient port situated at the head of the Bosphorus, and is mentioned by several of the early writers, especially Strabo, Nicolaus Damascenus, and the eloquent Xenophon in the sixth book of his "Expedition of Cyrus;" and again in the first of his "Grecian History" he says concerning it, *That Alcibiades having walled it round, established a toll in it, obliging all who sailed out of Pontus to pay tithes there.* Atticus seeing the former place to be directly opposite to Chrysopolis, and very delightfully situated, declared the most appropriate name for it was Argyropolis, which was assigned to it from that time. Some persons having said to him that the Novatians ought not to be permitted to hold their assemblies within the cities: "Do you not know," he replied, "that they were fellow-sufferers with us in the persecution under Constantine and Valens? Besides," said he, "they have steadfastly adhered to our Creed: for although they separated from the church a long while ago, they have never introduced any innovations concerning the faith." Being once at Nice on account of the ordination of a bishop, and seeing there Asclepiades bishop of the Novatians, then very aged, he asked him how many years he had borne the episcopal office? When he was answered fifty years: "You are a happy man," said he, "to have been exercised in so good a work for such a length of time." To the same Asclepiades he observed: "I commend Novatus; but can by no means approve of the Novatians." And when Asclepiades expressed his surprise at this strange remark,

* The silver city.

† The golden city.

‡ Ἑλληνικῶν.

Atticus gave him this reason for the distinction. "Novatus has my approbation for refusing to communicate with those who had sacrificed, for I myself would have done the same: but I cannot praise the Novatians, inasmuch as they exclude laymen from communion for very trivial offences." Asclepiades answered, "There are many other *sins unto death* as the Scriptures term them, besides sacrificing to idols; on account of which even you excommunicate ecclesiastics, but we laymen also, reserving to God alone the power of pardoning them." Atticus had moreover a presentiment of his own death; for at his departure from Nice, he said to Calliopius a presbyter of that place: "Hasten to Constantinople before autumn if you wish to see me again alive; for if you delay beyond that time, you will not find me surviving." Nor did he err in this prediction; for he died on the 10th of October, in the 21st year of his episcopate, under the eleventh consulate of Theodosius, and the first of Valentinian Cæsar. The emperor Theodosius indeed was not at his funeral, being then on his way from Thessalonica, and did not reach Constantinople until the day after Atticus was interred.* On the 23rd of the same month, Valentinian junior was proclaimed Augustus.

CHAPTER XXVI.

SISINNIIUS IS CHOSEN TO SUCCEED ATTICUS.

AFTER the decease of Atticus, there arose a strong contest about the election of a successor, some proposing one person, and some another. One party was urgent in favour of a presbyter named Philip;

* Παραδοθεὶς τῇ ταφῇ.

another wished to promote Proclus who was also a presbyter; but the general desire of the people was that the bishopric should be conferred on Sisinnius. This person held no ecclesiastical office within the city, but had been appointed to a presbyterate in a church at Elæa, a village in the suburbs of Constantinople, where from an ancient custom the whole population annually assembled for the celebration of our Saviour's ascension. His eminent piety, and above all his untiring efforts to promote the comforts of the poor, even beyond his power, endeared him so much to the laity, that they procured his ordination on the last day of February, under the following consulate, which was the twelfth of Theodosius, and the second of Valentinian. The presbyter Philip was so chagrined at the preference of another to himself, that he even introduced into his "Christian History" some very censorious remarks on this ordination. But as I cannot by any means approve of the temerity with which he has reflected on not only the ordination itself, but those also who ordained him, and more especially the lay partisans of Sisinnius, I deem it quite inadmissible to give the least countenance to his invectives by inserting any portion of them here: some notice however must be taken of his works.

CHAPTER XXVII.

VOLUMINOUS PRODUCTIONS OF PHILIP, A PRESBYTER OF SIDE.

PHILIP was a native of Side, a city of Pamphylia, which was also the birth-place of Troilus the sophist, to whom he boasted himself to be nearly related. During his diaconate he was admitted to the privilege

of familiar intercourse with John Chrysostom bishop of Constantinople. He was an exceedingly laborious student, and besides making very considerable literary attainments, formed an extensive collection of books in every branch of knowledge. Affecting the Asiatic style, he became the author of many treatises: for he wrote a refutation of the emperor Julian's works, and compiled a "Christian History," which he divided into thirty-six books; each of these books occupied several volumes,* so that they amounted altogether to nearly one thousand, and the mere argument (or table of contents) of each volume equalled in magnitude the volume itself. In this composition, which he has entitled not an "Ecclesiastic," but a "Christian History," he has grouped together abundance of very heterogeneous elements, from the vanity of displaying the versatility of his genius, and the extent of his erudition: for it contains a medley of geometrical theorems, astronomical speculations, arithmetical calculations, and musical principles, with geographical delineations of islands, mountains, forests, and various other matters of little moment. By forcing such irrelevant details into connection with his subject, he has rendered his work a very loose production, useless alike, in my opinion, to the ignorant and the learned; for the illiterate are incapable of appreciating the loftiness of his diction, and such as are really competent to form a just estimate, are disgusted with his wearisome tautology. But let every one exercise his own judgment concerning these books according to his taste. All I have to add is, that he has sadly confounded the chronological order of the transactions he describes: for after having related what took place in

* Τόμους.

the reign of the emperor Theodosius, he immediately goes back to the times of the bishop Athanasius; and this sort of thing is of frequent occurrence. But enough has been said of Philip: we must now mention what happened under the episcopate of Sisinnius.

CHAPTER XXVIII.

PROCLUS ORDAINED BISHOP OF CYZICUM BY SISINNIOUS,
BUT REJECTED BY THE PEOPLE.

THE bishop of Cyzicum having died, Sisinnius ordained Proclus to the prelacy of that city. But while he was preparing to depart thither, the inhabitants anticipated him, by electing an ascetic named Dalmatius. This they did in contempt of a law which forbade their ordination of a bishop, without the sanction of the bishop of Constantinople: but they pretended that this was a special privilege granted to Atticus alone. Proclus therefore continued destitute of the presidency over his own church, but his sermons acquired for him celebrity in the churches of Constantinople. We shall however speak of him more particularly in an appropriate place. Sisinnius survived his appointment to the bishopric scarcely two entire years, for he was removed by death on the 24th of December, in the consulate of Hierius and Ardaburius. For his temperance, integrity of life, and benignity to the poor, he was deservedly eminent; but his singularly affable and guileless disposition rendered him rather averse to business, so that by men of active habits he was accounted indolent.

CHAPTER XXIX.

NESTORIUS PROMOTED TO THE SEE OF CONSTANTINOPLE.
HIS PERSECUTION OF THE HERETICS.

AFTER the death of Sisinnius, such was the spirit of ambitious rivalry displayed by the ecclesiastics of Constantinople, that the emperors resolved that none of that church should fill the vacant bishopric, notwithstanding the cabals of Philip's partisans, and the no less numerous votes in favour of the election of Proclus. They therefore sent for a stranger* from Antioch whose name was Nestorius, a native of Germanicia,† distinguished for his excellent voice and fluency of speech; qualifications which they judged important for the instruction of the people. After three months had elapsed, Nestorius therefore arrived from Antioch, being greatly lauded by some for his temperance: but what sort of a disposition he was of in other respects, those who possessed any discernment were able to perceive from his first sermon. Being ordained on the 10th of April, under the consulate of Felix and Taurus, he immediately addressed the emperor, before all the people, in these remarkable words: "Give me, my prince, the earth purged of heretics, and I will give you heaven as a recompence. Assist me in destroying heretics, and I will assist you in vanquishing the Persians." Now although this language was extremely gratifying to some of the multitude, who cherished a senseless antipathy to the very name of heretic; yet those, as I have said, who were skilful in predicating a man's character from his expressions, at once detected his levity of mind, and

* Ἐπὶ λυδα.

† A city of Cilicia, on the western border of Syria.

violent temper, combined with an excessive love of vain glory: inasmuch as he had burst forth into such vehemence without being able to contain himself for the shortest space of time; and to use the proverbial* phrase, "before he had tasted the water of the city," showed himself a furious persecutor. Accordingly on the fifth day after his ordination, he determined to demolish the oratory in which the Arians were accustomed to perform their devotions privately: an act that drove these people to desperation; for when they saw the work of destruction going forward in their edifice, they threw fire into it, which spreading on all sides reduced many of the adjacent buildings also to ashes. This catastrophe created extraordinary tumult throughout the city, and the Arians burning to revenge themselves, made preparations for that purpose: but God, the Guardian of the city, suffered not the mischief to gather to a climax. Nestorius however was from that time branded as an incendiary, not only by the heretics, but by those also of his own faith. Still he could not rest there, but seeking every means of harassing those who embraced not his own sentiments, he continually disturbed the public tranquillity. The Novatians also became objects of his malignity, being incited to molest them in every possible way, from the jealousy he felt towards Paul their bishop, who was everywhere respected for his piety: but the emperor's admonitions checked his fury. With what calamities he visited the Quatodecimani throughout Asia, Lydia, and Caria, and what multitudes perished in a popular tumult of which he was the cause at Miletum and Sardis, I think proper to omit the description of. The chastisement

* Παροιμίαν.

inflicted on him for all these enormities, and for that unbridled licence of speech in which he indulged himself, will be mentioned hereafter.

CHAPTER XXX.

THE BURGUNDIANS EMBRACE CHRISTIANITY.

I MUST now relate an event well worthy of being recorded, which happened about this time. There is a barbarous nation dwelling beyond the Rhine, denominated Burgundians, who lead a very peaceful life, being almost all artisans,* and supporting themselves by the exercise of their trades. The Huns† by making continual irruptions on this people, devastated their country, and often destroyed great numbers of them. In this perplexity therefore, the Burgundians resolved to have no recourse to human aid, but to commit themselves to the protection of some god: and having seriously considered that the God of the Romans mightily defended those that feared him, they all with common consent embraced the faith of Christ. Going therefore to one of the Gallic cities, they requested the bishop to grant them Christian baptism: who ordering them to fast seven days, and having meanwhile instructed‡ them in the elementary principles of the faith, on the eighth day baptized and dismissed them. Becoming confident thenceforth, they marched against their invaders; nor were they disappointed in their hope of Divine assistance. For Optar the king of the Huns having died in the night from the effects of a surfeit, the Burgundians attacked that people then without a commander-in-chief; and although they were vastly inferior in numbers, they

* Τέκτονες.

† Οὐγγῶν.

‡ Κατηχήσας, catechised.

obtained a complete victory, the Burgundians being altogether but three thousand men, having destroyed no less than ten thousand of the enemy. From that period this nation became zealously attached to the Christian religion. About the same time Barba bishop of the Arians died, on the 24th of June, under the thirteenth consulate of Theodosius, and the third of Valentinian, and Sabbatius was constituted his successor.

CHAPTER XXXI.

NESTORIUS HARASSES THE MACEDONIANS.

NESTORIUS indeed not only himself acted contrary to the usage of the church, but caused others also to imitate him in this respect, as is evident from what happened during his episcopate. For Antony bishop of Germa, a city of the Hellespont, actuated by the example of Nestorius in his intolerance of heretics, began to persecute the Macedonians, under pretext of carrying out the intentions of the patriarch. For some time that sect endured his annoyance; but when Antony proceeded to farther extremities, unable any longer to bear his harsh treatment, and becoming infuriated by despair, they preferred the adoption of a cruel expedient to justice, and suborned two men to assassinate their tormentor. When the Macedonians had perpetrated this crime, Nestorius took occasion from it to increase his violence of conduct against them, and prevailed on the emperor to take away their churches. They were therefore deprived of not only those which they possessed at Constantinople, before the old walls of the imperial city, but of those also which they had at Cyzicum, and many others

that belonged to them in the Hellespont. Many of them therefore at that time came over to the Catholic church, and professed the Homooousian faith. But as the proverb says, *drunkards never want wine, nor the contentious strife*: and so it fell out with regard to Nestorius, who after having exerted himself to expel others from the church, was himself ejected on the following account.

CHAPTER XXXII.

OF THE PRESBYTER ANASTASIUS, BY WHOM THE FAITH OF NESTORIUS WAS PERVERTED.

NESTORIUS had brought with him from Antioch a presbyter named Anastasius, for whom he had the highest esteem, and whom he consulted in the management of his most important affairs. This Anastasius preaching one day in the church said, "Let no one call Mary *Theotocos*:" for Mary was but a woman;† and it is impossible that God should be born of a woman." These words created a great sensation, and troubled many both of the clergy and laity; they having been heretofore taught to acknowledge Christ‡ as God, and by no means to separate his humanity from his divinity on account of the economy of incarnation. This they conceived was inculcated by the apostle when he said, "Yea, though we have known Christ after the flesh; yet now henceforth know we him no more."§ And again, "Wherefore, leaving the word of the beginning of Christ, let us go on unto perfection."|| While great offence was taken in the church, as we have said, at what was thus propounded, Nesto-

* Θεοτόκον, i. e. mother of God. † Ἀνθρώπος, a human being.

‡ Θεολογεῖν Χριστὸν.

§ 2 Cor. v. 16.

|| Heb. vi. 1.

rius endeavoured to establish Anastasius's proposition : and in his desire to shelter from reprobation the man for whom he had so exalted an opinion, he delivered several public discourses on the subject, in which he not only rejected the epithet *Theotocos*, but involved the whole question in fresh grounds of controversy. Then indeed the discussion which agitated the whole church, resembled the struggle of combatants in the dark, all parties uttering the most confused and contradictory assertions. The general impression was that Nestorius was tinctured with the errors of Paul of Samosata and Photinus, and was desirous of foisting on the church the blasphemous dogma that the Lord is a mere man ; and so great a clamour was raised by the contention, that it was deemed requisite to convene a general council* to take cognizance of the matter in dispute. Having myself perused the writings of Nestorius, I shall candidly express the conviction of my own mind concerning him : and as in entire freedom from personal antipathies, I have already alluded to his faults, I shall in like manner be unbiassed by the criminations of his adversaries, to derogate from his merits. I cannot then concede that he was either a follower of the heretics with whom he was thus classed, or that he denied the Divinity of Christ : but he seemed scared at the term *Theotocos*, as though it were some terrible phantom.† The fact is, the causeless alarm he manifested on this subject, just exposed his grievous ignorance : for instead of being a man of learning, as his natural eloquence caused him to be considered, he was in reality disgracefully illiterate. His conscious readiness of expression led him to condemn the drudgery of an accurate examination of the

* Οἰκουμενικῆς συνόδου.

† Μορμολύκια.

ancient expositors, and puffed him up with a vain confidence in his own powers. Now he was evidently unacquainted with the fact, that in the first catholic epistle of John (iv. 2, 3), it was written in the ancient copies, "Every spirit that separates* Jesus, is not of God." The mutilation of this passage is attributable to those who desired to separate the Divine nature from the human economy: or to use the very language of the early interpreters, some persons have corrupted this epistle, aiming at "separating the manhood of Christ from his Deity."† But the humanity is united to the Divinity in the Saviour, so as to constitute but one person. Hence it was that the ancients, emboldened by this testimony, scrupled not to style Mary *Theotocos*. Eusebius Pamphilus in his third book of the Life of Constantine‡ thus writes: "Emanuel§ submitted to be born for our sake; and the place of his nativity is by the Hebrews called Bethlehem. Wherefore the devout empress Helen adorned the place|| of accouchement of the God-bearing virgin with the most splendid monuments, decorating that sacred spot¶ with the richest ornaments." Origen also in the third volume of his commentaries on the apostolic epistle to the Romans, gives an ample exposition of the sense in which the term *Theotocos* is used. It is therefore obvious that Nestorius had very little acquaintance with the old theologians, and for that reason, as I observed, objected to *that expression* only: for his own published Homilies fully exonerate

* Λύει. In the Alex. MS. it is ὁμολογεῖ τὸν Ἰησοῦν, without the Χριστὸν ἐν σαρκὶ ἐληλυθότα contained in the Greek copies now extant.

† Ἀπὸ τοῦ Θεοῦ τὸν ἀνθρώπον.

‡ English Translation, 8vo. Lond. 1844.

§ Μεθ' ἡμῶν Θεός, God with us. || Τῆς θεοτόκου τὴν κύησιν.

¶ Ἄντρον.

him from all identification with Paul of Samosata's impious assertion of the mere manhood of Christ. In these discourses he no where destroys the proper Personality* of the Word of God; but on the contrary invariably maintains that He has an essential and distinct† existence. Nor does he ever deny his subsistence‡ as Photinus and Paul of Samosata did, and as the Manichæans and followers of Montanus have also dared to do. I can speak thus positively respecting Nestorius's opinion, partly from having myself read his own works, and partly from the assurances of his admirers. But this idle contention of his has produced no slight ferment in the religious world.

CHAPTER XXXIII.

DESECRATION OF THE ALTAR OF THE GREAT CHURCH.

WHILE matters were in this state, the church was profaned in the most outrageous manner. For the domestics of a man of quality who were foreigners,§ having experienced harsh treatment from their master, fled from him to the church, and ran|| up to the very altar with their swords drawn. Nor could they be prevailed upon by any entreaties to withdraw, so as not to impede the performance of the public services; but they obstinately maintained their position for several days, brandishing their weapons in defiance of any one who dared to approach them. At last after having killed one of the ecclesiastics, and wounded another, they slew themselves. A person who was present at this desecration of the sanctuary, remarked that such a profanation was an ominous presage, and

* Ὑπόστασιν.

† Ἐνούσιον.

‡ Ὑπαρξιν.

§ Βάρβαροι.

|| Εἰσπηδήσας εἰς τὸ θυσιαστήριον.

in support of his view of the matter, quoted the two following iambics of an ancient poet :

“ For such prognostics happen at a time
When temples are defiled by impious crime.”

Nor did succeeding events falsify these inauspicious forebodings: for there followed division among the people, and the deposition of the author of it.

CHAPTER XXXIV.

SYNOD AT EPHEBUS AGAINST NESTORIUS. HIS DEPOSITION.

SHORTLY after this, the emperor's mandate was issued directing the bishops in all places to assemble at Ephesus. Immediately after Easter therefore, Nestorius escorted by a strong body of his adherents repaired to that city, and found many prelates already there. Cyril bishop of Alexandria made some delay, and did not arrive till near Pentecost; and Juvenal* bishop of Jerusalem was not present until five days after that feast. While John of Antioch was still absent, those who were now congregated entered into the consideration of the question; and Cyril of Alexandria began a sharp skirmish of words, with the design of terrifying Nestorius, for whom he had a strong dislike. When many had declared that Christ was God,† Nestorius said: “I cannot term him God who was two and three months old. I am therefore clear of your blood, and shall in future come no more among you.” Having uttered these words he left the assembly, and afterwards held meetings with the other bishops who entertained sentiments similar to his own. Thus were those present divided into two factions. That section

* 'Ιουβενάλιος.

† Θεολογούντων τὸν Χριστὸν.

which supported Cyril, having constituted themselves a council,* summoned Nestorius: but he refused to meet them, until John of Antioch should arrive. They therefore proceeded to the examination of the public discourses of Nestorius which had been the main subject of complaint; and after deciding from a repeated perusal of them that they contained blasphemy against the Son of God, they deposed him. This being done, the partisans of Nestorius constituted themselves another council apart, and therein deposed Cyril himself, and together with him Memnon bishop of Ephesus. John bishop of Antioch made his appearance soon after these transactions; and being informed of what had taken place, he pronounced unqualified censure on Cyril as the author of all this confusion, in having so precipitately proceeded to the deposition of Nestorius. Upon this Cyril combined with Juvenal to revenge themselves on John, and they deposed him also. When Nestorius saw that the contention which had been raised was thus tending to schism and the destruction of communion, in bitter regret he cried out: "Let Mary be called Theotocos, if you will, and let all disputing cease." But although he made this recantation, no notice was taken of it; for his deposition was not revoked, and he was banished to Oasis, where he still remains. Such was the conclusion of this Synod, which was dissolved on the 28th of June, under the consulate of Bassus and Antiochus. John when he had returned to his bishopric, having convened several prelates, deposed Cyril, who had also returned to his See: but being reconciled soon after, they mutually reinstated each other in their episcopal chairs. But the dissension which had been excited in

* Συνοδίου.

the church of Constantinople by the absurd garrulity of Nestorius, was by no means allayed after his deposition; for the people were so agitated by divisions, that the clergy unanimously anathematized him. For such is the sentence which we Christians are accustomed to pronounce on those who have advanced any blasphemous doctrines, in order that their impiety may be publicly exposed as it were on a pillar, to universal execration.

CHAPTER XXXV.

ELECTION OF MAXIMIAN TO THE EPISCOPATE OF CONSTANTINOPLE.

AFTER this there was another debate concerning the election of a bishop of Constantinople. Many were in favour of Philip, of whom we have already spoken; but a still greater number advocated the claims of Proclus. And the votes of the majority would have determined the matter, had not some influential persons interfered, on the ground of its being forbidden by the ecclesiastical canon that a person nominated to one bishopric should be translated to another See. The people believing this assertion, were thereby restrained; and about four months after the deposition of Nestorius, a presbyter named Maximian, who had lived an ascetic life, was elected to this episcopate. He was neither an eloquent man, nor at all disposed to trouble himself with the busy affairs of life; but had acquired a high reputation for sanctity, on account of having at his own expense constructed sepulchral depositaries for the reception of the pious after their decease.

CHAPTER XXXVI.

THE AUTHOR'S OPINION OF THE VALIDITY OF TRANSLATIONS FROM ONE SEE TO ANOTHER.

BUT since some parties by alleging a prohibition in the ecclesiastical canon, prevented the election of Proclus, because of his previous nomination to the See of Cyzicum, I shall make a few remarks on this subject. Those who then presumed to interpose such a cause of exclusion, appear to me to have either been influenced by prejudice against Proclus to affirm what they knew to be untrue; or at the least to have been themselves completely ignorant both of the canons, and of the frequent and often advantageous usage of the churches. Eusebius Pamphilus relates in the sixth book of his "Ecclesiastical History,"* that Alexander bishop of a certain city in Cappadocia, coming to Jerusalem for devotional purposes, was detained by the people, and constituted bishop of that place, as the successor of Narcissus; and that he continued to preside over the churches there during the remainder of his life. So indifferent a thing was it amongst our ancestors, to transfer a bishop from one city to another as often as it was deemed expedient. But to place beyond a doubt the fallacy of the pretensions of those who opposed the ordination of Proclus, I shall annex to this History the canon which they cited against him. It runs thus:—"If† any one after having been ordained a bishop should not proceed to the church‡ unto which he has been

* English Translation, 8vo. Lond. 1842.

† Valesius contends that Socrates here adduces the eighteenth canon of the Synod at Antioch, instead of the twenty-first, which militates against his view of the case.

‡ Παροικίαν.

appointed, from no fault on his part, but either because the people are unwilling to receive him, or for some other reason which casts no imputation on him; let him be partaker of the honour and functions of the rank with which he has been invested, provided he intermeddles not with the affairs of the church wherein he may minister.* It is his duty however to submit to whatever the Synod of the province may see fit to determine, after it shall have taken cognizance of the matter." Such is the language of the canon. I shall now show that this construction of its meaning is fully borne out by abundant precedents of bishops having been translated from one city to another to meet the exigences of peculiar cases, giving the names of those bishops who have been so translated. Perigenes was ordained bishop of Patræ: but inasmuch as the inhabitants of that city refused to admit him, the bishop of Rome appointed him to the metropolitan See of Corinth, on its becoming vacant by the decease of its former bishop, where he presided during the rest of his days. Gregory was first made bishop of Sasimi, one of the cities of Cappadocia, but was afterwards transferred to Nazianzen. Meletius after having presided over the church at Sebastia, subsequently governed that of Antioch. Alexander bishop of Antioch translated† Dositheus bishop of Seleucia, to Tarsus in Cilicia. Reverentius was removed from Arci in Phœnicia, and afterwards translated to Tyre. John was transferred from Gordum a city of Lydia, to Proconnesus,‡ and presided over the church there. Palladius was translated from Helenopolis to Aspuna; and Alexander from the same city to Adriani. Theophilus was re-

* Συνάγειτο.

† Μετέγαγεν.

‡ Προικονήσφ.

moved from Apamea in Asia, to Eudoxiopolis anciently called Salambeia. Polycarp was transferred from Sexantapristi a city of Mysia, to Nicopolis in Thrace. Hierophilus from Trapezopolis in Phrygia to Plotinopolis in Thrace. Optimus from Agdamia in Phrygia to Antioch in Pisidia; and Silvanus from Philippopolis in Thrace to Troas. Let this enumeration of bishops who have passed from one See to another suffice for the present, as I deem it desirable here to give a concise account of him whom I last mentioned.

CHAPTER XXXVII.

MIRACLE PERFORMED BY SILVANUS BISHOP OF TROAS.

SILVANUS was formerly a rhetorician, and had been brought up in the school of Troilus the sophist; but aiming at perfection in his Christian course, he entered on the ascetic mode of life, and threw aside the rhetorician's pallium. Atticus bishop of Constantinople having afterwards ordained him bishop of Philippopolis, he resided three years in Thrace; but being unable to endure the cold of that region from the feebleness and delicacy of his frame, he begged Atticus to appoint some one else in his place. This having been done, Silvanus returned to Constantinople, where he practised so great austerities, that despising the luxurious refinements of the age, he often appeared in the crowded streets of that populous city shod with sandals made of hay.* Some time having elapsed, the bishop of Troas died; on which account the inhabitants of that city came to Atticus concerning the appointment of a successor.

* *Xopivuv.*

While he was deliberating whom he should ordain for them, Silvanus happened to pay him a visit, which at once relieved him from further anxiety; for addressing Silvanus, he said: "You have now no longer any excuse for avoiding the pastoral administration of a church; for Troas is not a cold place: so that God has considered your infirmity of body, and provided you a suitable residence. Go thither then, my brother, without delay." Silvanus therefore removed to that city, where he performed a miracle which I shall now relate. An immense ship for carrying burdens, such as they term *Plate*, intended for the conveyance of enormous pillars, had been recently constructed on the shore at Troas. But every effort to launch this vessel proved ineffectual; for although many strong ropes were attached to it, and the power of a vast number of persons was applied, all was unavailing. When these attempts had been repeated several days successively with the like result, the people began to think that the devil detained the ship; they therefore went to the bishop Silvanus, and entreated him to go and offer a prayer in that place, as they thought it could not be otherwise moved. He replied with his characteristic lowliness of mind that he was but a sinner, and that it pertained to some one more worthy to receive such grace from God as would relieve them from their difficulty. Being at length prevailed on by their continued entreaties, he approached the shore, where after having prayed, he took hold of a rope, and exhorting the rest to vigorous exertion, the ship was by the first pull instantly set in motion, and ran swiftly into the sea. This miracle wrought by the hands of Silvanus, stirred up the whole population of the province to piety. But the uncommon worth of

Silvanus was manifested in various other ways. Perceiving that the ecclesiastics made a gain* of the contentions of those engaged in law-suits, he would never nominate any one of the clergy as judge: but causing the documents of the litigants to be delivered to himself, he summoned to him some pious layman in whose integrity he had confidence, and committed to him the adjudication of the case. Thus were all differences soon equitably settled; and by this procedure Silvanus acquired for himself great reputation from all classes of persons. We have indeed digressed pretty much from the course of our history; but yet it will not, we imagine, be unprofitable. Let us now however return to the place from which we departed. The ordination of Maximian on the 25th of October, under the consulate of Bassus and Antiochus, had the effect of reducing the affairs of the church to a better ordered and more tranquil condition.

CHAPTER XXXVIII.

MANY OF THE JEWS IN CRETE EMBRACE THE CHRISTIAN FAITH.

ABOUT this period a great number of Jews who dwelt in Crete were converted to Christianity, through the following disastrous circumstance. A certain Jewish impostor had the impudence to assert that he was Moses, and had been sent from heaven to lead out the Jews inhabiting that island, and conduct them through the sea: for he said that he was the same person that formerly preserved the Israelites by leading them through the Red Sea. During a whole year therefore he perambulated the several cities of the

* *Ἐμπορίαν.*

island, and persuaded the Jews to confide in his assurances. He moreover bid them renounce their money and other property, pledging himself to guide them through a dry sea into the land of promise. Deluded by such expectations, they neglected business of every kind, despising what they possessed, and permitting any one who chose to take it. When the day appointed by this deceiver for their departure had arrived, he himself took the lead, and all following with their wives and children,* they proceeded until they reached a promontory that overhung the sea, from which he ordered them to fling themselves† headlong into it. Those who came first to the precipice did so, and were immediately destroyed, part of them being dashed in pieces against the rocks, and part drowned in the waters: and more would have perished, had not some fishermen and merchants who were Christians providentially happened to be present. These persons drew out and saved some that were almost suffocated, who then in their perilous situation became sensible of the madness of their conduct. The rest they hindered from casting themselves down, by telling them the fate of those who had taken the first leap. When at length the Jews perceived how fearfully they had been duped, blaming their own indiscreet credulity, they sought to lay hold of the pseudo-Moses‡ in order to put him to death. But they were unable to seize him, for he suddenly disappeared: which induced a general belief that it was some malignant fiend,§ who had assumed a human form for the destruction of their nation in that place.

* Μικρῇ ἡλικίᾳ.

† Κυβιστῶν.

‡ Ψευδομωυσην.

§ Ἀλάστωρ (this word is in Sophocles applied to the *Furies*).

CHAPTER XXXIX.

PRESERVATION OF THE CHURCH OF THE NOVATIANS
FROM FIRE.

A LITTLE while after this, the celebrity of Paul bishop of the Novatians, as a man beloved of God, was greatly increased. For a terrible conflagration having broken out at Constantinople, such as had never happened before, by which the greater part of the city was destroyed; the fire consuming the public granaries, the Achillean bath, and everything else in its way, at length approached the church of the Novatians situated near Pelargus. When the bishop Paul saw the church endangered, he ran towards the altar, where he commended to God the preservation of the church and all that it contained; nor did he cease to pray not only for it, but also for the city. And God heard him, as the event clearly proved: for although the fire entered this oratory through all its doors and windows, it did no damage. And while many adjacent edifices fell a prey to the devouring element, the church itself was seen unscathed in the midst of the whole conflagration triumphing over its raging flames. The fire was not extinguished until after it had been in active operation for two days and nights, and had burnt down a great part of the city: but the church remained entire, and what is more marvellous still, there was not the slightest trace even of smoke to be observed either on its timbers or its walls. This occurred on the 16th of August, in the fourteenth consulate of Theodosius, which he bore together with Maximus. Since that time the Novatians annually celebrate the preservation of their

church, on the 16th of August, by special thanksgivings to God. And both Christians and Pagans continue to regard that place with veneration as a peculiarly consecrated spot, because of the miracle which was wrought for its safeguard.

CHAPTER XL.

PROCLUS SUCCEEDS MAXIMIAN BISHOP OF CONSTANTINOPLE.

MAXIMIAN having peacefully governed the church during two years and five months, died on the 12th of April, in the consulate of Areobindus and Aspar. This happened to be on the fifth day* of the week of fasts which immediately precedes Easter. The emperor Theodosius wishing to prevent the disturbances in the church which usually attend the election of a bishop, had made a wise provision for this affair; so that before the body of Maximian was interred, he directed the bishops who were then in the city to place Proclus in the episcopal chair without delay. For he had received already letters from Cælestinus bishop of Rome approving of this election, which he had forwarded to Cyril of Alexandria, John of Antioch, and Rufus of Thessalonica; in which he was assured that there was no impediment to the translation to another See, of a person who had been nominated and really was the bishop of some one church. Proclus being thus invested with the bishopric, performed the funeral obsequies of his predecessor: but it is now time to give some account of him.

* i. e. Thursday in Passion week.

CHAPTER XLI.

EXCELLENT QUALITIES OF PROCLUS.

PROCLUS was a reader at a very early age, and assiduously frequenting the schools, became devoted to the study of eloquence. On attaining manhood he was in the habit of constant intercourse with Atticus the bishop, having been constituted his secretary. When he had made great progress, his patron promoted him to the rank of deacon, and subsequently to the presbyterate; after which, as we have before stated, Sisinnius ordained him bishop of Cyzicum. But all these things were done long before he was allotted* the episcopal chair of Constantinople. In moral excellence he had few equals; for having been trained by Atticus, he was a zealous imitator of all that prelate's virtues. His patience however greatly exceeded that of his master, who occasionally exercised severities upon the heretics; for Proclus was gentle towards every body, being convinced that kindness is far more effective than violence in advancing the cause of truth. Resolving therefore to vexatiously interfere with no heresy whatever, he restored in his own person to the church that mild and benign dignity of character, which had so often before been unhappily violated. In this respect he followed the example of the emperor Theodosius; for as he had determined never to exercise his imperial authority against criminals, so had Proclus likewise purposed not to disquiet those who entertained other sentiments than his own on divine subjects.

* Ἐκλήρωθη.

CHAPTER XLII.

EULOGIUM OF THE EMPEROR THEODOSIUS JUNIOR.

FOR these reasons the emperor had the highest esteem for Proclus. Indeed he himself was a pattern to all true prelates,* and never approved of those who attempted to persecute others. Nay I can confidently affirm, that in meekness he surpassed all those who have ever faithfully borne the sacerdotal office. And what is recorded of Moses in the book of Numbers,† “Now the man Moses was very meek, above all the men which were upon the face of the earth”—may most justly be applied to the emperor Theodosius. It is because of this, that God subdued his enemies without martial conflicts, as the capture of the tyrant John, and the subsequent discomfiture of the barbarians clearly demonstrate. For Divine aid has been afforded this most devout emperor in our times, of a similar kind to what was vouchsafed by the God of the universe‡ to the righteous heretofore. I write not these things from adulation, but simply narrate facts such as everybody can attest.

CHAPTER XLIII.

CALAMITIES OF THE BARBARIANS WHO HAD BEEN THE TYRANT JOHN'S AUXILIARIES.

AFTER the death of the tyrant, the barbarians whom he had called to his assistance against the Romans, made preparations for ravaging the Roman provinces. The emperor being informed of this, immediately, as

* Ἱερωμένοις.

† Num. xii. 3.

‡ Ὁλων.

his custom was, committed the management of the matter to God; and continuing in earnest prayer, he speedily obtained what he sought, for the following disasters befel the barbarians. Rougas their chief was struck dead with a thunderbolt.* Then a plague followed which destroyed most of the men who were under him: and as if this was not sufficient, fire came down from heaven, and consumed many of the survivors. This series of supernatural catastrophes filled the barbarians with the utmost terror; not so much because they had dared to take up arms against a nation of such valour as the Romans possessed, as that they perceived them to be assisted by a mighty God. On this occasion, Proclus the bishop preached a sermon in the church which was greatly admired; in which he applied a prophecy out of Ezekiel† to the deliverance which had been effected by God in the late emergency. This is the language of the prophecy:—"And thou, son of man, prophecy against Gog the prince of Rhos, Misoch, and Thobel.‡ For I will judge him with death, and with blood, and with overflowing rain, and with hail-stones. I will also rain fire and brimstone upon him, and upon all his bands, and upon many nations that are with him. And I will be magnified, and glorified, and I will be known in the eyes of many nations: and they shall know that I am the Lord." This application of the prophecy was received with great applause, as I have said, and enhanced the estimation in which Proclus was held. Moreover the providence of God rewarded the meekness of the emperor in various other ways, one of which I shall now mention.

* Κεραυνῶ.

† Eze. xxxviii. 2, 22, 23.

‡ Russia, Moscow, Tobolsk. (Quoted from the Septuagint).

CHAPTER XLIV.

MARRIAGE OF THE EMPEROR VALENTINIAN WITH EUDOXIA
THE DAUGHTER OF THEODOSIUS.

HE had by the empress Eudocia his wife, a daughter named Eudoxia, whom his cousin Valentinian, to whose care he had confided the empire of the West, demanded for himself in marriage. When the emperor Theodosius had given his assent to this proposal, they consulted with each other as to what place on the frontiers of both empires it would be desirable that the marriage should be celebrated at; and it was decided that both parties should go to Thessalonica (which is about half-way) for this purpose. But shortly afterwards Valentinian intimated by letter to Theodosius, that he would not give him the trouble of coming, for that he himself would go to Constantinople. Accordingly, having secured the Western parts with a sufficient guard, he proceeded thither on account of his nuptials, which were celebrated in the consulate of Isidore and Senator; after which he returned with his wife into the West. This auspicious event took place at that time.

CHAPTER XLV.

THE BODY OF JOHN CHRYSOSTOM TRANSFERRED TO
CONSTANTINOPLE.

NOT long after this, Proclus the bishop reunited to the church those who had separated themselves from it on account of bishop John's deposition; he having soothed the irritation which had produced their schism, by the following prudent expedient. Having obtained

the emperor's permission, he removed the body of John from Comani to Constantinople, in the thirty-fifth year after his deposition. And when he had carried it in solemn procession through the city, he deposited it with much honour in the church termed *The Apostles*. By this means the admirers of that prelate were conciliated, and again associated in communion with the other members of the catholic church. This happened on the 27th of January, in the sixteenth consulate of the emperor Theodosius. But it astonishes me that the odium which has been attached to Origen since his death, has not also fastened itself upon John. For the former was excommunicated by Theophilus about two hundred years after his decease; while the latter was restored to communion by Proclus in the thirty-fifth year after his death! This surely can only be accounted for by the difference of character in the two individuals who have acted in so contrary a manner. And men of observation and intelligence cannot be deceived in reference to the motives and principles which operate continually to produce anomalies such as these.

CHAPTER XLVI.

DEATH OF PAUL BISHOP OF THE NOVATIANS, AND ELECTION OF MARCIAN AS HIS SUCCESSOR.

A LITTLE while after the removal of John's body, Paul bishop of the Novatians died, on the 21st of July, under the same consulate: who at his own funeral united, in a certain sense, all the different sects* into one church. For such was the universal esteem in which he was held because of his rectitude

* Αἰρέσεις.

of life, that all parties attended his body to the tomb, chanting psalms together. But as Paul just before his death performed a memorable act, which it may be interesting to the readers of this work to be acquainted with, I shall insert it here. And lest the brilliancy of that important deed should be obscured by dwelling on circumstantial details of minor consequence, I shall not stay to expatiate on the strictness with which he maintained his ascetic discipline as to diet even throughout his illness, without the least departure from the course he had prescribed for himself, or the omission of any of the ordinary exercises of devotion with his accustomed fervour. Conscious that his departure was at hand, he sent for all the presbyters of the churches under his care, and thus addressed them: "Give your attention while I am alive to the election of a bishop to preside over you, lest the peace of the church should hereafter be disturbed." They having answered that this affair had better not be left to them: "For inasmuch," said they, "as some of us have one judgment about the matter, and some another, we shall never agree to nominate the same individual. We wish therefore that you would yourself designate the person you would desire to succeed you." "Give me then," said Paul, "this declaration of yours in writing, that you will elect him whom I shall appoint. When they had written this pledge, and ratified it by their signatures, Paul rising in his bed and sitting up, wrote the name of Marcian in the paper, without informing any of those present what he had inserted. This person had been promoted to the rank of presbyter, and instructed in the ascetic discipline by him, but was then gone abroad. Having folded this document and put

his own seal on it, he caused the principal presbyters to seal it also; after which he delivered it into the hands of Marcus a bishop of the Novatians in Scythia, who was at that time staying at Constantinople: to whom he thus spake. "If it shall please God that I should continue much longer in this life, restore me this deposit, now entrusted to your safe keeping. But should it seem fit to Him to remove me, you will herein discover whom I have chosen as my successor in the bishopric." Soon after this he died: and the paper having been unfolded on the third day after, in the presence of a great number of persons, Marcian's name was found within it, when they all cried out that he was worthy of the honour. Messengers were therefore sent off without delay to bring him to Constantinople, who finding him residing at Tiberiopolis in Phrygia, brought him back with them by a pious fraud;* whereupon he was ordained and placed in the episcopal chair on the 21st of August following.

CHAPTER XLVII.

THE EMPRESS EUDOCIA GOES TO JERUSALEM.

MOREOVER the emperor Theodosius offered up thanksgivings to God for the blessings which he had conferred upon him; at the same time reverencing Christ with the most special honours. He also sent his wife Eudocia to Jerusalem, she having bound herself by a vow to go thither, should she live to see the marriage of her daughter. The empress therefore, in her visit to the sacred city, adorned its churches with the most costly gifts; and both then, and after

* Ἀγαθῶ δόλῳ.

her return, decorated all the churches in the other cities of the East with a variety of ornaments.

CHAPTER XLVIII.

THALASSIUS IS ORDAINED BISHOP OF CÆSAREA IN CAPPADOCIA.

ABOUT the same time, under the seventeenth consulate of Theodosius, Proclus the bishop undertook the performance of an act, for which there was no precedent among the ancient prelates. Firmus bishop of Cæsarea in Cappadocia being dead, the inhabitants of that place came to Constantinople to consult Proclus about the appointment of some one to succeed him. While Proclus was considering whom he should prefer to that see, it so happened that all the senators* came to the church to visit him on the sabbath day; among whom was Thalassius also, who had administered the government of the nations and cities of Illyricum. But notwithstanding the report of his being the person to whom the emperor was about to entrust the government of the Eastern parts, Proclus laid his hands on him, and ordained him bishop of Cæsarea, instead of his being constituted Prætorian Præfect. In such a flourishing condition were the affairs of the church at this time. But I shall here close my history, praying that the churches everywhere, with the cities and nations, may live in peace; for as long as peace continues, those who desire to become historians will find no materials for their purpose. And we ourselves, O holy man of God, Theodore, should have been unable to accomplish in seven books the task we undertook at your request,

* Γερουσίας συγκλητικούς.

had the lovers of seditions chosen to be quiet. This last book contains an account of the transactions of the last thirty-two years: and the whole history which is comprised in seven books, comprehends a period of 140 years. It commences from the first year of the 271st Olympiad, in which Constantine was proclaimed emperor; and ends at the second year of the 305th Olympiad, in which the emperor Theodosius bore his seventeenth consulate.

END OF THE HISTORY OF SOCRATES.

GENERAL INDEX.

	PAGE		PAGE
ABDAS, bishop of Persia, casts a demon out of the king's son . . .	473	Agapetus, a Macedonian bishop, who supplants Theodosius in the see of Synada . . .	466, 467
Abgarus excommunicated . . .	219	Agapius, an Arian bishop of Ephesus . . .	411
Ablabius, an eminent orator, ordained a presbyter, and promoted to the bishopric of the Novatian church at Nice . . .	477	Agatho, a bishop exiled under Constantius . . .	171
Abundantius, a military commander . . .	471	Agelius, bishop of the Novatians, expelled by Valens, 308; his death . . .	383, 396
Acacian sect, declaration of their principles . . .	293, 294	Agilo, a rebel general, hurt to death by Valens . . .	302
Acacius succeeds to the bishopric of Cæsarea, 116; deposed . . .	219	Alamundarus, a Saracen chieftain . . .	488
—— bishop of Amida, ransoms 7000 Persian captives . . .	492	Alaric lays waste Illyricum, pillages Rome, and proclaims Attilus emperor, 474; retreats . . .	475
Acesius, a bishop of the Novatian sect, summoned to a council by Constantine—his remarkable answer respecting "a sin unto death," 52; and the emperor's reply . . .	53	Alexander, a bishop of Egypt . . .	58
Achab, the false accuser of Athanasius, effects his escape . . .	59	—— bishop of Alexandria, his death . . .	61
Achaia, singular custom among the clergy of . . .	405	—— a bishop of Constantinople, opposes Arius, 105; his death . . .	117
Achilles succeeds to the bishopric of Alexandria . . .	7	—— Paphlagon, a Novatian presbyter, death of . . .	205
Acindynus, a consul under Constantine the younger . . .	116	—— succeeds to the episcopate of Antioch . . .	473
Adamantius, a bishop in the reign of Constantine . . .	102	Alexandria, commotion at, 242; Christians persecuted . . .	243
—— a Jew physician of Alexandria . . .	480	Alexandrians, their love of tumult . . .	478
Adelphius, a bishop, exiled under Constantius . . .	171	Altar, looking towards the west in the church of Antioch . . .	405
Adultery, extraordinary punishment of, in Rome . . .	392	Amachius, governor of Phrygia, 266; persecutes Christians . . .	267
Adytum of Mythra, clearance of the . . .	388	Ambrose, a consul, proclaimed Arian bishop, 351, 352; persecuted by Justina . . .	381, 382
Aëtius (surnamed Atheus), a heresiarch, 185; character of his heresy . . .	186, 187	Ammon, a monk, history of . . .	328, 329
		Ammonius, three bishops of this name exiled under Constantius . . .	171
		—— an Egyptian monk,	

	PAGE		PAGE
who mutilated himself to dis- qualify for ordination, 336 ; his reply to Evagrius's cen- sure	337	younger) translate and ex- pound the scriptures, 268 ; question, whether they did good or evil to the cause of truth, considered	268—272
Ammonius, a Pagan grammarian (whose pupil the author of this Ecclesiastical History was) said to be the priest of Simius, i. e. the ape	389	Apollinaristæ, a heretical sect, its origin, 231 ; and character	232
— a monk, enrolled among the martyrs	481	Aratus, the astronomer	271
Anachronism, (see note)	311	Arbathion, a bishop in the reign of Constantine	102
Anagamphus, a bishop, exiled under Constantius	171	Arbation, a consul under the emperor Constantius	185
Anastasia, Novatian church of	206	Arbogastes, a commander under Valentinian junior, with Eu- genius, murders his master, 414 ; commits suicide	416
Ancoratus, a book of sects, written by the bishop of Cy- prus	413	Arcadius, son of Theodosius the great, 354 ; undertakes the go- vernment of the East, 419 ; summons John, a presbyter of Antioch, to Constantinople, 420 ; conciliates Gainas, 428 ; Gainas, having broken his vow, is proclaimed a public enemy, and all the Goths in Constani- tinople are ordered to be put to death—Gainas slain at Thrace, 430 ; a son is born to him, called Theodosius the good, 431 ; refuses to attend the church at the anniversary of the Saviour's birth, on account of the conduct of bishop John, 456 ; whom he again sends into exile, 457 ; his death	462, 463
Ancyro-Galatians, a heretical sect	141	Archelaus, bishop of Cascharum, a zealous opponent of the Ma- nichæan heresy	79
Andragathius, a general under Maximus, assassinated Gra- tian, 381 ; commits suicide	385	Ardaburius invested with the command of the Roman forces against the Persians, 487 ; is made prisoner, and rescued by his son	497, 498
Angels deter the Goths from burning the imperial palace at Constantinople	429	Areobindus, a Roman general of great bravery	489
Anianus appointed bishop of Antioch—apprehended and exiled	219	Arian dissensions, 410—412 ; having lasted thirty-five years, terminated in the reign of Theodosius the younger	411
Anicetus, a bishop of Rome	401	Arianism, its rise and extensive progress, 7, 8 ; its blasphemous character ably exposed by the bishop of Alexandria, 10—14 ;	
Antharic, chief of a division of the Goths, 356 ; persecutes his countrymen who profess Arian Christianity	357		
Anthemius, the Prætorian Præ- fect, acts during the minority of Theodosius junior	464		
Anthropomorphitæ, a religious party so termed, opposed to the Origenists	435		
Antioch, grievous divisions at 258, 259			
Antiochus, bishop of Ptolemais in Phœnicia	441		
Antony, a bishop of the Egyptian desert	76		
— the just, his view of created things	333		
— bishop of Germa, perse- cutes the Macedonians	510		
Anubion, a bishop in the reign of Constantine	102		
Apollinares, (the elder and the			

	PAGE		PAGE
this only aggravates the evil, 14, 15; attempts made to diffuse it on the death of Constantine—it is introduced into the palace of the emperor Constantius, and favoured by the empress	113	Asclepas, bishop of Gaza, expelled, 129; restored to his see by Constantius	159
Arians persecute the Homoousians, 320; are expelled from the churches by Theodosius, 371, 372; excite a tumult at Constantinople, 384; and set fire to the bishop's residence, 385; their meetings and nocturnal singing	436	Asclepiades, bishop of the Novatians, his defence of their views	502, 503
Arius is incited to controvert the unity of the Holy Trinity 7; he and his followers anathematized by the Nicene council, 29; procures his recall by feigning repentance, 61; writes a treatise of his heresy, which is condemned by the Nicene Synod, 39; at Constantinople, obtains an interview with the emperor—feigns assent to the Nicene creed, 86; his recantation jointly with Euzoius, 87, 88; returns to Alexandria—Athanasius refuses to receive him—whereupon he renews his endeavours to propagate his peculiar heresy, 88; is reinstated—excites commotion in the church of Alexandria—is summoned by the emperor to Constantinople, 105; his awful death	107	Asclepiodotus, a Roman consul, under Honorius	497
Arsacius succeeds John in the see of Constantinople, 457; his death	458	Aspar delivers his father, and seizes the usurper John	498
Arsenius, a Meletian bishop, with whose hand Athanasius was falsely accused of necromantic operations, 92; appears before the council of Tyre, where he confounds the accusers and traducers of Athanasius . 94, 95		Asterius, an Arian rhetorician, 103, he is excommunicated	219
— an Egyptian monk	330	Athanasius, king of the Goths, submits to Theodosius—his death	377
Artemius, governor of Egypt, beheaded (see note)	245	Athanasius, a deacon who powerfully opposes Arianism at the council of Nice, 25; succeeds to the bishopric of Alexandria, 61; an incident in childhood secures for him both education and patronage, 61, 62; refuses to reinstate Arius, 81; refuses to receive Arius, 88; is therefore threatened by Constantine, and conspired against, 89; the emperor censures his accusers—they accuse him of treason—the emperor declares him innocent, and dismisses him with honour—course taken by the Eusebian faction to impeach Athanasius, 90—92; hesitates to appear before the council of Tyre, but yields to the emperor's menaces, 93; confounds his perfidious enemies, 94—96; protests against being tried before individuals who were his personal enemies, and withdraws from their jurisdiction, 96; seeks an interview with the emperor—the Synod pass sentence of deposition against him, 97; banished by Constantine, 102; takes up his abode at Treves, in Gaul, 103; recalled and reinstated by Constantine the younger, 114; returns to Alexandria, and is joyfully welcomed—the emperor's mind is again influenced, and he is banished, 115; es-	
Ascholiu, bishop of Thessalonica, baptizes the emp. Theodosius	370		

PAGE	PAGE
capēs, 125 : is accused of p ^{er} secution — is menaced with death, and flies to Rome, 134 ; appeals to the emperor, 135 : demands that a Synod should be convened to take cognizances of his deposition, 145 ; reinstated by the council of Sardica, 146 ; recalled by Constantius, 153 ; repairs to Rome, 155 ; returns to the East, 159 ; is admitted to an interview by Constantius, who endeavours to circumvent him, and is restored to his see, 159 ; proceeds to Jerusalem, 163 ; proposes a council of bishops there—they are accordingly convened by bishop Maximus—the hostility of the Arian party excited by this—he passes to Alexandria—performs ordination on his way, which is made matter of fresh accusation against him, 164 ; further accusations against him—convenes a council of bishops in Egypt—the emperor reverses all that he had granted in his favour, and gives commands to put him to death—escapes by flight, 167 ; his account of the atrocities inflicted upon Christians, by the Arian bishop George, 169—171 ; a council of prelates assemble at Milan to pass sentence against him, but their purpose is defeated, 188 ; attacks the creed proposed at the Synod of Rimini, 193—196 ; restored to the see of Alexandria, 247 ; his apology for his flight, 252—257 ; an edict for his apprehension issued by Julian, 263 ; he again betakes himself to flight and escapes, 265 ; secretly returns to Alexandria, 266 ; is restored to the Alexandrine church after the death of Julian, 291 ; conceals himself four months in his father's tomb—the emperor favours him, 319 ; his influence over Valens, 325 ; his lamented death 326	
Athenais, the Pagan name of the empress Eudoxia 493	
Athenodorus, a bishop, exiled under Constantius 171	
Atticus, a monk of Armenia, is ordained to the see of Constantinople, 459 ; some account of his learning and conduct, 464, 465 ; his benevolence, 500 ; labours to abolish superstitious observances—gives new names to several places, 501 ; protects the Novatians, 502 ; his death 503	
Atys, a Pagan priest, who instituted frantic rites in Phrygia . 289	
Aurelian, a consul under Arcadius 431	
Auxano, a Novatian presbyter, cruelly treated 205	
Auxentius deposed by the Synod of Rimini 196	
Bacurius, a prince among the Iberians 75	
— an officer under Theodosius 415	
Bakehouses in Rome perverted to evil purposes 391, 392	
Baptism, singular rite limit to its celebration in Thessaly, 405 ; of the empress Eudoxia 493	
Barba, successor to the Arian bishop Dorotheus, 469 ; his death 510	
Basil reinstated at Ancyra, 167 ; deposed 223	
— of Cappadocia, his estimate of the grace of God 335	
— bishop of Cæsarea, labours against the Arian heresy, 342 ; promoted to the office of deacon and bishop, 343 ; is threatened with martyrdom—the emperor's wife interferes on his behalf, 344 ; is brought before Valens and is dismissed, 345 ; the friend of Chrysostom . 422	
Basilicus excommunicated 219	
Bassus, a consul under Arcadius 463	

	PAGE		PAGE
Berillus, bishop of Philadelphia, in Arabia	249	Catechumens in the ancient churches	405
Bishop, extraordinary popular election of an Arian	351, 352	Celestinus succeeds Boniface in the see of Rome,—deprives the Novatians of the churches in that city	475
Bishops, the Western, rest on the sufficiency of the Nicene Creed, 144; of the East refuse to confer with those of the West, 145; they withdraw, form a separate council, and anathematize the term <i>consubstantial</i> , 146; thirty exiled and cruelly treated, 171; those at the Synod of Constantinople, 373; the principal, under Gratian and Theodosius—disagreement between, 380; of the East, singular voluntary abstinence of, 405; translation of	518—520	Cerealis, a consul	209
Boniface succeeds Zosimus in the see of Rome	475	Chanters in the ancient churches, how chosen	405
Boy, a Christian, bound to a cross in derision of the Saviour's crucifixion, and scourged to death by the Jews	483	Christian populace of Alexandria commit a most inhuman murder, 482; history, by Philip, a presbyter	504
Briso, a eunuch in the service of Eudoxia	452	Christianity ridiculed, in consequence of the dissensions created by Arianism, 15; its dissemination among the Persians	471—473
British Isles, a Novatian bishop lord lieutenant of the	476	Christians, their dissensions characterised by atrocious outrages, 127; exposed to persecution and torture, 169; real and merely professing ones made manifest by Julian, 263, 264; persecuted under Julian, 266; three, heroically endure cruel tortures, 267; observations of a philosopher as to the differences of judgment among Christians, 355; slaughtered by the Jews at Alexandria, 479; of Persia persecuted, 486; extensive massacre of, at Alexandria	388
Buddas (previously called Terebinthus), his death	77	Chrysanthus succeeds Sisinnius bishop of the Novatians, 470—476; his character and virtues, 477; his death	484
Burgundians, the, embrace Christianity, 509; and rout the Huns	510	Church, its defective history by Eusebius—the present a more complete one, 1; a, built on the holy sepulchre, 64, 65; erected at the cave of Bethlehem, 65; erected by the oak of Mamre—erected at Helopolis, 67; Christian, erected in Iberia, 74, 75; consecration of a, at Jerusalem, 93; of Alexandria, commotions in the, 105; of Dionysius set on fire, 126; of <i>Sophia</i> erected at Constantinople, 133; its consecration, 226; of the No-	
Byzantium enlarged by Constantine, and called Constantinople	62		
Caius, a bishop, exiled under Constantius	171		
Callistus, one of Julian's body guards	279		
Calvary, a temple of Venus erected on its summit by Adrian	50		
Candles lighted, used at prayers in the churches of Achaia, Thessaly, and among the Novatians at Constantinople	406		
Canon concerning the translation of bishops	518		
Carterius, a doctrinist	413		

PAGE	PAGE
<p>vations at Constantinople, removed piece-meal to Sycæ—restored to Constantinople in the same remarkable manner, and called Anastasia, 206; demolition of the Novatian, at Cyzicum, 207; the Antiochian, divided, 228; of St. Thomas the apostle at Edessa, the worshippers therein doomed to martyrdom, but are saved, 323, 324; Antiochian, especial prerogatives reserved to it, 375; rent into rival factions, 376; at Antioch in Syria, its site inverted, 405; extraordinary preservation of a, at Constantinople, from fire 524</p> <p>Churches, dissensions in, 8, 27; two, built at Constantinople by the emperor Constantine, 62; those of Sophia and Irene in one inclosure, 133; separation of the Eastern and Western, 151; disturbance in the, about the term <i>consubstantial</i>, 165; commotions in those of the West, 202; the Scriptures expounded by candle-light in those of Cæsarea, Cappadocia, and the Isle of Cyprus—various ways of praying in—particular discipline among certain . . . 406</p> <p>Clearchus, governor of Constantinople under Valens . . . 306</p> <p>— a consul under Theodosius . . . 383</p> <p>Clergymen, singular abstinence imposed upon, in Thessaly—in the East, singular voluntary abstinence of . . . 405</p> <p>Comet of prodigious magnitude 429</p> <p>Conflict between the Constantinopolitans and the Alexandrians, 453; between the Jews and Christians at Alexandria 478</p> <p>Constans, the youngest son of Constantine, 108; favours Athanasius and Paul, 136; threatens to make war against his brother Constantius . . . 152</p> <p>Constantia, a town in Palestine 68</p>	<p>Constantine, his life written by Eusebius, 1; account of his conversion—proclaimed emperor, 2; initiatory ideas of Christianity—sees a vision, 3; follows its bearing and gains a victory, 4; embraces Christianity, 5; discovers the perfidy of Licinius, 6; sends Hosius to the bishop of Alexandria and Arius, 17; his reverent demeanour toward the bishops at the council of Nice—addresses the council, 25; extract from his life (written by Eusebius), 26, 27; the emperor writes letters against Arius and Eusebius (of Nicomedia) and Theognis—exhorts the Nicomedians to elect another bishop, 51; names Constantinople, <i>New Rome</i>—declares it the seat of the empire—builds two churches therein, viz.—<i>Irene</i> and <i>the Apostles</i>, 62; ornaments the city with heathen spoils—sets up the Delphic tripod, 63; appropriates the nails of the Saviour's cross, 65; abolishes gladiatorial combats, 66; censures Eusebius of Cæsarea, for demolishing the heathen altars, and erects a church there, 67; passes laws against the impure customs of Heliopolis in Phœnicia, 67, 68; demolishes the temple of Venus on Mount Libanus—repels the Pythonic demon from Cilicia—causes an embroidered tent to be made for worship, during the war with Persia, 68; receives an Arian presbyter, and invites Arius to his presence, 85; summons the members of the council of Tyre to <i>New Jerusalem</i>, 99; banishes Athanasius, 102; receives Arius, 106, 107; his happy death, 108, 109; obsequies, 109, 110; his tomb and ashes removed by Mace-</p>

	PAGE		PAGE
donius, 208; his letter on the celebration of the Easter festival	402	the council of Seleucia, by Acacius, 213—215; of the Homocousians	312—314
Constantine, the eldest son of the renowned Constantine, 108; recalls and reinstates Athanasius, 114; again banishes him, 115; invades the dominions of Constans—is slain	116	Creeds, their number enumerated	222
Constantinople, disturbance at, about the choice of a bishop, 117; styled New Rome, 374; surrounded with high walls by Anthemius	464	Cross, the true one discovered by a miraculous test	64, 65
Constantius, father of Constantine, his death	2	Cubricus—see Manes	
— the second son of Constantine, 108; who succeeds his father Constantine and favours an Arian presbyter, 113; ejects the elected bishop of Constantinople, and translates Eusebius of Nicomedia to the office—proceeds to Constantinople to expel bishop Paul, 118; deprives the inhabitants of the aid granted by his father, 128; orders bishop Paul to be expelled by force, 131; summons the Eastern bishops to conference, 153; sustains a check in the war with Persia, 165; proclaimed sole emperor of the East, 166; persecutes those opposed to Arianism, 168, 169; puts Gallus to death, and raises Gallus's brother to the dignity of Cæsar, 185; favours the Arian heresy, 200—202; is baptised by Euzoius, and dies of apoplexy	233	Cynergus, a Roman consul under Theodosius	385
Contest at Antioch concerning Paulinus and Meletius	369	Cyrl installed in the see of Jerusalem, 203; appeals to the emperor against the decision of a Synod, 218; ejected, 224; reinstated, 231; his death	387
Cornelius, a bishop of the Roman church, 347; gives indulgence to those who had committed deadly sin after baptism—consequences of this act	348	— succeeds Theophilus in the see of Alexandria—persecutes and plunders the Novatians, 471; expels the Jews, 478—480; seeks the approval of the Præfect, 480, 481; deposed by John of Antioch—reinstated	516
Courier, a Roman, of extraordinary vigour and celerity	489	Cyrin, bishop of Chalcedon in Bithynia, 450; loses both his feet by amputation	458
Creed, form of a, proposed at		Cyrus, bishop of Bercæa	83
		Dagalaifus, a consul under Valentinian	301
		Dalmatius, a nephew of the emperor Constantine, appointed to investigate the charges against Athanasius, 92; slain	165
		— an ascetic, ordained bishop of Cyzicum	506
		Damasus, bishop of Rome, receives the deposed bishop of Alexandria, 327; excites commotions at Rome	350
		Datian, a consul	209
		Deacon, a, brings scandal upon the Constantinopolitan church	394
		Death, awful, of Arius, 107; happy, of Constantine	108, 109
		Decentius, brother of Magnentius, hangs himself	183
		Decius persecutes the church	347
		Demon, an execrable, 324; cast out of the king of Persia's son	473
		Demophilus succeeds to the See of Constantinople, 319; his death	383

	PAGE		PAGE
Desecration of the altar of the great church . . .	514	in Nicomedia—at Germa in the Hellespont . . .	310
Deserter, a Persian, his false report, and the burning of the provision ships . . .	281	Earthquakes in the East, especially at Antioch, 125; regarded as typical . . .	310
Didymus, a philosophic teacher . . .	336	Easter festival, unanimity of its observance, 46; the author's views respecting, 399: its observance in various countries, 401—410; time not altered by the Nicene Synod, 407; celebration by the Samaritans . . .	408
—— a celebrated blind scholar . . .	340, 341	Eastern bishops disclaim the interference of the See of Rome . . .	130
—— a monk, lived alone until his death at ninety . . .	329	Ecclesiastical History, the author's reasons for revising this work on . . .	111, 112
Dio Cæsarea, destroyed by Gal-lus . . .	184	Ecebolius, the sophist, 235; his hypocrisy . . .	264
Diocletian goes into retirement, 2; his death . . .	4	Edesius visits India—aide in the dissemination of Christianity, 71; appointed bishop of Tyre . . .	72
Diodorus, bishop of Tarsus, invested with the administration of the churches in the East, 375; mentioned . . .	422	Egypt, the church in, agitated about the term <i>consubstantial</i> . . .	81, 82
Dionysius, the consul, summons the council of Tyre . . .	93	Eleusius, bishop of Cyzicum, 204; his cruel persecution of Christians, 207; deposed, 223; professes the Arian creed—repents, and advises his flock to choose another bishop—they refuse Eunomius, appointed to supersede him, 303; his flock erect an edifice for public worship without the city . . .	304
—— bishop of Alba, 188; exiled by Constantius . . .	189	Elpidius, bishop of Satala, ejected . . .	224
Discipline, church, at Cæsarea, among the Novatians, Macedonians, and Quarto-decimani . . .	406	Emisa, a seditious movement in . . .	121
Discorus, a presbyter, exiled . . .	171	Empedocles, a heathen philosopher . . .	76
—— bishop of Hermopolis, zealous defender of Origen, 434; his death and interment . . .	454	Epaphinatus, a sophist . . .	231
Discussion, a general, proposed by Theodosius, 377; between Theophilus bishop of Alexandria and the monks . . .	432, 433	Epimenides, the Cretian initiator . . .	271
Dominica, the wife of Valens, is impressed by visions respecting the holy bishop Basil—intercedes with the emperor on his behalf . . .	344, 345	Epiphanius, bishop of Cyprus, who wrote a book of sects under the title of "Ancoratus," 413; procures the condemnation of Origen's books, 439; comes to Constantinople to condemn Origen's books—performs ordinations there without leave of the bishop, 445; is admonished by him—his death . . .	448, 449
Dorotheus, a presbyter, deposed . . .	219	Epistle of Alexander, bishop of Antioch . . .	
—— appointed to the see of Antioch . . .	359		
—— a bishop of the Arian party, 383; his death . . .	469		
Dracontius, bishop of Pergamos, deposed . . .	224		
Drepanium, called Helenopolis by Constantine . . .	63		
Earthquake at Bithynia, 209; prevents the rebuilding of Solomon's temple, 277; great, at Constantinople, 300; destroys the city of Nice, 309;			

PAGE	PAGE
Alexandria, denouncing the Arian heresy, 8—14; from the Nicean Synod, relative to its decisions, 35—39; from the emperor Constantine to the bishops and people, against the impiety of Porphyry and Arius, 42, 43; to the churches relative to the Easter Festival, 43—47; to Eusebius, and bishops of every province, respecting the building and maintenance of sacred edifices, 47; respecting the preparation of copies of the holy Scriptures, 48; to Macarius respecting the erection of a magnificent church on the site of the holy sepulchre, 49; of Julius, bishop of Rome on behalf of Athanasius, 157—159; from Constantius announcing the restoration of Athanasius, 160; to the laity, 161; respecting the abrogation of all ecclesiastical enactments against Athanasius, 163; to the council of bishops at Rimini, 200; (second) from the Synod of Rimini to the emperor Constantius 201	of Constantinople, 225; his impious jesting, 226; disturbs the church of Alexandria, 318; his death 319
Epistles from Constantius to Athanasius, recalling him from exile 153—155	Eugenius (styled "the tyrant") appointed chief secretary to Valentinian junior, whom he caused to be strangled, and assumed the supreme authority, 414; is defeated by Theodosius, and beheaded . . . 416
Ethiopici (see note) 405	Eunomians, the sect of, 16; dissensions among the 412
Eucharist, the, variously celebrated 404, 405	Eunomieutychians, the followers of Eutychius 413
Eudæmon, a presbyter of the Constantinopolitan church, counsels the abolition of the office of Penitentiary presbyter, 394; Socrates' reply . . 395	Eunomiotheophrontians, the followers of Theophrontius . 413
Eudocia, wife of the emperor Theodosius, Junior, fulfils her vow of going to Jerusalem . 532	Eunomius, head of the sect of Eunomians, 187; appointed to supersede Eleusius in the bishopric of Cyzicum, 303; his heretical principles, 304; seeks an asylum in Constantinople—specimens of his impiety 305
Eudoxia, the empress, endeavours to reconcile the bishops of Constantinople and Gabali, 444; her death 458	Euripides 272
Eudoxius, bishop of Germanicia, 138; installs himself in the see of Antioch, 189, 190; deposed, 219; promoted to the see	Eusebius, surnamed Pamphilus, composes a history of the church, 1; retracts his non-assent to the faith promulgated by the Nicene council, 29; his views of the faith, 29—32; copy of the Nicene creed, 32—35; undertakes to record the eminent doings of the emperor Constantine, 63; denies the accusation of Eustathius and recriminates, 82; refuses the vacant bishopric of Antioch—his admirable conduct commended by the emperor Constantine, 84; his death, 116; a review of his writings 147—151
	—bishop of Berytus, takes possession of the see of Nicomedia, and defends Arianism, 8, 9, 14; defends Arianism before the council of Nice, 24; recalled from exile, 59; copy of his retraction, 59, 60; returns to his heretical course, 80, 81; conspires against Atha-

	PAGE		PAGE
nasius, 89; is translated to the vacant bishopric of Constantinople, 118; sends a deputation to Rome—his death . . .	126	Evagrius, bishop of Mytilene, deposed, 219; elected bishop of Constantinople by the Homoousian party—banished by the emperor . . .	320
Eusebius, chief eunuch of the imperial bed-chamber, introduces Arianism into the palace, 113; put to death by the emperor Julian . . .	240	— a disciple of two Egyptian monks both named Macarius, deacon of Constantinople — titles of the books he wrote— with extracts from his history . . .	332—336
— bishop of Verceil, a city of Liguria, 188; exiled by Constantius, 189; recalled from exile—proceeds to Alexandria, 248; travels through the Eastern provinces to heal the distractions of the church . . .	259	— ordained bishop of Antioch on the death of Paulinus . . .	386
— a consul . . .	210	Faith, the agreement at the Nicene council — number who signed and opposed it, 28; an exposition of, covertly to favour the Arian heresy, 122; a second, 123; an exposition of, drawn up and presented to Constantius by bishops, 130, 137; an elaborate exposition of the, promulgated by a Synod of the Eastern bishops, 138—144; expositions of the, decreed by the Synod of Sirmium . . .	174—180
— Scholasticus, author of "The Gaïnea" . . .	431	Famine, in Phrygia, 322; among the Persian prisoners . . .	492
Eustathius, bishop of Antiochia Magna, 59; accuses Eusebius Pamphilus—deposed, 82; various causes assigned, 83; ordains Evagrius to the see of Constantinople — he is banished by Valens . . .	320	Fasting, days of, at Rome . . .	406
— bishop of Sebastia, deposed for impious practices, 224, 225; heads a deputation to the emperor Valentinian, 311—317; proceeds to Sicily, . . .	317, 318	Fasts, the author's opinion respecting, 403; the various modes of their observance, . . .	403, 404
Eutropius, a presbyter among the Macedonians . . .	413	Felix, an Arian bishop, appointed to the see of Rome—expelled . . .	202
— chief officer of the imperial bed-chamber, under Arcadius — an oration against him, 425; incurs the emperor's displeasure—is decapitated . . .	426	Festival of Easter gives rise to distractions in the church . . .	22
Eutychian, a monk of the Novatian church, 56; miraculous effect attributed to his superior sanctity . . .	57, 58	Fidelis excommunicated . . .	219
Eutychius excommunicated . . .	219	Fire from heaven consumes the tools with which the Jews were about to rebuild Solomon's temple, 277; destruction at Constantinople . . .	524
— a teacher among the Eunomians at Constantinople . . .	412	Flacilla, first wife of Theodosius the great, 354; gives birth to a son, who is named Honorius . . .	383
Euzoius, a deacon, exiled—returns from exile, 86; recants, 87; promoted to the see of Antioch, 227; attempts to depose Peter, in order to instal Lucius, 326; his death . . .	359	Flavian put into the see of Antioch, 376; rejected by the people, 386; his perjury and schism, 387; his death . . .	473

PAGE	PAGE
Franks, the, invade the Roman territories, 125; subdued by the consul Constans . . . 127	brace Christianity, 66; renew their attacks against Constantinople—are repulsed, 355, 356; the christianised, by simple views of truth are led to reject the Arian heresy—become subjects of Valens, 357; they return his clemency by hostile aggressions . . . 358, 359
Fravitus, a Goth, honoured with the office of consul as a reward for his fidelity . . . 431	Grata, daughter of Valentinian the elder . . . 354
Fritigernes, chief of a division of the Goths . . . 356	Gratian, a consul under the emperor Valentinian . . . 301
Frumentius visits India—aids in the dissemination of Christianity, 70; appointed bishop of India . . . 71	—— the emperor, grants freedom to all sects—creates Theodosius his colleague, 366, 367; assassinated by Maximus . . . 381
Gaiinas, the Goth, commander-in-chief of the Roman army—seeks to usurp supreme authority—is met by Arcadius—they vow fidelity—violates his vow, 427, 428; excites a tumult—is slain . . . 430, 431	Gregory designated bishop of Alexandria, 121; his installation indignantly resented by the people, 125, 126; ejected from the see of Alexandria . . . 128
"Gainea," a book written by Eusebius Scholasticus . . . 431	—— of Nazianzen, his sketch of the emperor Julian, 284—286; opposes the Arian heresy, 342—345; translated to the see of Constantinople, 370; refuses to continue in the see of Constantinople . . . 371
Gaius deposed by the Synod of Rimini . . . 196	—— the just, his four virtues and their province . . . 335
Galerius, the surname of Maximian . . . 2	—— brother of Basil, bishop of Cæsarea . . . 345
Galla, second wife of Theodosius the great . . . 354	—— Thaumaturgus, a disciple of Origen, celebrated for his knowledge of Divine truth . . . 345, 346
Gallus, kinsman of Constantius, invested with the sovereignty of Syria, 172; attempts innovations, 184; Constantius incensed at his conduct, causes him to be slain . . . 184, 185	—— three of the name . . . 346
George, bishop of Laodicea, 83; inducted into the see of Alexandria by the Arians, 128; commits horrible atrocities, 170, 171; deposed, 219; burnt by Pagans, 243; his death resented by the emperor Julian, 244—247	Hail of prodigious size viewed as indicative of God's displeasure . . . 309, 458
—— a learned Arian presbyter . . . 469	Heathen temples in Alexandria demolished . . . 388, 389
Germinius deposed by the Synod of Rimini . . . 196	Helen, mother of Constantine, erects a magnificent church on the site of the holy sepulchre, 63—65; also at Bethlehem, and at Mount Ascension—her death . . . 66
"Gnostic," title of a book written by Evagrius . . . 334	Heliodorus, a bishop of Trica, in Thessaly . . . 405
Gnostic, a monk, views of . . . 334	
Gomarius, a rebel general, put to death by Valens . . . 302	
Goths invade the Roman territory, and, being defeated, em-	

PAGE	PAGE
Helion, a Roman, negotiates with the Persians, 490; conveys the imperial crown to Valentinian	500
Helladius, a Pagan grammarian of Alexandria, priest of Jupiter, killed nine Christians with his own hand—flies under the dread of retributive justice	389
Heracledes, a Cyprian, elected bishop of Ephesus	442
Heraclius, a priest of Hercules, at Tyre, made deacon	223
Herculus, the surname of Maximian	2
Hermes, a bishop, exiled under Constantius	171
Hermogenes, a general under Constantius	127
Herrenius succeeds Cyril as bishop of Jerusalem	231
Hierax, a presbyter, exiled under Constantine	171
—— a literary teacher at Alexandria	479
Hieroglyphics, remarkable, found on demolishing the temple of Serapis at Alexandria	390
Hilary, bishop of Poitiers, 259; confutes the Arian tenets	260
Himerius, of Athens, a celebrated sophist	342
Hippodrome games	416
<i>Homoiouios</i> , explanation of the term (see note)	228
Homoeousian faith publicly adopted by Jovian, 291; creed set forth	312—314
Homoeousians defend consubstantiality, 132; persecuted by the Arians, 320—322, 327; Valens relaxes his persecution against them, 359; they regain possession of the churches	372
Honoratus, first prefect of Constantinople	220
Honorius, son of Theodosius the great, 354; his birth, 383; assumes the government of the West, 419; his death	497
Hosius, bishop of Cordova, 58; attends the Synod of Sir- mum, 173; compelled to compliance with its views	182
Hostilities between the Romans and the Persians renewed	486
Huns, the, vanquish the Goths, 357; invade and devastate the territory of the Burgundians	509
Hymns, responsive, nightly sung by the Homoeousians—origin of the custom	437
Hypatia, a female philosopher of Alexandria, horribly mutilated and murdered	482
Hypatian, bishop of Heraclea	173
Hypatius, a consul	210
<i>Hypostasis</i> , on the application of the word, 250; various interpretations thereof	250—252
Iberians, conversion of, to Christianity	72—75
Ignatius, third bishop of Antioch, introduces the singing of hymns by night	437
" <i>Immortals</i> ," certain Persian troops so called, routed	491
Impostor, miraculous detection of a Jewish, 485; a Jewish, causes a great sacrifice of life	523
India, introduction and dissemination of Christianity in, during the reign of Constantine	69—72
Interment, magnificent, of Constantine at Constantinople, 109, 110; of the emperor Theodosius	419
Irenæus the grammarian	251
—— bishop of Lyons	401
Ischyrras, of Mareotes, an infamous character, malignus Athanasius	91
Isdigerdes, king of Persia, converted to Christianity, 471, 472; his death	473
Isidore, an Egyptian monk and professed perfectionist	330
—— presbyter of Alexandria, opposes the ordination of John	420
Jews of Dio Cæsarea revolt, 184; favoured by Julian, who	

	PAGE
assists them to rebuild Solomon's temple, 276; they are miraculously hindered, 277; expelled from Alexandria, 479, 480; horrible impiety of, at Immestar	483
Johannites, the adherents of John of Constantinople, so called	457
John succeeds to the see of Jerusalem	387
— presbyter of Antioch, elevated to the see of Constantinople—his birth, education, writings, &c., 421—423; renders himself odious to his clergy, 424; draws upon himself the hostility of persons of rank and influence, 425; admonishes Epiphanius for his uncanonical proceedings, 448, 449; incurs the emperor's displeasure—is deposed, 449; exiled by the emperor, 451; the emperor recalls and reinstates him, 452, 453; anew provokes the empress—is brought before a Synod and deposed—the emperor banishes him, 455—457; his death and character, 459; his remains transferred to the church of <i>The Apostles</i>	530
— secretary to Theodosius junior, seizes the sovereign authority, 497; put to death	498, 499
— bishop of Antioch, deposes Cyril—they however become reconciled	516
Jovian proclaimed emperor, 280; close of the Persian war, 281; publicly adheres to the Homocousian faith—shuts up the Pagan temples, and abolishes human sacrifices, 291; proclaims general tolerance, 294, 295; is declared consul at Antioch—his sudden death	295
— a consul under Valens	309
Julian proclaimed emperor, 234; his education, 235; is married to the emperor's sister, Helen	

—a civic crown falls upon his head, 237; takes the barbarians' king prisoner—acts independently of Constantius, 238; throws off Christianity—excites a civil war against Constantius—makes a public entry into Constantinople—recalls the exiled bishops—commands the Pagan temples to be opened, 240; enforces economy in the household—reforms the mode of travelling—patronises literature and philosophy, and writes against Christians, 241; resents the murder of Bishop George of Alexandria, 243; writes to the citizens of Alexandria on the subject, 244; recalls Bishops Lucifer and Eusebius from exile, 248; becomes hostile to Christians, 261; favours Pagan superstitions—is rebuked by the blind bishop of Chalcedon (Maris), 262; excludes Christians from literary instruction to disable them for argument—interdicts their holding official places—endeavours to bribe their compliance, 263; goes to war with the Persians, and extorts money from the Christians, 264; seeks to apprehend Athanasius, 265; mocks the Christians, 266; accelerates his operations against the Persians, 272; oppresses the trade of Antioch, and obtains the cognomen of "Bull-burner," 273; opens the Pagan temples at Antioch—endeavours to obtain an oracle from Apollo Daphnæus, but fails, 274; commands the præfect to punish the Christians—receives and abruptly dismisses the Persian ambassadors—orders the Jews to rebuild the temple of Solomon at the expense of the public treasury, 276; this object

PAGE	PAGE
defeated by earthquakes, &c. 277; he invades the Persian territories, 278; believes that he is a second Alexander, and refuses to wear armour—is mortally wounded, 279; the Pagans lament his death—Libanius composes his funeral oration 281	members of the council of Tyre, 91—101; (the younger) recalling Athanasius, 114, 115; from Constans to his brother Constantine, 152; from Julian to the citizens of Alexandria, 244—247; from Liberius, bishop of Rome, to the bishops of the Macedonians 314—317
Julius, bishop of Rome, declines appearing at the Synod at Antioch, 119; affords Athanasius a refuge, 134; vindicates the privileges of the Romish see, 135; his death 185	Libanius, the Syrian sophist, 235; composes a funeral oration for the emperor Julian, 281; refutation of it 282—291
Justa, daughter of Valentinian the elder 354	—— a rhetorician of Antioch 342
Justina becomes the wife of Valentinian the elder, 353, 334; persecutes and banishes Ambrose, bishop of Milan 381	Liberius elevated to the see of Rome, 185; exiled, and reinstated, 202; receives a deputation of bishops, 311—314; dismisses them 314—317
Justus, father of Justina, his remarkable dream, 353; for which the emperor causes him to be assassinated 354	Licinius, a Dacian, is appointed successor to Maximian Galerius, 2; deceives Constantine by his craft, 5; his death 6
King, the, of Iberia, exhorted by a captive maid to acknowledge the true God—is converted and preaches the gospel 73, 74	Loaves of benediction (see note) 477
Lampsacus, Synod assembles at—its position 301	Lollian, a consul under Constantius 185
Lauricius, a military commander under Constantius 210	Lucifer, bishop of Cagliari, appointed to the see of Antioch, 248; he constitutes Paulinus their bishop, and departs, 249; goes to Antioch, 258; his adherents become a sect—he leaves them, and returns to Sardinia 259
Leonas, an officer of distinction, 210; summarily dissolves the Synod of Seleucia 217	Lucius, bishop of Adrianople, expelled and restored, 129; dies in prison 167
Leontius, bishop of Antioch, 168; his death 189	—— an Arian, ordained to the see of Alexandria, 247; installed in the episcopal chair of Alexandria, 326; attacks Egyptian monasteries, 338; expelled from the see of Constantinople 361
—— of Tripolis in Lydia, deposed 219	<i>Ludi Circenses</i> , games (see note) 173
—— bishop of the Novatian church at Rome 386	Lupicin, a consul in the reign of Valens 309
Letter from Constantine to Bishop Alexander and Arius, 17—22; addressed by Eusebius Pamphilus to the Christians at Cæsarea, 30—35; from Constantine to the church of the Alexandrians, 39—41; to Arius, 86; summoning the	Macarius, the presbyter, conducted in chains to the council of Tyre 93

PAGE	PAGE
Macarius, two Egyptian monks of this name—account of each 331, 332, 339	to his see by Constantius, 159; again ejected . . . 167
Macedonia, singular custom a- mong the clergy of . . . 405	Marcellus, a consul under Con- stantius . . . 119
Macedonians, the, petition the emperor Jovian, 292; the names of their bishops enu- merated, 314; determinately adhere to the Arian heresy, 374; persecuted by the bi- shops of Constantinople and Germa . . . 510	Marcian, a pious and eloquent presbyter of the Novatian sect, 308; bishop of the Novatian church at Constantinople, 396; his death . . . 419
Macedonius, head of a sect . . 16	—Macedonian bishop of Lampsacus . . . 373
—a deacon of the church in Constantinople, 117; elected bishop of Constanti- nople, 126; installed in the see of Constantinople, 132; massacre on this occasion, 133; persecutes the Chris- tians, 168, 169; excites tu- mults—desolates the churches, 204—208; he becomes odious, 208, 209; deposed, 223; con- spires to excite commotions . 228	—bishop of the Nova- tians in Scythia, succeeds Paul . . . 532
—a Christian, who en- dured a cruel martyrdom . . 267	Mardonius, a eunuch . . . 235
Magi, the, attempt to deceive Isdigerdes . . . 472	Marinus succeeds Bishop De- mophilus . . . 383, 411
Magnentius slays Constans, 165; becomes master of Rome, 182; is defeated—commits suicide . 183	Maris, bishop of Chalcedon, de- fends Arianism, 24; conspires against Athanasius . . 89, 136
Magnus excommunicated . . 219	Mark, a Syrian, bishop under Constantius, 136; exiled . . 171
Maid, a captive, is instrumental to the conversion of the king and queen of Iberia, 73, 74; performs a miracle . . . 74	—(a second bishop of the name) exiled under Constan- tius . . . 171
Mancipes, their office . . . 392	Marriage of Valentinian with the daughter of Theodosius junior . . . 529
Manes, born a slave, enfran- chised and educated, 77, 78; king of Persia puts him to a cruel death . . . 79	Martyrdom, cruel, of eighty pious ecclesiastics . . . 321
Mantiniun, inhabitants of, de- feat the troops of Macedo- nius . . . 207	Maruthas, bishop of Mesopo- tamia, 450; goes on a mission to the king of Persia, 471; is permitted to erect churches and diffuse Christianity . 472, 473
Marathonius, bishop of Nico- media . . . 204, 228	Massacre at the installation of Macedonius at Antioch . . 133
Marcellus, bishop of Ancyra, deposed, 103; is restored, 104; expelled, and restored, 129; reinstated by the coun- cil of Sardica, 146; restored	Mavia, queen of the Saracens, heads a revolt against the Ro- mans—offers to close the war on certain conditions, 359; the Roman generals consent —gives her daughter in mar- riage to Victor, commander- in-chief of the Roman army, 359, 360; enables the inha- bitants of Constantinople to repulse the Goths . . . 366
	Maxentius raised to sovereign power by the Prætorian sol- diers, 2; his atrocious acts . . 3
	Maximian, surnamed Herculus, lays aside the imperial dig-

	PAGE		PAGE
nity—attempts to regain it— dies at Tarsus	2	wrought through Christian baptism, 467; ascribed to Sil- vanus, bishop of Troas	521
Maximian, surnamed Galerius, chief in the imperial sway— his death	2	Miraculous healing of a child by a captive maid	72, 73
——— a monk, succeeds Nea- torius in the see of Constan- tinople—his death	525	Mithra, murderous rites in the temple of, unveiled	242, 243
Maximin, a governor of Rome	350	Modestus, the Præfect, burns eighty pious ecclesiastics in a ship	321
——— assessor in the Roman armies—accompanies Helion to Persia, 490; is imprisoned —is released and concludes a treaty of peace	491	Monasteries of Egypt, brief ac- count of, 328—337; assailed by a military force—horrible excesses committed	338
Maximus, bishop of Jerusalem, 119, 163; ejected	203	Money-changers (see note on this expression)	271
——— (of Ephesus) put to death as a practiser of magic	236	Monks of Egypt, their remark- able lives, 328—337; their suf- ferings, and Christian endur- ance	338, 339
——— the Novatian bishop of Nice	349	——— surnamed <i>the Long</i> , of Alexandria	433
——— of Britain, causes Gra- tian to be assassinated, 381; is admitted by Valentinian the younger as his colleague in imperial power, 382; The- odosius puts him to death	385	Moses, a Saracen and monk, is, at the instance of Queen Ma- via, ordained bishop of the Saracens	359, 360
Meletius (or Melitius) bishop of Sebastia, translated to Be- roæa—thence to Antioch—sent into exile by Constantius, 227; recalled by Jovian, 291; ex- pelled by Valens, 299; his death	376	Names, many persons change their, to avoid death from sus- picion	325
Melitians, their origin, they unite with the Arians	15, 16	Narcissus, a Cilician bishop under Constantius	136
Melitius, bishop of Alexandria, deposed—becomes head of the sect called Meletians, 15; re- stored to communion by the Nicean council	39	Narsæus, a Persian general, who commanded his country's forces against the Romans	487
Memnon, a bishop of Ephesus	516	Necromancy, practice of	324, 325
Mendemus suffers martyrdom	321	Nectarius elected to the episco- pate of Constantinople, 374; consulted by the Emperor The- odosius as to the points of difference between the various sects	377
Merobandes, a consul under Gratian	382	Neonas, bishop of Seleucia, e- jected	224
Meropius, a Tyrian philosopher, murdered	70	Nepotian assumes the sove- reignty of Rome—he is slain	166
Methodius, bishop of Olympus in Lycia, author of "Xenon"	447	Nestorius succeeds Sisinnius in the episcopate of Constanti- nople, 507; excites a tumult —persecutes the Novatians— Quarto-decimani unto death, 508; prevails on the emperor to deprive the Macedonians	
Metrodorus, a philosopher	70		
Metrophanes, a bishop of Con- stantinople	105		
Milan, tumult at	351, 352		
Miracle, a, said to have been			

	PAGE		PAGE
of their churches, 510; is e- jected, 511; deposed, and ban- ished to Oasis	516	<i>Ousia</i> , on the application of the term, 250; views of different theologians respecting the term	250—252
Nice, council of, summoned by Constantine—Eusebius Pam- philus's account of it, 23; names of bishops present at the council of, 58, 59; period of the assembling of the coun- cil of	59	Pagan mysteries and obscene rites exposed	388
Nicene Synod, did not alter the time of celebration of the Easter Festival	407	Palladius, governor of Egypt un- der the Emperor Valens	326
Nicocles, the Lacedemonian	235	— a monk and disciple of Evagrius	337
Nigrinian, a consul	166	— a Roman courier of celerity	489
Nilammon, a bishop, exiled un- der Constantius	171	Pambos, an Egyptian monk 330, 331	
Nile, superstitious views of its periodical overflowings	66	Pancratius, bishop of Pelusium	173
Novatians, the, (a sect in Phry- gia and Paphlagonia) change the day for celebrating the feast of Easter, 347; exclude from communion those that have twice married (see note), 406; persecuted at Rome— treated with Christian regard at Constantinople	475, 476	Paphnutius, bishop of Upper Thebes, 24; honoured by the emperor for the truth's sake, 53; opposes an austere law	54
Novatus (see note on his name), 347; a presbyter of the Ro- man church who seceded from it, 347, 348; suffers martyr- dom	349	Parembolus, a gnostic monk	334
Oak of Mamre	66	Patropassians, an heretical sect	142
— the, a place in Bithynia where a Synod was held in the reign of Arcadius	450	Patrophilus deposed	219
Optar, king of the Huns, his death	509	Paul, bishop of Tyre	94
Optatus, a Pagan Præfect of Constantinople, under Arca- dian	457	— a presbyter, is elected to the bishopric of Constanti- nople, 117; ejected by Con- stantius, 118; reinstated in the bishopric of Constanti- nople, 126, 145, 146, 153, 159; strangled, 167; his body ho- nourably interred by Theodo- sius	375
Oracle, metrical	306	— bishop of Antioch, repelled by Constantius, 131; goes to Thessalonica	132
Oratory erected in Iberia	74	— a monk, succeeds the No- vatian bishop Chrysanthus, 484; his eminent character, 485; his death, 530; his last act	531
Orestes, a Præfect of Alexan- dria, under Theodosius jun., 478; opposes the bishop of that city, 480; is assailed by the monks	481	Paulinus, bishop of Treves, 188; exiled by Constantius	189
Origenists, a religious party so termed, opposed to the "An- thropomorphitæ"	435	— bishop of Antioch, his death	386
		Pazum, a village where a Nova- tian Synod was held	349
		Pelagius, bishop of Laodicea, is invested with the administra- tion of the churches in the East	375
		Penitentiary presbyter, office of, abolished, and cause where- fore	393, 394

	PAGE		PAGE
Period of the death of Constantine, 110; comprised in the whole of this history . . .	534	<i>Pneumaticomachi</i> , explanation of this term, &c. . .	229, 230
Persia, propagation of Christianity in . . .	471	Polycarp, bishop of Smyrna, who suffered martyrdom under Gordian . . .	401
Persian ambassadors received and dismissed by Julian . . .	275	Porphyry, a licentious railer against the truth, 42; surnamed "the Tyrian old man," 283; his "History of Philosophers" . . .	284
Persians, signal defeat of, by the Romans . . .	491	— succeeds to the episcopate of Antioch . . .	473
Peter, bishop of Alexandria, his martyrdom . . .	7	Præfect, a, struck by the emperor Valens . . .	323
— succeeds Athanasius as bishop of Alexandria—is deposed and imprisoned, 326; escapes to Rome, 327; returns from Rome to Antioch—his death . . .	361	Prayer, the power of, signally exemplified . . .	415, 498, 524
— a monk, brother of Basil, bishop of Cæsarea . . .	345	Prayers, variously performed in different churches, 406; with lighted candles in Achaia, Thessaly, and among the Novatians at Constantinople . . .	406
— an arch-presbyter of the Alexandrian church . . .	438	Preface to book V.—apology for blending ecclesiastical and civil matters, 364, 365; to book VI. . .	417
Petirus, a learned Egyptian monk, who gave scientific lectures commencing with prayer . . .	331	Probinus, a consul under Constantius . . .	119
Pharmaceus, a port in the Euxine sea . . .	501	Probus, a consul, has the chief administration of affairs in Italy during the minority of Valentinian the younger, 381; leaves Italy and retires to Thessalonica . . .	382
Philip, a Prætorian Præfect under Constantius, 131; entraps bishop Paul . . .	132	Proclus, a consul under Constantine the younger . . .	116
— a consul under Arcadius . . .	463	— a presbyter, 504; ordained bishop of Cyzicum, 506; translated to the See of Constantinople, 525; his virtues, 526; preaches a sermon on Ezekiel's prophecy, 528; conciliates those who had seceded from the church, 529, 530; makes an unprecedented ecclesiastical appointment . . .	533
— a learned presbyter—notice of his "Christian History," and literary labours . . .	505	Procopius, a tyrant of Constantinople, meditates a usurpation of the imperial throne, 300; marches an army against Valens—is defeated and put to a horrid death . . .	302
Philo, a bishop, exiled under Constantius . . .	171	— a Roman general, held a command against the Persians . . .	491
Phœbus excommunicated . . .	219		
Photinus, bishop of the churches in Illyricum, introduces the Arian heresy in his district, 137; deposed, 173; and afterwards exiled . . .	181		
Pio, an Egyptian monk . . .	330		
Placidia, daughter of the Emperor Theodosius . . .	354		
"Placidian," an imperial mansion . . .	450		
Placitus (otherwise Flaccillus) bishop of Antioch . . .	119		
Plintha, consul and commander-in-chief under Theodosius the younger . . .	412		
Pliny, a bishop, exiled under Constantius . . .	171		

	PAGE		PAGE
Prohæresius, a celebrated sophist of Athens	342	he would never accept a bishopric—disregards his oath and is constituted bishop of his followers, 398, 399; holds schismatic meetings, 468, 469; procures his own ordination to episcopal office, 477; his remains secretly removed from his tomb to a private sepulchre	501
Protopogenes, bishop of Sardica	145	Sabbatius succeeds the Arian bishop Barba	510
Psathyrians, a title given to one of the Arian sections	411	Sabellius, the Lybian, head of a heretical sect	147
Psenosiris, a bishop, exiled under Constantius	171	Sabinus, the Macedonian bishop of Heraclea, speaks contemptuously of the council of Nice, but praises Constantine, 27; the gross partiality of his "Collection of Synodical Transactions" 130, 131, 135, 327	
Ptolemy Philadelphus, by whose command the Septuagint was produced (see note)	495	Sallust, a Prætorian Præfect under Julian	275
Quarto-decimani, the, excommunicated (see note), 401; observance of Easter, 402; and discipline, 406; persecuted unto death, by Nestorius, bishop of Constantinople	508	Sangarum, a commercial town near Helenopolis, where a Novatian Synod was held	397
Queen, the, of Iberia, converted through the instrumentality of a captive maid, and preaches the gospel	73, 74	Saracens revolt against the Romans—peace concluded on certain conditions	359, 360
Readers in the ancient churches, how chosen	405	Sarmatians invade the Roman territory—are defeated, and embrace Christianity	66
Richomeres, a consul of the Roman empire under Theodosius	383	Saturnius, a consul of the Roman empire under Gratian	382
Rings made use of by the Jews of Alexandria in a conspiracy against the Christians	479	Scriptures translated into the language of the Goths by their bishop Ulfilas	356
Rites, murderous Pagan, at Athens and Alexandria, 265; and ceremonies, their diversity among the churches accounted for	406, 407	Scythian, the name of a Saracen who corrupted the truth	77
Roman empire invaded by Maximus from the island of Britain	381	Sebastian, a Manichæan officer	170
Rome taken and sacked by barbarians	474	Seditious movements in Antioch on account of the deposition of Bishop Eustathius	83
Rougas, chief of the barbarians who invaded Rome under Theodosius jun., struck dead	528	Selenas, a bishop of the Goths	411
Rufinus, a presbyter, 56; author of an "Ecclesiastical History"	111, 275	Serapion, angel of the church of Thmuitæ	335
— the Prætorian Præfect, slain by the soldiery	419	— a deacon of Constantinople, 424; his acts, 443; is ordained bishop of Heraclea in Thrace	454
Rusticula, a Novatian bishop	475	Serapis, temple of, destroyed, 388; singular hieroglyphics found there	390, 391
Sabbatius, a converted Jew, ordained a presbyter, 397; pledged himself by oath that		Sergius, a consul	166

PAGE	PAGE
Severa, wife of Valentinian the elder	353, 354
Severian, bishop of Gabali in Syria, 441; his extraordinary rebuke of Serapion	443
Severus Cæsar is sent to Rome to seize the Emperor Maxentius	2
Side, birthplace of Troilus the sophist	504
Silvanus, a tyrant of Gaul, defeated by Constantius	183, 184
— an ascetic, ordained bishop of Philippopolis, 520; translated to Troas—performs a miracle, 521; his equitable administration	522
Siricius succeeds to the episcopate of Antioch	474
Sisinnius, reader to Agelius bishop of the Novatians, his suggestion, 377; ordained bishop of the Novatian church at Constantinople, 396; succeeds Marcian in the Novatian episcopate, 419; his erudition, eloquence, and grace of person—some examples of his aptness of repartee, 460—462; his death, 470; succeeded by Chrysanthus	476
— succeeds Atticus as bishop of Constantinople, 504; ordains Proclus to the see of Cyzicum—his death	506
Sistra, places of prostitution in Rome	393
Snow storm, violent, and singular issue of	496
Socrates's (Scholasticus) observation on the abolition of the office of penitentiary presbyter, 395; views respecting the celebration of Easter-day, baptism, fasting, marriage, the eucharist, and other ecclesiastical rites, 399; defence of Origen, 446, 447; exposition of the anachronisms of Philip's "Christian History," 505; opinion on the translation of bishops	518—520
Sophronius, bishop of Pompeiopolis, in Paphlagonia, declaration of, before the Synod of Seleucia, 215; deposed	224
Soucias, a mountain made the boundary between the Eastern and Western churches	151
Spyridon, bishop of Cyprus—two remarkable things related of him	55, 56
Stenography used to record the proceedings and sermons of the fathers	181, 210, 425
Stilicho, a consul under the Emperor Arcadius	431
Supernatural appearance in Judea on attempting to rebuild Solomon's temple	277
Superscription, Pilate's, discovered	64
Symmachus, a Roman senator, clemency of Theodosius towards	386
Synod at Antioch, 82; at Tyre, 93; at Antioch, 118, 119; of Antioch, 122—124; of the Eastern bishops, 138—144; at Sardica, 144—146; at Sirmium, 173—181; at Rome, 185; of Milan, 188; of Nicomedia, 189; at Rimini, 189—202; of the Ursacian faction at Nice in Thrace, 203; of Seleucia (surnamed Aspera), 209—217; at Constantinople, 220—226; at Alexandria by Bishops Eusebius and Athanasius, 249—258; of bishops of the Acacian sect, at Antioch, 292—294; at Lampascus, 301; of Sicilian bishops, 317; of the Novatians held at Pazum, 349; of Constantinople, 373—375; of Novatian bishops, 398; at Chalcedon in Bithynia, 450; at Ephesus	515, 516
Synods, provincial, the assembling of, authorised by the council of Constantinople	375
Syrian, a military commander	125
Tabernacle, an embroidered	68
Tatian, a Christian, endured martyrdom	267

	PAGE		PAGE
Thalassius ordained bishop of Cæsarea	533	norius—dies, 416 ; his funeral obsequies	419
Themistius, a philosopher, 294 ; records Jovian's religious tolerance—pronounces the consular oration before him at Antioch, 295 ; induces Valens to relax the severity of his persecution	355	Theodosius jun. succeeds the Emperor Arcadius, 463 ; his pre-eminent character, 494—497 ; proclaims his cousin Constantius emperor of the West, 499 ; convenes a Synod at Ephesus, 515 ; his deserved eulogium	527
Theoctistus, head of an Arian sect	411	— bishop of Synada, in Phrygia Pacata, persecutes the Macedonians, 465 ; loses his see	466, 467
"Theod," persons whose names commence with these five letters, such as Theodore, Theodotus, &c., suspected of necromancy by Valens, are put to death	325	Theodulus, bishop of Chæretapi in Phrygia, deposed	219
Theodore, a Thracian, bishop under Constantine	136	— a Christian, who was cruelly martyred	267
— a young Christian, cruelly tortured by Julian	275	Theognis, bishop of Nice, defends Arianism, 24 ; recalled from exile, 59 ; copy of his retraction, 59, 60 ; abuses the emperor's clemency, 80 ; conspires against Athanasius	89
— a pious clerical individual, put to death	321	Theon, a philosopher of Alexandria	482
Theodosiolus put to death by the Emperor Valens	325	Theopemptus, a Novatian bishop	471
Theodosius, bishop of Philadelphia in Lydia, deposed	219	Theophilus succeeds Timothy at Alexandria, 383 ; induces Theodosius to demolish the temples, 389 ; his acts, 438 ; flies from Constantinople, 454 ; his death	470
— (a noble Spaniard) elevated to share imperial power, 367 ; is baptized by the bishop of Thessalonica, 370, 371 ; convenes a Synod, 376 ; the Goths submit to him—proclaims his son Arcadius Augustus, 377 ; secures to the Novatians the privileges enjoyed by other sects, 380 ; opposes the tyrant Maximus, 382 ; gains the victory—returns in triumph, 385 ; his remarkable clemency towards the senator Symmachus, 386 ; demolishes the heathen temples in Alexandria, 388 ; confers great benefits on Rome, 391 ; reforms some infamous abuses, 392 ; leaves Valentinian at Rome, and returns to Constantinople, 393 ; gives freedom to heretics, 395 ; favours the Novatians, 396 ; defeats the regicide Eugenius, 415 ; sends for his son Ho-		Theophronius, a Cappadocian and head of a sect	412
		Theotinus, bishop of Scythia, defends Origen	445, 446
		Theotocos, disquisition on the term	511—514
		Therapeia, a port in the Euxine sea, called Pharmaceus	501
		Thessalonica, singular custom among the clergy of	405
		Thumuis, a bishop, exiled under Constantius	171
		Timothy succeeds Peter at Alexandria, 361 ; his death	383
		— a learned Arian presbyter	469
		Torture, horrible, inflicted upon Christian women	205
		Transactions comprised in the last book	534

	PAGE		PAGE
Troilus, a sophist of prudence and judgment	464	297; favours the orthodox, 298; goes to the West, 311; abstains from interfering with any sect, 350; his territory invaded—ruptures a blood-vessel and dies	352, 353
Tumult at Ancyra	160	Valentinian junior proclaimed emperor, 353; Probus, consul during his minority, 381; compelled to admit Maximus as his colleague—Theodosius helps him to resist the tyrant, 383; triumphal entry into Rome, 385; strangled . . .	414
Tyre, the council of, 97; summoned by the emperor . .	98	Vararanes succeeds to the throne of Persia, 473; persecutes the Christians—provokes the Romans, 486; imprisons the Roman embassy—is routed, and compelled to make peace . .	491
Ulphilas bishop of the Goths .	223	Vetranio, a tyrant, 166; proclaimed emperor	172
— translates the Scriptures .	356	Vicennalia, celebration of Constantinople's	62
Unity between the Catholics and Novatians	206, 207	Vicentius, a presbyter of Rome .	58
Uranus, of Tyre, deposed . .	219	Victor, a bishop of Rome . . .	401
Urbanus suffers martyrdom . .	321	Virgins, torture of Christian	170, 171
Ursacius conspires against Athanasius, 89; recants, 126; deposed	196	Vitian, a Roman general . . .	489
Ursinus, a deacon of Rome . .	350	Vito, a presbyter of Rome . . .	58
Valens, bishop of Mursa, conspires against Athanasius, 89; recants, 126, 164; deposed .	196	Will of Constantine	109
— raised to share the imperial dignity, 297; favours the Arians, 298; resides at Constantinople—is intolerant and cruel, 299; orders the walls of Chalcedon to be destroyed, 304; uses the stones for public baths, 306; further persecution, 308; leaves Constantinople for Antioch, 319; banishes Bishops Eustathius and Evagrius, 320—322; dooms an entire congregation to slaughter, 323; continued intolerance, 327, 338; permits the Goths to become his subjects, 358; departs from Antioch, 360; arrives at Constantinople, 361; his subjects murmur—routs the Goths—is slain	362	Woman, a poor, preserves a congregation from martyrdom, 323; confession of a, of noble family	394
Valentinian declared emperor—makes Valens his colleague,		Women, tortures inflicted upon Christian	205
		"Xenon," a dialogue by Methodius, bishop of Olympus .	447
		Zosimus succeeds Innocent in the see of Rome	475

SAMUEL BAGSTER AND SONS,
PATERNOSTER ROW,
LONDON.

A NEW AND IMPORTANT EDITION OF
THE NEW TESTAMENT SCRIPTURES,

ENTITLED
THE ENGLISH HEXAPLA;

EXHIBITING

THE SIX IMPORTANT ENGLISH TRANSLATIONS—

WICLIF . . . 1380	CRANMER . . . 1539	ANGLO-RHEMISH . . 1582
TYNDALE . . . 1534	GENEVAN . . . 1557	AUTHORISED . . . 1611

THE ORIGINAL GREEK TEXT AFTER SCHOLZ,

With the various Readings of the Textus Receptus and the principal Constantinopolitan and Alexandrine Manuscripts, and a complete Collation of Scholz's Text with Griesbach's Edition of M.DCCC.V.;

In Parallel Columns. The whole presented to the eye at one view.

PRECEDED BY AN HISTORICAL ACCOUNT OF THE ENGLISH TRANSLATIONS
AND TRANSLATORS.

"Sure I am, that there commeth more knowledge and vnderstandinge of the scripture by theyr sondrie translaycons, then by all the gloses of oure sophisticall doctours. For that one interpreteth somthyng obscurely in one place, the same translateth another (or els he him selfe) more manifestly by a more playne vocable of the same meanyng in another place."

Myles Couerdale—'A Prologue unto the Christen reader'—Bible, M.D.XXXV.

	£	s.	d.
In one beautiful Volume, 4to. cloth lettered	2	2	0
LARGE PAPER COPIES, 4to. cloth lettered	3	3	0

BISHOP COVERDALE'S BIBLE.

"BIBLIA.—THE BIBLE, that is, the holy Scripture of the Olde and New Testament, faithfully and truly translated in to Englishe.
M.D. X X X V.

S. Paul. II. Tessa. III.—Praise for us, that the worde of God maie haue
fre passage, and be glorified, &c."

The First Protestant Translation of the Whole English Bible allowed by Royal Authority; and also the First Translation of the Whole Scriptures printed in our Language.

It is printed in Roman Letter, from the Copy in the Library at Kensington Palace, by the gracious permission of his late Royal Highness the Duke of Sussex. One Volume, Quarto, with a beautifully engraved Portrait and a fac-simile Title Page.

	£	s.	d.
In one volume, crown 4to. cloth boards, lettered	1	15	0
A very limited number of Copies have been printed on LARGE PAPER, cloth boards, lettered	3	3	0

BOS and HOLMES'S GREEK SEPTUAGINT, with APOCRYPHA.
One Volume, 8vo., cloth boards extra. 1l. 1s.

BIBLIA ECCLESIAE POLYGLOTTA.

THE
PROPER LESSONS FOR SUNDAYS,
from the Scriptures of the Old Testament ;

TOGETHER WITH
THE WHOLE OR THE BOOK OF PSALMS :
IN
HEBREW, GREEK, LATIN, AND ENGLISH.

Dedicated by express permission to His Grace the Archbishop of Canterbury.

EDITED BY FREDERICK ILIFF, D.D.,

OF TRINITY COLLEGE, CAMBRIDGE; HEAD MASTER OF THE ROYAL INSTITUTION SCHOOL, LIVERPOOL.

This Work is adapted, primarily, to the wants of those who are connected with the Established Church, but will be found of extreme value to all who seek to understand the Scriptures, by comparing the Authorised English Translation with the Original Hebrew and the two ancient Greek and Latin versions.

The entire BOOK of PSALMS, presented in HEBREW with five different translations in parallel columns, viz : The GREEK, according to the LXX ; the LATIN VULGATE ; the ENGLISH, King James's Version ; JEROME'S LATIN VERSION from the Hebrew ; and the ENGLISH PSALMS used in the Church Service, forms an important feature of the work. It constitutes the most complete and commodious POLYGLOT PSALTER ever prepared.

Small Paper, one Volume, Crown 4to. cloth extra, 32s.

Large Paper, " Royal 4to. " 45s.

The styles and prices of BINDING correspond with those of the Crown and Royal 4to. sizes of the COMPREHENSIVE BIBLE.

In the Press ; will be published about June (1844).

THE SEPTUAGINT IN ENGLISH.

AN ENTIRELY NEW TRANSLATION INTO ENGLISH OF
THIS MOST IMPORTANT VERSION,

WITH
THE PRINCIPAL VARIOUS READINGS TRANSLATED,

AND
A TABLE OF COMPARATIVE CHRONOLOGY.

In two Volumes, Medium 8vo.

A DISCOURSE ON CHURCH GOVERNMENT. By JOHN POTTER,
D.D., late Archbishop of Canterbury. Fifth Edition, 8vo., cloth lettered. 7s.

In One Volume, Demy 8vo., cloth lettered, Price 10s.

A TREATISE ON
THE GRAMMAR
OF THE
NEW TESTAMENT DIALECT;

EMBRACING OBSERVATIONS ON
THE LITERAL INTERPRETATION OF NUMEROUS
PASSAGES.

BY THE
REV. THOMAS SHELDON GREEN, M.A.,
LATE FELLOW OF CHRIST'S COLLEGE, CAMBRIDGE,
HEAD MASTER OF THE GRAMMAR SCHOOL, ASHBY-DE-LA-ZOUCH.

The object of this Work is to investigate the precise relation, in respect of grammatical structure, which the Greek of the New Testament bears to the pure standard of the language as exhibited in the Attic writers; and to show, as the result of the investigation, that, notwithstanding its deviation from that standard, a system of strict literal interpretation may be confidently founded upon it: together with a practical exemplification in the illustration and accurate rendering of various passages.

Its principal aim is to aid the theological student in the critical reading of the New Testament; but, since the pursuit of the investigation necessarily involves the treatment of the more refined parts of syntax, and several points of philosophical grammar, as well as observations on the peculiarities of the later Greek, the work is calculated to interest and assist the general student of the language.

SAMUEL BAGSTER AND SONS, PATERNOSTER ROW,
LONDON.

A NEW AND CRITICAL GREEK AND ENGLISH NEW TESTAMENT.



COMPRISING

- I. The GREEK TEXT of Dr. M. A. SCHOLZ (*Leipsic*, 1830-6): but carefully purged from the typographical inaccuracies found in that edition.
 - II. Every variation between Scholz's Text and that of Griesbach's Manual (*Leipsic*, 1805); together with all those readings, of the value of which Griesbach expresses any judgment.
 - III. The variations of the Text of the Third Edition of Stephens (folio, 1550).
The Text of this edition was followed by Dr. Mill, and is usually reprinted in England.
 - IV. The variations of the Text of Beza's Fifth Edition (1598),
From which the Authorised English Translation is said to have been made: it is evident, however, that other editions were likewise consulted.
 - V. The variations of the Second of the Elzevir Editions (*Leyden*, 1633).
 - VI. The ENGLISH AUTHORIZED VERSION, according to the Edition of 1611 (the *Italic* words of which have been carefully followed), with the whole of the marginal renderings.
 - VII. The Paragraphs into which the Greek Text is divided, have been regulated, in doubtful cases, by the divisions of Bengel; whose arrangement is adopted by Bishop Lloyd, in his edition of the Greek Testament.
- Small Pocket Volume, cloth lettered, 8s.—In morocco plain, gilt leaves, 11s. 6d.—In Turkey morocco elegant, gilt leaves, 14s.
- On Writing paper, with wide margin for MS. Notes, cloth lettered, 14s.—Ditto, in Turkey morocco, elegant, 1*l.* 6s.

SAMUEL BAGSTER AND SONS,

WAREHOUSE FOR BIBLES, NEW TESTAMENTS, PRAYER BOOKS, LEXICONS,
GRAMMARS, CONCORDANCES, AND PSALTERS, IN ANCIENT
AND MODERN LANGUAGES,

15, PATERNOSTER ROW, LONDON.

3 2044 052 753 076



